

METASTABLE IMMERSION, SPAN AND THE TWO-TYPE OF A MANIFOLD

BY
HENRY GLOVER AND WILLIAM HOMER

ABSTRACT. The existence of metastable immersion or span for space forms and homogeneous spaces is shown to depend only on the two-type of the space.

1. Introduction. For many smooth n -manifolds, if k is in the metastable range $k > n/2$, then each of the properties of immersing with codimension k and having $n - k$ linearly independent vector fields depends only on the 2-type of the manifold. The following results make this statement more precise for two interesting classes of manifolds: homogeneous spaces and space forms. The general theorems which imply these results are given in the next section.

We use \subseteq for immersion, \simeq for homotopy equivalence, \mathbb{Z}_p for $\mathbb{Z}[1/q; q$ relatively prime to $p]$, a subscript 2 for localization with respect to $H_*(; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, a subscript 1/2 to denote localization with respect to $H_*(; \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ and a subscript 0 to denote localization with respect to $H_*(; \mathbb{Q})$ (cf. [4]).

THEOREM 1.1. *If*

(i) V^{n+k} is an arbitrary smooth manifold and one of M^n and N^n is a homogeneous space or space form, and W^{n+k} is a nilpotent homogeneous space or nilpotent space form,

(ii) $M \subseteq V$,

(iii) $M_2 \simeq N_2$, $V_2 \simeq W_2$, and

(iv) $k > n/2$,

then $N \subseteq W$.

EXAMPLE 1.2. *If $\mathbb{R}P^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$, $N_2 \simeq \mathbb{R}P_2^n$ and $n \geq 16$, then $N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$.*

In particular, all standard lens spaces $L^{2m+1}(4q+2)$ immerse in the same euclidean space as $\mathbb{R}P^{2m+1}$, provided $m > 7$.

REMARK 1.3. A real flag manifold $0(n_1 + \dots + n_k)/(0(n_1) \times \dots \times 0(n_k))$ is nilpotent if and only if all the n_i are odd (cf. [12]).

COROLLARY 1.4. *If F is a finite group of odd order acting smoothly and freely on the*

Received by the editors July 2, 1984.

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 57R42, 57R25; Secondary 55P60.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1984.

π -manifold space form or homogeneous π -manifold M^n , then $M/F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{[3n/2]+1}$, where $[\]$ denotes the integer part.

EXAMPLE 1.5. (cf. [24]) The standard lens space $L^{2m+1}(2q + 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{3m+2}$, and this result is sharp for m even provided $2q + 1$ does not divide $\binom{3m/2}{m/2}$, $3m/2$ choose $m/2$.

COROLLARY 1.6. (cf. [17]) If F is a finite group of odd order acting smoothly and freely on the homogeneous space G/H , and $\dim H > (\dim G)/3$, then $(G/H)/F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\dim G}$.

EXAMPLE 1.7. (cf. [23] and [18])

$$(0(4m + 2)/(0(2m + 1) \times 0(2m + 1))/(\mathbb{Z}/(2q + 1))) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\binom{4m+2}{2}}$$

and this result is always sharp. The action of $\mathbb{Z}/(2q + 1)$ is induced by the diagonal action of $\mathbb{Z}/(2q + 1)$ in \mathbb{C}^{2m+1} , which can be seen to be free by considering eigenvalues.

THEOREM 1.8 (cf. [2]) If

- (i) M^n or N^n is a homogeneous or a space form,
- (ii) M^n has an $(n - k)$ -field ($(n - k)$ linearly independent vector fields),
- (iii) $M_2 \simeq N_2$ and
- (iv) $k > n/2$,

then N has an $(n - k)$ -field.

REMARK 1.9. Recall that if M^n has an $(n - k)$ -field and does not have an $(n - k + 1)$ -field we say that $\text{span } M = n - k$.

COROLLARY 1.10. If F is a finite group of odd order acting smoothly and freely on the parallelizable homogenous manifold M^n , then M/F has an $[(n - 1)/2]$ -field.

EXAMPLE 1.11. (i) $L^{2m+1}(2q + 1) \times S^1$ always has an m -field and (ii) it does not have an $(m + 3)$ -field if m is even and $2q + 1$ does not divide $\binom{m+1}{m/2}$.

REMARKS.

1. Do the conclusions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.8 follow from hypotheses (ii)–(iv) alone? This is a tempting generalization because we do not have a counter example, but the approach used here requires some additional hypothesis. As indicated above, hypotheses (i) illustrate the applicability to some familiar manifolds of the more technical hypotheses in Theorems 2.1 and 2.3.

