

# A note on Omori-Lie groups

Sadayuki Yamamuro

The theory of differentiation in locally convex spaces constructed by the author in *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* 17 (1979) is used to give a new form of the definition of Omori-Lie groups.

An *Omori-Lie group* (a "strong ILB-Lie group" in Omori's terminology) is defined in [6] as follows. Let

$$\{E, E^k : k \geq 0\}$$

be a Sobolev chain, that is,

- (1) all  $E^k$  are Banach spaces;
- (2)  $E^{k+1}$  is linearly and densely imbedded in  $E^k$ ;
- (3)  $E$  is the intersection of all  $E^k$  and has the inverse limit topology defined by  $\{E^k\}$ .

Then, a topological group  $G$  is called an *Omori-Lie group* if the following seven conditions are satisfied.

(OL.1) There is an open neighborhood  $U$  of zero in  $E^0$  and a homeomorphism

$$\xi : U \cap E \rightarrow \tilde{U}$$

such that  $\xi(0) = e$  (the unit of  $G$ ), where  $U \cap E$  is given the relative topology from  $E$  and  $\tilde{U}$  is an open neighborhood of  $e$  in  $G$ .

(OL.2) There is an open neighborhood  $V$  of zero in  $E^0$  such that

---

Received 11 September 1978.

$$\xi(V \cap E) = \xi(V \cap E)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi(V \cap E)^2 \subset \xi(V \cap E) .$$

(OL.3) Put  $\eta(u, v) = \xi^{-1}[\xi(u)\xi(v)]$  ; then, for all  $k \geq 0$  and  $r \geq 0$  ,  $\eta$  can be extended to a  $C^r$ -map of  $(V \cap E^{k+r}) \times (V \cap E^k)$  into  $V \cap E^k$  .

(OL.4) Put  $\eta_v(u) = \eta(u, v)$  ; then, for each  $v \in V \cap E^k$  and  $k \geq 0$  ,  $\eta_v$  can be extended to a  $C^\infty$ -map of  $V \cap E^k$  into itself.

(OL.5) Put  $\theta(w, u, v) = (d\eta_v)_u(w)$  ; then, for all  $k \geq 0$  and  $r \geq 0$  ,  $\theta$  can be extended to a  $C^r$ -map of  $E^{k+r} \times (V \cap E^{k+r}) \times (V \cap E^k)$  into  $E^k$  .

(OL.6) Put  $i(u) = \xi^{-1}[\xi(u)^{-1}]$  ; then, for all  $k \geq 0$  and  $r \geq 0$  ,  $i$  can be extended to a  $C^r$ -map of  $V \cap E^{k+r}$  into  $V \cap E^k$  .

(OL.7) For any  $g \in G$  there is an open neighborhood  $W$  of zero in  $E^0$  such that  $g^{-1}\xi(W \cap E)g \subset \xi(V \cap E)$  and the map

$$A_g : u \mapsto \xi^{-1}[g^{-1}\xi(u)g]$$

can be extended to a  $C^\infty$ -map of  $W \cap E^k$  into itself for every  $k \geq 0$  .

Examples of the Omori-Lie group include the group  $D(M)$  of all  $C^\infty$ -diffeomorphisms of a compact manifold  $M$  and its various subgroups. In fact, the notion of Omori-Lie groups has been introduced in order to develop a general theory which covers these groups of diffeomorphisms. It is the only general theory in existence today which has gained some success in such an attempt.

In [7], I have introduced a notion of differentiability for maps in locally convex spaces, which was called the  $\Gamma$ -differentiability, and it was used to define the  $\Gamma$ -manifolds. An outline of this study was also published in [5]. In this note, we shall use this method to define the  $\Gamma$ -Lie groups and then show a way to obtain another form of the definition of Omori-Lie groups. This new method opens a way to the study of the group  $D(M)$  with noncompact  $M$  .

The basic concepts in [7], such as "calibrations", " $\Gamma$ -families", " $\Gamma$ -continuous maps", and " $\Gamma$ -differentiable maps", will be used without explanation.

A notion of differentiability similar to ours has been proposed by Fischer [1], which contains various topics on the manifolds modelled on locally convex spaces and the groups of smooth diffeomorphisms on compact manifolds.

