TORSORS AND STABLE EQUIVARIANT BIRATIONAL GEOMETRY

BRENDAN HASSETT⬤ AND YURI TSCHINKEL⬤

Abstract. We develop the formalism of universal torsors in equivariant birational geometry and apply it to produce new examples of nonbirational but stably birational actions of finite groups.

§1. Introduction

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Consider a finite group G, acting regularly on a smooth projective variety X over k, generically freely from the right. Given two such varieties X and X' with G-actions, we say that X and X' are *G-birational*, and write

$$X \sim_G X',$$

if there is a G-equivariant birational map

$$X \dashrightarrow X'.$$

We say that X and X' are *stably G-birational* if there is a G-equivariant birational map

$$X \times \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow X' \times \mathbb{P}^{n'},$$

where the action of G on the projective spaces is trivial. The *No-Name Lemma* implies that this is equivalent to the existence of G-equivariant vector bundles $E \to X$ and $E' \to X'$ that are G-birational to each other. In particular, faithful linear actions on $\mathbb{A}^n$ are always stably G-birational but not always G-birational [KT1], [RY2]. We say that the G-action on an n-dimensional variety X is (stably) *linearizable* if there exists an $(n+1)$-dimensional faithful representation V of G such that X is (stably) G-birational to $\mathbb{P}(V)$.

There are a number of tools to distinguish G-birational actions, including:

- existence of fixed points upon restriction to abelian subgroups of G [RY1];
- determinant of the action of abelian subgroups in the tangent bundle at fixed points [RY2];
- Amitsur group and G-linearizability of line bundles [BCD+, §6];
- group cohomology for induced actions on invariants such as the Néron–Severi group [BP];
- equivariant birational rigidity (see, e.g., [CS]);
- equivariant enhancements of intermediate Jacobians and cycle invariants [HT];
- equivariant Burnside groups [KT1], [KT4].

Of these, only the fixed point condition for abelian subgroups, the Amitsur group, and group cohomology—specifically $\mathrm{H}^1(G, \mathrm{Pic}(X))$ or higher unramified cohomology—yield *stable* G-birational invariants.

Nevertheless, nontrivial stable birational equivalences are hard to come by. In this paper, we adopt the formalism of universal torsors—developed by Colliot-Thélène, Sansuc, Skorobogatov, and others, in the context of arithmetic questions like Hasse principle and weak approximation—to the framework of equivariant birational geometry. As an application, we exhibit new examples of nonbirational but stably birational actions. Specifically, we:

- show that the linear $\mathfrak{S}_4$-action on $\mathbb{P}^2$ and an $\mathfrak{S}_4$-action on a del Pezzo surface of degree 6 are not birational but stably birational (Proposition 15),
- settle the stable linearizability problem for quadric surfaces (Proposition 16),
- show that the linear $\mathfrak{A}_5$-action on $\mathbb{P}^2$ and the natural $\mathfrak{A}_5$-action on a del Pezzo surface of degree 5 are not birational but stably birational (Proposition 20),
- show that $\mathfrak{A}_5$-actions on the Segre cubic threefold, arising from two nonconjugate embeddings of $\mathfrak{A}_5 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_6$, are not birational but stably birational (Proposition 21).

Here is the road map of the paper: In §§2 and 3, we extend the formalism of universal torsors and Cox rings to the context of equivariant geometry over k. In §4, we study the (stable) linearization problem for toric varieties. A key example, del Pezzo surfaces of degree 6, is discussed in §5; the related case of Weyl group actions for G_2 is presented in §6. In §7, we turn to quadric surfaces. In §8, we discuss linearization of actions of Weyl groups on Grassmannians and their quotients by tori.

§2. Algebraic tori and torsors over nonclosed fields

Let k be a field of characteristic zero, and let X be a d-dimensional geometrically rational variety over k. Recall that X is called (stably) k-rational if X is (stably) birational to $\mathbb{P}^d$ over k.

An important class of varieties which was studied from the perspective of (stable) k-rationality is that of algebraic tori. A classification of (stably) k-rational tori in dimensions $d \leq 5$ can be found in [HY], [K], [V1].

In this section, we review the main features of the theory of tori and torsors under tori over nonclosed fields. Our main references are [CTS1], [CTS2].

2.1 Characters and Galois actions

Recall that an algebraic torus T over k is an algebraic group over k such that

$$\bar{T} := T_{\bar{k}} = \mathbb{G}_m^d,$$

over an algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ of k. Let M be its character lattice, and let N be the lattice of cocharacters, which carry actions of the absolute Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(k)$ of k.

The descent data for a torus T over an arbitrary field k of characteristic zero are encoded by the continuous representation

$$\mathrm{Gal}(k) \to \mathrm{GL}(M).$$

2.2 Quasi-trivial tori

There is a tight connection between (stable) k-rationality of T and properties of the Galois module M.

Recall that M is called a *permutation* module if M has a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis permuted by $\mathrm{Gal}(k)$, that is, M is a direct sum of modules of the form $\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Gal}(k)/H]$, where H is a closed finite-index

subgroup. By definition, a torus T is *quasi-trivial* if M is a permutation module. Quasi-trivial tori are rational over k by Hilbert's Theorem 90 for general linear groups.

Every torus may be expressed as a subtorus or quotient of a quasi-trivial torus, by expressing the character or cocharacter lattices as quotients of permutation modules.

2.3 Rationality criteria

A fundamental theorem [V2] is that a torus T is stably rational if and only if M is *stably permutation*, that is, there exist permutation modules P and Q such that

$$M \oplus P \simeq Q.$$

This condition implies the vanishing of

$$\mathrm{H}^1(H, M)$$

for all closed finite-index subgroups $H \subseteq \mathrm{Gal}(k)$ (i.e., M is *coflabby*).

2.4 Torsor formalism

Let X be a smooth projective geometrically rational variety over k. Since $\bar{X}$ is rational, $\mathrm{Pic}(\bar{X}) \to \mathrm{NS}(\bar{X})$ is an isomorphism. Let

$$T_{\mathrm{NS}(\bar{X})}$$

denote the Néron–Severi torus of X, that is, a torus whose character group is isomorphic, as a Galois module, to $\mathrm{NS}(\bar{X})$. Let

$$\mathcal{P} \to X$$

be a *universal torsor* for $T_{\mathrm{NS}(\bar{X})}$ over k; below, we will discuss when it exists over the ground field. Recall that $\mathcal{P} \to X$ is a morphism defined over k, admitting a free action

$$\mathcal{P} \times T_{\mathrm{NS}(\bar{X})} \to \mathcal{P}$$

over X with the following geometric property: choose a basis

$$\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \in \mathrm{NS}(\bar{X}) = \mathrm{Hom}(T_{\mathrm{NS}(\bar{X})}, \mathbb{G}_m),$$

so that the associated rank-one bundles $L_1, \ldots, L_r \to X$ satisfy

$$\lambda_i = [L_i], \quad i = 1, \ldots, r.$$

This determines $\mathcal{P}$ uniquely over an algebraic closure $\bar{k}/k$; however, for each $\gamma \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Gal}(k), T_{\mathrm{NS}(\bar{X})})$, we can twist the torus action to obtain another such torsor $^{\gamma}\mathcal{P}$.

Given a homomorphism of free Galois modules

$$\alpha : M \to \mathrm{NS}(\bar{X}),$$

there is a homomorphism of tori $T_{\mathrm{NS}(\bar{X})} \to T_M$ and an induced torsor $\mathcal{P}_\alpha \to X$ for T_M.

