

CONSTRUCTING AN AUTOMORPHISM
FROM AN ANTI-AUTOMORPHISM

Christine Ayoub

(received March 18, 1968)

We consider the following problem: Let G be a group with distinct automorphisms β and σ and an anti-automorphism α such that

$$(1) \quad x \in G \Rightarrow \sigma(x) = \beta(x) \text{ or } \alpha(x).$$

What can be said about G ?

If $\sigma = \alpha$, σ is both an automorphism and an anti-automorphism so that G is abelian. Hence we assume that $\sigma \neq \alpha$. In this case, we show that G is non-abelian, but has an abelian subgroup of index 2. Conversely, for such a group G there always exist distinct automorphisms β and σ and an anti-automorphism α such that (1) holds.

The case when β is the identity mapping and α is the mapping $x \rightarrow x^{-1}$ was the content of a problem (# 5471) in the Monthly. It was required to prove that G is solvable. Theorem 4 shows what structure G must have.

THEOREM 1. Let G be a group, α an anti-automorphism of G , and $\sigma \neq \alpha$ a non-trivial automorphism of G and assume

$$(2) \quad x \in G \Rightarrow \sigma(x) = x \text{ or } \sigma(x) = \alpha(x).$$

Then G has a (normal) abelian subgroup H of index 2. α induces a non-trivial automorphism on H . If $G = \langle H, g \rangle$, $g^{-1}hg = \alpha(h)$ for $h \in H$. Furthermore, $\alpha(g) = bg$, where $1 \neq b \in H$ and $\alpha(b) = b^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $H = \{x \in G \mid \sigma(x) = x\}$. Then H is a proper subgroup of G . If $h \in H$, $g \notin H$, $\sigma(hg) = \alpha(hg) = \alpha(g)\alpha(h) = \sigma(h)\sigma(g) = h\alpha(g)$. Hence we have

$$(3) \quad \alpha(h) = \alpha(g)^{-1}h\alpha(g), \text{ for } h \in H, g \notin H.$$

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 11, no. 3, 1968

From (3) we see that for x and y in H , $\alpha(xy) = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)$, but $\alpha(yx) = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)$. Hence $xy = yx$ and H is abelian.

If there exists an element g_0 in $G \setminus H$ such that $\alpha(g_0) \in H$, we have: $\alpha(h) = \alpha(g_0)^{-1} h \alpha(g_0) = h$, for all $h \in H$. This implies that $\sigma(g) = \alpha(g)$ for all $g \in G$, or that $\sigma = \alpha$, contrary to hypothesis. Hence $\alpha^{-1}(H) \subseteq H$. Applying α^{-1} to (3) we get

$$(4) \quad \alpha^{-1}(h) = g^{-1} h g \quad \text{for } h \in H, g \notin H.$$

Since $\alpha^{-1}(h) \in H$, (4) shows that H is normal in G .

Now let $x, y \in G \setminus H$. Then for $h \in H$, $(xy)^{-1} h (xy) = y^{-1} \alpha^{-1}(h) y = \alpha^{-2}(h)$ since $\alpha^{-1}(h) \in H$. On the other hand, if $xy \notin H$, $(xy)^{-1} h (xy) = \alpha^{-1}(h)$ so that $\alpha^{-1}(h) = \alpha^{-2}(h) \Rightarrow \alpha(h) = h$ for $h \in H$. But this implies that $\alpha = \sigma$, contrary to hypothesis.

Hence if $x, y \in G \setminus H$, $xy \in H$ and $\alpha^{-2}(h) = h$ for $h \in H$. Thus G/H has order 2, and α induces an automorphism of order 2 on H .

If we let $G = \langle H, g \rangle$, then $g^2 = a \in H$; and by (4), $g^{-1} h g = \alpha(h)$ for $h \in H$. Clearly $\alpha(a) = a$. Since $\alpha(g) \notin H$, we have $\alpha(g) = b g$, where $b \in H$. If $b = 1$, $\sigma(h) = h$ and $\sigma(hg) = \sigma(h)\sigma(g) = h\alpha(g) = hg$ for $h \in H$, i.e. σ is the trivial automorphism of G . Hence $b \neq 1$.

$$\text{Now } a = \alpha(a) = \alpha(g^2) = \alpha(g)^2 = (bg)^2 = bg^2 g^{-1} b g = ba \alpha(b).$$

Thus $\alpha(b) = b^{-1}$.

THEOREM 2. Let G be a non-abelian group with an abelian subgroup H of index 2. Then there exists an anti-automorphism α of G and an automorphism σ of G such that

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} \sigma(h) = h \quad \text{for } h \in H \\ \sigma(x) = \alpha(x) \neq x \quad \text{for } x \notin H. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $G = \langle H, g \rangle$, and let $g^2 = a \in H$. Let $\alpha(h) = g^{-1} h g$ for $h \in H$. We note next that there exists an element $b \neq 1$ such that $\alpha(b) = b^{-1}$. In fact, if we choose $h \in H$ with $g^{-1} h g \neq h$ (h exists since G is non-abelian) and let $b = h^{-1} \alpha(h)$, then $b \neq 1$ and $\alpha(b) = \alpha(h)^{-1} \alpha^2(h) = \alpha(h)^{-1} h = b^{-1}$ since H is abelian. Define $\alpha(hg) = b h g$ for $h \in H$. Then $\alpha(hg) = b g^{-1} h g = \alpha(g) \alpha(h)$. Define σ by equations (5).