### 1. Gradings of calibrations

Let  $F$  be a  $\Gamma$ -family. Hence,  $F$  is a family of locally convex spaces and  $\Gamma$  is a family of maps on  $F$  such that the value  $p_E$  of  $p \in \Gamma$  at  $E \in F$  is a continuous semi-norm on  $E$ , and the set

$$\Gamma_E = \{p_E : p \in \Gamma\},$$

which is called the  $E$ -component of  $\Gamma$ , induces the topology of  $E$ .

A *grading* of  $\Gamma$  is a sequence

$$\sigma = (\sigma_k)_{k=0,1,2,\dots}$$

of maps

$$\sigma_k : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$$

such that

$$\sigma_{k+1}(p) \geq \sigma_k(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_0(p) = p.$$

Obviously, each  $\sigma_k(\Gamma)$  is a calibration for  $F$ . We shall put

$$\Gamma_k = \sigma_k(\Gamma), \quad k \geq 0.$$

Since  $\Gamma_k$  also is a calibration for  $F$ , it has its  $E$ -component for each  $E \in F$ . The space  $E$  equipped with this calibration is denoted by  $E_{(k)}$ .

Furthermore, we put

$$F_{(k)} = \{E_{(k)} : E \in F\}$$

and

$$F_\sigma = \cup \{F_{(k)} : k \geq 0\} .$$

For each  $p \in \Gamma$ , we define a semi-norm map  $\sigma(p)$  on  $F_\sigma$  by

$$\sigma(p)_{E_{(k)}} = \sigma_k(p)_E ,$$

and put

$$\Gamma_\sigma = \{\sigma(p) : p \in \Gamma\} .$$

In other words, the  $E_k$ -component of  $\Gamma_\sigma$  is defined to be the  $E$ -component of  $\Gamma_k$ ; that is,

$$(\Gamma_\sigma)_{E_{(k)}} = (\Gamma_k)_E .$$

(1.1).  $\Gamma_\sigma$  is a calibration for  $F_\sigma$  which is an extension of the calibration  $\Gamma$  for  $F$ .

Proof. For  $E \in F$ ,

$$\sigma(p)_E = \sigma(p)_{E_0} = \sigma_0(p)_E = p_E .$$

(1.2). For each  $E \in F$ ,  $E_{(k)} = E$  as topological linear spaces.

Proof. Since  $(\Gamma_k)_E \subset \Gamma_E$ , the topology of  $E_{(k)}$  is weaker than that of  $E$ . The converse follows from

$$\sigma_k(p) \geq \sigma_0(p) = p .$$

(1.3). For  $E, F \in F$ , if  $n \leq k$  and  $j \leq m$ , then

$$L_{\Gamma_\sigma}(E_{(j)}, F_{(k)}) \subset L_{\Gamma_\sigma}(E_{(m)}, F_{(n)}) ,$$

and the inclusion is  $B\Gamma_\sigma$ -continuous.

Proof. For  $u \in L_{\Gamma_\sigma}(E_{(j)}, F_{(k)})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(p)_{(E_{(m)}, F_{(n)})}(u) &= \sup\{\sigma_n(p)[u(x)] : \sigma_m(p)(x) \leq 1\} \\ &\leq \sup\{\sigma_k(p)[u(x)] : \sigma_j(p)(x) \leq 1\} \\ &= \sigma(p)_{(E_{(j)}, F_{(k)})}(u) . \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $j \leq m$ ,

$$L_{\Gamma_{\sigma}}(E_{(j)}, F) \subset L_{\Gamma_{\sigma}}(E_{(m)}, F),$$

and the inclusion map is  $B\Gamma_{\sigma}$ -continuous.

For each  $p \in \Gamma$ , let us denote by  $E[p]$  the space  $E$  that is regarded as a semi-normed space with respect to the semi-norm  $p$ . Then,

$$(1.4). \quad L_{\Gamma_{\sigma}}(E_{(k)}, F_{(l)}) = \bigcap_{p \in \Gamma} L(E[\sigma_k(p)], F[\sigma_l(p)]).$$

Proof.  $u \in L_{\Gamma_{\sigma}}(E_{(k)}, F_{(l)})$  if and only if, for each  $p \in \Gamma$ , there exists  $\gamma = \gamma(p, k, l) > 0$  such that

$$\sigma_l(p)[u(x)] \leq \gamma \sigma_k(p)(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in E,$$

which is equivalent to  $u \in L(E[\sigma_k(p)], F[\sigma_l(p)])$  for all  $p \in \Gamma$ .

