

# PERIODIC SOLUTION OF THE CAUCHY PROBLEM

TRUNG DINH TRAN

(Received 13 February 2006; revised 5 July 2006)

Communicated by J. Koliha

## Abstract

We derive necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a time-periodic solution to the abstract Cauchy problem.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 47A10, 47A60; secondary 34G10.

## 1. Introduction

We study the existence of time-periodic solution to the differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{du(t)}{dt} &= Au(t) + f(t), \quad t \geq 0, \\ u(0) &= x, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A$  is the infinitesimal generator of an eventually norm continuous semigroup  $T(t)$  and  $f$  is a continuous function in a Banach space  $X$ . We say  $f$  is  $w$ -periodic if  $w$  is the infimum of the set of all  $\tau > 0$  such that  $f(t) = f(t + \tau)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . If  $f$  is  $w$ -periodic then, by uniqueness,  $u(\cdot)$  the mild solution of (1.1) is  $w$ -periodic if and only if  $u(0) = u(w)$ . We say (1.1) has a  $w$ -periodic solution if there exists  $x \in X$  such that

$$x = u(w) = T(w)x + \int_0^w T(w-s)f(s) ds.$$

When  $A$  is the infinitesimal generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $T(t)$ , it was shown in Prüss [4] that (1.1) admits a unique  $w$ -periodic solution for any given  $w$ -periodic continuous function  $f$  if and only if 1 is not in the spectrum of  $T(w)$ .

The aim of this paper is to derive necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of periodicity when  $A$  is the infinitesimal generator of an eventually norm continuous semigroup  $T(t)$  and  $1$  is a pole of  $T(w)$ . Our conditions do not rely on explicit knowledge of the semigroup, but only of its generator.

In a Banach space setting, when  $T(t)$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup generated by  $A$  and  $1$  is a pole of order greater than one, the problem of existence of a periodic solution to (1.1) is non-trivial, since  $1$  being a simple pole of  $T(w)$  (pole of order one) characterizes a  $w$ -periodic semigroup. This observation can be deduced from Engel [2, Theorem IV 2.26, Corollary IV 3.8], and the decomposition theorem that characterizes poles. In Štraškraba [5], the general case of isolated spectral points was considered for a self-adjoint generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup in a Hilbert space. More results on periodic solutions to abstract evolution problems were obtained in Daners [1].

Let  $A$  be a closed linear operator in a Banach space  $X$ . The set of all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\lambda I - A$  is invertible is called the *resolvent set* of  $A$ , denoted by  $\rho(A)$ . The complement of  $\rho(A)$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  is the *spectrum*  $\sigma(A)$  of  $A$ . We call  $\mu$  a *pole* of  $A$  if  $\mu$  is a pole of  $(\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ . A bounded linear operator  $A$  is *nilpotent of order*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  if  $A^k = 0$  and  $A^n \neq 0$  for all  $n < k$ . For relevant facts from the operator theory of linear operators, see Kato [3] and Taylor [6].

A  $C_0$ -semigroup  $T(t)$  is *eventually norm continuous* if there exists  $t_0 \geq 0$  such that  $T(t)$  is norm continuous for all  $t > t_0$ . We call a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $T(t)$  *w-periodic* if there exists  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $T(t_0) = I$  and

$$w = \inf_{t_0 > 0} \{T(t_0) = I\}.$$

For relevant facts and properties of eventually norm continuous semigroups and periodic  $C_0$ -semigroups, see Engel [2].

## 2. Necessary and sufficient conditions for periodic solutions

Let  $T(t)$  be an eventually norm continuous semigroup generated by  $A$  and  $1$  be a pole of  $T(w)$ . We give necessary and sufficient conditions that ensure (1.1) has a periodic solution. The conditions only depend on the knowledge of the generator. We first need two propositions. For  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the function  $F^{(j)}$  is a  $j$ -th primitive of  $f$  if  $dF^{(n)}(t)/dt = F^{(n-1)}(t)$  for each natural number  $n \leq j$  and  $F^{(0)}(t) = f(t)$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a nilpotent operator of order  $k + 1$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\int_0^w f(t)dt = 0$  then (1.1) has a  $w$ -periodic solution.*