A sufficient condition for the existence of a universal torsor over k is the existence of a k-rational point $x \in X(k)$: one can define $\mathcal{P} \to X$ over k via evaluation at x. More generally, suppose that $D_1, \ldots, D_r$ is a collection of effective divisors on $\bar{X}$ that is Galois-invariant and generates $\mathrm{NS}(\bar{X})$. Let U denote their complement in X; we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to R = \bar{k}[U]^\times / \bar{k}^\times \to \oplus_{j=1}^r \mathbb{Z}D_j \to \mathrm{NS}(\bar{X}) \to 0.$$

The following conditions are equivalent [CTS2, Prop. 2.2.8]:

- the short exact sequence

$$1 \to \bar{k}^{\times} \to \bar{k}[U]^{\times} \to \bar{k}[U]^{\times}/\bar{k}^{\times} \to 1 \tag{2.1}$$

  splits;
- the descent obstruction for $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ in $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathrm{Gal}(k), T_{\mathrm{NS}(\bar{X})})$ vanishes.

Indeed, each rational point $x \in U(k)$ gives a splitting of (2.1).

When can the universal torsor—or more general torsor constructions—be used to obtain stable rationality results for X over k?

PROPOSITION 1. *A smooth projective geometrically rational variety X over k is stably rational over k provided all of the following conditions are satisfied:*

- *Its universal torsor $\mathcal{P} \to X$ is rational over k.*
- *Its Néron–Severi torus $T_{\mathrm{NS}(\bar{X})}$ is stably rational.*
- *The morphism $\mathcal{P} \to X$ admits a rational section, that is, the torsor splits.*

The last two conditions hold [BCS+, Prop. 3] if $\mathrm{NS}(\bar{X})$ is stably permutation. Note that there are examples where the relevant cohomology vanishes ($\mathrm{NS}(\bar{X})$ is flabby and coflabby), but $\mathrm{NS}(\bar{X})$ fails to be a stable permutation module; these can be found in [CTS1, Rem. R4] (see also [HY, §1]).

§3. Equivariant formalism

We turn to the equivariant context, working over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. Our goal is to formulate a G-equivariant version of the torsor formalism in [CTS2], which will be our main tool in the study of the (stable) linearization problem.

3.1 G-tori

Let $\mathbb{G}_m^d$ be an algebraic torus over k. Recall that we have a split exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{G}_m^d \to \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{G}_m^d) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_m^d) \to 1, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_m^d) = \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{Z})$ is the group of automorphisms as an algebraic group and $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{G}_m^d)$ is the associated affine group. Note that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_m^d)$ acts faithfully on the character lattice.

Let $G \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_m^d)$ be a homomorphism from a finite group; write T for the resulting group in the category of G-varieties. We refer to such tori as G-tori; we continue to write $\mathbb{G}_m^d, d = \dim(T)$, when we forget the G-action on T. Given $G \subset \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{G}_m^d)$, the elements in $G \cap \mathbb{G}_m^d$ will be called *translations*. This gives rise to a torsor in the category of G-varieties

$$P \times T \to P,$$

where T is the G-torus associated with the composition $G \to \mathrm{Aff}(T) \to \mathrm{Aut}(T)$.

The (stable) linearization problem for G-tori concerns (stable) birationality of the G-action on a torus and a linear G-action on $\mathbb{P}^d$. There are two extreme cases:

- $G \subset \mathbb{G}_m^d$, that is, G is abelian and the G-action is a translation action.
- $G \cap \mathbb{G}_m^d = 1$.

3.2 Linearizing translation actions

An action via $G \subset \mathbb{G}_m^d$ extends to a linear action; indeed, it extends to a linear action on the natural compactification $\mathbb{G}_m^d \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$. Note that these do not have to be equivariantly birational to each other, for different embeddings $G \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^d$; the determinant condition of [RY2] characterizes such actions up to equivariant birationality. By the No-Name Lemma, translation actions are stably equivariantly birational. For *nonabelian* G containing an abelian subgroup of rank d, similar examples of nonbirational but stably birational G-actions on tori can be extracted from [RY2, Prop. 7.2].

3.3 Linearizing translation-free actions

The (stable) linearization problem for actions without translations is essentially equivalent to the well-studied (stable) rationality problem of tori over nonclosed fields. It is controlled by the G-action on the cocharacters. We record the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let T be a G-torus (i.e., $G \cap \mathbb{G}_m^d = 1$) with cocharacter module N. Assume that N is a stably permutation G-module. Then the G-action on T is stably linearizable.*

Proof. Suppose first that N is a permutation module. We can realize our torus

$$T \subset \mathbb{A}^d, \quad d = \dim(T),$$

as an open subset of affine space twisted by a permutation of the basis vectors. Any linear twist of affine space is isomorphic to affine space by Hilbert's Theorem 90; hence, the G-action on T is linearizable as well.

If N is stably permutation, then there exist permutation modules P and Q such that

$$N \oplus P \simeq Q.$$

The argument above yields

$$T \times \mathbb{A}^{\dim(P)} \overset{\sim}{\dashrightarrow} \mathbb{A}^{\dim(Q)},$$

which, combined with the No-Name Lemma, gives that the action is stably linear. $\square$

QUESTION 3. Can we effectively compute whether a G-module is stably permutation?

3.4 G-equivariant torsors

We now turn to general smooth projective varieties X with a generically free regular action of a finite group G. We assume that

$$\mathrm{NS}(X) = \mathrm{Pic}(X)$$

is a free abelian group; it inherits the G-action. Let

$$T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)} := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{NS}(X), \mathbb{G}_m)$$

denote the Néron–Severi torus; it is a G-torus.

Let T be a G-torus with character module $\hat{T}$. A G-equivariant T-torsor over X consists of a G-equivariant scheme $\mathcal{P} \to X$ and a G-equivariant action

$$\mathcal{P} \times T \to \mathcal{P}$$

over X that is a torsor on the underlying groups and varieties. Let

$$\mathrm{H}^1_G(X,T)$$

denote the group of isomorphism classes of G-equivariant S-torsors over X. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(G,T) \to \mathrm{H}^1_G(X,T) \to \mathrm{Hom}_G(\hat{T}, \mathrm{Pic}(X)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathrm{H}^2(G,T). \qquad (3.2)$$

The middle arrow may be understood as recording the line bundles arising from characters of T.

3.5 Amitsur group
Restricting to G-invariant divisors

$$\mathrm{Pic}(X)^G \subset \mathrm{Pic}(X),$$

we obtain

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathbb{G}_m) \to \mathrm{Pic}_G(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}(X)^G \to \mathrm{H}^2(G, \mathbb{G}_m),$$

where $\mathrm{Pic}_G(X)$ is the group of G-linearized line bundles on X. The class

$$\alpha = \partial([h]),$$

where h is G-invariant, is called the *Schur multiplier*. It vanishes if and only if the G-action lifts to $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mh))$ for each $m > 0$. The subgroup

$$\mathrm{Am}(X,G) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^2(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

generated by all such classes is called the *Amitsur group* [BCD+, §6]; it is a stable G-birational invariant [S, Thm. 2.14]. Note that when $\mathrm{Am}(X,G) = 0$, there may be subgroups $H \subsetneq G$ with $\mathrm{Am}(X,H) \neq 0$.

3.6 Lifting the G-action
Suppose that

$$\mathcal{P} \to X$$

is a *universal torsor*, that is, a torsor for $T = T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)}$ whose class in $\mathrm{Hom}(\hat{T}, \mathrm{Pic}(X))$ is the identity. When does the G-action on X lift to $\mathcal{P}$? This problem is analogous to the problem of descending the universal torsor to the ground field, in the arithmetic context of §2.4.

Here are two sufficient conditions:

- X admits a G-fixed point.
- The cocycle

$$\alpha = \partial(\mathrm{Id}) \in \mathrm{H}^2(G, T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)})$$

vanishes (whence all Schur multipliers are trivial).

