



## Research Article

# Re-thinking the ‘Green Revolution’ in the Mediterranean world<sup>‡</sup>

Helena Kirchner<sup>1</sup>, Guillermo García-Contreras<sup>2</sup>, Corisande Fenwick<sup>3</sup>  
& Aleks Pluskowski<sup>4,\*</sup>


<sup>1</sup> Ciències de l'Antiguitat i de l'Edat Mitjana, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Departamento de Historia Medieval y Ciencias y Técnicas Historiográficas, Universidad de Granada, Spain

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Archaeology, University College London, UK

<sup>4</sup> Department of Archaeology, University of Reading, UK

\* Author for correspondence ✉ [a.g.pluskowski@reading.ac.uk](mailto:a.g.pluskowski@reading.ac.uk)



From the seventh century AD, successive Islamic polities were established around the Mediterranean. Historians have linked these caliphates with the so-called ‘Islamic Green Revolution’—the introduction of new crops and agricultural practices that transformed the economies of regions under Muslim rule. Increasingly, archaeological studies have problematised this largely text-based model of agrarian innovation, yet much of this research remains regionally and methodologically siloed. Focusing on the Western Mediterranean, the authors offer a theoretically informed, integrated environmental archaeology approach through which to contextualise the ecological impact of the Arab-Berber conquests. Its future application will allow a fuller evaluation of the scale, range and significance of agricultural innovations during the ‘medieval millennium’.

Keywords: Islamic Mediterranean, agriculture, crops, climate change, resilience, agrarian relations

## Introduction

The Arab conquests of the Byzantine and Sasanian empires, the two great powers of Late Antiquity, were one of the defining events in world history. At the height of its power, the first of the new Islamic polities, the Umayyad Caliphate, extended from the Indus to the Atlantic, its wealth and sophisticated political and fiscal systems outshining those of any

<sup>‡</sup>This article was originally published with an incorrect image included for [figure 1](#). All versions of the article have been updated to include the correct image and a correction notice has been prepared.

Received: 24 September 2021; Revised: 30 September 2022; Accepted: 30 October 2022

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Antiquity Publications Ltd. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

contemporaneous European power (Marsham 2020). Under successive dynasties, including the Abbasids, this vast empire fragmented into emirates and rival caliphates; in what became the 'Islamic West', the Almoravid and Almohad caliphates of the eleventh–thirteenth centuries straddled north-western Africa and south-western Europe. In recent years, the impact of Muslim rule on Mediterranean societies in North Africa, Iberia and Sicily has been the focus of extensive research, as has the resurgence of European trade with the Islamic and Byzantine worlds from the eighth century and the growth of commercial trans-Mediterranean routes from the ninth century. As a result of the Arab conquests, the shrinkage of the Byzantine Empire and the rise of new Christian states in Europe, a complex frontier between opposing societies emerged across the Mediterranean, defined above all by religious differences. Yet the subsequent history of the region was not exclusively shaped by successive waves of religious conflict, conversion and expulsion, but rather by a broader spectrum of cultural encounters.

The latter include the concept of an Islamic 'Green Revolution' (henceforth IGR), popularised by the economic historian Andrew Watson (1974), which refers to the introduction of fundamentally new agrarian practices within regions that came under Muslim rule. Largely extrapolating from later Arabic literature, Watson argued that the diffusion of 18 new crops (e.g. cotton, rice, citrus fruits) alongside novel agricultural technologies (especially irrigation and summer cropping), was facilitated by Arab migratory culture, leading to an intensification in arable production. These changes stimulated population growth, urbanisation, manufacturing and economic reorganisation across the medieval Islamic world, leaving a legacy of new crops and agricultural practices that influenced European and, ultimately, post-Columbian American societies.

