

OUTER MEASURES AND TOTAL VARIATION

BY
B. S. THOMSON

In this note we collect some observations on the outer measures ψ_f and ψ^f that have been introduced in [4] and which describe the total variation of the function f . These properties have direct applications to the study of the derivative and the relative derivative. For definitions and notation the reader is referred to [4].

The outer measure ψ^f in particular is closely related to what Saks [5, p. 228] has called the "strong variation" of a function. The connection is, however, not as straightforward as might be hoped. For example, if $f(x) = \sin 1/x$ for positive x and $f(x) = 0$ otherwise, and K is the compact set $\{0\} \cup \{2/n : n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ then one can compute that $\psi_f(K) < \psi^f(K) = 1$ but that f is neither VB nor VB_* on the set K ; hence, the finiteness of the measures does not imply that the variation (in either sense) is bounded on that set. On the other hand, a function may be VBG_* on a set without the measures ψ^f and ψ_f being σ -finite on that set since they can assign infinite measure at a point. Within these restrictions some results are, nonetheless, available.

THEOREM 1. *If f is VBG [respectively, VBG_*] on a set X then there is a set $C \subseteq X$ that is at most countable such that on $X \setminus C$ the measure ψ_f [respectively, the measure ψ^f] is σ -finite. Should f be bounded in some neighbourhood of every point in X then C may be taken empty.*

Proof. Suppose firstly that f is VBG on X so that there is a sequence of sets $\{E_k\}$ on each of which f is VB and such that $X \subseteq \cup E_k$. Let C be the collection of all points that are isolated in any set E_k and write $E'_k = E_k \setminus C$. Consider the collection

$$\mathbf{S}_k = \{([x, y], z) : x, y \in E'_k, z = x \text{ or } y\}.$$

Because E'_k contains no isolated points we can check that \mathbf{S}_k is a member of $\mathfrak{S}_v[E'_k]$; because f is VB on E_k we must then have $V(f, \mathbf{S}_k) < +\infty$ and this shows that $\psi_f(E'_k)$ is finite for each k . Since $X \setminus C \subseteq \cup E'_k$ we have that ψ_f is σ -finite on $X \setminus C$ as required. The set C is certainly countable, and can be dropped if there is some assurance that ψ_f does not assign infinite measure to singletons, and so the theorem follows for the measure ψ_f .

For the measure ψ^f we prove the following lemma.

Received by the editors July 26, 1979 and, in revised form, February 21, 1980.

LEMMA. Suppose that f is VB_* on a compact set K and that $a = \inf K$ and $b = \sup K$ are the “endpoints” of K ; then

$$\psi^f(K \cap (a, b)) < +\infty.$$

If f is bounded in some neighbourhood of the points a and b then $\psi^f(K) < +\infty$.

To prove the lemma let M be the upper bound of the sums $\sum O(f, I_k)$ taken for sequences of nonoverlapping intervals $\{I_k\}$ with endpoints in K ; the fact that f is VB_* on K says precisely that M is finite. Choose any $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{S}[K \cap (a, b)]$ in such a way that every $(I, x) \in \mathbf{S}$ must have $I \subseteq (a, b)$ and let $\{(I_i, x_i)\}$ be any sequence from \mathbf{S} that has nonoverlapping intervals $\{I_i\}$; define the numbers

$$[a_i, b_i] = I_i, \quad \hat{a}_i = \sup K \cap [a, a_i], \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{b}_i = \inf K \cap [b_i, b]$$

all of which certainly exist since K is compact. Note that

$$|f(b_i) - f(a_i)| \leq O(f, [\hat{a}_i, x_i]) + O(f, [x_i, \hat{b}_i])$$

and that the collections $\{[\hat{a}_i, x_i]\}$ and $\{[x_i, \hat{b}_i]\}$ are each nonoverlapping with endpoints in K . (Degenerate intervals, of which there will, of course, be many, we will delete.) Accordingly then, $\sum |f(b_i) - f(a_i)|$ cannot exceed $2M$ so that $V(f, \mathbf{S})$ is finite, proving the lemma.