The latter is necessary by the long exact sequence (3.2). The following proposition gives a criterion for the vanishing of this cocycle.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let X be a smooth projective G-variety. Assume that $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is a free abelian group. Fix a G-invariant open subset $\emptyset \neq U \subset X$ with $\mathrm{Pic}(U) = 0$. The class $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(G, T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)})$ vanishes if and only if the exact sequence*

$$1 \to k^\times \to k[U]^\times \to k[U]^\times/k^\times \to 1 \tag{3.3}$$

has a G-equivariant splitting.

The proof is completely analogous to the proof of [CTS2, 2.2.8(v)] with group cohomology replacing Galois cohomology.

3.7 Constructing the torsor

This approach can yield a construction for the universal torsor. Let $D_1, \ldots, D_r$ be a G-invariant collection of effective divisors generating $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$. The complement

$$U = X \setminus (D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_r)$$

has trivial Picard group. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \hat{R} \to \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}D_i \to \mathrm{Pic}(X) \to 0,$$

where $\hat{R}$ is the module of relations among the D_i, and its dual

$$0 \to T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)} \to M \to R \to 0. \tag{3.4}$$

There is a canonical G-homomorphism

$$\hat{R} \to k[U]^\times/k^\times$$

obtained by regarding the relations as rational functions that are invertible on U. The existence of a splitting for (3.3) yields a lift

$$\hat{R} \to k[U]^\times,$$

whence a morphism

$$U \to R.$$

The sequence (3.4) induces a $T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)}$-torsor over U, which extends to all of X as in [CTS2, Thm. 2.3.1].

3.8 Properties of torsors

We also have the equivariant version of [BCS+, Prop. 3], an application of Hilbert Theorem 90.

PROPOSITION 5. *Suppose $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ is stably permutation as a G-module. If $\mathcal{P} \to X$ is a universal torsor, then there exists a G-equivariant rational section $X \dashrightarrow \mathcal{P}$, whence*

$$\mathcal{P} \sim_G T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)} \times X.$$

COROLLARY 6. *The existence of a G-equivariant universal torsor is a G-birational property.*

Proof. Indeed, if X and Y are G-equivariantly birational, then we can exhibit an affine open subset common to both varieties for which Proposition 4 applies. □

In parallel with [CTS2, Prop. 2.9.2], we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 7. *The existence of a G-equivariant universal torsor is a stable G-birational property.*

Proof. Let W be a smooth projective G-variety, equivariantly birational to a linear generically free action on projective space. Then $\mathrm{Pic}(W)$ is stably a permutation module, and each invariant line bundle on W admits a G linearization. Thus, the resulting torus $T_{\mathrm{NS}(W)}$ admits a torsor $\mathcal{Q} \to W$, equivariant under the G action.

If X admits a universal torsor $\mathcal{P} \to X$, then the product

$$\pi_W^* \mathcal{Q} \times \pi_X^* \mathcal{P} \to W \times X$$

is a universal torsor for $X \times W$.

Conversely, suppose that $W \times X$ admits a universal torsor. Since the existence of a universal torsor is a G-birational property, we may assume that $W = \mathbb{P}^n$ and G acts linearly and faithfully on $\mathbb{P}^n$. It therefore acts on the associated affine space $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))^\vee$ and the universal subbundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$. The No-Name Lemma implies G-birational equivalences

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \times X \dashrightarrow^{\sim} \mathbb{A}^1 \times W \times X$$

and

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))^\vee \times X \dashrightarrow^{\sim} \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \times X$$

with trivial actions on the affine space factors. Moreover, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$ is equal to the blowup of $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))^\vee$ at the origin; thus, $W \times X$ is stably birational to $\mathbb{A}^{n+1} \times X$.

We therefore reduce ourselves to the situation where $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} \times X$ admits a universal torsor

$$\mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \times X,$$

where G acts trivially on the first factor. The pullback homomorphism

$$\pi_X^* : \mathrm{Pic}(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}(X \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1})$$

allows us to produce a $T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)}$-torsor $\mathcal{R} \to \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \times X$. Choose a section of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} \times X \to X$ and restrict $\mathcal{R}$ to this section to get the desired torsor on X.   □

3.9 Torsors and stable linearization

We record an equivariant version of Proposition 1.

PROPOSITION 8. *Let X be a smooth projective G-variety with $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathrm{NS}(X)$. Assume that X admits a G-equivariant universal torsor $\mathcal{P}$ such that:*

- *the G-action on $\mathcal{P}$ is stably linearizable,*
- *the G-action on $T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)}$ is stably linearizable,*
- *$\mathcal{P} \to X$ admits a G-equivariant rational section.*

Then the G-action on X is stably linearizable.

There is no harm in assuming merely that $\mathcal{P}$ is stably linearizable as our conclusion on X is a stable property.

COROLLARY 9. *Let X be a smooth projective G-variety with $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathrm{NS}(X)$; assume that $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ is stably a permutation module. If X admits a G-equivariant universal torsor $\mathcal{P}$ with stably linear G-action, then the G-action on X is stably linearizable as well.*

Indeed, the last two conditions of Proposition 8 follow if $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ is a stably permutation module by Proposition 5.

3.10 Universal torsors and Cox rings

Suppose X is a smooth projective variety that has a universal torsor $\mathcal{P} \to X$. In some cases, there is a natural embedding of $\mathcal{P}$ into affine space, realizing X is a subvariety of a toric variety. Specifically, assume that the *Cox ring*

$$\mathrm{Cox}(X) := \oplus_{L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)} \Gamma(X, L),$$

graded by the Picard group and with multiplication induced by tensor product of line bundles, is finitely generated (see, e.g., [ADH+] for definitions and properties). This is the case for Fano varieties (e.g., [BCH+], [HK]). Then there is a natural open embedding

$$\mathcal{P} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{Cox}(X)),$$

compatible with the actions of $T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)}$ associated with the torsor structure and the grading, respectively. Fixing a finite set $\{x_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ of graded generators for $\mathrm{Cox}(X)$, we obtain an embedding

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{Cox}(X)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^\Sigma.$$

Taking a quotient of the codomain by $T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)}$ gives a toric variety (see §4.1); choosing a quotient associated with a linearization of an ample line bundle L on X gives the desired embedding

$$X \hookrightarrow [\mathbb{A}^\Sigma / T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)}]_L.$$

Our focus is the extent to which these constructions can be performed equivariantly (when X comes with a G-action) or over nonclosed fields. We emphasize that the Cox-ring formulation is equivalent to the universal torsor framework when the torsor exists.

3.11 General results on linearizable actions

For this last section, we return to the general question of characterizing group actions that are birational or stably birational.

PROPOSITION 10. *Let X be a smooth projective variety, and let G be a finite group acting regularly and generically freely on X. Given an automorphism $a : G \to G$, let ^aX denote the resulting twisted action of G on X. If the G-action on X is stably linearizable, then ^aX is stably equivariantly birational to X, hence stably linearizable as well.*

Proof. Our assumption implies the existence of linear representations

$$G \times \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^n, \quad G \times \mathbb{A}^{d+n} \to \mathbb{A}^{d+n}, d = \dim(X),$$

such that

$$X \times \mathbb{A}^n \sim_G \mathbb{A}^{d+n}.$$

Twisting by a, we find that

$$^aX \times {}^a\mathbb{A}^n \sim_G {}^a\mathbb{A}^{d+n}.$$

It follows that

$$X \times {}^a \mathbb{A}^{d+n} \sim_G {}^a X \times \mathbb{A}^{d+n}.$$

The No-Name Lemma implies that these are birational to

$$X \times \mathbb{A}^{d+n}, {}^a X \times \mathbb{A}^{d+n},$$

where the actions on the affine spaces are trivial. This gives the stable birational equivalence.   □

§4. Stable linearization of actions on toric varieties

4.1 Toric varieties

Let $X = X_\Sigma$ be a T-equivariant compactification of T, where Σ is a *fan*, that is, a collection $\Sigma = \{\sigma\}$ of cones in the cocharacter group $N := \mathfrak{X}_*(T)$ of T (see, e.g., [F] for terminology regarding toric varieties). Let $\Sigma(i) \subset \Sigma$ be the collection of i-dimensional cones. A complete determination of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ can be found in [SMS]. Conversely, given a finite group $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(T)$, there exists a smooth projective T-equivariant compactification of T, with regular G action.

Suppose T is a G-torus. We say that X is a *T-toric variety* if there exists a G-equivariant action $X \times T \to X$ such that X has a dense T-orbit with trivial generic stabilizer. Note that X need not have G-fixed points but does admit a distinguished Zariski-open subset that is a torsor for T.

We record a corollary of Proposition 10.

COROLLARY 11. *Let X denote a T-toric variety that is stably linearizable. Given an element $a \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)^G$, the twist ${}^a X$ is stably linearizable as well and G-birational to X.*

If the cocharacter module N of T is stably permutation, then a smooth projective T-equivariant compactification $T \subset X$ has Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ that is also stably a permutation module.

Indeed, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to M \to \mathrm{Pic}_T(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}(X) \to 0, \tag{4.1}$$

where the central term is a permutation module indexed by vectors generating the one-skeleton of the fan. The exact sequence (4.1) shows that M is stably permutation if and only if $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is stably permutation.

4.2 Universal torsors for toric varieties

Let $X \times T \to X$ denote a T-toric variety, where X is smooth and projective. Ignoring the action of G, $\mathrm{Cox}(X)$ is a polynomial ring $k[x_\sigma], \sigma \in \Sigma(1)$, indexed by the 1-skeleton, that is, generators of the one-dimensional cones in the fan of X. Of course, the group G permutes the elements of $\Sigma(1)$ and if X admits a T-fixed point—invariant under G—then $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{Cox}(X))$ is the affine space $\mathbb{A}^{\Sigma(1)}$ with the induced permutation action of G.

However, when the dense open orbit of X is a nontrivial principal homogeneous space

$$U \times T \to U,$$

it may not be possible to lift the G-action compatibly to $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{Cox}(X))$. We can identify the cohomology class governing the existence a lifting. Dualizing (4.1) gives

$$1 \to T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)} \to \mathbb{G}_m^{\Sigma(1)} \to T \to 1,$$

encoded by a class $\eta \in \mathrm{Ext}_G^1(T, T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)})$. The principal homogeneous space is classified by

$$[U] \in \mathrm{H}^1(G, T),$$

and its image under the connecting homomorphism

$$\partial([U]) = [U] \smile \eta \in \mathrm{H}^2(G, T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)})$$

is the obstruction to finding a cocycle in $\mathrm{H}^1(G, \mathbb{G}_m^{\Sigma(1)})$ lifting $[U]$.

4.3 Actions on $\mathbb{P}^1$

The presence of translations marks an essential discrepancy in the analogy between the rationality problem over nonclosed fields and the linearizability problem of actions of finite groups over closed fields, as can be seen from the following example.

Let

$$G = \langle \iota_1, \iota_2 \rangle = \mathfrak{C}_2 \times \mathfrak{C}_2,$$

and let T be a one-dimensional torus with G action

$$\iota_1 \cdot t = t^{-1}, \quad \iota_2 \cdot t = -t.$$

Consider an action

$$\begin{aligned} T \times \mathbb{P}^1 &\to \mathbb{P}^1, \\ t \cdot [x, y] &\mapsto [tx, y]. \end{aligned}$$

Let G act on $\mathbb{P}^1$ by

$$\iota_1 \cdot [x, y] = [y, x], \quad \iota_2 \cdot [x, y] = [-x, y],$$

which is well defined. However, this action does not lift to a linear action of G on $\mathbb{A}^2$ because

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Amitsur invariant is

$$\mathrm{Am}(\mathbb{P}^1, G) = \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

so that this action is not stably linearizable. Alternatively, one may observe that G has no fixed points on $\mathbb{P}^1$, which is also an obstruction to stable linearizability.

On the other hand, let

$$G := \langle \iota, \sigma : \iota^2 = \sigma^3 = 1, \iota\sigma\iota = \sigma^{-1} \rangle \simeq \mathfrak{S}_3.$$

We continue to have ι act as ι_1 did above. Let

$$\sigma \cdot [x, y] = [\omega x, y], \quad \omega = e^{2\pi i/3}.$$

This *does* lift to a linear action of G on $\mathbb{A}^2$, for example, by expressing

$$\sigma \cdot [x, y] = [\zeta x, \zeta^{-1} y], \quad \zeta = e^{2\pi i/6}.$$

Again, G has no fixed points on $\mathbb{P}^1$, but this is *not* an obstruction to linearizability, for nonabelian groups.

4.4 Linearizing actions with translations

PROPOSITION 12. *Let T be a G-equivariant torus, and let $X \times T \to X$ be a smooth projective T-toric variety. Assume that:*

- $M = \hat{T}$ *is a stably permutation G-module and*
- *the obstruction $\alpha = \partial(\mathrm{Id}) \in \mathrm{H}^2(G, T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)})$ vanishes.*

Then the G-action on X is stably linearizable.

Proof. The vanishing assumption shows that X admits a universal torsor $\mathcal{P} \to X$ with G-action. Moreover, we have an open embedding

$$\mathcal{P} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n,$$

where $\mathbb{A}^n$ is an affine space with permutation structure given by the action of G on the 1-skeleton of X.

By Proposition 5, we have $\mathcal{P} \sim_G T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)} \times X$; the first factor is stably linearizable by Proposition 2. Since $\mathcal{P}$ is linearizable, we conclude that X is stably linearizable. □

QUESTION 13. *Let G be a finite group, let T be a G-torus, and let X be a T-toric variety. Consider the following conditions:*

- *The obstruction $\partial(\mathrm{Id}) \in \mathrm{H}^2(T_{\mathrm{NS}(X)})$ to the existence of a universal torsor vanishes.*
- *For each T-orbit closure $Y \subseteq X$ and subgroup $H \subseteq G$ leaving Y invariant, the Amitsur invariant $\mathrm{Am}(Y, H/K)$ vanishes, where K is the subgroup acting trivially on Y.*

Are they equivalent?

Clearly, the first implies the second. Recall that the restriction

$$\mathrm{Pic}(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}(Y)$$

can be made to be surjective on a suitable G-equivariant smooth projective model of X, with induced T-closure $Y \subset X$. See, for example, §§2.3–2.5 of [KT2].