## 2. Gelfand families and their gradings

A *Gelfand space* is a locally convex space which has a calibration consisting of an increasing sequence of norms:

$$\|\cdot\|_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

which are *pairwise coordinated*: if a sequence of element is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the  $n$ th norm and converges to zero with respect to the  $(n-1)$ th norm, then it converges to zero with respect to the  $n$ th norm. For detailed description of properties of Gelfand spaces, we refer to [2], [3], and [4]. We owe the name "Gelfand space" to [2].

The most basic property of the Gelfand space is the following fact: *a complete locally convex space  $E$  is a Gelfand space if and only if there is a sequence  $\{E_n\}$  of Banach spaces such that  $E_{n+1}$  is linearly and densely imbedded in  $E_n$  for each  $n$  and  $E$  is the intersection of all  $E_n$  with the inverse limit topology.*

When  $E$  is a Gelfand space, the Banach spaces  $E_n$  can be chosen as the completions of  $E$  with respect to the  $n$ th norms.

Now let  $F$  be a family of Gelfand spaces. Then each space  $E$  in  $F$

has a calibration consisting of

$$\|\cdot\|_{E,n} , \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots .$$

Therefore, we can equip  $F$  with a calibration  $\Gamma$  which consists of countable (semi-)norm maps:

$$p_n , \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots ,$$

such that

$$(p_n)_E = \|\cdot\|_{E,n} .$$

A family of Gelfand spaces equipped with this calibration will be called a *Gelfand family*. The calibration will be called the *natural calibration* for this family.

Assume that  $F$  is a Gelfand family, and let  $\Gamma$  be the natural calibration. Then we can define a grading of  $\Gamma$  by

$$\sigma_k(p_n) = p_{n+k} , \quad k, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots .$$

This grading will be called the *natural grading* of  $\Gamma$ . In this case, we have

$$(\Gamma_k)_E = \{\|\cdot\|_{E,k}, \|\cdot\|_{E,k+1}, \dots\}$$

and

$$\sigma(p_n)_{E(k)} = \sigma_k(p_n)_E = \|\cdot\|_{E,n+k} \quad \text{for each } p_n \in \Gamma .$$

In the sequel, we shall denote the  $E$ -component of  $p_n$  by  $\|\cdot\|_n$ , without specifying the space  $E$  when there is no possibility of confusion. Further, the normed space  $E[p_n]$  will sometimes be denoted by  $E[n]$ .

### 3. $\sigma$ -smoothness

Let  $F$  be a  $\Gamma$ -family. We recall two facts from [7].

First, let  $E \in F$ ; then a subset  $U$  of  $E$  is said to be  $\Gamma$ -open if it is  $p$ -open for every  $p \in \Gamma$ , that is, for each  $p \in \Gamma$  and  $x \in U$ , there exists a positive number  $\delta$  such that

$$x + y \in U \quad \text{if } p_E(y) < \delta .$$

Some properties of  $\Gamma$ -open subsets have been given in [7, Chapter I, §4]. When  $U$  is a  $\Gamma$ -open subset of  $E$ , it is obvious that  $U$  is an open subset of the semi-normed space  $E[p]$ . The set  $U$  regarded as an open subset of  $E[p]$  will be denoted by  $U[p]$ .

Secondly, let  $U$  be a  $\Gamma$ -open subset of  $E$ . Then we have proved in [7, Chapter II, §2] the following fact:

*Let  $F \in F$  be sequentially complete. Then a map  $f : U \rightarrow F$  is of class  $C^r_\Gamma$  if and only if  $f$  is of class  $C^r$  as a map of  $U[p]$  into  $F[p]$  for every  $p \in \Gamma$ .*

Now we assume that this calibration  $\Gamma$  has a grading  $\sigma = (\sigma_k)$ .

