

## FINITELY SORTING LIE ALGEBRAS

DONALD W. BARNES

(Received 16 January 1989)

Communicated by I. Raeburn

*Dedicated to G. E. (Tim) Wall, in recognition of his distinguished contribution to mathematics in Australia, on the occasion of his retirement*

### Abstract

Lie algebras whose finite-dimensional modules decompose into direct sums of modules involving only one type of irreducible are investigated. Some vanishing theorems for the cohomology of some infinite-dimensional Lie algebras are thereby obtained.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification* (*Amer. Math. Soc.*) (1985 Revision): 17 B 56, 17 B 55.

*Keywords and phrases*: Lie algebras, cohomology.

### 1. Introduction

In [5] Mitra, Sitaram and Tripathy proved the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra and let  $V$  be an  $L$ -module. Suppose some element  $z$  in the centre  $L$  is represented by the identity transformation of  $V$ . Then  $H^p(L, V) = 0$  for all  $p$ .*

In a recent paper [2, Corollary 6.3], Farnsteiner proved

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra and let  $N$  be a nilpotent ideal. Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $L$ -module and let*

$$V_0(N) = \bigcap_{n \in N} V_0(n)$$

---

This research was supported by a grant from the Australian Research Council.

© 1990 Australian Mathematical Society 0263-6115/90 \$A2.00 + 0.00

where  $V_0(n)$  is the Fitting null component for the action of  $n$  on  $V$ . Then  $H^p(L, V) = H^p(L, V_0(N))$  for all  $p$ .

We show that these results can be obtained more easily and generalised using the method of [1].

### 2. Positive results

Throughout,  $L$  is a Lie algebra over the field  $F$  and  $V$  is an  $L$ -module. The element  $x \in L$  is represented by the linear transformation  $\rho(x): V \rightarrow V$ . We write  $N \triangleleft L$  if  $N$  is an ideal of  $L$ . A subalgebra  $N$  of  $L$  is called subnormal, written  $N \triangleleft\triangleleft L$ , if there exists a finite chain of subalgebras  $N_i$  such that

$$N = N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_r = L.$$

We shall make repeated use of the following well-known result.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Suppose  $N \triangleleft\triangleleft L$  and that  $V$  is an  $L$ -module. Suppose  $H^p(N, V) = 0$  for all  $p \leq k$ . Then  $H^p(L, V) = 0$  for all  $p \leq k$ .*

**PROOF.** We need only consider the case where  $N \triangleleft L$ , the result then following by induction over the length of the chain linking  $N$  to  $L$ . In the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, we have

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(L/N, H^q(N, V)) = 0$$

for  $q \leq k$ . Hence  $E_\infty^{pq} = 0$  for  $q \leq k$ . But

$$H^n(L, V) \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=n} E_\infty^{pq} = 0$$

for  $n \leq k$ .

The following immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1 includes Theorem 1.1 as a special case.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Suppose the element  $x \in L$  acts invertibly on  $V$  and that the subspace  $N = \langle x \rangle$  spanned by  $x$  is subnormal in  $L$ . Then  $H^p(L, V) = 0$  for all  $p$ .*

**PROOF.** We have

$$H^0(N, V) = V^n = \ker\{\rho(x): V \rightarrow V\} = 0, \quad H^1(N, V) = V/\text{im}\rho(x) = 0$$

and  $H^p(N, V) = 0$  for  $p > 1$  for dimension reasons.

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Suppose  $x \in L$  acts invertibly on  $V$  and that  $L$  is nilpotent. Then  $H^p(L, V) = 0$  for all  $p$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $L$  is nilpotent,  $\langle x \rangle \triangleleft\triangleleft L$ .