To return to the proof of the theorem, let f be VGB_* on X so that there must exist a sequence $\{K_n\}$ of compact sets on each of which f is VB_* and such that $X \subseteq \cup K_n$. Let C be the collection of the endpoints of any $\{K_n\}$ and apply the lemma to obtain $X \setminus C \subseteq \cup K_n \setminus C$ with ψ^f finite on each set $K_n \setminus C$ as required.

In the converse direction Henstock [3] has recently shown that the vanishing of ψ^f on a set X requires f to be continuous and VBG_* on that set. This same proof can be used to prove that if the outer measure ψ^f is σ -finite on a set X , then f must be VBG_* on X . The corresponding statement using the outer measure ψ_f and the concept VBG is false as Theorem 3 below shows.

We turn now to the question of the relationship between the values $\psi^f(X)$, $\psi_f(X)$, and $|f(X)|$ where (as in Saks [5]) $|E|$ denotes the Lebesgue outer measure of an arbitrary set E of real numbers and $f(X)$ is the image under f of a set X .

THEOREM 2. Suppose that f is continuous on \mathbf{R} . Then $|f(X)| = 0$ implies that $\psi_f(X) = 0$. If f has, in addition, locally bounded variation on \mathbf{R} , then the converse is also true.

Proof. Suppose to begin with that $|f(X)| = 0$; we follow an argument similar to Bruckner [2] to prove that $\psi_f(X) = 0$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that X is bounded and even that $X \subseteq [0, 1)$. Define now the following subsets of that interval:

M = the set of points in $[0, 1)$ at which f attains a strict relative maximum or minimum; I_{jn} = the interval $[(j-1)2^{-n}, j2^{-n})$ for any $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and any $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^n$; B_{jn} = the set of points x in $X \cap I_{jn}$ such that $f(x') = f(x)$ for some other point $x' \neq x$ in I_{jn} ; $B_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^{2^n} B_{jn}$; $B = \bigcap B_n$; $D_n = X \setminus (M \cup B_n)$; and $D = \bigcup D_n$.

By our construction we have $X \subseteq D_n \cup M \cup B_n$ for every index n and thus $X \subseteq D \cup M \cup B$, which finally gives $X \subseteq D \cup M \cup B$. Thus in order to prove that $\psi_f(X) = 0$ we need only show that each of the sets $D, M,$ and B has ψ_f -measure zero. M is immediate since it is denumerable (see Saks [5, p. 261]). If we show that for every index $n, \psi_f(D_n) = 0$, then $\psi_f(D)$ must vanish since ψ_f is an outer measure. This, in turn, will follow if we show that $\psi_f(D_n \cap I_{jn}) = 0$ for every index j and n . As argued in Bruckner [1] we can establish that the function f is monotonic on each $D_n \cap I_{jn}$; but since its values lie in a set of Lebesgue measure zero, it is straightforward to argue $\psi_f(D_n \cap I_{jn})$ must vanish.

There remains only to prove that $\psi_f(B) = 0$; observe that every point x in this set must have a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points converging to x with the property that $f(x_n) = f(x)$ at every member of the sequence. Accordingly, there is an element \mathbf{H}^* of $\mathfrak{S}_v[B]$ such that for every $(I, x) \in \mathbf{H}^*, f(I) = 0$. This immediately gives $\psi_f(B) = 0$ and completes the proof of the first part of the theorem.

For the second part of the theorem we need to prove that $\psi_f(X) = 0$ implies that $|f(X)| = 0$ under the additional assumption that f has locally bounded variation. We cite the following lemma:

LEMMA (Saks–Sierpiński). *For any bounded set $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ and any continuous monotonic function f on $\mathbf{R}, 2\psi_f(X) \geq |f(X)|$.*

This is proved, in different language, of course, in Saks [5, p. 211].