We have to verify that α is an anti-automorphism and σ an automorphism of G , i.e. for $x_1, x_2 \in G$ we have to show that $\alpha(x_1 x_2) = \alpha(x_2) \alpha(x_1)$ and $\sigma(x_1 x_2) = \sigma(x_1) \sigma(x_2)$. There are four cases to distinguish:

- (i) $x_1, x_2 \in H$.
- (ii) $x_1 \in H, x_2 \notin H$.
- (iii) $x_1 \notin H, x_2 \in H$.
- (iv) $x_1 \notin H, x_2 \notin H$.

It is a simple matter to compute that the required equations hold in each of these cases. We prove case (iv) as an example: Let $x_1 = h_1 g, x_2 = h_2 g$ where $h_1, h_2 \in H$. Then $x_1 x_2 = h_1 g h_2 g = h_1 a \alpha(h_2)$; $\alpha(x_1 x_2) = \alpha(h_1) a h_2$;

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x_2) \alpha(x_1) &= b h_2 g b h_1 g = b h_2 a g^{-1} (b h_1) g = b h_2 a \alpha(b) \alpha(h_1) \\ &= b h_2 a b^{-1} \alpha(h_1) = \alpha(h_1) a h_2 . \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\alpha(x_1 x_2) = \alpha(x_2) \alpha(x_1)$. $\sigma(x_1 x_2) = x_1 x_2$ since $x_1 x_2 \in H$.

$\sigma(x_1) \sigma(x_2) = \alpha(x_1) \alpha(x_2) = \alpha(x_2 x_1) = \alpha(h_2) a h_1 = x_1 x_2$. Hence

$$\sigma(x_1 x_2) = \sigma(x_1) \sigma(x_2).$$

Note: If H is an abelian group of order $\neq 1$ and $\neq 2$, then H has a non-trivial automorphism of order 2, and hence there exists a non-abelian extension G of H such that G/H has order 2.

THEOREM 3. Let G be a group with distinct automorphisms β and σ and an anti-automorphism $\alpha \neq \sigma$ such that (1) $x \in G \Rightarrow \sigma(x) = \beta(x)$ or $\alpha(x)$. Then G is non-abelian and has an abelian subgroup H of index 2.

Proof. Let $\rho = \beta^{-1} \sigma$. Then ρ is an automorphism of G and $\rho(x) = x$ or $\rho(x) = \beta^{-1} \alpha(x)$. $\beta^{-1} \alpha$ is an anti-automorphism of G and $\rho \neq \beta^{-1} \alpha$, since $\sigma \neq \alpha$. The theorem follows by applying Theorem 1.

THEOREM 4. Let G be a group and assume that G has a non-trivial automorphism σ such that (6) $x \in G \Rightarrow \sigma(x) = x$ or $\sigma(x) = x^{-1}$. Then either: (a) $\sigma(x) = x^{-1}$ for all x in G , G is abelian and $G^2 \neq 1$, or: (b) $G = \langle H, g \rangle$, where H is an abelian group which contains an element a of order 2, and $H^2 \neq 1$.

$g^2 = a$ and $g^{-1}hg = h^{-1}$ for all $h \in H$. Then:

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} \sigma(x) = x & \text{for } x \in H \\ \sigma(x) = x^{-1} & \text{for } x \notin H \end{cases}$$

Conversely, if G is defined by (b) the mapping given by (7) is an automorphism of G .

Proof. If $\sigma(x) = x^{-1}$ for all x in G , then G is abelian; $G^2 \neq 1$ since σ is not trivial. Let α be defined by $\alpha(x) = x^{-1}$ and assume that $\alpha \neq \sigma$. By Theorem 1, $G = \langle H, g \rangle$, where H is abelian, $g^{-1}hg = h^{-1}$, $g^2 = a \in H$. Since α is non-trivial on H , $H^2 \neq 1$. Also $a^{-1} = \alpha(a) = g^{-1}ag = a$ so that $a^2 = 1$. If $g^2 = 1$, $\sigma(hg) = \sigma(h)\sigma(g) = hg^{-1} = hg$ for all $h \in H$ and this implies that σ is trivial, contrary to hypothesis. Hence a has order 2. (7) holds from the definition of H in Theorem 1.

Conversely, suppose that $G = \langle H, g \rangle$, where H is abelian, $g^{-1}hg = h^{-1}$, $g^2 = a \in H$ has order 2, and $H^2 \neq 1$. Then by Theorem 2 there exists an anti-automorphism α of G and an automorphism σ of G such that (5) holds. To show that (7) holds it is only necessary to show that $\alpha(h) = h^{-1}$. But in the proof of Theorem 2, we defined α so that $\alpha(h) = g^{-1}hg$. Hence $\alpha(h) = h^{-1}$ for $h \in H$, and the theorem is proved.

Remark. If instead of studying the problem stated in the introduction, we require that α and β both be automorphisms and σ an automorphism such that (1) holds, it is easy to see that $\sigma = \alpha$. For let $A = \{g \in G \mid \sigma(g) = \alpha(g)\}$ and $B = \{g \in G \mid \sigma(g) = \beta(g)\}$. Then A and B are subgroups of G and $G = A \cup B$. This implies that $G = A$ or $G = B$. But $G \neq B$ and hence $G = A$, $\sigma = \alpha$.

If on the other hand, we require both α and β to be anti-automorphisms, the answer seems to be much more difficult. I was not able to determine when this could happen.

This work was supported by an NSF Science Faculty Fellowship.

Pennsylvania State University