2. The results in this paper improve those in [11], [12], [13], [14] and [15] by removing the special hypotheses about the spaces M or N that occur in these papers due to the special theories of localization used. We are also able to remove the hypothesis of odd codimension but only by introducing hypotheses (iv) of Theorem 2.1 and (iii) of Theorem 2.3 about the integrality of the rational Euler classes. This suffices for the special spaces of this section.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we state the general theorems which imply the results in section 1. In section 3 we prove Theorems 2.1 and

2.3. These theorems immediately imply the results in section 1, using the results of Friedlander [10] (cf. [13]) in the case of homogeneous spaces. That $\mathbb{R}P^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ implies $k > n/2$ for $n \geq 16$ in example 1.2 follows from [8]. That the implication is often false for $n < 16$ is given in [22]. The non-immersion of Example 1.5 and the non-existence of vector fields in Example 1.11 are computations in Pontrjagin classes which we also give in section 3. The sharpness of the result in Example 1.7 is a computation in Stiefel-Whitney classes which was done by Hiller and Stong [18].

2. General Theorems

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose M^n and N^n are compact smooth manifolds, V^{n+k} an arbitrary smooth manifold and W^{n+k} a nilpotent smooth manifold such that the following hold.*

- (i) *There exists an immersion $f: M \rightarrow V$.*
- (ii) *There exist homotopy equivalences $\lambda: N_2 \rightarrow M_2$ and $\mu: V_2 \rightarrow W_2$.*
- (iii) *There exists a map $g_{1/2}: N \rightarrow W_{1/2}$ such that the induced diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & f_0 & \\ & M_0 \rightarrow V_0 & \\ \lambda_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_0 \\ & N_0 \rightarrow W_0 & \\ & (g_{1/2})_0 & \end{array}$$

is homotopy commutative.

(iv) *If k is even there exists a homotopy equivalence $\phi: M_2 \rightarrow M_2$ or a homotopy equivalence $\theta: N_2 \rightarrow N_2$ such that $(\lambda^*\phi^*(X_f))_0$ or $(\theta^*\lambda^*(X_f))_0$ is integral in $H^k(N; \mathbb{Q})$. Here X_f denotes the Euler class of the immersion f .*

(v) $k > n/2$.

Then $N \subseteq W$.

REMARK. R. Cohen used a result closely related to but independent of Theorem 2.1, due to Brown and Peterson [6], in his proof of the immersion conjecture [7].

COROLLARY 2.2. *If M^n and N^n are compact smooth manifolds such that*

- (i) $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$,
- (ii) $N_2 \simeq M_2$,
- (iii) k is odd or $H^k(M; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$, and
- (iv) $k > n/2$,

then $N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let M^n and N^n be compact smooth manifolds such that the following hold.*

- (i) M^n has an $(n - k)$ -field.
- (ii) $M_2 \simeq N_2$.
- (iii) *If k is even there exists a homotopy equivalence $\phi: M_2 \rightarrow M_2$ or a homotopy equivalence $\theta: N_2 \rightarrow N_2$ such that $(\lambda^*\phi^*(X_\xi))_0$ or $(\theta^*\lambda^*(X_\xi))_0$ is integral in $H^k(N; \mathbb{Q})$.*

Here X_ξ denotes the Euler class of ξ^k , where the tangent bundle to M is $\xi^k \oplus 1^{n-k}$.

(iv) $k > n/2$.

Then N has an $(n - k)$ -field.

COROLLARY 2.4. If M^n and N^n are compact smooth manifolds such that

(i) M has an $(n - k)$ -field,

(ii) $M_2 \simeq N_2$,

(iii) k is odd or $H^k(M; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$, and

(iv) $k > n/2$,

then N has an $(n - k)$ -field.

REMARK. Our results hold in the PL category by replacing Hirsch’s thesis [20] by the corresponding theorem for PL -manifolds due to Haefliger and Poenaru [16].

3. Proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.3.

PROOF OF 2.1. If k is odd the proof proceeds as in [11], observing that the localization of Bousfield [4] with respect to $H_*(; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ allows us to remove the hypothesis of nilpotency from the manifolds M or N . We include the proof for completeness. If we define $g_2 = \mu \circ f_2 \circ \lambda : N_2 \rightarrow W_2$, then by hypothesis (iii) and the fracture lemma of [5] and [19], there is a map $g : N \rightarrow W$ for which $g_{1/2}$ and g_2 are the localizations that the notation suggests. Since $f : M^n \rightarrow V^{n+k}$ is an immersion, $f^*(\tau^{st}V) - \tau^{st}M$ lifts to $BSO(k)$. By Atiyah [1] (cf. [14]), $J\tau^{st}V_2 = \mu^*(J\tau^{st}W)_2$ and $\lambda^*(J\tau^{st}M)_2 = (J\tau^{st}N)_2$, so $\lambda^*J(f^*\tau^{st}V - \tau^{st}M)_2 = J(g^*\tau^{st}W - \tau^{st}N)_2$ lifts to $BSF(k)_2$ (cf. [11]). (We use the simple connectivity of the Thom complex of $\tau^{st}V$, etc.) Using James [21] (cf. [14]) $g^*\tau^{st}W - \tau^{st}N$ lifts to $BSO(k)$. The result now follows by Hirsch’s thesis [20].