In recent decades, fractious debate has prompted the re-examination of the IGR model. Historians critiquing Watson have demonstrated that irrigation technology was not wholly new as, for example, it had already been intensively used in Sasanian Mesopotamia (Campopiano 2017); meanwhile, crops (e.g. durum wheat and cotton) were exploited in the Mediterranean before the Arab conquests. Moreover, critics argued that Watson overestimated the extent of the impact of the new introductions, as barley and wheat remained the dominant staple grains, while newly introduced rice was never widely produced within the Mediterranean or Mesopotamia (Decker 2009). In short, the scope and chronology of the original IGR model was deemed to be flawed (Squatriti 2014). Indeed, Watson (2007) revised some of his own conclusions. Another challenge has been the difficulty of connecting the agronomic literature with the physical evidence for the dissemination of IGR species (Retamero 1998); nonetheless, here, some archaeological findings have raised questions about the chronology of Watson's model in relation to specific crops and cultivars (Decker 2009; Fuks *et al.* 2020).

The clearest archaeobotanical evidence for IGR plants comes from outside the Mediterranean in the Red Sea port of Quseir al-Qadim where taro, sugarcane, aubergine, lime, banana and a new cultivar of watermelon were introduced in the eleventh–thirteenth centuries, while other crops such as sorghum, cotton, pearl millet, citrus fruits and rice became more extensively cultivated during this period (Van der Veen 2010). While some of these crops were cultivated in the Roman and Late Antique periods in zones such as the irrigated oases of Fazzan in south-western Libya (Pelling 2008), growing archaeological evidence for IGR plants points to their first appearance during the Middle Ages, including Israel (Jerusalem; Fuks *et al.* 2020), Spain (Ibira and Tortosa; Alonso *et al.* 2014; Peña-Chocarro *et al.* 2019), Sicily (Mazara del Vallo;




Figure 1. Map showing the Arab (and later Berber) conquests in the Mediterranean, and sites, mentioned in the text, from which archaeobotanical remains of Watson's IGR species have been recovered. Dates: Tortosa tenth–twelfth century, Ilbira ninth–eleventh century, Volubilis seventh–ninth century, Mazara del Vallo ninth–tenth century, Fezzan eighth–ninth century, Quseir al-Qadim second–thirteenth century, Jerusalem eighth–ninth century (figure by the authors).

Carver & Molinari 2020: 143) and Morocco (Volubilis; Fuller & Pelling 2018; Figure 1). Yet, despite Van der Veen's (2010) call to broaden the categories of agricultural innovations incorporated into the IGR model to include animals, growing conditions, tools and management practices, environmental archaeologists have refined their methods (Fuks *et al.* 2020) but remained largely focused on phytogeography, or the timing and diffusion of IGR crops.

Meanwhile, archaeologists working at the landscape scale have reshaped our understanding of the impact of the Arab conquests, and the roles of subsequent regimes and population movements on land use. New understandings of long-term landscape changes have encompassed Syria (e.g. Braemer *et al.* 2009), the southern Levant (e.g. McQuitty 2005; Avni 2020), North Africa (Leone & Mattingly 2004; Fenwick 2020: 81–104; Fenwick *et al.* 2021) and Sicily (Carver & Molinari 2020). The most detailed studies have focused on Iberian landscapes, where the issues of continuity and transformation in land use have divided opinions (Glick & Kirchner 2000; Beltrán & Willi 2011; Esquilache 2018). Here, it is evident that the introduction in the medieval period of new technologies, such as the *qanāt* (system of tapping alluvial aquifers and conducting the water along underground tunnels by gravity to the surface) or the water lifting wheel (*sāniya*) were accompanied by the reorganisation of land use, alongside new legal and social frameworks to manage water conservation (Glick & Kirchner 2000). Research has established the agency of peasants in driving such agricultural innovations, as well as the impact of later Christian conquests and the associated reorganisation of the Andalusí landscape (Torró & Guinot 2012, 2018; Kirchner 2022). Levantine and Iberian studies have led the way in combining suites of archaeological and historical data in high-resolution localised studies (e.g. Esquilache 2018; Kirchner 2020; Kirchner & Sabaté 2021).