When  $U$  is a  $\Gamma$ -open subset of  $E$  in  $F$ , it is a  $\Gamma_k$ -open subset of  $E_{(k)}$ . The set  $U$  regarded as a  $\Gamma_k$ -open subset of  $E_{(k)}$  is denoted by  $U_{(k)}$ .

Let  $F \in F$ ; then a map  $f : U \rightarrow F$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -smooth if, for every  $k \geq 0$ , it is a  $C^k_{\Gamma_\sigma}$ -map of  $U_{(k)}$  into  $F$ . Then the following fact follows immediately from the second remark given above.

(3.1). *Let  $\Gamma$  be a graded calibration for  $F$ ,  $E, F \in F$ , and  $F$  be sequentially complete. Let  $U$  be a  $\Gamma$ -open subset of  $E$ . Then a map  $f : U \rightarrow F$  is  $\sigma$ -smooth if and only if, for every  $p \in \Gamma$  and  $k \geq 0$ ,  $f$  is a  $C^k$ -map of  $U[\sigma_k(p)]$  into  $F[p]$ .*

When  $F$  is a Gelfand family with the natural calibration  $\Gamma$ , the map  $f$  is  $\sigma$ -smooth if and only if, for every  $k \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 0$ , it is of class  $C^k$  as a map of  $U[n+k]$  into  $F[n]$ .

Further, let  $E, F$ , and  $G$  be members of a  $\Gamma$ -family with a grading  $\sigma$ . Let  $U$  and  $V$  be  $\Gamma$ -open subsets of  $E$  and  $F$  respectively. Then a map

$$f : U \times V \rightarrow G$$

is said to be  $(\sigma, \Gamma)$ -smooth if  $E \times F$  is a  $\Gamma$ -product and, for every  $k \geq 0$ ,  $f$  is a  $C^k$ -map of  $U_{(k)} \times V$  into  $G$ .

The following fact can be proved in the same way as in the case of (3.1).

(3.2). Let  $E, F, G, U, V$ , and  $f$  be as above. Then  $f$  is  $(\sigma, \Gamma)$ -smooth if and only if, for every  $p \in \Gamma$  and  $k \geq 0$ ,  $f$  is a  $C^k$ -map of  $U[\sigma_k(p)] \times V[p]$  into  $G[p]$ .

When  $F$  is a Gelfand family with the natural calibration  $\Gamma$  and its natural grading  $\sigma$ , the map is  $(\sigma, \Gamma)$ -smooth if and only if it is a  $C^k$ -map of  $U[n+k] \times V[n]$  into  $G[n]$  for every  $n \geq 0$  and  $k \geq 0$ .

#### 4. $\Gamma$ -Lie groups

A  $\Gamma$ -Lie group is a topological group  $G$  such that there is a  $\Gamma$ -family with a grading  $\sigma$ , and the following conditions are satisfied:

( $\Gamma$ L.1)  $G$  is a  $\Gamma$ -manifold of class  $C^\infty$ ;

( $\Gamma$ L.2) the product operation

$$(g, h) \mapsto gh : G \times G \rightarrow G$$

is  $(\sigma, \Gamma)$ -smooth;

( $\Gamma$ L.3) the inverse operation

$$g \mapsto g^{-1} : G \rightarrow G$$

is  $\sigma$ -smooth.

In particular, when  $\Gamma$  is the natural calibration for a Gelfand family and  $\sigma$  is the natural grading of  $\Gamma$ , the  $\Gamma$ -Lie group will be called a *Gelfand-Lie group*. The Omori-Lie groups are Gelfand-Lie groups; the conditions (OL.3) and (OL.6) imply ( $\Gamma$ L.2) and ( $\Gamma$ L.3), respectively. In order to have the inverse implications, we need a new notion of "completonal continuity", which will be discussed in the next section.

#### 5. Completonal continuity

Let  $F$  be a  $\Gamma$ -family and  $E, F \in F$ .

Let  $U$  be a  $p$ -open subset of  $E$  for  $p \in \Gamma$ . Then a map  $f : U \rightarrow F$  is said to be *completonally  $p$ -continuous* if, for arbitrary  $p$ -Cauchy sequences  $\{x_i\}$  and  $\{y_i\}$  contained in  $U$  such that



$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_E(x_i - y_i) = 0,$$

we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_F(f(x_i) - f(y_i)) = 0.$$

This definition includes the case when all  $y_i$  are equal to an element. Hence, the following statement is obvious.

