

## Corrigendum

# BMR in a Brazilian adult probability sample: the Nutrition, Physical Activity and Health Survey – Corrigendum

Luiz A Anjos, Vivian Wahrlich and Mauricio TL Vasconcellos

First published online 3 January 2013

doi:10.1017/S1368980012005381, published online by Cambridge University Press 3 January 2013

In this paper, the authors have inadvertently provided the correct predictive equation for BMR but in kcal/d instead of kJ/d.

Therefore, in the last paragraph of the **Results**

instead of:

The generated equations are:

Males,  $BMR (kJ/d) = (9.99 \times BM) + (7.14 \times S) - (2.79 \times A) - 450.5$

( $R^2 = 0.87$ ;  $SEE = 290.0$  kJ/d)

and

Females,  $BMR (kJ/d) = (8.95 \times BM) + (8.87 \times S) - (0.70 \times A) - 814.3$

( $R^2 = 0.83$ ;  $SEE = 254.5$  kJ/d)

where SEE is the standard error of the estimate.

it should read:

The generated equations are:

Males,  $BMR (kJ/d) = (41.79 \times BM) + (29.86 \times S) - (11.69 \times A) - 1884.93$

( $R^2 = 0.87$ ;  $SEE = 290.0$  kJ/d)

and

Females,  $BMR (kJ/d) = (37.46 \times BM) + (37.13 \times S) - (2.92 \times A) - 3407.09$

( $R^2 = 0.83$ ;  $SEE = 254.5$  kJ/d)

where SEE is the standard error of the estimate.

The SEE in kcal/d of the equations are 69.3 and 60.8 kcal/d for males and females, respectively.

## Reference

Anjos LA, Wahrlich V and Vasconcellos MTL (2013) BMR in a Brazilian adult probability sample: the Nutrition, Physical Activity and Health Survey. *Public Health Nutrition*, published online 3 January 2013, doi:10.1017/S1368980012005381.