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Suppose  $L$  is locally nilpotent and let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $L$ -module with  $V^L = 0$ . Then  $H^p(L, V) = 0$  for all  $p$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $K$  be the kernel of the representation  $\rho$  of  $L$  on  $V$ . Since  $L/K$  is a finite-dimensional nilpotent algebra, every composition factor  $p$  of  $V$  has  $P^L = 0$ . Thus we need only consider the case where  $V$  is irreducible. Take  $x \in L$ ,  $x \notin K$  such that  $x + K$  is in the centre of  $L/K$ . Then  $\rho(x): V \rightarrow V$  is invertible. Now  $C^q(K, V) = \text{Hom}_F(\Lambda^q(K), V)$  where  $\Lambda^q(K)$  is the component of degree  $q$  of the exterior algebra on  $K$ . Since  $\text{ad}(x)$  is locally nilpotent, so is the induced linear transformation of  $\Lambda^q(K)$ . By Farnsteiner [2, Lemma 4.3],  $x$  acts invertibly on  $C^q(K, V)$ . It follows that  $x$  acts invertibly on  $H^q(K, V)$ . By Corollary 2.3,  $H^p(L/K, H^q(K, V)) = 0$  for all  $p, q$  and the result follows by the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence.

Let  $N \triangleleft\triangleleft L$  and let  $A$  be an irreducible  $N$ -module. As defined in [1], an  $A$ -component of the finite-dimensional  $L$ -module  $V$  is an  $L$ -submodule  $A(V)$  such that every  $N$ -composition factor of  $A(V)$  is isomorphic to  $A$  while  $V/A(V)$  has no  $N$ -composition factor isomorphic to  $A$ . If an  $A$ -component exists for every  $A$ , then  $V$  is their direct sum and is called  $N$ -sortable. We denote by  $F_N$  the ground field, regarded as  $N$ -module with trivial  $N$ -action. Clearly, if  $F_N(V)$  exists, then  $F_N(V) = V_0(N)$ . In [1], only finite-dimensional Lie algebras were considered. We modify terminology slightly to allow for infinite-dimensional Lie algebras.

**DEFINITION 2.5.** We say that  $(L, N)$  is a *finitely sorting pair*, abbreviated to FS pair, if  $N \triangleleft\triangleleft L$  and every finite-dimensional  $L$ -module is  $N$ -sortable. We say that  $L$  is *absolutely finitely sorting*, abbreviated to AFS, if every finite-dimensional  $L$ -module is  $L$ -sortable, that is, if  $(L, L)$  is an FS pair.

In [1], a number of conditions were shown to be equivalent to the assumption that  $(L, N)$  is an FS pair. By separating out the points at which use was made of the assumption that  $L$  is finite-dimensional, we can rephrase that result as follows.

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra and let  $N \triangleleft\triangleleft L$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a)  $(L, N)$  is an FS pair.
- (b)  $F_N(V)$  exists for every finite-dimensional irreducible  $L$ -module  $V$ .

(c) If  $V$  is a finite-dimensional irreducible  $L$ -module which does not contain  $F_N$  as  $N$ -composition factor, then  $H^1(L, V) = 0$ .

(d) If  $V$  is a finite-dimensional  $L$ -module and  $V^N = 0$ , then  $H^1(L, V) = 0$ .

If  $L$  is finite-dimensional, then also equivalent to these are the following conditions.

(e) In the case where  $\text{char } F \neq 0$ ,  $N$  is nilpotent. In the case where  $\text{char } F = 0$ ,  $N = S \oplus R$  where  $S$  is semi-simple and  $R$  is nilpotent.

(f) If  $V$  is a finite-dimensional  $L$ -module and  $V^N = 0$ , then  $H^p(L, V) = 0$  for all  $p$ .

Every finite-dimensional  $L$ -module is an  $L/K$ -module for some ideal  $K$  with  $L/K$  finite-dimensional. Clearly,  $(L, N)$  is an FS pair if and only if for every ideal  $K$  of  $L$  with  $L/K$  finite-dimensional,  $(L/K, (N+K)/K)$  is an FS pair. Thus  $(L, N)$  is an FS pair if and only if for every ideal  $K$  of  $L$  with  $L/K$  finite-dimensional,  $(N+K)/K$  has the structure 2.6(e).