(5.1). *Completionally  $p$ -continuous maps are  $p$ -continuous.*

A  $p$ -continuous map does not always transform a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence into a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence. However:

(5.2). *If  $f$  is a completionally  $p$ -continuous map on  $U$  and  $\{x_i\}$  is a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence contained in  $U$ , then  $\{f(x_i)\}$  is also a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence.*

Proof. If the sequence  $\{f(x_i)\}$  is not  $p$ -Cauchy, there are  $\delta > 0$  and subsequences  $\{x_{i_n}\}$  and  $\{x_{j_n}\}$  such that  $i_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $j_n \rightarrow \infty$ , and

$$p_F[f(x_{i_n}) - f(x_{j_n})] \geq \delta.$$

However, since  $\{x_i\}$  is a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence, its subsequences  $\{x_{i_n}\}$  and  $\{x_{j_n}\}$  are also  $p$ -Cauchy sequences and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_E(x_{i_n} - x_{j_n}) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

The following statement is also obvious.

(5.3). *All  $p$ -Lipschitz maps are completionally  $p$ -continuous.*

In particular, every  $p$ -continuous linear map is completionally  $p$ -continuous. Furthermore, since every  $C_p^1$ -map is locally Lipschitzian, we have the following.

(5.4). *Let  $f : U \rightarrow F$  be a  $C_p^1$ -map. Then, for each  $a \in U$ , there*

is an open  $p$ -ball  $B(a, \gamma)$  around  $a$  with radius  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $B(a, \gamma) \subset U$  and  $f$  is completionally  $p$ -continuous on  $B(a, \gamma)$ .

We denote the completion of  $E$  with respect to  $p_E$  by  $\hat{E}[p]$ , and the extension of  $p_E$  over  $\hat{E}[p]$  by  $\hat{p}_E$ . Therefore, each element  $\hat{x}$  of  $\hat{E}[p]$  is an equivalence class of  $p$ -Cauchy sequences  $\{x_i\}$ , and

$$\hat{p}_E(\hat{x}) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_E(x_i).$$

It is easy to see that  $\hat{p}_E$  defines a norm on  $\hat{E}[p]$  and  $\hat{E}[p]$  is a Banach space with this norm. This space will be called the  $p$ -completion of  $E$ .

A subset  $U$  of  $E$  is called a completionally  $p$ -open subset if there is an open subset  $\hat{U}$  in  $\hat{E}[p]$  such that  $U = E \cap \hat{U}$ . Obviously, completionally  $p$ -open subsets are  $p$ -open.

(5.5). Let  $U$  be a completionally  $p$ -open subset of  $E$ . Then, for each  $\hat{a} \in \hat{U}$ , there is a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence  $\{a_i\}$  in  $U$  such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \hat{p}_E(a_i - \hat{a}) = 0.$$

Conversely, if  $\{a_i\}$  is a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence in  $U$  and  $\hat{a}$  is the class containing  $\{a_i\}$ , then  $\hat{a}$  belongs to the  $p$ -closure of  $\hat{U}$  in  $\hat{E}[p]$ .

Proof. Let  $\{a_i\}$  be a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence contained in  $\hat{a}$ . Then, since

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \hat{p}_E(a_i - \hat{a}) = 0,$$

we have

$$a_i \in \hat{U} \cap E \text{ for large } i.$$

Conversely, if  $\{a_i\}$  is a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence contained in  $U$  and  $\hat{a}$  is the class containing  $\{a_i\}$ , we have

$$a_i \in \hat{U} \text{ and } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \hat{p}_E(a_i - \hat{a}) = 0,$$

which imply that  $\hat{a}$  belongs to the closure of  $\hat{U}$ .

Now we can give a characterization of the completional  $p$ -continuity.