The theorem now follows from this estimate if f is continuous and monotonic, and is readily extended to any continuous function that has locally bounded variation by replacing f by its variation function which is then both continuous and monotonic, and yields the same measure. This completes the proof.

Incidentally, we might remark that the vanishing of $\psi_f(X)$ whenever f maps x into a set of measure zero permits an improvement of [4, Theorem 5]. Applying Saks [5, p. 273], we must now have that for continuous f and g , with ψ^f being σ -finite on a set X , the extreme derivatives $\underline{f}_g(x)$ and $\bar{f}_g(x)$ must be equal ψ_g -almost everywhere in X .

THEOREM 8. *If f is continuous and has locally bounded variation on \mathbf{R} , then the measures ψ_f and ψ^f are identical.*

Proof. We may consider without loss of generality that f is continuous and monotonic since f could be replaced by its total variation function on any

interval. If $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{H}^* \in \mathfrak{G}_v[X]$, then the family $\{I : (I, x) \in \mathbf{H}^*\}$ is a cover of X in the classical Vitali sense; thus applying Vitali's theorem for the Lebesgue–Stieltjes measure μ_f associated with f there must exist a sequence $\{(I_i, x_i)\} \subseteq \mathbf{H}^*$ with nonoverlapping intervals such that

$$\mu_f(X) \leq \sum \mu_f(I_i) \leq \sum f(I_i) \leq V(f, \mathbf{H}^*).$$

But $\mu_f(X) \geq \psi^f(X)$ so that we have proved that $\psi^f(X) \leq \psi_f(X) \leq \psi^f(X)$ which establishes the theorem.

Thus for functions that are locally of bounded variation the outer measures ψ_f and ψ^f coincide with the usual Lebesgue–Stieltjes measure associated with such a function, at least in the event that f is continuous. For discontinuous functions [4, Theorem 4] illustrates the fact that the measures necessarily differ. It is possible to relax the hypotheses and prove this result for continuous functions that are VBG_* on the real line but it is doubtful that this can be extended any further. For example, it is easy to see that if every level set of f is perfect, then the measure ψ_f must necessarily vanish whereas the vanishing of ψ^f requires f to be constant. The extreme case is illustrated by our next theorem. Let us restrict attention to a particular interval $[a, b]$ and consider the outer measures ψ_f and ψ^f as arising from a function defined on this interval. By $C[a, b]$ we shall mean the usual Banach space of continuous functions on $[a, b]$ furnished with the supremum norm.

THEOREM 3. *For every function f in $C[a, b]$ excepting a subset of the first category in that space the measure ψ_f vanishes and the measure ψ^f is non σ -finite on each subinterval of $[a, b]$.*

Proof. We obtain the proof as an application of the derivation estimates of [4, Theorem 5] in conjunction with the known behaviour of typical functions in $C[a, b]$. Bruckner [2] gives a proof that, excepting a first category subset of $C[a, b]$, every function f has each extended real value as a derived number at each point, i.e., that the limit points of $[f(x+h) - f(x)]/h$ as $h \rightarrow 0+$ and $h \rightarrow 0-$ include every real number and $+\infty$ and $-\infty$.

For such a function f let $g(x) = x$ and apply [4, Theorem 5] to the derivatives $\underline{f}_g(x)$ and $\bar{f}_g(x)$; then ψ^f must be non σ -finite or the theorem is contradicted. Similarly, applying that theorem to the derivatives $\underline{g}_f(x)$ and $\bar{g}_f(x)$, we prove that $\psi_f([a, b]) = 0$ and the theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

1. A. M. Bruckner, *A note on measures determined by continuous functions*, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, (15) 2 (1972), 289–291.

2. —, *Differentiation of real functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. #659, Springer-Verlag (1978).
3. R. Henstock, *The variation on the real line*, Proc. Royal Irish Acad. **79A** (1), 1–10.
4. B. S. Thomson, *On the total variation of a function*, Canad. Math. Bull.,
5. S. Saks, *Theory of the Integral*, Warsaw (1937).

SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY
BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA