

## ON TOTALLY REAL SUBMANIFOLDS IN A 6-SPHERE

BY  
M. A. BASHIR

**ABSTRACT.** The 6-dimensional sphere  $S^6$  has an almost complex structure induced by properties of Cayley algebra. With respect to this structure  $S^6$  is a nearly Kaehlerian manifold. We investigate 2-dimensional totally real submanifolds in  $S^6$ . We prove that a 2-dimensional totally real submanifold in  $S^6$  is flat.

**1. Introduction.** A Riemannian submanifold  $(M, \Psi)$  of an almost Hermitian manifold  $(\tilde{M}, J, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is called totally real if  $J_{\Psi(P)}(d\Psi_P(X))$  belongs to the normal bundle  $\nu$  for any  $X \in T_P M$ ,  $P \in M$ . The almost Hermitian manifold  $(\tilde{M}, J, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is called a nearly Kaehlerian manifold provided that  $(\tilde{\nabla}_U J)U = 0$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{X}(\tilde{M})$ .

The six-dimensional sphere  $S^6$  is the most typical example of nearly Kaehlerian manifolds. The existence of such a nearly Kaehlerian structure for the 6-sphere was proved by Fukami and Ishihara [2] by making use of the properties of the Cayley division algebra. The almost complex submanifolds of the 6-dimensional sphere were studied by Gray and Sekigawa. A. Gray [3] proved that with respect to the Canonical nearly Kaehlerian structure,  $S^6$  has no 4-dimensional almost complex submanifolds. On the other hand Sekigawa studied the 2-dimensional almost complex submanifolds of  $S^6$ ; [4]. He proved, among other things, that a 2-dimensional almost complex submanifold of  $S^6$  with Gaussian curvature  $K < 1$  is either diffeomorphic to a 2-dimensional torus or a 2-dimensional sphere.

Concerning totally real submanifolds of  $S^6$ , on which this paper is about, N. Ejiri proved the following [1]:

**THEOREM 1.** *A 3-dimensional totally real submanifold of  $S^6$  is orientable and minimal.*

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $M$  be a 3-dimensional totally real submanifold of constant curvature  $C$  in  $S^6$ . Then either  $C = 1$  (i.e.,  $M$  is totally geodesic) or  $C = 1/16$ .*

In this paper we consider the 2-dimensional totally real submanifolds of  $S^6$ . For these submanifolds we obtain the following:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $M$  be a complete, 2-dimensional totally real submanifold of the 6-dimensional sphere  $S^6$ . Then  $M$  is flat.*

---

Received by the editors July 29, 1988 and, in revised form, March 2, 1989

AMS (1980) Subject Classification: Primary: 53C40, Secondary: 53C55.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1989.

2. **The canonical nearly Kaehlerian structure on  $S^6$ .** The 6-dimensional unit sphere  $S^6$  does not admit any Kaehlerian structure. However, it admits a nearly Kaehlerian structure [2]. The Riemannian metric  $\bar{g}$  on  $S^6$  induced from  $\mathcal{R}^7$ , is a Hermitian metric with respect to the nearly Kaehlerian structure  $J$ .

Let  $\bar{\nabla}$  be the covariant derivative with respect to the Riemannian connection on  $S^6$ . Then we have the following

LEMMA 1. For all vector fields  $X$  on  $S^6$   $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)X = 0$ .

Define a skew-symmetric tensor field  $G$  of type  $(1, 2)$  by

$$(2.1) \quad G(X, Y) = (\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y.$$

Then one can see that

$$(2.2) \quad G(X, JY) = -JG(X, Y).$$

3. **2-dimensional totally real submanifolds of  $S^6$ .** Let  $M$  be a 2-dimensional totally real submanifold of  $S^6$ . Let  $\nabla$  be the Riemannian connection on  $M$  and  $R$  be the Riemannian curvature tensor of  $M$  in  $S^6$ . Then the Gauss formula, Weingarten formula are given respectively by

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma(X, Y) = \bar{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y$$

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + \nabla_X^\perp \xi \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$$

where  $\xi$  is a local field of normal vector to  $M$ , and  $-A_\xi X$  (resp.  $\nabla_X^\perp \xi$ ) denotes the tangential part (resp. normal part) of  $\bar{\nabla}_X \xi$ .

The tangential part  $A_\xi X$  is related to the second fundamental form  $\sigma$  as follows:

$$(3.3) \quad \langle \sigma(X, Y), \xi \rangle = \langle A_\xi X, Y \rangle \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$$

We denote by  $R^\perp$  the curvature tensor of the normal connection i.e.  $R^\perp(X, Y) = [\nabla_X^\perp, \nabla_Y^\perp] - \nabla_{[X, Y]}^\perp$ . Then the Gauss equation is given by

$$(3.4) \quad \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle = \langle X, Z \rangle \langle Y, W \rangle - \langle X, W \rangle \langle Y, Z \rangle + \langle \sigma(X, Z), \sigma(Y, W) \rangle - \langle \sigma(X, W), \sigma(Y, Z) \rangle$$

Write the normal bundle  $\nu$  as  $\nu = \mu \oplus J(TM)$  where  $J\mu = \mu$  ( $\mu$  is an invariant subbundle of  $\nu$ ). Then we have the following

LEMMA 2. Let  $X, Y$  be tangent to  $M$ . Then the vector  $G(X, Y) \in \mu$ .