**PROOF.** Firstly we observe that for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a  $j$ -th primitive of  $f$

such that  $F^{(j)}(w) = F^{(j)}(0)$ . For if  $\int_0^w F^{(j-1)}(t)dt = d \neq 0$ , let

$$H^{(j-1)}(t) = F^{(j-1)}(t) - \frac{d}{w}.$$

Then  $\int_0^w H^{(j-1)}(t)dt = 0$ , and  $dH^{(j-1)}(t)/dt = dF^{(j-1)}(t)/dt$ . Hence  $H^{(j-1)}$  is a  $(j - 1)$ -th primitive of  $f$  and  $H^{(j)}(w) = H^{(j)}(0)$ . Secondly we observe that  $u(t) = \sum_{j=1}^k A^j F^{(j)}(t)$  satisfies System (1.1) if  $x = \sum_{j=1}^k A^j F^{(j)}(0)$ . Therefore  $u(0) = u(w)$ . □

PROPOSITION 2.2. *If  $A$  is a nilpotent operator of order  $k + 1$  then (1.1) has a  $w$ -periodic solution if and only if*

$$Ax = \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} A^{n+1} G^{(n)}(0) - \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w f(t)dt,$$

where  $G^{(n)}$  is the  $n$ -th primitive of  $g$  such that  $G^{(n)}(w) = G^{(n)}(0)$ , and

$$g(t) = f(t) - \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w f(t)dt.$$

PROOF. Let  $\int_0^w f(t)dt = c$  and  $g(t) = f(t) - c/w$ . Then  $\int_0^w g(t)dt = 0$ . By Proposition 2.1, the equation

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dv(t)}{dt} &= Av(t) + g(t), \quad t \geq 0, \\ v(0) &= v_0, \end{aligned}$$

has a  $w$ -periodic solution if  $v_0 = \sum_{j=1}^k A^j G^{(j)}(0)$ .

Now let  $u(t)$  be the solution of (1.1) with  $f(t) = g(t) + c/w$  and  $v(t)$  be the solution of (2.1). Put  $y(t) = u(t) - v(t)$ . Then  $y(t)$  is the solution of

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dy(t)}{dt} &= Ay(t) + \frac{c}{w}, \quad t \geq 0, \\ y(0) &= y_0 = x - v_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A$  is nilpotent of order  $k + 1$ , we have

$$y(t) = \exp(At)y_0 + \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{A^n}{n!} (t-s)^n \frac{c}{w} ds,$$

We can therefore express  $y(t)$  as a polynomial in  $t$

$$y(t) = y_0 + \left( Ay_0 + \frac{c}{w} \right) t + \left( \frac{A^2 y_0}{2!} + \frac{A(w^{-1}c)}{2!} \right) t^2 + \dots$$

Since (2.1) has a periodic solution (when  $v_0 = \sum_{j=1}^k A^j G^{(j)}(0)$ ), Equation (2.2) has a periodic solution if and only if  $Ay_0 + c/w = 0$ . This completes the proof. □

We can now prove our main theorem.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be the infinitesimal generator of an eventually norm continuous semigroup  $T(t)$  and  $1$  be a pole of order  $k + 1$  of  $T(w)$  with the spectral projection  $P$ . Then there exists a bounded subset  $J$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $P = \sum_{j \in J} P_j$  and  $P_j P_k = \delta_{jk} P_j$ , where  $P_j$  is the spectral projection of  $A$  at the pole  $(2\pi i/w)j$ , and  $\delta_{jk}$  is the Kronecker symbol. Let  $A_j$  be the restriction of  $A$  to  $P_j X$  and  $B_j = A_j - (2\pi i/w)jI$ . Then (1.1) has a  $w$ -periodic solution if and only if for each  $j \in J$*

$$B_j P_j x = \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} B_j^{n+1} G_j^{(n)}(0) - \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{w} jt\right) P_j f(t) dt,$$

where  $G_j^{(n)}$  is the  $n$ -th primitive of

$$P_j g(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{w} jt\right) P_j f(t) - \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{w} jt\right) P_j f(t) dt,$$

such that  $G_j^{(n)}(w) = G_j^{(n)}(0)$ .

**PROOF.** On the subspace  $(I - P)X$ , (1.1) has a unique  $w$ -periodic solution since  $1$  is in the resolvent set of the restriction of  $T(w)$  to  $(I - P)X$ . The existence of a finite subset  $J$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $P = \sum_{j \in J} P_j$  and  $P_j P_k = \delta_{jk} P_j$  is a direct consequence of Engel [2, Theorem II.4.18]. Further, it follows from Engel [2, Page 283] that on each  $P_j X$ , the point  $(2\pi i/w)j$  is a pole of maximal order  $k + 1$ .

