

REFLEXIVE MODULES OVER QF -3 RINGS

KANZO MASAIKE

ABSTRACT. We give a characterization of reflexive modules over QF -3 rings generalizing the concept of linearly compact modules. Further, we study necessary and sufficient conditions for left QF -3 rings to be right QF -3.

1. Introduction. Let R be a ring with identity. R is called *left QF -3* (cf. [10]), if R has a unique minimal faithful left module, which is isomorphic to a direct summand of every faithful left module. (In the following QF -3 rings mean left and right QF -3.) Especially, if R is an injective cogenerator as a left R -module, R is said to be a *left PF -ring*.

On the other hand, a left module M over a ring R is said to be *linearly compact*, if every finitely solvable system of congruences $\{x \equiv x_i \pmod{M_i}\}_{i \in I}$, where M_i is a submodule of M , is solvable. It is to be noted that Artinian modules are linearly compact (see [11]). From a result of Müller [5] a left PF ring R is right PF , iff R is linearly compact as a left R -module. Furthermore, reflexive left modules over (two-sided) PF -rings are precisely linearly compact left modules. The purpose of this paper is to study the property of QF -3 rings generalizing this concept of linearly compact modules. In Theorem 3 we shall give a characterization of reflexive modules over QF -3 rings extending the above results of Müller, so that we are able to study the left-right symmetry of one sided QF -3 rings. It is known that semi-primary left QF -3 rings with *acc* (and hence *dcc*) on annihilator left ideals are right QF -3 (see [1], [4]). Concerning this result in Theorem 5 we shall obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for left QF -3 rings to be right QF -3.

2. Reflexive modules. Throughout this paper every ring has an identity and every homomorphism between modules will be written on the opposite side of scalars. We denote by $I({}_R M)$ the injective hull of the left module M over a ring R . A left ideal D of R is called *dense*, if $\text{Hom}({}_R R/D, {}_R I({}_R R)) = 0$. In the following let us denote by $Q(\)$ the localization functor with respect to the Lambek torsion theory, which is cogenerated by $I({}_R R)$ (cf. [9]).

LEMMA 1. *Let U be a faithful left module over a ring R such that $I({}_R U)$ is torsionless and $T = \text{End}({}_R U)$. Let M be a left R -module, B a right T -submodule of $\text{Hom}({}_R M, {}_R U)$ and $\psi \in \text{Hom}(B_T, U_T)$. If $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in B$, then there exists a dense left ideal D of R such that for every $d \in D$ we can select $m \in M$ satisfying $d\psi(g_i) = (m)g_i$, $1, 2, \dots, n$.*

Received by the editors January 16, 1991 .

AMS subject classification: 16A36, 16A08, 16A52.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1992.

PROOF. Put $K = \{((x)g_1, (x)g_2, \dots, (x)g_n); x \in M\}$, which is a submodule of the left R -module $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n U$. Since U is a Lambek torsion free R -module, $K \subseteq Q(K) \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n I(RU)$. Suppose that $(\psi(g_1), \dots, \psi(g_n))$ is not contained in $Q(K)$. Since ${}_R U$ is faithful and $I(RU)$ is torsionless, we can easily see that $I(RR)$ is U -torsionless (i.e., it is embedded in a direct product of copies of U), and then so is $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n U / [Q(K) \cap \bigoplus_{i=1}^n U]$. Therefore, there exists $t: \bigoplus_{i=1}^n U \rightarrow U$ which vanishes on K but not on $(\psi(g_1), \dots, \psi(g_n))$. Let $t_i \in T$ be the canonical mapping induced from t such that $t = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i$. Then, we have a contradiction, since $\psi(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i t_i) \neq 0$ and $(x)[\sum_{i=1}^n g_i t_i] = 0$ for every $x \in M$. Hence the consequence is immediate.

LEMMA 2. Assume U is the same as in Lemma 1 and there exists an idempotent $f \in R$ such that RfR is a minimal dense left ideal of R . Assume M is a U -torsionless left R -module such that for any finitely solvable system of congruences $\{x \equiv fx_i \text{ Mod } M_i\}_{i \in I}$, where M_i is a submodule of M , is solvable. Let $M^* = \text{Hom}({}_R M, {}_R U)$ and $M^{**} = \text{Hom}(M^*_T, U_T)$. Then, ${}_R M$ is embedded in ${}_R M^{**}$ canonically satisfying $\text{Hom}({}_R M^{**} / M, {}_R I(RR)) = 0$.