§5. Sextic del Pezzo surfaces

Here, we consider actions on the toric surface

$$X \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1,$$

given by

$$X_1 X_2 X_3 = W_1 W_2 W_3. \tag{5.1}$$

It has distinguished loci

$$L_1 = \{X_3 = W_2 = 0\}, L_2 = \{X_1 = W_3 = 0\}, L_3 = \{X_2 = W_1 = 0\},$$

$$E_{12} = \{X_1 = W_2 = 0\}, E_{13} = \{X_3 = W_1 = 0\}, E_{23} = \{X_2 = W_3 = 0\}.$$

Recall that the universal torsor may be realized as an open subset of $\mathbb{A}^6$ with variables

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \eta_{12}, \eta_{13}, \eta_{23},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
X_1 &= \lambda_2 \eta_{12}, & W_1 &= \lambda_3 \eta_{13}, \\
X_2 &= \lambda_3 \eta_{23}, & W_2 &= \lambda_1 \eta_{12}, \\
X_3 &= \lambda_1 \eta_{13}, & W_3 &= \lambda_2 \eta_{23}.
\end{aligned}$$

Write

$$\operatorname{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H + \mathbb{Z}E_1 + \mathbb{Z}E_2 + \mathbb{Z}E_3$$

with associated torus

$$\operatorname{Spec} k[s_0^{\pm 1}, s_1^{\pm 1}, s_2^{\pm 1}, s_3^{\pm 1}]$$

acting via

$$\lambda_i \mapsto s_i \lambda_i, \quad \eta_{ij} \mapsto s_0 s_i^{-1} s_j^{-1} \eta_{ij}.$$

5.1 Action by toric automorphisms

Consider the automorphisms of X fixing the distinguished point

$$(1,1,1) = \{X_1 = X_2 = X_3 = W_1 = W_2 = W_3 = 1\}.$$

Equivalently, these are induced from automorphisms of the torus

$$T = X \setminus (L_1 \cup E_{12} \cup L_2 \cup E_{23} \cup L_3 \cup E_{13}).$$

These are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_3$—we can exchange the X and W variables or permute the indices $\{1,2,3\}$. The induced action on the six-cycle of (-1)-curves may be interpreted as the dihedral group of order 12.

Note that the associated exact sequence of $\mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_3$-modules

$$0 \to M \to \mathbb{Z}\{(-1)\text{-curves}\} \to \operatorname{Pic}(X) \to 0$$

splits.

REMARK 14. If M and P are stably permutation G-modules, then $\operatorname{Ext}_G^1(P,M) = 0$. This is Lemma 1 in [CTS1], which says that if M is coflabby and P is permutation, then $\operatorname{Ext}_G^1(P,M) = 0$. However, stably permutation modules are flabby and coflabby [CTS1, p. 179].

The $\mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_3$ action lifts to the Cox ring: for example, let $\mathfrak{S}_3$ act via permutation on the indices and $\mathfrak{S}_2$ by

$$\lambda_i \mapsto \eta_{jk}, \quad \eta_{jk} \mapsto \lambda_i, \quad \{i,j,k\} = \{1,2,3\}.$$

5.2 Sextic del Pezzo surface with an $\mathfrak{S}_4$-action

Assume that G contains nontrivial translations of the torus $T \subset X$. In [S], it is shown that, on *minimal* sextic Del Pezzo surfaces, such G-actions are not linearizable.

As an example, consider $G := \mathfrak{S}_4$ acting on X via $\mathfrak{S}_3$-permutations of the factors

$$x_1 := X_1/W_1, \quad x_2 := X_2/W_2, \quad x_3 := X_3/W_3,$$

and additional involutions (translations)

$$\iota_1 : (x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (-x_1, x_2, -x_3), \quad \iota_2 : (x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (-x_1, -x_2, x_3).$$

Here, we have $G \cap \mathbb{G}_m^{\dim(T)} = \mathfrak{C}_2 \times \mathfrak{C}_2$, with G acting on $\operatorname{Aut}(N)$ via $\mathfrak{S}_3$. The six exceptional curves form a single G-orbit, each curve has generic stabilizer $\mathfrak{C}_2$ and a nontrivial $\mathfrak{C}_2$-action.

Using the theory of *versal G-covers*, Bannai and Tokunaga [BT] showed that the G-actions on $\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{P}(V)$, where V is the standard three-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{S}_4$, and on (5.1), as described above, are not birational. Alternative proofs, using the equivariant Minimal Model Program for surfaces (resp. the Burnside group formalism), can be found in [S, §3.4] (resp. [KT3, §9]). These approaches cannot be used to study stable linearizability.

PROPOSITION 15. *The $\mathfrak{S}_4$-action is stably linearizable.*

Proof. We will apply Proposition 8, the equivariant version of Proposition 1. We use the split sequence

$$1 \to \mathfrak{C}_2 \times \mathfrak{C}_2 \to \mathfrak{S}_4 \to \mathfrak{S}_3 \to 1$$

induced by (3.1) on the 2-torsion of T.

First, note the action of G on $T_{\operatorname{NS}(X)}$—which factors through the homomorphism $\mathfrak{S}_4 \to \mathfrak{S}_3$—is stably linearizable.

It suffices then to lift the G-action to the Cox ring. The action of $\mathfrak{S}_3$ is clear by the indexing of our variables. For the involutions ι_1 and ι_2, we take

$$\iota_1(\lambda_2) = -\lambda_2$$

and

$$\iota_2(\lambda_3) = -\lambda_3,$$

with trivial action on the remaining variables. The gives the desired lifting.   □

There is also an action of $G = \mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_2$ on X, with $G \cap \mathbb{G}_m^{\dim(T)} = 1$, that is not linearizable, but is stably linearizable. We discuss it in §6.

§6. Weyl group of G_2 actions

We start with an example presented in [LPR, §9] and motivated by the following question: is the Weyl group action on a maximal torus in a Lie group equivariantly birational to the induced action on the Lie algebra of the torus? The authors study the action of

$$G := W(\mathsf{G}_2) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_2,$$

the Weyl group of the exceptional Lie group G_2: consider the torus

$$T = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1 x_2 x_3 = 1\}$$

and its Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{t} = \{(y_1, y_2, y_3) : y_1 + y_2 + y_3 = 0\},$$

with $\mathfrak{S}_3$ acting on both varieties by permuting the coordinates, and $\mathfrak{S}_2 := \langle \epsilon \rangle$ acting via

$$\epsilon \cdot (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1^{-1}, x_2^{-1}, x_3^{-1})$$

and

$$\epsilon \cdot (y_1, y_2, y_3) = (-y_1, -y_2, -y_3).$$

We now describe good projective models of both varieties, that is, such that the complement of the free locus is normal crossings so that all stabilizers are abelian.

6.1 Multiplicative action

This case builds on §5.1; we retain the notation introduced there.

While the sextic del Pezzo surface is a fine model for our group action, it is often most natural to blow up to eliminate points with nonabelian stabilizers (cf. [KT4, §2]). Let $S_{(1,1,1)}$ denote the blowup at $(1,1,1)$. We identify distinguished loci in $S_{(1,1,1)}$ as proper transforms of loci in the sextic del Pezzo surface. In addition to the six curves listed above, we have:

- D_i from $\{(X_i - W_i)(-1)^{i+1} = 0\}$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$;
- E exceptional divisor over $(1,1,1)$.

The nonzero intersections are

$$E_{12}L_1 = E_{12}L_2 = E_{23}L_2 = E_{23}L_3 = E_{13}L_3 = E_{13}L_1 = 1$$

and

$$D_1 L_1 = D_1 E_{23} = D_1 E = 1,$$
$$D_2 L_2 = D_2 E_{13} = D_2 E = 1,$$
$$D_3 L_3 = D_3 E_{12} = D_3 E = 1.$$

All self-intersections are -1.

To compute the Cox ring, we introduce new variables δ_i and η associated with D_i and E. The resulting relations are

$$\delta_1 \eta = X_1 - W_1 = \lambda_2 \eta_{12} - \lambda_3 \eta_{13},$$
$$\delta_2 \eta = -X_2 + W_2 = -\lambda_3 \eta_{23} + \lambda_1 \eta_{12},$$
$$\delta_3 \eta = X_3 - W_3 = \lambda_1 \eta_{13} - \lambda_2 \eta_{23}.$$

Reassigning

$$\lambda_i = p_{i4}, \eta_{ij} = p_{k5}, \delta_i = p_{jk}, \eta = p_{45},$$

we obtain three Plücker relations. The remaining relations

$$p_{12}p_{34} - p_{13}p_{24} + p_{14}p_{23} = p_{12}p_{35} - p_{13}p_{25} + p_{15}p_{23} = 0$$

are also valid.