These developments prompt us to reframe the IGR in broader terms—as the long-term environmental impact of the Arab (and later Berber) conquests and subsequent population

movements. Focusing on the Mediterranean, in this article, we argue for an integrated environmental archaeology of medieval societies as a means of assessing the socio-ecological impact of successive states, in relation to earlier and later societies in this region. Our aim is to provide a methodological framework and highlight theoretical tools that archaeologists working on medieval Mediterranean societies will find useful for contextualising their data. The hope is that this will result in a more nuanced and holistic understanding of how past societies responded to environmental challenges, including climatic variability, within this ideologically divided yet highly connected region.

## **A new approach to the 'Green Revolution'**

In moving beyond established approaches to the IGR, a broader ecological perspective can encompass the inter-connections between plants, animals, soils and water, set within the context of a fluctuating climate. While the scope of the original IGR thesis spanned the early medieval Islamic world, subsequent detailed studies have typically focused on individual regions. Building on the latter, a comparative, inter-regional approach offers the possibility to assess the homogeneity or diversity of the IGR's impact across the Mediterranean. As originally conceived, the IGR was defined as a phenomenon of the early medieval period, but agricultural change evidently occurred over a much longer period and so a broader chronological focus is required to contextualise trends and to characterise change and continuity. In broadening the timespan and moving away from the earlier emphasis on crop introductions and their diffusion, the theoretical tools of adaptive cycles and resilience enable a more meaningful interpretation of the IGR.

### *Expanding the range of data*

The collection of evidence for IGR crops has relied on archaeobotanical research to identify plant remains in the form of macrofossils such as seeds, grains and fruits from archaeological contexts (Fuks *et al.* 2020). The somewhat erratic identification of IGR species reflects the need for specific preservation conditions and the use of appropriate sampling and processing techniques. Depositional contexts, whether in discrete pits or embedded within occupation horizons, typically represent traces of storage and consumption. What is usually absent or poorly represented in these contexts, is the connection between consumption and production. Plant macrofossils can indirectly inform us about production through their use in the coarse-grained reconstruction of land use; the analysis of plant carbon isotopes may allow for differentiation between irrigated versus rainfed agriculture, nitrogen isotopes may illuminate manuring practices, and potentially sulphur isotopes may allow identification of crop provenance; DNA analysis offers insights into crop evolution and diffusion. Higher resolution analyses of crop production require palynological evidence for the reconstruction of spatial and diachronic vegetation histories, combined with landscape and hydraulic archaeological approaches (e.g. Esquilache 2018; Kirchner 2020). These include retrogressive cartography for reconstructing the long-term biographies of land use and a consideration of archaeological features associated with agriculture, including sugar factories, mills, granaries, stores and the portable material culture associated with processing, transporting and

consuming these crops (Glick & Kirchner 2000; Kirchner 2010). Landscape reconstructions are, in turn, informed by geoarchaeological studies of buried soils and sediments (Puy & Balbo 2013; Puy *et al.* 2014). Pollen profiles from lacustrine, marine and terrestrial sediments, which can provide a robust chronology for vegetation change, are preserved unevenly across the Mediterranean, however, refined OSL techniques now make it increasingly possible to date the construction and maintenance of field systems (e.g. Bailiff *et al.* 2015; Brown *et al.* 2020; Turner *et al.* 2021). Together, these types of data and analyses can provide a diachronic, multi-scalar understanding of agricultural production, which can be connected with the archaeological traces of consumption documented at settlement sites.

The role of animals in the IGR has often been sidelined in favour of crops. Yet plants and animals were inter-connected, both in terms of livestock provisioning through grazing and fodder, and the role of key stock species in driving demographic and economic growth. Zooarchaeological studies, alongside written sources, have demonstrated the long-term impact of conquest and migration on changes in livestock rearing, the introduction of new breeds, levels of hunting and fishing, scales of manufacturing utilising animal products, and shifts in butchery technology. If food is a defining feature of the IGR, then the role of meat must be included. Noticeable changes in livestock husbandry regimes, with an emphasis on caprines and a reduction in pig rearing, can be seen following the conquests in the Levant (Marom *et al.* 2019), North Africa (King 2018), Iberia (Davis 2008; García-García & Moreno-García 2018) and Sicily (Aniceti 2019). These innovations reflected the introduction of new culinary practices, which can also be detected in changing ceramic forms, in residue analyses of pottery vessels and through stable isotope analyses of human bone to reconstruct dietary signatures at individual, group and societal levels (Alexander 2016; Lundy *et al.* 2021).