(5.6). *Let  $U$  be a completionally  $p$ -open subset of  $E$ . Then a map  $f : U \rightarrow F$  is completionally  $p$ -continuous on  $U$  if and only if  $f$  has a  $\hat{p}$ -continuous extension  $\hat{f} : \widehat{U} \rightarrow \hat{F}[p]$ .*

Proof. Assume that  $f$  is completionally  $p$ -continuous on  $U$ . We define  $\hat{f}$  as follows: for  $\hat{a} \in \widehat{U}$  we put

$$\hat{f}(\hat{a}) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(a_i) \text{ in } \hat{F}[p],$$

or  $\hat{f}(\hat{a})$  is the class containing  $\{f(a_i)\}$  for a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence  $\{a_i\}$  in  $\hat{a}$ . This is possible because  $\{f(a_i)\}$  is also a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence by (5.2).

Therefore, in order to show that  $f$  can be extended to  $\widehat{U}$ , we only need to show that such  $\{a_i\}$  can be chosen for every  $\hat{a} \in \widehat{U}$ .

• If  $\hat{a} \in \widehat{U}$ , such  $\{a_i\}$  exists by (5.5).

If  $\hat{a} \in \widehat{U} \setminus \widehat{U}$ , there is a sequence  $\hat{a}_n \in \widehat{U}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{a}_n = \hat{a} \text{ in } \hat{E}[p].$$

Then there are  $p$ -Cauchy sequences  $\{a_{n,i}\}$  in  $U$  such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_{n,i} = \hat{a}_n \text{ in } \hat{E}[p],$$

and also there is a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence  $\{a_i\}$  in  $E$  which is contained in  $\hat{a}$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_E(a_{n,i} - a_i) = 0.$$

Hence there are  $\{n_k\}$  and  $\{i_k\}$  such that

$$p_E(a_{n_k, i_k} - a_{i_k}) < 1/k.$$

Since  $\{a_{i_k}\}$  is  $p$ -Cauchy and  $\{a_{n_k, i_k} - a_{i_k}\}$  is  $p$ -null,  $\{a_{n_k, i_k}\}$  is  $p$ -Cauchy, and it is contained in  $U$ . Furthermore,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{n_k, i_k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{i_k} + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (a_{n_k, i_k} - a_{i_k}) = \hat{a} \text{ in } \hat{E}[p].$$

Thus, for any  $\hat{a} \in \overline{\hat{U}}$ , we can find a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence in  $U$  which converges to  $\hat{a}$  in  $\hat{E}[p]$ .

Next, to prove the  $\hat{p}$ -continuity of  $\hat{f}$  thus defined, we assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{p}_E(\hat{a}_n - \hat{a}) = 0, \quad \hat{a}_n, \hat{a} \in \overline{\hat{U}},$$

and

$$\hat{p}_F[\hat{f}(\hat{a}_n) - \hat{f}(\hat{a})] < \delta \text{ for all } n,$$

for some positive number  $\delta$ . We take  $p$ -Cauchy sequences  $\{a_{n,i}\}$  and  $\{a_i\}$  in  $U$  such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_{n,i} = \hat{a}_n \text{ and } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i = \hat{a} \text{ in } \hat{E}[p].$$

Then these assumptions are equivalent to the following:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_E(a_{n,k} - a_i) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_F[f(a_{n,i}) - f(a_i)] > \delta.$$

From the first equality, we can find  $\{n_k\}$  and  $\{i_k^{(1)}\}$  such that

$$p_E(a_{n_k, i} - a_i) < 1/k \text{ if } i \geq i_k^{(1)}.$$

Since

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_F[f(a_{n_k, i}) - f(a_i)] > \delta$$

from the second inequality, we have  $\{i_k^{(2)}\}$  such that

$$p_F[f(a_{n_k, i}) - f(a_i)] > \delta \text{ if } i \geq i_k^{(2)}.$$

Therefore, for  $i_k \geq \max\{i_k^{(1)}, i_k^{(2)}\}$ , we have

$$p_E(a_{n_k, i_k} - a_{i_k}) < 1/k \quad \text{and} \quad p_F[f(a_{n_k, i_k}) - f(a_{i_k})] > \delta .$$

This is a contradiction, because  $\{a_{n_k, i_k}\}$  is also a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence contained in  $U$  .