The group $\mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_2$ may be interpreted as permutations of the sets $\{1,2,3\}$ and $\{4,5\}$. In the natural induced action,

$$(ij) \cdot p_{ij} = -p_{ij}, \quad \epsilon \cdot p_{45} = -p_{45},$$

but the actions on the original six variables are compatible.

The elements

$$(\zeta, \zeta, \zeta), (\zeta^2, \zeta^2, \zeta^2) \in T, \quad \zeta = e^{2\pi i/3},$$

are fixed by $\mathfrak{S}_3$. The curves in the sextic del Pezzo surface

$$F_{12} = \{X_1 W_2 - W_1 X_2 = 0\},$$

$$F_{13} = \{X_1 W_3 - W_1 X_3 = 0\},$$

$$F_{23} = \{X_2 W_3 - W_2 X_3 = 0\}$$

meet at the three diagonal points and have intersections

$$F_{12}^2 = F_{13}^2 = F_{23}^2 = 2, \quad F_{12}F_{13} = F_{12}F_{23} = F_{13}F_{23} = 3.$$

Let $S_\times \to S_{(1,1,1)}$ denote the blowup at these points, a cubic surface.

Iskovskikh [I] presents an equivariant birational morphism

$$S_{(1,1,1)} \to Q = \{3\hat{w}^2 = xy + xz + yz\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$

obtained by double projection of the sextic del Pezzo from $(1,1,1)$. This blows down the proper transforms of $D_1, D_2,$ and D_3. Here, $\mathfrak{S}_3$ acts by permutation of $\{x,y,z\}$ and $\epsilon \cdot w = -w$. Indeed, the proper transforms of $L_1, L_2,$ and L_3 are in one ruling; the proper transforms of $E_{23}, E_{13},$ and E_{12} are in the other ruling.

This can be obtained as follows: choose a basis for the forms vanishing to order two at $(1,1,1)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= (X_1 + W_1)(X_2 - W_2)(X_3 - W_3), \\
y &= (X_1 - W_1)(X_2 + W_2)(X_3 - W_3), \\
z &= (X_1 - W_1)(X_2 - W_2)(X_3 + W_3), \\
w &= (X_1 - W_1)(X_2 - W_2)(X_3 - W_3),
\end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$xy + xz + yz = w(2(X_1 X_2 X_3 - W_1 W_2 W_3) + w) \equiv w^2.$$

We use (5.1) to get the last equivalence on our degree-6 del Pezzo surface.

6.2 Additive action

We turn to the action on the Lie algebra: the representation of $\mathfrak{t}$ is linear and admits a compactification

$$\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{t} \oplus k).$$

Write $y_1 = Y_1/Z$ and $y_2 = Y_2/Z$ so that the induced action on $\mathbb{P}^2$ has fixed point $[0,0,1]$ and distinguished loci

$$A_{12} = \{Y_1 = Y_2\}, \quad A_{13} = \{Y_1 = -Y_1 - Y_2\}, \quad A_{23} = \{Y_2 = -Y_1 - Y_2\}$$

and

$$B_{12} = \{Y_2 = -Y_1\}, \quad B_{13} = \{Y_2 = 0\}, \quad B_{23} = \{Y_1 = 0\}.$$

Blowing up the origin $Y_1 = Y_2 = 0$ yields a smooth projective surface $\simeq \mathbb{F}_1$ with abelian stabilizers.

The Cox ring is given by

$$k[\zeta, \beta_{13}, \beta_{23}, \eta],$$

with $Z = \zeta$, $Y_1 = \eta\beta_{23}$, and $Y_2 = \eta\beta_{13}$. One lift of the $\mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_2$-action has $\mathfrak{S}_3$ acting with the standard two-dimensional representation on β_{13}, β_{23} and $\mathfrak{S}_2$-action via $\epsilon \cdot \eta = -\eta$. The two-dimensional torus acts via

$$(\eta, \beta_{13}, \beta_{23}, \zeta) \mapsto (t_E \eta, t_f \beta_{13}, t_f \beta_{23}, t_E t_f \zeta).$$

6.3 On the Lemire–Popov–Reichstein stable equivalence [LPR]

Consider the rational map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathfrak{t} & \dashrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{t}) \\ (Y, Z) & \mapsto & [Y, Z]. \end{array}$$

Taking Cartesian products, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{t} \simeq \mathbb{A}^4 & \dashrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{t}) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{t}) \\ (Y_1, Z_1, Y_2, Z_2) & \mapsto & ([Y_1, Z_1], [Y_2, Z_2]). \end{array}$$

This induces a rank-two vector bundle

$$\mathrm{Bl}_{\{Y_1 = Z_1 = 0\} \cup \{Y_2 = Z_2 = 0\}}(\mathbb{A}^4) \to \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{t})^2.$$

We take the product as an $\mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_2$-variety, where the first factor acts diagonally and the second factor interchanges the two factors. Thus, $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{t})^2 \simeq Q$ as $\mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_2$-varieties.

On the other hand, there is a morphism

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbb{A}^4 & \to & \mathfrak{t} \\ (Y_1, Z_1, Y_2, Z_2) & \mapsto & (Y_1 - Y_2, Z_1 - Z_2), \end{array}$$

which is also a rank-two vector bundle over $\mathfrak{t}$.

Applying the No-Name Lemma twice, we conclude that $\mathfrak{t} \times \mathbb{A}^2$ and $T \times \mathbb{A}^2$—with trivial actions on the $\mathbb{A}^2$ factors—are G-equivariantly birational to each other.

Question: Is the affine quadric threefold

$$w^2 = xy + xy + yz$$

G-equivariantly birational equivalent to $\mathfrak{t} \times \mathbb{A}^1$?

§7. Quadric surfaces

We are now in a position to settle the stable linearizability problem for quadric surfaces

$$X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1,$$

completing the results in [S, Th. 3.25], which identifies linearizable actions.

Let G act generically freely and minimally on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. In particular, there exist elements exchanging the two factors. Let G_0 be the intersection of G with the identity component of

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)^2 \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1),$$

so we have an exact sequence

$$1 \to G_0 \to G \to \mathfrak{S}_2 \to 1.$$

Each element $\iota \in G \setminus G_0$ acts via conjugation on G_0. Let D denote the intersection of G_0 with the diagonal subgroup, and let A_i denote the image of G_0 under the projection π_i. Conjugation by ι takes the kernel of $G_0 \to A_1$ to the kernel of $G_0 \to A_2$ and thus induces an isomorphism

$$\phi_\iota : A_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} A_2$$

restricting to the identity on D.

Sarikyan [S, Lem. 3.24] shows that G is linearizable if and only if $A \simeq \mathfrak{C}_n$, the cyclic group. Moreover:

- the only linearizable actions of A on $\mathbb{P}^1$ are by $\mathfrak{C}_n$ or $\mathfrak{D}_n$, the dihedral group of order $2n$, with $n > 1$ odd;
- the remaining group actions on $\mathbb{P}^1$ cannot be linearized due to the Amitsur obstruction.

Thus, the only possible candidate for *stably* linearizable but nonlinearizable actions on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ are when $A \simeq \mathfrak{D}_n$, $n > 1$ odd.