On each  $P_j X$  observe that,

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{du_j(t)}{dt} &= A_j u_j(t) + f_j(t), \quad t \geq 0, \\ u_j(0) &= P_j x, \end{aligned}$$

has a  $w$ -periodic solution if and only if

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{du_j(t)}{dt} &= B_j u_j(t) + \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{w} jt\right) f_j(t), \quad t \geq 0, \\ u_j(0) &= P_j x, \end{aligned}$$

has a  $w$ -periodic solution. This can be seen through the identities

$$\begin{aligned} P_j x &= \exp(A_j w) P_j x + \int_0^w \exp(A_j(w - s)) f_j(s) ds \\ &= \exp(B_j w) P_j x + \int_0^w \exp(B_j(w - s)) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{w} js\right) f_j(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We can complete the proof by applying Proposition 2.2 to Equation (2.4). □

When 1 is a simple pole of  $T(w)$ , we have the following result.

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be the infinitesimal generator of an eventually norm continuous semigroup  $T(t)$  and 1 be a simple pole of  $T(w)$ . Then (1.1) has a  $w$ -periodic solution if and only if*

$$\int_0^w \sum_{j \in J} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{w} js\right) P_j f(s) ds = 0,$$

where  $J$  is a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $P_j$  is the spectral projection of  $A$  at  $(2\pi i/w)j$ .

When the range of  $f$  is restricted in  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ , the domain of  $A$ , we have a similar result to Corollary 2.4 for general  $C_0$ -semigroups.

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $T(t)$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup generated by  $A$  and 1 be a simple pole of  $T(w)$ . If  $f(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  for all  $t \geq 0$  then (1.1) has a  $w$ -periodic solution in  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  if and only if*

$$\int_0^w \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{w} ns\right) P_n f(s) ds = 0,$$

where  $P_n$  is the spectral projection of  $A$  at  $(2\pi i/w)n$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $P$  be the spectral projection of  $T(w)$  at 1. We can write  $T(t) = T_1(t) \oplus T_2(t)$ , where  $T_1(t)$  and  $T_2(t)$  are  $C_0$ -semigroups generated by  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , the restrictions of  $A$  to the invariant subspaces  $PX$  and  $(I - P)X$ , respectively. On  $(I - P)X$ , 1 is in  $\rho(T_2(w))$ , thus (1.1) has a unique  $w$ -periodic solution. On  $PX$ , since 1 is a simple pole of  $T_1(w)$ , the spectrum of  $A_1$  consists of at most simple poles at  $(2\pi i/w)n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  (see Engel [2, Page 283]). By Engel [2, Theorem IV.2.26],  $T_1(t)$  is a  $w$ -periodic  $C_0$ -semigroup, that is  $T_1(0) = T_1(w)$ , and for  $f(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , the mild solution of (1.1) on  $PX$  is

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(t) &= T_1(t)Px + \int_0^t T_1(t-s)Pf(s) ds \\ &= T_1(t)Px + \int_0^t \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{w}n(t-s)\right) P_n f(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T_1(0) = T_1(w)$ ,  $u_1(0) = u_1(w)$  if and only if

$$\int_0^w \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{w}ns\right) P_n f(s) ds = 0. \quad \square$$

## Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Professor Ivan Straškraba for drawing his attention to the subject of this paper.

## References

- [1] D. Daners and P. K. Medina, *Abstract Evolution Equations, Periodic Problems and Applications*, volume 279 of *Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series* (Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1992).
- [2] K.-J. Engel and R. Nagel, *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*, volume 194 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000).
- [3] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 132 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966).
- [4] J. Prüss, 'On the spectrum of  $C_0$ -semigroups', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **284** (1984), 847–857.
- [5] I. Straškraba and O. Vejvoda, 'Periodic solutions to abstract differential equations', *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **23(98)** (1973), 635–669.
- [6] A. E. Taylor and D. C. Lay, *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, 2nd edition (John Wiley & Sons, New York-Chichester-Brisbane, 1980).

Foundation Program  
Qatar University  
P. O. Box 2713 Doha  
Qatar  
e-mail: tran@qu.edu.qa