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *Suppose  $N \triangleleft\triangleleft L$ . If either  $L$  or  $N$  is AFS, then  $(L, N)$  is an FS pair.*

**PROOF.** Let  $K \triangleleft L$  with  $L/K$  finite-dimensional. We have to show that  $(N+K)/K$  has the structure 2.6(e). If  $L$  is AFS, then  $L/K$  has that structure and  $(N+K)/K$ , being subnormal in  $L/K$ , also has that structure. If  $N$  is AFS, then  $(N+K)/K$ , being a finite-dimensional homomorphic image of  $N$ , has that structure. In either case, it follows that  $(L, N)$  is an FS pair.

We can now generalise Theorem 1.2.

**THEOREM 2.8.** *Let  $(L, N)$  be an FS pair. Suppose that, for every finite-dimensional irreducible  $L$ -module  $P$  with  $P^N = 0$ , we have  $H^p(L, P) = 0$  for all  $p$ . Then  $H^p(L, V) = H^p(L, F_N(V))$  for all  $p$  and every finite-dimensional  $L$ -module  $V$ . In particular, if  $N \triangleleft\triangleleft L$  and  $N$  is locally nilpotent, then  $H^p(L, V) = H^p(L, F_N(V))$  for all  $p$  and every finite-dimensional  $L$ -module  $V$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $V = \bigoplus_A A(V)$ , we have  $H^p(L, V) = \bigoplus_A H^p(L, A(V))$ . If  $A \neq F_N$ , then  $H^p(L, P) = 0$  for every  $L$ -composition factor  $P$  of  $A(V)$ , whence it follows that  $H^p(L, A(V)) = 0$ . Thus  $H^p(L, V) = H^p(L, F_N(V))$ .

Suppose  $N$  is locally nilpotent and that  $P$  is an irreducible  $L$ -module with  $P^N = 0$ . Since  $P$  is sortable as an  $N$ -module, it follows that every  $N$ -composition factor  $Q$  of  $P$  satisfies  $Q^N = 0$ . By Corollary 2.4,

$H^p(N, Q) = 0$  for all  $p$ . It follows that  $H^p(N, P) = 0$  for all  $p$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $H^p(L, P) = 0$  for all  $p$  and the result follows.

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Suppose the Lie algebras  $N_i$  for  $i \in I$  are AFS. Then  $N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i$  is AFS.*

**PROOF.** Let  $K$  be an ideal of  $N$  and let  $f: N \rightarrow N/K$  be the natural homomorphism. Suppose  $N/K$  is finite-dimensional. Then  $f(N_i)$  has the structure of 2.6(e) and  $f(N)$  is the sum (not necessarily direct) of the ideals  $f(N_i)$ . But a finite-dimensional sum of nilpotent ideals is nilpotent. A finite-dimensional sum of semi-simple ideals is semi-simple. Thus  $f(N)$  also has the structure 2.6(e).

By Theorem 2.6, locally nilpotent algebras and, if  $\text{char} F = 0$ , semi-simple algebras are AFS. So too, trivially, are infinite-dimensional simple algebras. If  $N$  is AFS and  $V$  is an  $N$ -module having no non-zero finite-dimensional quotients, then any extension of  $V$  by  $N$  is AFS. Some more interesting examples are provided by a well-known construction (see Jacobson [3, Chapter VII, §1], Serre [5, VI · 19–VI · 26]).

**EXAMPLE 2.10.** Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic 0 and let  $A = (A_{ij})$  be an  $l \times l$  Cartan matrix. Let  $L$  be the Lie algebra over  $F$  generated by elements  $H_i, X_i, Y_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , with defining relations

$$H_i H_j = 0, \quad X_i Y_j = \delta_{ij} H_j, \quad H_i X_j = A_{ij} X_j, \quad H_i Y_j = -A_{ij} Y_j,$$

where  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta. Then  $L = H \oplus X \oplus Y$  as vector space, where  $H$  is an abelian subalgebra spanned by the  $H_i$  and  $X, Y$  are free Lie algebras freely generated by the  $X_i$  and the  $Y_i$  respectively. Put

$$P_{ij} = \text{ad}(X_i)^{1-A_{ij}} X_j, \quad Q_{ij} = \text{ad}(Y_i)^{1-A_{ij}} Y_j$$

for  $i \neq j$ . Let  $P$  be the ideal of  $X$  generated by the  $P_{ij}$  and let  $Q$  be the ideal of  $Y$  generated by the  $Q_{ij}$ . Then  $P, Q$  are ideals of  $L$  and  $L/(P+Q)$  is the split semi-simple Lie algebra with Cartan matrix  $A$ . For an ideal  $K$  of  $L$ ,  $L/K$  is finite-dimensional if and only if  $K \supseteq P+Q$ . Since every finite-dimensional quotient  $L/K$  is semi-simple,  $L$  is AFS.

### 3. Negative results

From the finite-dimensional case, one might conjecture that if  $(L, N)$  is an FS pair, then  $N$  is AFS. This is false.

**EXAMPLE 3.1.** Let  $L$  be the Lie algebra over a field of characteristic 0 constructed in Example 2.10 from a Cartan matrix  $A$  of rank  $l \geq 2$ . Then

$(L, P)$  is trivially an FS pair since  $P$  is contained in the kernel of every finite-dimensional representation of  $L$ . But  $P$ , being a subalgebra of the free Lie algebra  $X$ , is a free Lie algebra by the Širšov-Witt Theorem [4, page 331];  $P$  is infinite-dimensional, so it is free on more than one generator and therefore has finite-dimensional quotients not of the type 2.6(e).

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let  $X$  be the Lie algebra over the field  $F$  of characteristic  $p \neq 0$  defined by  $\langle x, y, z | xy = z, xz = yz = 0 \rangle$ , and let  $A = \langle a_0, a_1, \dots \rangle$ . We make  $A$  into an  $X$ -module by defining

$$xa_i = a_{i-1}, \quad ya_i = (i + 1)a_{i+1}, \quad za_i = a_i.$$

Then  $A$  has submodules  $A_n = \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{np-1} \rangle$ , and it is easily seen that these are the only proper submodules of  $A$ . Let  $E$  be the split extension of  $A$  by  $X$ . If  $K$  is an ideal of  $E$  with  $E/K$  finite-dimensional, then  $K \supseteq A$  and  $E/K$  is nilpotent. Thus  $E$  is AFS. Let  $N = \langle z, A \rangle$ . Then  $N \triangleleft E$ ,  $(E, N)$  is an FS pair, but  $N$  has the non-abelian 2-dimensional algebra as homomorphic image and so is not AFS.

From Theorem 2.6(f) and Corollary 2.4, one might conjecture that if  $L$  is AFS and  $V$  is a finite-dimensional  $L$ -module with  $V^L = 0$ , then  $H^p(L, V) = 0$  for all  $p$ . This is false.

EXAMPLE 3.3. We again use the construction and notations of Example 2.10, this time with Cartan matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $\alpha_i: H \rightarrow F$  be the root given by  $\alpha_i(H_j) = 2\delta_{ij}$  for  $i, j = 1, 2$ , and put  $\alpha_{mn} = m\alpha_1 + n\alpha_2$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $V$  be the irreducible  $L$ -module with highest weight  $\alpha_{11}$ .

We shall show that  $H^2(L, V) \neq 0$  by constructing a 2-cocycle  $z: L \times L \rightarrow V$  such that

$$(*) \quad z(H, L) = z(P^2, L) = z(Q^2, L) = z(X, X) = z(Y, Y) = 0$$

which we shall show is not a coboundary. For this, it is convenient to use a different set of generators for  $L$ . We put  $h_i = \frac{1}{2}H_i$  so  $\alpha_i(h_j) = \delta_{ij}$ , and put  $x_i = \frac{1}{2}X_i, y_i = Y_i$ . We put

$$x_{rs} = \text{ad}(x_1)^{r-1} \text{ad}(x_2)^{s-1}(x_1x_2) \quad \text{and} \quad y_{rs} = \text{ad}(y_1)^{r-1} \text{ad}(y_2)^{s-1}(y_1y_2)$$

for  $r, s \geq 1$ , and observe that the  $h_i, x_i, y_i, x_{rs}, y_{rs}$  form a basis of  $L$  modulo  $P^2 + Q^2$ . We have

$$(**) \quad \begin{aligned} x_1y_{rs} &\equiv -\binom{r}{2}y_{r-1,s} \pmod{Q^2}, & y_1x_{rs} &\equiv \binom{r}{2}x_{r-1,s} \pmod{P^2}, \\ x_2y_{rs} &\equiv -\binom{s}{2}y_{r,s-1} \pmod{Q^2}, & y_2x_{rs} &\equiv \binom{s}{2}x_{r,s-1} \pmod{P^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now  $V$  is 9-dimensional and has a basis consisting of eigenvectors for the weights  $\alpha_{mn}$  for  $m, n = -1, 0, 1$ . We shall denote the chosen eigenvector

for the weight  $\alpha_{mn}$  by  $v_{\alpha_{mn}}$  or  $v_{mn}$  interpreting this to be 0 if either  $m$  or  $n$  is outside  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ . As  $\rho(y_1)$  and  $\rho(y_2)$  commute, we may choose  $v_{11}$  arbitrarily and take  $v_{1-r, 1-s} = \rho(y_1)^r \rho(y_2)^s v_{11}$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 v_{mn} &= m v_{mn}, & x_1 v_{mn} &= v_{m+1, n}, & y_1 v_{mn} &= v_{m-1, n}, \\ h_2 v_{mn} &= n v_{mn}, & x_2 v_{mn} &= v_{m, n+1}, & y_2 v_{mn} &= v_{m, n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $m, n = -1, 0, 1$ .

**LEMMA 3.4.** *The recurrence relations*

- (a)  $\theta(p + 1, q, r, s) = \theta(p, q, r, s) + \binom{r}{2} \theta(p, q, r - 1, s)$  if  $p \leq r$ ,
- (b)  $\theta(p, q + 1, r, s) = \theta(p, q, r, s) + \binom{s}{2} \theta(p, q, r, s - 1)$  if  $q \leq s$ ,
- (c)  $\theta(p, q, r + 1, s) = \theta(p, q, r, s) + \binom{p}{2} \theta(p - 1, q, r, s)$  if  $r \leq p$ ,
- (d)  $\theta(p, q, r, s + 1) = \theta(p, q, r, s) + \binom{q}{2} \theta(p, q - 1, r, s)$  if  $s \leq q$ ,

together with  $\theta(1, 1, 1, 1) = 2$  and  $\theta(p, q, r, s) = 0$  if  $|p - r| > 1$  or  $|q - s| > 1$  or if any of  $p, q, r, s$  is 0, define a function  $\theta: \mathbb{N}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .

**PROOF.** It is clear that reductions using (a) or (c) commute with reductions using (b) or (d). We fix  $q, s$  denote  $\theta(p, q, r, s)$  by  $\theta(p, r)$  and prove that  $\theta(p, r)$  is well-defined (assuming  $\theta(1, 1) = \theta(1, q, 1, s)$  is defined). We suppose that  $\theta(p, r)$  is well-defined for all  $p, r \leq k$  and satisfies

- (i)  $\theta(p, r) = \theta(r, p)$ ,
- (ii)  $\theta(r, r) = r\theta(r, r - 1)$ .

We have two ways of calculating  $\theta(k + 1, k)$  which we must show give the same result. The rule (c) requires  $\theta(k + 1, k)$  to be

$$\theta(k + 1, k - 1) + \binom{k + 1}{2} \theta(k, k - 1) = \binom{k + 1}{2} \theta(k, k - 1)$$

since  $\theta(k + 1, k - 1) = 0$ , while the rule (a) gives the value

$$\theta(k, k) + \binom{k}{2} \theta(k, k - 1) = k\theta(k, k - 1) + \binom{k}{2} \theta(k, k - 1)$$

by (ii). As these are equal,  $\theta(k + 1, k)$  is well-defined and we have

$$(iii) \theta(k + 1, k) = \binom{k + 1}{2} \theta(k, k - 1).$$

Similarly we have  $\theta(k, k + 1) = \binom{k + 1}{2} \theta(k - 1, k)$ . Since  $\theta(k, k - 1) = \theta(k - 1, k)$ , it follows that  $\theta(k + 1, k) = \theta(k, k + 1)$ .

We have two ways of calculating  $\theta(k + 1, k + 1)$  which, by symmetry, give the same result. Thus  $\theta(k + 1, k + 1)$  is well-defined, and

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(k + 1, k + 1) &= \theta(k + 1, k) + \binom{k + 1}{2} \theta(k, k) && \text{(by (c))} \\ &= \theta(k + 1, k) + k \binom{k + 1}{2} \theta(k, k - 1) && \text{(by (ii))} \\ &= \theta(k + 1, k) + k \theta(k + 1, k) && \text{(by (iii))} \\ &= (k + 1) \theta(k + 1, k), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the induction.

We put

$$\begin{aligned} z(x_i, y_j) &= \delta_{ij} v_{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}, & z(x_{pq}, y_{rs}) &= \theta(p, q, r, s) v_{p-r, q-s}, \\ z(x_1, y_{rs}) &= -\delta_{1s} v_{1-r, -s}, & z(x_2, y_{rs}) &= \delta_{1r} v_{-r, 1-s}, \\ z(x_{pq}, y_1) &= -\delta_{1q} v_{p-1, q}, & z(x_{pq}, y_2) &= \delta_{1p} v_{p, q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (\*) and the requirement that  $z$  be bilinear and alternating, this defines  $z: L \times L \rightarrow V$ .

LEMMA 3.5.  $z$  is a cocycle.

PROOF. We have to show that

$$\delta z(a, b, c) = az(b, c) - bz(a, c) + cz(a, b) - z(ab, c) + z(ac, b) - z(bc, a)$$

vanishes for all triples  $a, b, c$  chosen from the  $h_i, x_i, y_i, x_{pq}, y_{rs}$ . Non-zero terms appear only if at least one of  $a, b, c$  is chosen from each of  $X, Y$ . Suppose  $b \in X_\beta$  and  $c \in Y_\gamma$ . Then  $z(b, c) \in V_{\beta+\gamma}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta z(h, b, c) &= hz(b, c) - z(hb - c) - z(b, hc) \\ &= (\beta + \gamma)(h)z(b, c) - z(\beta(h)b, c) - z(b, \gamma(h)c) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $h \in H$ . By symmetry, we need only consider cases with  $a, b \in X$  and  $c \in Y$ . One easily verifies that  $\delta z(x_1, x_2, y_j) = 0$ . Using (\*\*) we obtain

$$\delta z(x_1, x_2, y_{rs}) = \left( \delta_{1r} + \delta_{1s} - \theta(1, 1, r, s) + \binom{r}{2} + \binom{s}{2} \right) v_{1-r, 1-s}.$$

We need only consider the cases  $r, s = 1, 2$ . We then have  $\binom{r}{2} = \delta_{2r}$  and as  $\theta(1, 1, r, s) = \theta(1, 1, 1, 1) = 2$ , it follows that  $\delta z(x_1, x_2, y_{rs}) = 0$ .

All terms of  $\delta z(x_i, x_{pq}, y_j)$  are zero unless  $i = j$ . That  $\delta z(x_1, x_{pq}, y_1) = 0$  can easily be verified. All terms of  $\delta z(x_{pq}, x_{rs}, y_j)$  and of  $\delta z(x_{pq}, x_{rs}, y_{ij})$



are zero. There remains

$$\begin{aligned} \delta z(x_1, x_{pq}, y_{rs}) &= x_1 z(x_{pq}, y_{rs}) - z(x_{p+1, q} y_{rs}) + z(x_1 y_{rs}, x_{pq}) \\ &= \left( \theta(p, q, r, s) - \theta(p + 1, q, r, s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \binom{r}{2} \theta(p, q, r - 1, s) \right) v_{p-r+1, q-s} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by 3.4(a).

**LEMMA 3.6.** *z is not a coboundary.*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $z = \delta f$ . Then

$$f(h_1) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} v_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad f(h_2) = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} v_{ij}$$

for some  $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in F$ . By replacing  $f$  by  $f - \delta(\sum_{i,j} ia_{ij} v_{ij})$ , we may suppose  $a_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq 0$ . Now

$$0 = z(h_1, h_2) = \sum_{i,j} (ib_{ij} - ja_{ij}) v_{ij}.$$

Thus  $b_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq 0$  and  $a_{0j} = 0$  for  $j \neq 0$ . By replacing  $f$  by  $f - \delta(\sum_j j b_{0j} v_{0j})$ , we may further suppose  $b_{ij} = 0$  if  $j \neq 0$ . We then have  $f(h_i) = a_i v_{00}$  for some  $a_1, a_2 \in F$ . Now

$$0 = z(h_1, x_2) = h_1 f(x_2) - x_2 f(h_1) = h_1 f(x_2) - a_1 v_{01}.$$

But  $v_{01} \notin \text{im} \rho(h_1)$ . Therefore  $a_1 = 0$ . Similarly  $a_2 = 0$ . For any  $h \in H$  and  $x \in X_\alpha$ ,

$$0 = z(h, x) = hf(x) - xf(h) - f(hx) = hf(x) - \alpha(h)f(x).$$

Thus  $f(x) \in V_\alpha$ , so  $f(x_i) = \lambda_i v_{\alpha_i}$  and similarly  $f(y_i) = \mu_i v_{-\alpha_i}$  for some  $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in F$ . But then

$$z(x_i, y_j) = (\mu_j - \lambda_i) v_{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}$$

and we require  $\mu_j - \lambda_i = \delta_{ij}$  for  $i, j = 1, 2$ . These equations have no solution.

This completes the demonstration that Example 3.3 has the claimed properties.

**EXAMPLES 3.7.** Let  $E$  be the algebra over a field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$  constructed in 3.2 as the split extension of the  $X$ -module  $A$ . Let  $L = E/A_1$ . Then  $L$  is isomorphic to  $E$ , so  $L$  is AFS. Now  $A_1$  is an irreducible  $L$ -module and  $A_1^L = 0$ . But  $E$  is a non-split extension of  $A_1$  by  $L$ . Thus  $H^2(L, A_1) \neq 0$ .

### References

- [1] D. W. Barnes, 'Sortability of representations of Lie algebras', *J. Algebra* **27** (1973), 486–490.
- [2] R. Farnsteiner, 'On the vanishing of homology and cohomology groups of associative algebras', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **306** (1988), 651–665.
- [3] N. Jacobson, *Lie algebras*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Appl. Math., (John Wiley & Sons, New York and London, 1962).
- [4] W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory*, (Interscience-Wiley, New York, London, Sydney, 1966).
- [5] B. Mitra, B. R. Sitaram and K. C. Tripathy, 'Cohomology of Lie algebras with a non-trivial center', *J. Math. Phys.* **25** (1984), 443–444.
- [6] J-P. Serre, *Algèbres de Lie semi-simples complexes*, Benjamin, New York and Amsterdam, 1966.

Department of Pure Mathematics  
University of Sydney  
N.S.W. 2006  
Australia