PROPOSITION 16. *Under the assumptions above, G-actions on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with $A \simeq \mathfrak{D}_n$, with $n > 1$ odd, are always stably linearizable.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}(V_1) \times \mathbb{P}(V_2)$, where V_1 and V_2 are the representations of A_1 and A_2, along with an isomorphism of D-representations

$$V_1 | D \xrightarrow{\sim} V_2 | D.$$

Using the quotient $G_0 \twoheadrightarrow A_1$, we can regard V_1 as a representation of G_0. Take the induced representation

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G(V_1),$$

which has dimension 4. Mackey's induced character formula implies that the restriction of this representation back down to G_0 is of the form

$$V_1 \oplus V_2,$$

where V_2 is regarded as a G_0 representation via $G_0 \twoheadrightarrow A_2$.

Now, $V_1 \oplus V_2$, as a variety, is the product $V_1 \times V_2$. The rational maps $V_i \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_i)$ induce

$$V_1 \times V_2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_1) \times \mathbb{P}(V_2),$$

resolved by blowing up $\{0\} \times V_2$ and $V_1 \times \{0\}$. This has the structure of a rank-two G-equivariant vector bundle. The No-Name Lemma implies that $V_1 \times V_2$ is birational to $\mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}(V_1) \times \mathbb{P}(V_2)$ where the first factor has trivial G-action. Hence, the G-action on $\mathbb{P}(V_1) \times \mathbb{P}(V_2)$ is stably linearizable. □

For $G = W(\mathsf{G}_2) = \mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_3$, this is precisely the result of [LPR, §9] presented in §6.3.

7.1 Generalizations

The same argument gives the following.

PROPOSITION 17. *Let G be a finite group acting generically freely on $(\mathbb{P}^m)^r$. Write $G_0 \subset G$ for the intersection of G with the identity component of $\mathrm{Aut}((\mathbb{P}^m)^r)$. Suppose that:*

- *G acts transitively on the r factors;*
- *the image A_i of $\pi_i : G_0 \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^m)$, the projection to the ith factor, has a linearizable action on $\mathbb{P}^m$.*

Then the action of G on $(\mathbb{P}^m)^r$ is stably linearizable.

PROPOSITION 18. *Let G be a finite group. Let G act generically freely on smooth projective varieties X_1 and X_2 with $\operatorname{Pic}(X_i) = \operatorname{NS}(X_i)$. Suppose there exist universal torsors $\mathcal{P}_i \to X_i$ with compatible G actions. Then*

$$\mathcal{U} := \pi_1^* \mathcal{P}_1 \times_{X_1 \times X_2} \pi_2^* \mathcal{P}_2 \to X_1 \times X_2$$

is a universal torsor as well.

If $\operatorname{NS}(X_1) \oplus \operatorname{NS}(X_2)$ is a stably permutation module, then $X_1 \times X_2$ is stably birational to $\mathcal{U}$.

Moreover, if the X_i are T_i-toric varieties, then $X_1 \times X_2$ is stably linearizable.

§8. Quotients of flag varieties by tori

8.1 Weyl group actions on Grassmannians

Consider the Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}(m,n)$ of m-dimensional subspaces of an n-dimensional vector space. Once we fix a basis for the underlying vector space, the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ acts naturally on $\operatorname{Gr}(m,n)$.

Every element of $\operatorname{Gr}(m,n)$ may be interpreted as the span of the rows of an $m \times n$ matrix A with full rank. Let $\mathbb{A}^{mn}$ denote the affine space parameterizing these and $U \subset \mathbb{A}^{mn}$ the open subset satisfying the rank condition. Then

$$\operatorname{Gr}(m,n) = \operatorname{GL}_m \backslash U,$$

where the linear group acts via multiplication from the left. Let

$$\mathcal{S} \to \operatorname{Gr}(m,n)$$

denote the universal subbundle of rank m, $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}^* \otimes \mathcal{S}$, and $\operatorname{GL}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{S})$ the associated frame/principal GL_m bundle. We write the induced GL_m-action on $\operatorname{GL}(\mathcal{S})$ from the left. Note that

$$\dim \operatorname{GL}(\mathcal{S}) = \dim \operatorname{Gr}(m,n) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{S})^2 = m(n-m) + m^2;$$

indeed, we may identify $\operatorname{GL}(\mathcal{S})$ with U, equivariantly with respect to the natural left GL_m actions.

Returning to the $\mathfrak{S}_n$-action: It acts on the $m \times n$ matrices by permuting the columns, which commutes with the GL_m-action given above. In particular, the action is linear on $\mathbb{A}^{mn}$. This action coincides with the natural induced action on S, $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{S})$, and $\operatorname{GL}(\mathcal{S})$. The No-Name Lemma says that the $\mathfrak{S}_n$-action on $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{S})$—regarded as a vector bundle over $\operatorname{Gr}(m,n)$—is equivalent to the action on $\mathbb{A}^{m^2} \times \operatorname{Gr}(m,n)$ with trivial action on the first factor. We conclude the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 19. *The action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on $\operatorname{Gr}(m,n)$ is stably linearizable.*

8.2 Del Pezzo surface of degree 5

It is well known that a del Pezzo surface of degree 5 can be viewed as the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,5}$ of five points on $\mathbb{P}^1$ and thus carries a natural action of $\mathfrak{A}_5$, induced from the action of

$\mathfrak{S}_5$ on the points (see, e.g., [S, §1]). It is also known that this $\mathfrak{A}_5$-action is not linearizable (see, e.g., [BT] or [CS, Th. 6.6.1]). Again, this should be contrasted with the situation over nonclosed fields, where *all* degree 5 del Pezzo surfaces are rational.

Consider a three-dimensional irreducible faithful representation

$$\varrho : \mathfrak{A}_5 \to \mathrm{GL}(V).$$

There are two such representations, which are dual to each other. This gives rise to a generically free (linear!) action of $\mathfrak{A}_5$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$. The two linear actions on $\mathbb{P}^2$ are not conjugated in PGL_3, but *are* equivariantly birational [CS, Rem. 6.3.9].

As an application of Proposition 19, we obtain the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 20. *The $\mathfrak{A}_5$-actions on $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,5}$ are not birational but stably birational.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the action of $\mathfrak{A}_5$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,5}$ is stably linear. We have seen already that the action on the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(2,5)$ is stably linear. We are using that the Néron–Severi torus acts on the cone over $\mathrm{Gr}(2,5)$ with quotient $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,5}$. Proposition 5 gives the desired result once we check that $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,5})$ is stably permutation. We may write

$$M := \mathrm{NS}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,5}) = \mathbb{Z}L + \mathbb{Z}E_1 + \mathbb{Z}E_2 + \mathbb{Z}E_3 + \mathbb{Z}E_4,$$

so that the $\mathfrak{S}_4$-action is clear. The transposition (45) may be realized by the Cremona map acting by

$$
\begin{aligned}
L &\mapsto 2L - E_1 - E_2 - E_3, \\
E_1 &\mapsto L - E_2 - E_3, \\
E_2 &\mapsto L - E_1 - E_3, \\
E_3 &\mapsto L - E_1 - E_2, \\
E_4 &\mapsto E_4.
\end{aligned}
$$

Introducing the auxiliary $\mathbb{Q}$-basis

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_5 &= L, \\
L_4 &= 2L - E_1 - E_2 - E_3, \\
L_3 &= 2L - E_1 - E_2 - E_4, \\
L_2 &= 2L - E_1 - E_3 - E_4, \\
L_1 &= 2L - E_2 - E_3 - E_4,
\end{aligned}
$$

we see immediately that this *submodule* $\langle L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, L_5 \rangle$ is a permutation module.