The connection between livestock and land use is fundamental, with pasture—including drylands, wetlands, woodlands and uplands—defined by adequate grazing, water and access to salt (García-Contreras Ruiz 2011). Alongside data on land use, the intensity and spatial distribution of large-scale livestock rearing can be traced through organic geochemistry. This includes species-specific compounds produced in the stomachs of certain animal species that are preserved in sediments, indicating their former presence in the landscape (Linseele *et al.* 2013), manure within terrace soils (Bull *et al.* 2001), and sedimentary DNA and coprophilous spores in lake cores providing important measures of the diachronic intensity of large-scale livestock rearing (Giguët-Covex *et al.* 2014; Baker *et al.* 2016). Stable isotope analyses of animal bones from settlement sites can provide unique insights into foddering practices over time, connecting with off-site datasets for the management of pasture. Like crop cultivation, animal exploitation was socially managed and involved a range of agents.

The essential backdrop to agricultural production, and one that has only been casually incorporated into previous studies of the IGR, is the role of climate, and specifically, fluctuations in temperature and precipitation. Fluctuating climate resulted in unprecedented droughts during the sixth century, undermining the resilience of the Himyar kingdom in Arabia which in turn provided opportunities for the expansion of new Islamic polities (Fleitmann *et al.* 2022). On the other hand, cultural factors were more important drivers for resource management in the Levantine crusader states and later Mamluk state, than climatic trends (Xoplaki *et al.* 2018). A substantial body of climate data now covers the

Mediterranean for the last two millennia, albeit with unevenly distributed proxies (Labuhn *et al.* 2018; Lüning *et al.* 2019). Palaeoclimatic reconstructions indicate that the Mediterranean experienced climatic 'flip-flops' between the East and West. Much of Iberia experienced a dry period during the Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA; AD 900–1350), while the Atlantic side of the peninsula saw increased humidity due to a persistent positive North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) index, a fluctuating weather phenomenon that influences surface pressure (Trouet *et al.* 2009; Moreno *et al.* 2012; Lüning *et al.* 2019). The onset of the Little Ice Age between 1350 and 1850, in turn, is associated with generally wetter and colder climate conditions due to a negative shift in the NAO index. Regional and local variations, however, require further exploration and synchronisation with socio-environmental changes; levels of aridity appear to have peaked between 1200 and 1350 as indicated by the low frequency of large floods in Iberia (Luterbacher *et al.* 2012), but not, for example, in Tunisia (Lüning *et al.* 2018). Moreover, responses to climate-related challenges have been shaped by cultural choices (Xoplaki *et al.* 2018). Future climate work should focus on synthesising existing data and obtaining new proxies to investigate regional and local differences. The methods outlined above represent a framework into which such individual datasets can be inserted to achieve a cumulative and increasingly nuanced synthesis.

#### *Expanding the temporal and spatial range*

A comparative approach to the IGR necessitates a radical expansion of its original chronological scope to take in the 'medieval millennium' of the sixth to sixteenth centuries, starting in the century before the Arab conquests and running through to the extension of Ottoman rule into North Africa. Such a long-term perspective will allow the IGR to be contextualised, encompassing not only earlier innovations adopted and scaled up by Muslim agriculturalists, but also subsequent adaptations and innovations adopted by Islamic and Christian societies in the later medieval period. This broader timeframe also meshes well with the chronological resolution typically used in palynological and climate studies.

