is obvious, and if, as the writer understands Mr. Simpson to be inclined to think, obsidianites are bombs hurled enormous distances from terrestrial volcanoes, a thin skin of fused glass might be formed during their descent. To the writer, however, a meteoric origin seems the more probable.

Obsidianites are now known to have been found in the following localities in Malaysia:—Billiton (Verbeek, op. cit.); Mt. Moeriah, Djapara, Java (pp. 245, 246); Pleiari, Tanah Laut, S.E. Borneo (pp. 246, 247); Sungri Riam, Tanah Laut, S.E. Borneo (pp. 246, 247); Bungaran (Natuna Archipelago) (Krause, op. cit.); Blat and Gambang Valleys, Pahang; Gemas and Sungri Triang, Negri Sembilan (H. C. Robinson); Sudu Seremban, Negri Sembilan (L. Wray).[1]

NOTICES OF MEMOIRS.

British Association for the Advancement of Science. Abstract of Address to the Geological Section by Arthur Smith Woodward, LL.D., F.R.S., V.P.Z.S., Sec. G.S., Keeper of Geology in the British Museum, President of the Section. Winnipeg, Canada, August 26, 1909.

THE circumstances of the present meeting very clearly determine the subject of a general address to be expected from a student of extinct animals. The remarkable discoveries of fossil backboned animals made on the North American continent during the last fifty years suggest an estimate of the results achieved by the modern systematic methods of research; while the centenary celebration of the birth of Darwin makes it appropriate to consider the extent to which we may begin deducing the laws of organic evolution from the life of past ages as we now know it.

* * * * * * *

There has been an unfortunate tendency during recent years for the majority of geologists to relinquish the study of fossils in absolute despair. More ample material for examination and more exact methods of research have altered many erroneous names which were originally used; while the admission to scientific publications of too many mere literary exercises on the so-called 'law of priority' has now made it necessary to learn, not one, but several names for some of the genera and species which are commonly met with. Even worse, the tentative arrangement of fossils in 'genetic series' has led to the invention of a multitude of terms which often serve to give a semblance of scientific exactitude to the purest guesswork, and

[1] The Pahang obsidianites, like obsidianites elsewhere, have been stated to be pieces of slag resulting from smelting operations. A comparison of the physical and chemical properties of obsidianites and slag from a furnace in operation shows how wide of the mark this view is. A more interesting theory emanated from a Malay Rajah, who told the writer that he had collected a number of these stones and believed each to contain a gem in the centre. A valuation was asked for, and the writer felt sorry that it should fall to his lot to destroy the Rajah's belief by cracking one open for his inspection.

sometimes degenerate into a jargon which is naturally repellent to an educated mind. Nevertheless, I still hope to show that, with all these difficulties, there is so much of fundamental interest in the new work that it is worth while to make an effort to appreciate it.

* * * * * * *

In this connexion it is necessary to combat the mistaken popular belief that the main object of studying fossils is to discover the 'missing links' in the chain of life. We are told that the idea of organic evolution is not worthy of serious consideration until these links, precise in character, are forthcoming in all directions. Moreover, the critics who express this opinion are not satisfied to consider the simplest cases, such as are afforded by some of the lower grades of 'shell-fish' which live together in immense numbers and have limited powers of locomotion.

* * * * * * *

They even expect continual discoveries of links among the rarest of all fossils, those of the higher apes and man. The geologist, on the other hand, knowing well that he must remain satisfied with a knowledge of a few scattered episodes in the history of life which are always revealed by the merest accident, marvels that the discovery of 'missing links' is so constant a feature of his work. He is convinced that, if circumstances were more favourable, he would be able to satisfy the demand of the most exacting critic. He has found enough continuous series among the mollusca, for example, and so many suggestions of equally gradual series among the higher animals, that he does not hesitate to believe without further evidence in a process of descent with modification.