Conversely, suppose that  $f$  has a  $\hat{p}$ -continuous extension, and suppose that  $\{x_i\}$  and  $\{y_i\}$  are  $p$ -Cauchy sequences in  $U$  such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_E(x_i - y_i) = 0 .$$

Then there exists  $\hat{a} \in \hat{U}$  such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} y_i = \hat{a} .$$

Hence

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(y_i) = \hat{f}(\hat{a}) \quad \text{in} \quad \hat{F}[p] ,$$

which implies

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_F[f(x_i) - f(y_i)] = 0 .$$

A subset  $U$  of  $E$  is said to be *compleitionally*  $\Gamma$ -open if it is compleitionally  $p$ -open for every  $p \in \Gamma$  . Obviously, compleitionally  $\Gamma$ -open subsets are  $\Gamma$ -open.

Let  $U$  be a compleitionally  $\Gamma$ -open subset of  $E$  . Then a map  $f : U \rightarrow F$  is said to be *compleitionally*  $\Gamma$ -continuous on  $U$  if it is compleitionally  $p$ -continuous for every  $p \in \Gamma$  . Hence  $f$  is compleitionally  $\Gamma$ -continuous if and only if, for each  $p \in \Gamma$  , it has a  $\hat{p}$ -continuous extension from  $\hat{U}$  into  $\hat{F}[p]$  .

Again, let  $U$  be a compleitionally  $\Gamma$ -open subset of  $E$  . A map  $f : U \rightarrow F$  is said to be *k-times compleitionally continuously*  $\Gamma$ -differentiable or of class  $CC_\Gamma^k$  on  $U$  if it is of class  $C_\Gamma^k$  and the derivatives

$$f^{(i)} : U \rightarrow L_\Gamma^i(E, F) \quad (0 \leq i \leq k)$$

are compleitionally  $\Gamma$ -continuous.

(5.7). Let  $E, F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $F$  be sequentially complete. Let  $U$  be a completionally  $\Gamma$ -open subset of  $\bar{E}$ . Let  $f : U \rightarrow F$  be  $k$ -times Gateaux differentiable on  $U$ . Then  $f$  is of class  $CC_\Gamma^k$  on  $U$  if and only if, for each  $p \in \Gamma$ ,  $f$  has a  $C^k$ -extension

$$\hat{f} : \bar{U} \rightarrow \hat{F}[p].$$

Proof. Since  $f$  is  $k$ -times Gâteaux differentiable on  $U$ , we have

$$f^{(i)} : U \rightarrow L^i(E, F) \quad (0 \leq i \leq k).$$

Assume that  $f$  is of class  $CC_\Gamma^k$ ; then each  $f^{(i)}$  is completionally  $\Gamma$ -continuous. Hence, for each  $p \in \Gamma$ , we have a continuous extension

$$\widehat{f^{(i)}} : \bar{U} \rightarrow L^i(\hat{E}[p], \hat{F}[p]).$$

In particular, we have a continuous extension

$$\hat{f} : \bar{U} \rightarrow \hat{F}[p],$$

and we shall show that  $\widehat{f^{(i)}}$  is the  $i$ th derivative map of  $\hat{f}$ ; that is,

$$\hat{f}^{(i)} = \widehat{f^{(i)}}.$$

Now assume that  $\hat{f}'(\hat{a})$  is not the derivative of  $\hat{f}$  at  $\hat{a}$ . Then there is a null sequence  $\{\hat{x}_n\}$  in  $\hat{E}[p]$  such that  $\hat{a} + \hat{x}_n \in \bar{U}$  and

$$\hat{p}_E(\hat{x}_n)^{-1} \hat{p}_F[\hat{f}(\hat{a} + \hat{x}_n) - \hat{f}(\hat{a}) - \hat{f}'(\hat{a})(\hat{x}_n)] > \delta \text{ for all } n,$$

for some positive number  $\delta$ . If  $\{x_{n,i}\}$  are  $p$ -Cauchy sequences contained in  $\hat{x}_n$ , this assumption is equivalent to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_E(x_{n,i}) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_E(x_{n,i})^{-1} p_F[f(a_i + x_{n,i}) - f(a_i) - f'(a_i)(x_{n,i})] > \delta,$$

where  $\{a_i\}$  is a  $p$ -Cauchy sequence contained in  $\hat{a}$ .