If k is even we observe that $BSF(k)_0 \simeq K(\mathbb{Q}, k)$, so that the lift $N \rightarrow BSF(k)_2$ given above may not be compatible with that to $BSF(k)_{1/2}$ given by [21] (cf. [14]), i.e. they may not agree in $BSF(k)_0$. But hypothesis (iv) allows the lift to $BSF(k)_2$ to be chosen so that it is compatible with that to $BSF(k)_{1/2}$ by using the identification of lifts of $N \rightarrow BSF_{1/2}$ to $BSF(k)_{1/2}$ with elements of $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$. The remainder of the proof is the same as for k odd.

PROOF OF 2.3. We proceed as for 2.1 except that we must lift $\tau : N \rightarrow BO(n)$ to $BO(k)$. (The case k odd is done in [15].) Again the point is that for k even $BSF(k)_0 \simeq K(\mathbb{Q}, k)$ and the lifts of N in $BSF(k)_2$ and $BSF(k)_{1/2}$ may not agree. But hypothesis (iii) allows us to choose them compatibly. As in [15] it is necessary to use Benlian-Wagoner [3] and Dupont [9] to show that $J\tau M_p \simeq J\tau N_p$.

The computations for Example 1.5 and 1.11 are standard results for Pontrjagin classes for $L^{2m+1}(2q + 1)$ and say that $p = (1 + p_1)^{m+1}$ so that $\bar{p} = p^{-1} = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} p_1^i$. Of course we use the fact that $S^{2m+1} \times S^1$ is parallelizable.

The Computation for the sharpness of Example 1.7 was done by Hiller and Stong [18]. The immersion $0(2n)/(0(n) \times 0(n)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\binom{2n}{2}}$ was first done by K. Lam [23]. The immersion $G/H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\dim G}$ for example 1.6 was done by Hiller [17].

REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah, *Thom complexes*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **11** (1961), pp. 291–310.
2. J. C. Becker, *Vector fields on quotient manifolds of spheres*, Indiana U. Math. J. **22** (1973), pp. 859–871.
3. R. Benlian and J. Wagoner, *Type d'homotopie fibre et reduction structurale des fibres vectoriels*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris ser. A-B **265** (1967), pp. A205–A209.
4. A. K. Bousfield, *The localization of spaces with respect to homology*, Topology **14** (1975), pp. 133–150.
5. A. K. Bousfield and D. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **304** (1972).
6. E. Brown and F. Peterson, *The real dimension of a vector bundle at the prime two*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **86** (1983), pp. 128–135.
7. R. Cohen, *The immersion conjecture for differentiable manifolds* (preprint).
8. D. Davis, *A strong non-immersion theorem for real projective spaces*, Annals of Math. **120** (1989), pp. 517–528.
9. J. L. Dupont, *On homotopy invariance of the tangent bundle I, II*, Math. Scand. **26** (1970), pp. 5–13 and 200–220.
10. E. Friedlander, *Maps between localized homogeneous spaces*, Topology **16** (1977), pp. 205–216.
11. H. Glover and W. Homer, *Immersing manifolds and 2-equivalence*, Springer Lect. Notes in Math. **657** (1978), pp. 194–197.
12. H. Glover and W. Homer, *Equivariant immersion of flag manifolds*, Indiana U. Math. J. **28** (1979), pp. 953–956.
13. H. Glover, W. Homer, and G. Mislin, *Immersion in manifolds of positive weights*, Springer Lect. Notes in Math. **673** (1978), pp. 88–92.
14. H. Glover and G. Mislin, *Immersion in the metastable range and 2-localization*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **43** (1974), pp. 443–448.
15. H. Glover and G. Mislin, *Vector fields on 2-equivalent manifolds*, Serie notas de matematica y simposia, Reunion Sobre Teoria de Homotopia, Universidad de Northwestern, Agosto 1974, Sociedad Mathematica Mexicana **1** (1975), pp. 29–45.
16. A. Haefliger and V. Poenaru, *La classification des immersions combinatoires*, IHES Publ. Math. **23** (1964), pp. 74–91.
17. H. Hiller, *Immersing homogeneous spaces in Euclidean space*, Proceedings of Workshop on Algebraic Topology, Publ. Mat. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona **26** (1982), pp. 43–45.
18. H. Hiller and R. Stong, *Immersion dimension for real Grassmannians*, Math. Ann. **255** (1981), pp. 361–367.
19. P. Hilton, G. Mislin, and J. Roitberg, *Localization of nilpotent groups and spaces*, Math. Studies **15** (1975), North Holland.
20. M. W. Hirsch, *Immersion of manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **93** (1959), pp. 242–276.
21. I. M. James, *On the iterated suspension*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **5** (1954), pp. 1–10.
22. I. M. James, *Euclidean models of projective spaces*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **3** (1971), pp. 257–276.
23. K. Y. Lam, *A formula for the tangent bundle of flag manifolds and related manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **213** (1975), pp. 305–314.
24. D. Sjerve, *Vector bundles over orbit manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (1969), pp. 97–106.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY OF NEWFOUNDLAND
ST. JOHN'S, NEWFOUNDLAND A1B 3X7