The IGR model is often critiqued in relation to the Mediterranean because some of the IGR crops were already cultivated in certain regions during Antiquity. Chronologically, the picture is far more complicated. In North Africa, for example, some IGR crops were indeed cultivated in particular ecological niches in Antiquity, such as the irrigated oases of the Libyan Fazzan (to the south of the Roman Empire), but seem to be cultivated more widely from Late Antiquity and the Middle Ages (Fenwick 2020: 95–6). For example, while durum wheat was cultivated in the Fazzan in Late Antiquity, it is attested in coastal Tunisia no earlier than the seventh century; in Morocco, it appears for the first time in the eighth to ninth centuries and in Algeria in the tenth century. Other newly introduced crops demonstrate their own pace and tempo. In Morocco, einkorn and emmer wheat are attested in the Neolithic, but not during subsequent millennia, and were seemingly reintroduced in the medieval period, perhaps related to increased connections with al-Andalus, where they formed an important staple during Antiquity (Fuller & Pelling 2018).

Alongside the varied tempo of known IGR introductions, there is evidence for the adaptation of existing uses of the landscape by Arab and Berber migrants, as well as the resilience of conquered communities. Examples of later innovations are also evident. In the Levant, the

Crusading period (AD 1099–1291) is associated with a visible industrialisation of the agrarian landscape, more intensive than in the preceding Fatimid period and connected with specific forms of governance, particularly the military orders (Pluskowski *et al.* 2011). In Iberia, the Christian conquests from the twelfth century were followed by a colonisation process that involved population displacement and settlement of new Christian communities resulting in the opening of new areas of cultivation, crop substitutions and substantial changes in water distribution in irrigated areas (Torró & Guinot 2012, 2018; Kirchner 2020, 2022). The peak in terrace construction activity in parts of Iberia, Greece and Turkey also coincides with the late medieval period (Turner *et al.* 2021). Data from this period, which has traditionally been studied separately from the earlier medieval Mediterranean, are essential for fully contextualising the IGR. In the same way, incorporating data from neighbouring regions that never formed part of any of the Islamic polities will provide an essential control, and test the permeability of the ideological frontier. In this respect, the innovative nature of the environmental transformations associated with the IGR can be effectively contextualised in both time and space.

### *Theoretical tools*

Gauging the relative significance of the IGR requires suitable theoretical tools. Theoretical approaches to the archaeology of the IGR have included middle-range theory for reconstructing agricultural developments from archaeobotanical data (Fuks *et al.* 2020), as well as a recognition of multi-scalar agency in driving innovations. For example, in Islamic Iberia, distinctions can be made between peasant agency shaping agrarian strategies, and the codification of new cultivation techniques by Andalusí agronomists representing the elite stratum of society (Retamero 1998). This is also visible in the choices regarding agricultural management made by successive Islamic and Christian societies (Kirchner & Sabaté 2021; Kirchner 2022). In the eastern Mediterranean, the agency of political and commercial actors is visible during the Crusading period, when grain was principally sourced from Sicily and southern Italy, which experienced sub-optimal growing conditions at this time, despite the more favourable conditions conducive to agrarian intensification in parts of the Levant (Xoplaki *et al.* 2018).

Theories of resilience and adaptive cycles offer great potential for understanding the IGR, by framing it within a sequence of adaptations to environmental and social stresses in the Mediterranean during the ‘medieval millennium’. Commonly used within climate change archaeology, and occasionally applied to the medieval period, these theories recognise that societies undergo cycles of transformation reflecting the dynamic interaction of environmental factors and human actions, enabling continuities, tipping points and loci of resilience—the ability to withstand or adapt to stresses (Burke *et al.* 2021). This model has been effectively used in the study of relationships between climate change, societal fragmentation and state formation (Holling 2001). Regional analyses of past climate change have demonstrated responses were not necessarily synchronous and could result in different outcomes, with varying degrees of resilience. In the case of the medieval Mediterranean, the historically documented sequences of state formation, expansion, disintegration and reorganisation, can be linked to these adaptive cycles, by drawing on the synthesis of

archaeological, palaeoenvironmental and historical data resulting from the integrated environmental archaeology methodology proposed above.

## **Moving forward**

In this article we have argued for the need to reframe scholarly approaches to the agrarian impact of the Arab conquests in the Mediterranean world by moving beyond the traditional foci of new crops and irrigation to include a broader range of data, with the aim of connecting production with consumption. We have proposed an integrated environmental archaeological framework for Mediterranean societies during the medieval millennium, which provides the opportunity for existing and future archaeological and palaeoenvironmental studies to be integrated and contextualised. This involves broadening the traditional chronological focus of the IGR (seventh–tenth centuries) to include subsequent adaptation and resilience within later Islamic polities such as the Almohad Caliphate, as well as Christian states created through the related processes of conquest and migration. We advocate more than simply ‘big data palaeoecology’, as our approach involves the integration of conventional archaeological datasets for settlement and the long-term development of cultural landscapes. While the agency of migrating Islamic peasants has been widely documented in Iberia (Kirchner 2020), the variable roles of urban elites and central authorities in driving environmental transformations, particularly in later centuries, requires further scrutiny.

This theoretical toolkit, when utilised for inter-regional synthesis, will enable diverse and complex datasets to be meaningfully contextualised within the sequence of adaptive cycles that can highlight the tempo and longevity of innovations. This will result in a step change in our understanding of how successive societal transformations shaped, and were shaped by, environments across the Mediterranean during a period of significant climatic fluctuations. In the process, there is a possibility that the relative significance of the IGR may diminish, at least for some regions, but the approach will enable us to identify and evaluate the long-term ecological legacy of the Arab conquests both within the Islamic polities of the Mediterranean and across neighbouring European and African regions, and even through to the Americas. The approach outlined in this paper will be implemented in the project “Re-thinking the Green Revolution in the Medieval Western Mediterranean (6th–16th centuries)”, recently funded by the European Research Council (grant agreement 101071726).

## **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank the following colleagues for their extensive discussions which contributed to this paper: R.Y. Banerjea, A. Brown, M. Alexander, A. Malpica Cuello, M. García-García, D. Fleitmann, R. Pelling, F. Retamero and A. Virgili.

## **Funding statement**

This article was written during the development of the MEDGREENREV project, now funded by the European Research Council as project 101071726 — MEDGREENREV 2023–2029. A European Research Council grant for the EVERYDAYISLAM Project (grant no. 949367) supported Corisande Fenwick’s time in writing this article. Guillermo



García-Contreras was PI on the project A-HUM-308-UGR18- Medio Natural y Sociedad en la Andalucía Medieval (MENASAM), as well as International Co-I on the Landscapes of (Re) conquest project, funded by the AHRC (AH/R013861/1); Helena Kirchner was PI on PID2020-112764GB-I00- Agricultural Organisations and Iberian Conquests (12th–16th centuries): Comparative Studies, and Aleks Pluskowski was PI on the Landscapes of (Re)conquest project.

## References

- ALEXANDER, M.M. 2016. The application of stable isotope analysis to explore diets in late medieval Spain, in J.A. Quirós Castillo (ed.) *Demografía, paleopatología y desigualdad social en la Edad Media en el Norte Peninsular*: 245–62. Bilbao: Universidad del País Vasco.
- ALONSO, N., F. ANTOLÍN & H. KIRCHNER. 2014. Novelties and legacies in crops of the Islamic period in the northeast Iberian Peninsula: the archaeobotanical evidence in Madīna Balagī, Madīna Lārīda, and Madīna Turṭūša. *Quaternary International* 346: 149–61.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2014.04.026>
- ANICETI, V. 2019. Animals and their roles in the medieval society of Sicily: from Byzantines to Arabs and from Arabs to Norman/Swabians. Unpublished PhD dissertation, University of Sheffield.
- AVNI, G. 2020. Terraced fields, irrigation systems and agricultural production in early Islamic Palestine and Jordan: continuity and innovation. *Journal of Islamic Archaeology* 7: 111–37.  
<https://doi.org/10.1558/jia.17679>
- BAILLIF, I.K. et al. 2015. Luminescence dating of irrigation systems: application to a qanat in Aragón, Spain. *Quaternary Geochronology* 30(B): 452–59.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2015.02.016>
- BAKER, A.G. et al. 2016. Quantification of population sizes of large herbivores and their long-term functional role in ecosystems using dung fungal spores. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 7: 1273–81.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12580>
- BELTRÁN LLORIS, F. & A. WILLI. 2011. El regadío en la Hispania romana: estado de la cuestión. *Cuadernos de prehistoria y arqueología de la Universidad de Granada* 21: 9–56.
- BRAEMER, F. et al. 2009. Long-term management of water in the Central Levant: the Hawran case (Syria). *World Archaeology* 41: 36–57.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00438240802666424>
- BROWN, A. et al. 2020. European agricultural terraces and lynchets: from archaeological theory to heritage management. *World Archaeology* 52: 566–88.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00438243.2021.1891963>
- BULL, I.D., P.P. BETANCOURT & R.P. EVERSLED. 2001. An organic geochemical investigation of the practice of manuring at a Minoan site on Pseira Island, Crete. *Geoarchaeology* 16: 223–42.  
[https://doi.org/10.1002/1520-6548\(200102\)16:2<223::AID-GEA1002>3.0.CO;2-7](https://doi.org/10.1002/1520-6548(200102)16:2<223::AID-GEA1002>3.0.CO;2-7)
- BURKE, A. et al. 2021. The archaeology of climate change: the case for cultural diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 118: e2108537118.  
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2108537118>
- CAMPOPIANO, M. 2017. Cooperation and private enterprise in water management in Iraq: continuity and change between the Sasanian and early Islamic periods (sixth to tenth centuries). *Environment and History* 23: 385–407.  
<https://doi.org/10.3197/096734017X14979473873867>
- CARVER, M. & A. MOLINARI. 2020. Sicily and England: Norman transitions compared, in E.A. Winkler, L. Fitzgerald & A. Small (ed.). *Designing Norman Sicily: material culture and society*: 133–65. Woodbridge: Boydell.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv12sdx7.13>
- DAVIS, S.J.M. 2008. Zooarchaeological evidence for Moslem and Christian improvements of sheep and cattle in Portugal. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 35: 991–1010.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2007.07.001>
- DECKER, M. 2009. Plants and progress: rethinking the Islamic agricultural revolution. *Journal of World History* 20: 187–206.  
<https://doi.org/10.1353/jwh.0.0058>