PROOF. It suffices to prove the lemma for tangent basis vectors. So for the time being assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are tangent basis vectors for  $M$ . In order to prove the above lemma one needs to show that

$$\langle (\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y, X \rangle = \langle (\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y, Y \rangle = 0$$

and

$$\langle (\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y, JX \rangle = \langle (\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y, JY \rangle = 0.$$

This is because  $M$  is 2-dimensional totally real in  $S^6$  and the normal bundle  $\nu$  is spanned by orthonormal frame field of the form  $\{JX, JY, N_1, N_2\}$ , for some unit vectors  $N_1, N_2 \in \mu$ . Note that  $\langle (\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y, X \rangle = -\langle Y, (\bar{\nabla}_X J)X \rangle = 0$ , using the fact that  $\bar{\nabla}J$  is skew-symmetric with respect to  $\langle, \rangle$  and the skew symmetry of  $G$ . For the same reason we also have

$$\langle (\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y, Y \rangle = -\langle (\bar{\nabla}_Y J)X, Y \rangle = \langle X, (\bar{\nabla}_Y J)Y \rangle = 0$$

Now using (2.1) and the skew symmetry of  $G$  we get

$$\langle (\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y, JX \rangle = -\langle Y, (\bar{\nabla}_X J)JX \rangle = \langle Y, J(\bar{\nabla}_X J)X \rangle = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y, JY \rangle &= -\langle (\bar{\nabla}_Y J)X, JY \rangle = \langle X, (\bar{\nabla}_Y J)JY \rangle \\ &= -\langle X, J(\bar{\nabla}_Y J)Y \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma. □

**4. Proof of the theorem.** Using equation (3.2) with  $\xi = JY$  we have

$$(4.1) \quad J\bar{\nabla}_X Y + (\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y = -A_{JY}X + \nabla_X^\perp JY$$

and using equations (3.1) and (2.1) in equation (4.1) we get

$$(4.2) \quad J\sigma(X, Y) = -A_{JY}X + \nabla_X^\perp JY - G(X, Y) - J\nabla_X Y$$

Assume now, in particular, that  $\{X, Y\}$  is an orthonormal frame field for  $M$ , chosen in such a way that  $\nabla_X X = 0$ . The existence of such a frame for our complete submanifold  $M$  is possible. This follows from Gauss Lemma [5] which is in fact valid for any complete Riemannian manifold. To construct our orthonormal frame field  $\{X, Y\}$  in this case, we may just choose  $X$  to be any unit vector field on  $M$  satisfying  $\nabla_X X = 0$ . and then we apply Gram-Schmidt to any frame field orthogonal to  $X$  to obtain  $Y$ . For the frame field  $\{X, Y\}$  we first prove that

$$(i) \quad \langle \nabla_X^\perp JY, JY \rangle = 0$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \langle \nabla_X^\perp JY, JX \rangle = 0$$

(i) is trivial since the frame field is orthonormal. For (ii) note that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is Hermitian. Then using the fact that  $\langle X, Y \rangle = 0$ ,  $\nabla_X X = 0$  and  $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(X) = 0$ , equation (ii) follows.

Since the normal bundle  $\nu = \mu \oplus J(TM)$ , the vector  $J\sigma(X, Y) \in \mu \oplus (TM)$ . Thus the vector in the right hand side of equation (4.2) namely  $-A_{JY}X + \nabla_X^\perp JY - G(X, Y) - J\nabla_X Y$  belongs to  $\mu \oplus (TM)$ . From lemma (2)  $G(X, Y) \in \mu$ , and we have just proved that  $\nabla_X^\perp JY \in \mu$ . Since  $-A_{JY}X \in (TM)$  we have to have

$$(4.4) \quad \nabla_X Y = 0$$

Switching  $X$  and  $Y$  in (4.2) we also get

$$(4.5) \quad \nabla_Y X = 0$$

By virtue of the frame being orthonormal and equation (4.5) we get

$$(4.6) \quad \langle \nabla_Y Y, Y \rangle = 0$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad \langle \nabla_Y Y, X \rangle = 0$$

Then it follows from (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$(4.8) \quad \nabla_Y Y = 0$$

The sectional curvature  $K$  of  $M$  is given by

$$(4.9) \quad K(X, Y) = R(X, Y, Y, X) = \langle \nabla_X \nabla_Y Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Y - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Y, X \rangle$$

Hence it follows from (4.3), (4.4), (4.5), (4.8) and (4.9) that  $M$  is flat.  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of the above theorem, we have the following:

**COROLLARY.** *A 2-dimensional sphere  $S^2$  is not totally real in  $S^6$ .*

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Ejiri, *Totally real submanifolds in a 6-sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1981), 759–763.
2. T. Fukami and S. Ishihara, *Almost Hermitian structure on  $S^6$* , Tohoku Math. J. **7** (1955), 151–156.
3. A. Gray, *Almost complex submanifolds of six sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1969), 277–279.

4. K. Sekigawa, *Almost complex submanifolds of a 6-dimensional sphere*, Kodai Math. J., **6** (1983), 174–185.
5. M. Spivak, *A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry*, Vol. I, second edition, Publish or Perish.

*Mathematics Department*  
*College of Science*  
*King Saud University*  
*P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh 11451,*  
*SAUDI ARABIA.*