* * * * * * *

Among these general features which have been made clear by the latest systematic researches, I wish especially to emphasize the interest and significance of the persistent progress of life to a higher plane, which we observe during the successive geological periods. For I think palæontologists are now generally agreed that there is some principle underlying this progress much more fundamental than chance-variation or response to environment, however much these phenomena may have contributed to certain minor adaptations. Consider the case of the backboned animals, for instance, which I happen to have had special opportunities of studying.

We are not likely ever to discover the actual ancestors of animals on the backboned plan, because they do not seem to have acquired any hard skeleton until the latter part of the Silurian period, when fossils prove them to have been typical and fully developed, though low in the backboned scale. The ingenious researches and reasoning of Dr. W. H. Gaskell, however, have suggested the possibility that these animals originated from some early relatives of the scorpions and crustaceans. It is therefore of great interest to observe that the Eurypterids and their allies, which occupy this zoological position, were most abundant during the Silurian period, were represented by species of the largest size immediately afterwards at the beginning of the Devonian, and then gradually dwindled into insignificance. In

other words, there was a great outburst of Eurypterid life just at the time when backboned animals arose; and if some of the former were actually transformed into the latter, the phenomenon took place when their powers both of variation and of multiplication were at their maximum.

Fishes were already well established and distributed over perhaps the greater part of the Northern Hemisphere at the beginning of Devonian times; and then there began suddenly a remarkable impulse towards the production of lung-breathers, which is noticeable not only in Europe and North America but also probably so far away as Australia. In the middle and latter part of the Devonian period most of the true fishes had paddles, making them crawlers as much as swimmers; many of them differed from typical fishes, while agreeing with lung-breathers, in having the basis of the upper jaw fused with the skull, not suspended; and some of them exhibited both these features. Their few survivors at the present day (the Crossopterygians and Dipnoans) have also an air-bladder, which might readily become a lung. The characteristic fish-fauna of the Devonian period therefore made a nearer approach to the land animals than any group of fishes of later date; and it is noteworthy that in the Lower Carboniferous of Scotland—perhaps even in the Upper Devonian of North America, if footprints can be trusted—amphibians first appeared. In Upper Carboniferous times they became firmly established, and between that period and the Trias they seem to have spread all over the world; their remains having been found, indeed, in Europe, Spitzbergen, India, South Africa, North and South America, and Australia.

The Stegocephala or Labyrinthodonts, as these primitive amphibians are termed, were therefore a vigorous race; but the marsh-dwelling habits of the majority did not allow of much variation from the salamander pattern. Only in Upper Carboniferous and Lower Permian times did some of their smaller representatives (the Microsauria) become lizard-like, or even snake-like in form and habit; and then there suddenly arose the true reptiles. Still, these reptiles did not immediately replace the Stegocephala in the economy of nature; they remained quite secondary in importance at least until the Upper Permian, in most parts even until the dawn of the Triassic period. Then they began their flourishing career.

At this time the reptiles rapidly diverged in two directions. Some of them were almost exactly like the little *Sphenodon*, which still survives in some islands off New Zealand, only retaining more traces of their marsh-dwelling ancestors. The majority (the Anomodonts or Theromorphs) very quickly became so closely similar to the mammals that they can only be interpreted as indicating an intense struggle towards the attainment of the higher warm-blooded grade; and there is not much doubt that true mammals actually arose about the end of the Triassic period. Here again, however, the new race did not immediately replace the old, or exterminate it by unequal competition. Reptiles held their own on all lands throughout the Jurassic and Cretaceous periods, and it was not until the Tertiary that mammals began to predominate.

As to the beginning of the birds, it can only be said that towards the end of the Triassic period there arose a race of small Dinosaurs of the lightest possible build, exhibiting many features suggestive of the avian skeleton; so it is probable that this higher group also originated from an intensely restless early community of reptiles, in which all the variations were more or less in the right direction for advancement.

In short, it is evident that the progress of the backboned land animals during the successive periods of geological time has not been uniform and gradual, but has proceeded in a rhythmic manner. There have been alternations of restless episodes which meant real advance, with periods of comparative stability, during which the predominant animals merely varied in response to their surroundings, or degenerated, or gradually grew to a large size. There was no transition, for instance, between the reptiles of the Cretaceous period and the mammals which immediately took their place in the succeeding Eocene period: those mammals, as we have seen, had actually originated long ages before, and had remained practically dormant in some region which we have not yet discovered, waiting to burst forth in due time. During this retirement of the higher race the reptiles themselves had enjoyed an extraordinary development and adaptation to every possible mode of life in nearly all parts of the globe. We do not understand the phenomenon—we cannot explain it; but it is as noticeable in the geological history of fishes as in that of the land animals just considered. It seems to have been first clearly observed by the distinguished American naturalist, the late Professor Edward D. Cope, who termed the sudden fundamental advances 'expression points', and saw in them a manifestation of some inscrutable inherent 'bathmic force'.

* * * * * * *

The demonstration by fossils that many animals of the same general shape and habit have originated two or three times, at two or three successive periods, from two or three continually higher grades of life, is very interesting. To have proved, for example, that flying reptiles did not pass into birds or bats, that hoofed Dinosaurs did not change into hoofed mammals, and that Ichthyosaurs did not become porpoises, and to have shown that all these later animals were mere mimics of their predecessors, originating independently from a higher yet generalized stock, is a remarkable achievement. Still more significant, however, is the discovery that towards the end of their career through geological time totally different races of animals repeatedly exhibit certain peculiar features, which can only be described as infallible marks of old age.

The growth to a relatively large size is one of these marks, as we observe in the giant Pterodactyls of the Cretaceous period, the colossal Dinosaurs of the Upper Jurassic and Cretaceous, and the larger mammals of the Pleistocene and the present day. It is not, of course, all the members of a race that increase in size; some remain small until the end, and they generally survive long after the others are extinct; but it is nevertheless a common rule that the prosperous and typical representatives are successively larger

and larger, as we see them in the familiar cases of the horses and elephants of the Northern Hemisphere and the hooſed animals and armadillos of South America.

Another frequent mark of old age in races was first discussed and clearly pointed out by the late Professor C. E. Beecher, of Yale. It is the tendency in all animals with skeletons to produce a superfluity of dead matter, which accumulates in the form of spines or bosses as soon as the race they represent has reached its prime and begins to be on the down grade. Among familiar instances may be mentioned the curiously spiny Graptolites at the end of the Silurian period, the horned Pariasaurians at the beginning of the Trias, the armour-plated and horned Dinosaurs at the end of the Cretaceous, and the cattle or deer of modern Tertiary times. The last case—that of the deer—is specially interesting, because fossils reveal practically all the stages in the gradual development of the horns or antlers, from the hornless condition of the Oligocene species, through the simply forked small antlers of the Miocene species, to the largest and most complex of all antlers seen in *Cervus sedgwicki* from the Upper Pliocene and the Irish deer (*C. giganteus*) of still later times.

* * * * * * *

Finally, in connexion with these obvious symptoms of old age in races, it is interesting to refer to a few strange cases of the rapid disappearance of whole orders of animals, which had a practically worldwide distribution at the time when the end came. Local extinction, or the disappearance of a group of restricted geographical range, may be explained by accidents of many kinds; but contemporaneous universal extinction of widely spread groups, which are apparently not affected by any new competitors, is not so easily understood. The Dinosaurs, for instance, are known to have lived in nearly all lands until the close of the Cretaceous period; and, except perhaps in Patagonia, they were always accompanied until the end by a typically Mesozoic fauna. Their remains are abundant in the Wealden formation of Western Europe, in the deposits of a river which must have drained a great continent at the beginning of the Cretaceous period; they have also been found in a corresponding formation which covers a large area in the State of Bahia, in Brazil. They occur in great numbers in the freshwater Upper Cretaceous Laramie deposits of Western North America, and also in a similar formation of equally late date in Transylvania, South-East Europe. In only two of these regions (South-East Europe and Western North America) have any traces of mammals been found, and they are extremely rare fragments of animals as small as rats; so there is no reason to suppose that the Dinosaurs suffered in the least from any struggle with warm-blooded competitors. Even in Patagonia, where the associated mammal-remains belong to slightly larger and more modern animals, these fossils are also rare, and there is nothing to suggest competition. The race of Dinosaurs seems, therefore, to have died a natural death. The same may be said of the marine reptiles of the orders Ichthyosauria, Plesiosauria, and Mosasauria. They had a practically worldwide distribution in the seas of the

Cretaceous period, and the Mosasauria especially must have been extremely abundant and flourishing. Nevertheless, at the end of Cretaceous times they disappeared everywhere, and there was absolutely nothing to take their place until the latter part of the Eocene period, when whales and porpoises began to play exactly the same part. So far as we know, the higher race never even came in contact with the lower race; the marine mammals found the seas vacant, except for a few turtles and for one curious Rhynchocephalian reptile (*Champsosaurus*), which did not long survive. Another illustration of the same phenomenon is probably afforded by the primitive Carnivora (the so-called Sparassodonta), which were numerous in South America in the Lower Tertiary periods. They were animals with a brain as small as that of the thylacines and dasyures which now live in Tasmania. They appear to have died out completely before they were replaced by the cats, sabre-toothed tigers, and dogs, which came down south from North America over the newly emerged Isthmus of Panama at the close of the Pliocene period. At least, the remains of these old carnivores and their immigrant successors have never yet been found associated in any geological formation.

* * * * * * *

I am therefore still inclined to believe that the comparison of vital processes with certain purely physical phenomena is not altogether fanciful. Changes towards advancement and fixity which are so determinate in direction, and changes towards extinction which are so continually repeated, seem to denote some inherent property in living things, which is as definite as that of crystallization in inorganic substances. The regular course of these changes is merely hindered and modified by a succession of checks from their environment and by Natural Selection. Each separate chain of life, indeed, bears a striking resemblance to a crystal of some inorganic substance which has been disturbed by impurities during its growth, and has thus been fashioned with unequal faces, or even turned partly into a mere concretion. In the case of a crystal the inherent forces act solely on molecules of the crystalline subject itself, collecting them and striving, even in a disturbing environment, to arrange them in a fixed geometrical shape. In the case of a chain of life (or organic phylum) we may regard each successive animal as a temporary excrescence of colloid substance round the equally colloid germ-plasm which persists continuously from generation to generation. The inherent forces of this germ-plasm, therefore, act upon a consecutive series of excrescences (or animal bodies), struggling, not for geometrically arranged boundaries, but towards various other symmetries, and a fixity in number of multiple parts. When the extreme has been reached, activities cease, and sooner or later the race is dead.

Such are some of the most important general results to which the study of fossils has led during recent years; and they are conclusions which every new discovery appears to make more certain.

* * * * * * *

Serious difficulties have also become apparent during recent years in

determining exactly the origin of the mammals. For a long time after the discovery of the Anomodont or Theromorph reptiles in the Permian-Trias of South Africa, it seemed more and more probable that the mammals arose in that region. Even yet new reptiles from the Karroo formation are continually being described as making an astonishingly near approach to mammals; and, so far as the skeleton is concerned, the links between the two grades are now very numerous among South African fossils. Since these reptiles first attracted attention, however, they have gradually been found in the Permian and Trias of a large part of the world. Remains of them were first met with in India, then in North America, and next in Scotland, while during the last few years Professor W. Amalitzky has disinterred so many nearly complete skeletons in the north of Russia that we are likely soon to learn more about them from this European country than from the South African area itself. Quite lately I have received numerous bones from a red marl in Rio Grande do Sul, Southern Brazil, which show that not merely Anomodonts but also other characteristic Triassic land reptiles were likewise abundant in that region. We are therefore now embarrassed by the richness of the sources whence we may obtain the ancestors of mammals.

* * * * * * *

The mystery of the origin of the marine mammals of the order Sirenia and Cetacea appears to have been diminished by the discoveries of the Geological Survey of Egypt, and by those of Dr. Andrews and Dr. Fraas in the Eocene and Oligocene deposits of the Mokattam Hills and in the Fayûm. It is now clear that the Sirenians are closely related to the small primitive ancestors of the elephants; while, so far as the skull and dentition are concerned, we know nearly all the links between the early toothed whales (or Zeuglodonts) and the primitive ancestors of the Carnivora (or Creodonts). The most primitive form of Sirenian skull hitherto discovered, however, is not from Egypt, but from the other side of the world, Jamaica; and exactly the same Zeuglodonts, even with an associated sea-snake, occur so far away from Egypt as Alabama, U.S.A. The problem of the precise origin of these marine mammals is therefore not so simple as it would have appeared to be had we known only the Egyptian fossils. The progress of discovery, while revealing many most important generalities, has made it impossible to vouch for the accuracy of the details in any 'genealogical tree'.

* * * * * * *

It would be easy to multiply instances, but I think I have now said enough to show that every advance in the study of fossils reveals more problems than it solves. During the last two decades the progress in our knowledge of the extinct backboned animals has been truly astonishing, thanks especially to the great explorations in North America, Patagonia, Egypt, Madagascar, and South Africa. Whole groups have been traced a long way towards their origin; but with them have been found a number of previously unknown groups which complicate all questions of evolution to an almost bewildering extent. Animals formerly known only by fragments are now represented

by nearly complete skeletons, and several which appeared to have a restricted geographical range have now been found over a much wider area; but while this progress has been made, numerous questions have arisen as to the changing connexions of certain lands and seas which previously seemed to have been almost settled. The outlook both of zoology and geology has, therefore, been immensely widened, but the only real contribution to philosophy has been one of generalities. Some of the broad principles to which I have referred are now so clearly established that we can often predict what will be the main result of any given exploration, should it be successful in recovering skeletons. We are no longer bold enough to restore an entirely unknown extinct animal from a single bone or tooth, like the trustful Cuvierian school; but there are many kinds of bones and teeth of which we can determine the approximate geological age and probable associates, even if we have no exact knowledge of the animals to which they belong. A subject which began by providing material for wonder-books has thus been reduced to a science sufficiently precise to be of fundamental importance both to zoology and to geology; and its exactitude must necessarily increase with greater and greater rapidity as our systematic researches are more clearly guided by the experience we have already gained.

REVIEWS.

I.—The Natural History of Igneous Rocks. By Alfred Harker, M.A., F.R.S. 8vo; pp. xvi, 384, with 112 diagrams and 2 plates. Methuen & Co., London. Price 12*s.* 6*d.* net.

FOR more than twenty years Dr. Harker has advocated that the igneous rocks should generally be treated in reference to geological age and to the part which they have played in the tectonics of the earth's crust. Many papers have been published that consist of descriptions of the microscopic structure and mineral constituents of rocks, with little or no reference to the geological history of the region from which they have been collected. This descriptive side of petrology, or petrography, is but a part of the 'rational petrology' that should be dealt with in relation to historical geology.

It must be remembered, however, that in many cases it has not been possible to determine the particular age of intrusive rocks, and it is therefore not surprising that petrographical details have often been "relegated to a more or less perfunctory appendix" in various publications. Our knowledge, however, has vastly increased during the past quarter of a century, a fact very clearly shown in the volume before us.

While recognizing that "cosmogony is at least as much in the province of the geologist as in that of the astronomer", the author is content to refer very briefly to hypotheses relating to the genesis of our planet, and to the possible influence of radium on the temperature gradient, as "the present situation is so unsettled that one who is not directly led to discuss these large questions may legitimately adopt towards them an attitude frankly agnostic". Moreover, "the