PROOF. Let $\psi \in M^{**}$. Since f is contained in every dense left ideal, by Lemma 1 for every $g \in M^*$ there exists $m_g \in M$ such that $f\psi(g) = (m_g)g$. We may assume $m_g = fg_g$. Let $g_1, \dots, g_n \in M^*$. Then by Lemma 1, again, there exists $a \in M$ such that $f\psi(g_i) = (a)g_i = (m_g)_i g_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. It follows $\{x \equiv fg_g \text{ Mod } \ker g\}_{g \in M^*}$ is a finitely solvable system of congruences and hence solvable. Thus, there exists $x \in M$ such that $f\psi(g) = (x)g$ for all $g \in M^*$ and this implies $(RfR)M^{**}$ is embedded in M . This completes the proof.

It is well known that a minimal faithful (injective) left module over a left QF-3 ring R is isomorphic to a left ideal Re , where $e^2 = e \in R$. In this case, ReR is a minimal dense right ideal of R (see [7], Theorem 1.4). In the following, a submodule M of a left module P over a ring R is said to be R -closed in P , if P/M is torsionless.

THEOREM 3. Let R be a QF-3 ring with a minimal faithful right module fR , with $f^2 = f \in R$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent for a left R -module M .

- (i) M is reflexive.
- (ii) (a) M is embedded into $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R^{(\lambda)}$, a direct product of copies of the left R -module R , as an R -closed submodule.
- (ii) (b) Any finitely solvable system of congruences $\{x \equiv fx_i \text{ Mod } M_i\}_{i \in I}$, where M_i is a submodule of M , is solvable.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). By ([3], Lemma 1.5) (a) is evident, since $M \cong \text{Hom}(M^*_R, R_R)$, where $M^* = \text{Hom}({}_R M, {}_R R)$. Let $\{x \equiv fx_i \text{ Mod } M_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a finitely solvable system, $M_i \subseteq M$. Put $K_i = Q(M_i) \cap M$ and $N_i = \{g \in M^*; (K_i)g = 0\}$. Assume $n \in \sum_{i \in I} N_i$ and A is a finite subset of I such that $n = \sum_{i \in A} n_i$, where $n_i \in N_i$. It is evident that $\{x \equiv fx_i \text{ Mod } K_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a finitely solvable system. Then there is a well defined R -homomorphism $\theta: \sum_{i \in I} N_i \rightarrow fR$ by $\theta(n) = \sum_{i \in A} (fx_i)n_i$. Since fR_R is injective, θ is extended to an element of $M^{**} = \text{Hom}(M^*_R, R_R)$. Now, M is reflexive, then there exists $x \in M$ such that $(x)n_i =$

$\theta(n_i) = (fx_i)n_i$ for any $n_i \in N_i, i \in I$. Suppose there exists $j \in I$ such that $fx_j - x$ is not contained in K_j . Since M/K_j is torsionless, we can select $n_j \in N$ such that $(fx_j - x)n_j \neq 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus, we have $fx_i - x \in K_i, i \in I$. As f is contained in a minimal dense left ideal of $R, fx_i - fx = f(fx_i - x) \in M_i$ and thus (b) holds.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let M^* and M^{**} be the same as in the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $p_\lambda: M \rightarrow R$ be the canonical mapping such that $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \ker p_\lambda = 0$ in (b). Define an R -homomorphism $\psi: M^{**} \rightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R^{(\lambda)}$ by $(x)\psi \cdot p_\lambda = x(p_\lambda), x \in M^{**}$. Suppose $\ker \psi \neq 0$, i.e., there exists $0 \neq x \in M^{**}$ such that $x(p_\lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If we put $U = R$ in Lemma 2, it follows $\text{Hom}({}_R M^{**}/M, {}_R I({}_R R)) = 0$. Since RfR is a minimal dense left ideal, there exists $fr \in fR$ and $0 \neq m \in M$ such that frx is identified with m . We have a contradiction, since $0 = frx(p_\lambda) = (m)p_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Therefore, it follows $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R^{(\lambda)} \supseteq M^{**} \supseteq M$ and hence M is reflexive. Because, the fact that $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R^{(\lambda)}/M$ is torsionless implies $M = Q(M) \cap \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R^{(\lambda)}$. This completes the proof.

A left module M over a ring R is said to be $I({}_R R)$ -dominant dimension $M \geq 2$, if $Q(M) = M$ (cf. [10]). Then, we have the following

COROLLARY 4. *Let M be a finitely generated left module over a QF-3 ring R . Then, (i) \iff (ii) \Leftarrow (iii), where*

- (i) M is reflexive.
- (ii) M is embedded into $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R^{(\lambda)}$ as an R -closed submodule.
- (iii) $I({}_R R)$ -domi. dim. $M \geq 2$.

Especially, if R is a maximal quotient ring (of itself), these three conditions are equivalent.

PROOF. Since a finite direct sum of copies of the left R -module R is reflexive, it is easily checked that for a finitely generated left R -module M every finitely solvable system of congruences $\{x \equiv fx_i \text{ Mod } M_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$, where $M_i \subseteq M$, is solvable. Hence (i) \iff (ii) and (ii) \Leftarrow (iii) are evident. Moreover, if R is a maximal quotient ring, (i) implies (iii) in view of [3, Lemma 1.5].

3. Left QF-3 rings which are right QF-3. In [6, (2.1)] it is proved that QF-3 maximal quotient rings are precisely the endomorphism rings of modules which are linearly compact, generator and cogenerator. On the other hand, if R is a left QF-3 ring with a minimal faithful left R -module Re , every simple right eRe -module is embedded in Re (cf. [7]).

Now we are able to prove the following:

THEOREM 5. *Let R be a left QF-3 ring. Then, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) R is right QF-3.
- (ii) *There exists an idempotent $f \in R$ such that*
 - (a) RfR is a minimal dense left ideal.
 - (b) *Every finitely solvable system of congruences $\{x \equiv fx_i \text{ Mod } L_i\}_{i \in b}$, where L_i is a left ideal of R , is solvable.*

- (iii) *There exist orthogonal idempotents f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n such that*
 - (a) $\{Rf_i/Jf_i; i = 1, \dots, n\}$ *is a representative system of all minimal non-isomorphic left ideals of R , where J is the Jacobson radical.*
 - (b) *If we put $f = f_1 + \dots + f_n$, every finitely solvable system of congruences $\{x \equiv fx_i \pmod{A_i}\}_{i \in I}$, where A_i is an annihilator left ideal of R , is solvable.*

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (iii). By a well known property of QF-3 rings (cf. [10]) we can take a minimal faithful right R -module fR such that $f = f_1 + \dots + f_n$, a finite sum of local idempotents satisfying (a) in (iii). Furthermore, since ${}_R R$ is reflexive, (b) is evident from Theorem 3.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). For every dense left ideal D we see $Rf_i + D/D$ is a Lambek torsion module and hence $f_i \in D$, otherwise $Rf_i + D/D$ has a unique simple homomorphic image Rf_i/Jf_i , which is torsion free. Let Re be a minimal faithful left R -module such that $e = e_1 + \dots + e_n$, a finite sum of local idempotents, and the socle S_i of Re_i is isomorphic to Rf_i/Jf_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Let r be a non-zero element of R . There exists $a \in R$ and e_i such that $0 \neq rae_i$ and hence $b \in R, 0 \neq brae_i \in S_i$ i.e., $Rbrae_i = S_i$. Since $f_i S_i \neq 0, RfRr \neq 0$ and then RfR is a minimal dense left ideal. Let $\{x \equiv fx_i \pmod{L_i}\}_{i \in I}$ be a finitely solvable system, where L_i is a left ideal. Put $A_i = Q(L_i) \cap R$. Since A_i is an annihilator left ideal, $\{x \equiv fx_i \pmod{A_i}\}_{i \in I}$ is solvable, i.e., there exists $x \in R$ such that $fx_i - x \in A_i, i \in I$. So $fx_i - fx = f(fx_i - x) \in L_i$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let Re be the same as in the proof of (iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Put ${}_R U_T = {}_R Re_{eRe} = {}_R M$ in Lemma 1. Let B be a right ideal of $T (= \text{Hom}({}_R M, {}_R U))$ and $\psi \in \text{Hom}(B_T, U_T)$. If we exchange in the proof of Lemma 2 M^* for B and M^{**} for $\text{Hom}(B_T, U_T)$, there exists $x \in M (= U)$ such that $f\psi(g) = (x)g$ for any $g \in B$. Then, fU is an injective right T -module. Let S be a simple right T -module, which is embedded in U . Then, $fRS \neq 0$ implies S is embedded in fU and hence U_T is cogenerator. Put ${}_R U_T = {}_R Re_{eRe}$ and ${}_R M = {}_R R$ in Lemma 2. Let $Q = \text{End}(U_T)$. Since $M^{**} = Q, \text{Hom}({}_R Q/R, {}_R I({}_R R)) = 0$. Hence the injective R -module U is also Q -injective and this implies U_T is linearly compact from the proof of [5, Lemma 3]. Since U is generator and cogenerator, Q is a QF-3 maximal (two-sided) quotient ring of itself. Furthermore, $\text{Hom}({}_R Q/R, {}_R I({}_R R)) = 0$ implies Q is a maximal left quotient ring of R . Since R is left QF-3, Q becomes a maximal two-sided quotient ring of R (cf. [10, Proposition 4.6]). Let K be a minimal faithful right Q -module contained in Q , such that $K = I(M_1) \oplus \dots \oplus I(M_n)$, a finite direct sum of injective hulls of minimal right ideals of Q . Then, $M_i ReR$ is a minimal right ideal of R , because ReR is a minimal dense right ideal of R and for every $0 \neq a \in M_i ReR$ we have $M_i ReR = (aQ)ReR \subseteq aR$. On the other hand, $0 \neq RfRx \subseteq R$ for every non-zero element $x \in I(M_i)$ implies $I(M_i)$ is embedded in R , since $I(M_i)$ contains a minimal right ideal of R . Consequently, K is a minimal faithful right R -module. This completes the proof.

A ring R is called a *left linearly compact ring*, if R is linearly compact as a left R -module. In this case R is a semi-perfect ring (see [2], [8])). Therefore, we have

COROLLARY 6. *Every left linearly compact and left QF-3 ring is right of QF-3.*

EXAMPLE. The equivalent conditions in Theorem 5 do not imply that R is a left linearly compact ring. Let Z be integers and Q rationals. Put

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} Q & 0 & 0 \\ Q & Z & 0 \\ Q & Q & Q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, R is a QF-3 ring. Let e_{ij} be the canonical matrix unit and P the set of all primes in Z . Then, $\{x \equiv pe_{22} \text{ Mod } [pZe_{22} + Qe_{32}]\}_{p \in P}$ is a finitely solvable system, but is not solvable.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author would like to express his hearty thanks to the referee for helpful suggestions and advice.

REFERENCES

1. R. R. Colby and E. A. Rutter Jr., *Generalization of QF-3 algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **153**(1971), 371–386.
2. F. Kasch and E. A. Mares, *Eine Kennzeichnung semi-perfekter Moduln*, Nagoya Math. J. **27**(1966), 525–529.
3. K. Masaike, *Duality for quotient modules and a characterization of reflexive modules*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra **28**(1983) 265–277.
4. K. Morita, *Duality in QF-3 rings*, Math. Z. **108**(1968), 237–252.
5. B. J. Müller, *Linearly compactness and Morita duality*, J. Algebra **16**(1970), 60–66.
6. C. M. Ringel and H. Tachikawa, *QF-3 rings*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **272**(1975), 49–72.
7. E. A. Rutter Jr., *Dominant modules and finite localizations*, Tôhoku Math. J. **27**(1975), 225–239.
8. F. L. Sandomierski, *Linearly compact modules and local Morita duality*, Ring Theory, pp. 333–346, edited by R. Gordon, Academic Press N.Y. and London, 1972.
9. B. Stenström, *Rings of Quotient*, Grund. Math. Wiss. **217** Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
10. H. Tachikawa, *Quasi-Frobenius Rings and Generalizations*, Lecture Notes in Math. **351** Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
11. D. Zelinsky, *Linearly compact modules and rings*, Amer. J. Math. **75**(1953), 79–90.

Department of Mathematics
Tokyo Gakugei University
Koganei, Tokyo 184
Japan