Consider the direct sum $M \oplus (\mathbb{Z}F_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}F_2)$ where the action on the second factor is trivial. This decomposes over $\mathbb{Z}$ into summands

$$\langle L_1 - F_1 - F_2, L_2 - F_1 - F_2, L_3 - F_1 - F_2, L_4 - F_1 - F_2, L_5 - F_1 - F_2 \rangle$$

and

$$\langle 3L - E_1 - E_2 - E_3 - E_4 - F_1 - 2F_2, 3L - E_1 - E_2 - E_3 - E_4 - 2F_1 - F_2 \rangle.$$

The first is a permutation module, and the second is trivial.   □

8.3 Segre cubic threefold

There are two nonconjugate embeddings of $\mathfrak{A}_5$ into $\mathfrak{S}_6$, differing by the nontrivial outer automorphism of $\mathfrak{S}_6$ [HMS+, §1]. Thus, we obtain two actions of $G := \mathfrak{A}_5$ on the Segre cubic threefold X_3, hence on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,6}$. It is known that one of the actions (the nonstandard one) is G-equivariant to a linear action on $\mathbb{P}^3$ [CS, Exer. 1.3.4], and that the other is *birationally superrigid*, in particular, not linearizable [A, Th. 4.8].

Regarding $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,6})$ as a G-module for the nonstandard action, we see that it is stably a permutation module—since this action is linearizable. However, for any finite group G and automorphism $a : G \to G$, precomposing by a yields an action on G-modules; this respects permutation and stably permutation modules. It follows that the *standard* action on $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,6})$ is also a stably permutation module.

Consider the class group $\mathrm{Cl}(X_3)$ and $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,6})$ as $\mathfrak{S}_6$-modules. These differ by a permutation module, namely, partitions of $\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ into unordered pairs of subsets of size 3. Recall that X_3 is a quotient of $\mathrm{Gr}(2,6)$ by the maximal torus $T \subset \mathrm{GL}_6$. The torus acting on the cone over $\mathrm{Gr}(2,6)$ is not the Néron–Severi torus for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,6}$; it is the Néron–Severi torus for small resolutions of X_3—or even for X_3 itself if we allow Weil divisors on X_3. The *standard* action of $\mathfrak{S}_6$, and thus also of $\mathfrak{A}_5$, on $\mathrm{Gr}(2,6)$ is stably linearizable by Proposition 19. We conclude the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 21. *The standard and the nonstandard actions of $\mathfrak{A}_5$ on the Segre cubic threefold are not birational but stably birational.*

REMARK 22. Florence and Reichstein [FR] consider, over nonclosed fields, the rationality of twists of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$ arising from automorphisms associated with permutations of the marked points. These are always rational for odd n, but may be irrational when n is even. Combining with [DR, Th. 1.1], we see that the $\mathfrak{S}_6$-action on the Segre cubic is *not* stably linearizable.

REFERENCES

[ADH+] I. Arzhantsev, U. Derenthal, J. Hausen, and A. Laface, *Cox Rings*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **144**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2015.

[A] A. Avilov, *Automorphisms of singular three-dimensional cubic hypersurfaces*, Eur. J. Math. **4** (2018), 761–777.

[BT] S. Bannai and H. Tokunaga, *A note on embeddings of S_4 and A_5 into the two-dimensional Cremona group and versal Galois covers*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **43** (2007), 1111–1123.

[BCS+] A. Beauville, J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc, and P. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Variétés stablement rationnelles non rationnelles*, Ann. Math. **121** (1985), 283–318.

[BCH+] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon, and J. McKernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), 405–468.

[BCD+] J. Blanc, I. Cheltsov, A. Duncan, and Y. Prokhorov, *Finite quasisimple groups acting on rationally connected threefolds*, preprint, arXiv:1809.09226

[BP] F. Bogomolov and Y. Prokhorov, *On stable conjugacy of finite subgroups of the plane Cremona group, I*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **11** (2013), 2099–2105.

[CS] I. Cheltsov and C. Shramov, *Cremona Groups and the Icosahedron*, Monogr. Res. Notes Math., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2016.

[CTS1] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc, *La R-équivalence sur les tores*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **10** (1977), 175–229.

[CTS2] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc, *La descente sur les variétés rationnelles, II*, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), 375–492.

[DR] A. Duncan and Z. Reichstein, *Versality of algebraic group actions and rational points on twisted varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom. **24** (2015), 499–530, with an appendix containing a letter from J.-P. Serre.

[FR] M. Florence and Z. Reichstein, *The rationality problem for forms of $\overline{M}_{0,n}$*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **50** (2018), 148–158.

[F] W. Fulton, *Introduction to Toric Varieties*, Ann. of Math. Stud. **131**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993, the William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry.

[HT] B. Hassett and Y. Tschinkel, *Equivariant geometry of odd-dimensional complete intersections of two quadrics*, to appear in Pure Appl. Math. Q., preprint, arXiv:2107.14319

[HY] A. Hoshi and A. Yamasaki, *Rationality problem for algebraic tori*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **248** (2017), v+215.

[HMS+] B. Howard, J. Millson, A. Snowden, and R. Vakil, *A description of the outer automorphism of S_6, and the invariants of six points in projective space*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **115** (2008), 1296–1303.

[HK] Y. Hu and S. Keel, *Mori dream spaces and GIT*, Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000), 331–348, dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

[I] V. A. Iskovskikh, "Two non-conjugate embeddings of $S_3 \times Z_2$ into the Cremona group. II" in *Algebraic Geometry in East Asia—Hanoi 2005*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **50,** Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2008, 251–267.

[KT1] A. Kresch and Y. Tschinkel, *Equivariant Burnside groups and representation theory*, to appear in Selecta Math., preprint, arXiv:2108.00518

[KT2] A. Kresch and Y. Tschinkel, *Equivariant Burnside groups and toric varieties*, preprint, arXiv:2112.05123

[KT3] A. Kresch and Y. Tschinkel, *Equivariant Burnside groups: structure and operations*, preprint, arXiv:2105.02929

[KT4] A. Kresch and Y. Tschinkel, *Equivariant birational types and Burnside volume*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **23** (2022), 1013–1052.

[K] B. È. Kunyavskiĭ, "Three-dimensional algebraic tori" in *Investigations in Number Theory*, Saratov. Gos. Univ., Saratov, 1987, 90–111 (in Russian), translated in Selecta Math. Soviet. **9** (1990), 1–21.

[LPR] N. Lemire, V. L. Popov, and Z. Reichstein, *Cayley groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (2006), 921–967.

[RY1] Z. Reichstein and B. Youssin, *Essential dimensions of algebraic groups and a resolution theorem for G-varieties*, Can. J. Math. **52** (2000), 1018–1056, with an appendix by János Kollár and Endre Szabó.

[RY2] Z. Reichstein and B. Youssin, *A birational invariant for algebraic group actions*, Pac. J. Math. **204** (2002), 223–246.

[SMS] M. T. Sancho, J. P. Moreno, and C. Sancho, *Automorphism group of a toric variety*, preprint, arXiv:1809.09070

[S] A. Sarikyan, *On linearization problems in the plane Cremona group*, preprint, arXiv:2009.05761

[V1] V. E. Voskresenskiĭ, *On two-dimensional algebraic tori*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **29** (1965), 239–244.

[V2] V. E. Voskresenskiĭ, *Algebraic Groups and their Birational Invariants*, Transl. Math. Monogr. **179**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, translated from the Russian manuscript by Boris Kunyavski [Boris È. Kunyavskiĭ].

Brendan Hassett
Department of Mathematics,
Brown University,
Box 1917 151, Thayer Street, Providence, Rhode Island 02912,
USA
brendan_hassett@brown.edu

Yuri Tschinkel
Courant Institute,
New York University New York,
New York 10012,
USA

Simons Foundation,
160 Fifth Avenue,
New York, New York 10010,
USA
tschinkel@cims.nyu.edu