In exactly the same way as in (5.6), we choose  $\{n_k\}$  and  $\{i_k\}$  such

that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p_E(x_{n_k, i_k}) = 0$$

and

$$p_E(x_{n_k, i_k})^{-1} p_F[f(a_{i_k} + x_{n_k, i_k}) - f(a_{i_k}) - f'(a_{i_k})(x_{n_k, i_k})] > \delta .$$

From the second inequality, together with the mean value theorem, we have

$$p_{(E, F)} [f'(a_{i_k} + \theta_k x_{n_k, i_k}) - f'(a_{i_k})] > \delta ,$$

which contradicts the completional continuity of  $f'$  .

We can prove the cases of higher derivatives in exactly the same way.

Conversely, assume that there is a  $C^k$ -extension

$$\hat{f} : \hat{U} \rightarrow \hat{F}[p]$$

for every  $p \in \Gamma$  . Since  $f$  is assumed to be  $k$ -times Gâteaux differentiable on  $U$  , we have a map

$$f' : U \rightarrow L(E, F) ,$$

and, for  $a \in U$  and  $x \in E$  ,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(a)(x) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon^{-1} [f(a + \epsilon x) - f(a)] \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon^{-1} [\hat{f}(a + \epsilon x) - \hat{f}(a)] = \hat{f}'(a)(x) . \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$\hat{f}' : U \rightarrow L(\hat{E}[p], \hat{F}[p])$$

is a continuous extension of  $f'$  . Therefore,  $f'$  is completionally  $p$ -continuous on  $U$  , and this holds for every  $p \in \Gamma$  .

We can prove the cases of higher derivatives similarly.

We shall call a  $\Gamma$ -manifold of class  $C^k$  a *completional  $\Gamma$ -manifold of class  $C^k$*  or  *$\Gamma$ -manifold of class  $CC^k$*  if there is an atlas whose transition maps are all of class  $CC^k_\Gamma$  .

## 6. Completional $\Gamma$ -Lie groups

A  $\Gamma$ -Lie group is said to be *completional* if all the smoothnesses involved in its definition are of class  $CC_\Gamma^\infty$ . In other words, a *completional  $\Gamma$ -Lie group* is a topological group  $G$  which satisfies the following conditions:

- (CFL.1)  $G$  is a completional  $\Gamma$ -manifold of class  $C^\infty$ ;
- (CFL.2) the product operation is completionally  $(\sigma, \Gamma)$ -smooth;
- (CFL.3) the inverse operation is completionally  $\sigma$ -smooth.

Obviously, Omori-Lie groups are completional Gelfand-Lie groups. Conversely, a completional Gelfand-Lie group is a Omori-Lie group if it satisfies additional smoothness conditions corresponding to (0.4), (0.5), and (0.7).

Thus, when  $M$  is a compact  $C^\infty$ -manifold without boundary, the group  $D(M)$  of all  $C^\infty$ -diffeomorphisms on  $M$  and various subgroups of  $D(M)$  are completional Gelfand-Lie groups. We leave it as a conjecture that  $D(M)$  for noncompact  $M$  will also be a completional  $\Gamma$ -Lie group for a suitably chosen  $\Gamma$ .

## References

- [1] H.R. Fischer, "Differentialrechnung in lokalkonvexen Räumen und Mannigfaltigkeiten von Abbildungen" (Manuskripte d. Fakultät für Math. und Informatik, Univ. Mannheim, Mannheim [1977]).
- [2] Klaus Floret, Joseph Wloka, *Einführung in die Theorie der Lokalkonvexen Räume* (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 56. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1968).
- [3] I.M. Gelfand und G.E. Schilow, *Verallgemeinerte Funktionen (Distributionen). II. Lineare topologische Räume, Räume von Grundfunktionen und verallgemeinerten Funktionen* (VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1962).
- [4] John Horváth, *Topological vector spaces and distributions*, Volume I (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts; Palo Alto; London; Don Mills, Ontario; 1966).



- [5] T.C. Nghê and Sadayuki Yamamuro, "Locally convex spaces, differentiation and manifolds", *Comment. Math. Prace Mat.* (to appear).
- [6] Hideki Omori, *Infinite dimensional Lie transformation groups* (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 427. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1974).
- [7] Sadayuki Yamamuro, *A theory of differentiation in locally convex spaces* (Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, 17. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1979).

Department of Mathematics,  
Institute of Advanced Studies,  
Australian National University,  
Canberra, ACT.