

A NOTE ON CALIBRATION CURVES

J. VAN DER PLICHT

Centre for Isotope Research, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747AG Groningen
the Netherlands

and

F. G. McCORMAC

Palaeoecology Centre, the Queen's University of Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland

Following the 12th International Radiocarbon Conference in Trondheim, a special calibration issue was published (Stuiver and Kra 1986) carrying a bidecadal calibration curve from 1950 cal AD–2500 cal BC (Stuiver and Pearson 1986; Pearson and Stuiver 1986) that was recommended at the conference (Mook 1986).

Following the 14th International Radiocarbon Conference in Tucson, a second calibration issue was published (Stuiver, Long and Kra 1993), motivated by the wealth of calibration data that had become available in the interim, with dendrochronological data extending all the way into Preboreal (Kromer and Becker 1993). In addition, small corrections were made to data published before, including a shift of 18 ^{14}C yr in the Stuiver/Pearson data set (Stuiver and Pearson 1993). No recommendations were made, however, concerning the preferred curve.

At the 15th International Radiocarbon Conference in Glasgow, the latter correction was questioned (McCormac *et al.* 1995) causing a discussion among archaeologists (Bowman 1994). In the meantime, a high-precision wiggle-match study of medieval oak beams found in the Netherlands, which were also dated by dendrochronology, concluded that a match can only be found using the original 1986 calibration curve (Van der Plicht, Jansma and Kars 1995).

In order to test the evidence that the recommended calibration curve is still the correct one, we decided to remeasure some of the Irish oak wood used for construction of the bidecadal calibration curve (Pearson *et al.* 1986, 1993), covering the same time period as the oak beams from the Netherlands (van der Plicht, Jansma and Kars 1995). The results are reported here.

We choose to remeasure four bidecadal calibration data points, dendrochronologically dated at 1100–1120, 1120–1140, 1220–1240 and 1260–1280 cal AD. We sampled the 10 outer and inner rings separately, and thus obtained 8 measurements. They were measured in the large (25 liter) Groningen counter. The results are summarized in Table 1.

The 8 measurements are wiggle-matched to the calibration curves discussed (Stuiver and Pearson 1986; Stuiver and Pearson 1993). The wiggle-match fit results are also presented in the table.

We conclude that the recommended calibration curve (Stuiver and Pearson 1986) yields an excellent fit to our remeasured data. The results for the revised calibration curve (Stuiver and Pearson 1993) are off by about 15 calendar years, which is consistent with the 18 ^{14}C yr correction, if we take into account the uncertainties involved.

In conclusion, there are now three pieces of evidence indicating that the recommended 1986 calibration curve (Stuiver and Pearson 1986) is still the proper one: 1) possible local effects on ^{14}C measurements of tree rings (McCormac *et al.* 1995); 2) a high-precision wiggle-match case study of medieval oak trees in the Netherlands (van der Plicht, Jansma and Kars 1995), and 3) a high-prec-

sion wiggle-match measurement of Irish oaks used for the construction of the recommended calibration curve (this note).

We stress that the effects discussed here are very small (about 2‰) and are therefore in general not detrimental in terms of the archaeological utility of radiocarbon dates. Nevertheless, in order to end possible confusion, we propose that at the 16th International Radiocarbon Conference in Groningen (1997) a new recommendation should be made concerning the proper calibration curve.

TABLE 1. Remeasurement of Four Bidecadal Irish Wood Samples

Sample	GrN-	Rings	¹⁴ C age	Dendro age	Wiggle-matched results		Averaged	
					1986 curve	1993 curve	¹⁴ C age	Dendro age
River Blackwater	21784	outer 10	721 ± 12	1275 ± 5	1272	1285	758 ± 8	1270 ± 10
	21403	inner 10	790 ± 11	1265 ± 5	1262	1275		
Arran Quay	21785	outer 10	798 ± 12	1235 ± 5	1232	1245	810 ± 8	1230 ± 10
	21404	inner 10	823 ± 12	1225 ± 5	1222	1235		
Trim Castle 1	21786	outer 10	917 ± 11	1135 ± 5	1132	1145	924 ± 8	1130 ± 10
	21405	inner 10	934 ± 13	1125 ± 5	1122	1135		
Trim Castle 2	21787	outer 10	930 ± 12	1115 ± 5	1112	1125	943 ± 7	1110 ± 10
	21406	inner 10	950 ± 9	1105 ± 5	1102	1115		

REFERENCES

- Bowman, S. 1994 Using radiocarbon: An update. *Antiquity* 68: 838–843.
- Kromer, B. and Becker, B. 1993 German Oak and Pine ¹⁴C calibration, 7200–9400 BC. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 125–135.
- Mook, W. G. 1986 Business meeting. *Radiocarbon* 28(2A): 799.
- McCormac, F. G., Baillie, M. G. L., Pilcher, J. R. and Kalin, R. M. 1995 Location-dependent differences in the ¹⁴C content of wood. In Cook, G. T., Harkness, D. D., Miller, B. F. and Scott, E. M., eds., Proceedings of the 15th International Radiocarbon Conference. *Radiocarbon* 37(2): 395–407.
- Pearson, G. W., Pilcher, J. R., Baillie, M. G. L., Corbett, D. M. and Qua, F. 1986 High-precision ¹⁴C measurement of Irish oaks to show the natural ¹⁴C variations from AD 1840–5210 BC. *Radiocarbon* 28(2B): 911–934.
- Pearson, G. W. and Qua, F. 1993 High-precision ¹⁴C measurement of Irish oaks to show the natural ¹⁴C variations from AD 1840–5210 BC: A correction. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 105–124.
- Pearson, G. W. and Stuiver, M. 1986 High-precision calibration of the radiocarbon time scale, 500–2500 BC. *Radiocarbon* 28(2B): 839–862.
- van der Plicht, J., Jansma, E. and Kars, H. 1995 The “Amsterdam Castle”: A case study of wiggle matching and the proper calibration curve. *Radiocarbon*, this issue.
- Stuiver, M. and Kra, R. S., eds. 1986 Calibration Issue. *Radiocarbon* 28(2B): 805–1030.
- Stuiver, M., Long, A. and Kra, R. S., eds. 1993 Calibration 1993. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 1–244.
- Stuiver, M. and Pearson, G. W. 1986 High-precision calibration of the radiocarbon time scale, AD 1950–500 BC. *Radiocarbon* 28(2B): 805–838.
- _____. 1993 High-precision bidecadal calibration of the radiocarbon time scale, AD 1950–500 BC and 2500–6000 BC. *Radiocarbon* 35(1): 1–24.