- ESQUILACHE, F. 2018. *Els constructors de l'horta de València: origen, evolució i estructura social d'una gran horta andalusina entre els segles VIII i XIII*. València: Universitat de València.
- FENWICK, C. 2020. *Early Islamic North Africa: a new perspective*. London: Bloomsbury.
- FENWICK, C. *et al.* 2021. A medieval boom in the north-west Sahara: evolving oasis landscapes in the Wadi Draa, Morocco (c. 700–1500 AD). *Journal of Islamic Archaeology* 8: 139–65. <https://doi.org/10.1558/jia.20440>
- FLEITMANN, D. *et al.* 2022. Droughts and societal change: the environmental context for the emergence of Islam in late Antique Arabia. *Science* 376/6599: 1317–1321. <https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.abg4044>
- FULLER, D.Q. & R. PELLING. 2018. Plant economy: archaeobotanical studies, in E. Fentress & H. Limane (ed.) *Volubilis après Rome: Les fouilles UCL/INSAP, 2000-2005*: 349–68. Leiden: Brill. [https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004371583\\_020](https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004371583_020)
- FUKS, D., A. ORIYA & W. EHUD. 2020. Innovation or preservation? Abbasid aubergines, archaeobotany, and the Islamic Green Revolution. *Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences* 12: 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12520-019-00959-5>
- GARCÍA-CONTRERAS RUIZ, G. 2011. Production and use of salt in al-Andalus: state of the art and perspective for its study, in J. Klápšte & P. Sommer (ed.) *Food in the medieval rural environment: processing, storage, distribution of food* (Ruralia 8): 31–43. Turnhout: Brepols. <https://doi.org/10.1484/M.RURALIA-EB.1.100154>
- GARCÍA-GARCÍA, M. & M. MORENO-GARCÍA. 2018. De huertas y rebaños: reflexiones históricas y ecológicas sobre el papel de la ganadería en al-Andalus y aportaciones arqueozoológicas para su estudio. *Historia Agraria* 76: 7–48. <https://doi.org/10.26882/histagrar.076e01g>
- GIGUET-COVEX, C. *et al.* 2014. Long livestock farming history and human landscape shaping revealed by lake sediment DNA. *Nature Communications* 5: 3211. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4211>
- GLICK, T.F. & H. KIRCHNER. 2000. Hydraulic systems and technologies of Islamic Spain: history and archaeology, in P. Squatriti (ed.) *Working with water in medieval Europe*: 267–329. Leiden: Brill. [https://doi.org/10.1163/9789047400110\\_011](https://doi.org/10.1163/9789047400110_011)
- HOLLING, C.S. 2001. Understanding the complexity of economic, ecological and social systems. *Ecosystems* 4: 390–405. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-001-0101-5>
- KING, A. 2018. The faunal remains, in E. Fentress & H. Limane (ed.) *Volubilis après Rome*: 369–86. Leiden: Brill. [https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004371583\\_021](https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004371583_021)
- KIRCHNER, H. (ed.). 2010. *Por una arqueología agraria. Perspectivas de investigación sobre espacios de cultivo en las sociedades medievales hispánicas*. Oxford: Archaeopress. <https://doi.org/10.30861/9781407305530>
- 2020. Archaeology of the peasantry in the early medieval age: reflections and proposals. *Imago Temporis Medium Aevum* 14: 61–102. <https://doi.org/10.21001/itma.2020.14.02>
- 2022. Hydraulic technology as means of Christian colonisation: watermills and channels in the Lower Ebro (Catalonia). *World Archaeology* 53: 862–80. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00438243.2021.2015622>
- KIRCHNER, H. & F. SABATÉ (ed.). 2021. *Irrigation, drainage, dry agriculture and pastures in Al-Andalus*. Turnhout: Brepols.
- LABUHN, I., *et al.* 2018 (for 2016). Climatic changes and their impacts in the Mediterranean during the first millennium AD. *Late Antique Archaeology* 12: 65–88. <https://doi.org/10.1163/22134522-12340067>
- LEONE, A. & D. MATTINGLY. 2004. Vandal, Byzantine and Arab rural landscapes in North Africa, in N. Christie (ed.) *Landscapes of change*: 135–62. London: Routledge.
- LINSEELE, V. *et al.* 2013. Species identification of archaeological dung remains: a critical review of potential methods. *Environmental Archaeology* 18: 5–17. <https://doi.org/10.1179/1461410313Z.00000000019>
- LUNDY, J. *et al.* 2021. New insights into early medieval Islamic cuisine: organic residue analysis of pottery from rural and urban Sicily. *PLoS ONE* 16: e0252225. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0252225>
- LÜNING, S. *et al.* 2018. Hydroclimate in Africa during the Medieval Climate Anomaly.

- Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 495: 309–22.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2018.01.025>
- 2019. The Medieval Climate Anomaly in the Mediterranean region. *Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology* 34: 1625–49.  
<https://doi.org/10.1029/2019PA003734>
- LUTERBACHER, J. *et al.* 2012. A review of 2000 years of palaeoclimatic evidence in the Mediterranean, in P. Lionello (ed.) *The Mediterranean climate: from past to future*: 87–185. Amsterdam: Elsevier.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-416042-2.00002-1>
- MAROM, N. *et al.* 2019. Zooarchaeology of the social and economic upheavals in the late antique–early Islamic sequence of the Negev Desert. *Scientific Reports* 9: 1–10.  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43169-8>
- MARSHAM, A. (ed.) 2020. *The Umayyad world*. London: Routledge.  
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315691411>
- MCQUITTY, A. 2005. Focus on Islam II: the rural landscape of Jordan in the seventh–nineteenth centuries AD: the Kerak Plateau. *Antiquity* 79: 327–38. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00114127>
- MORENO, A. *et al.* 2012. The Medieval Climate Anomaly in the Iberian Peninsula reconstructed from marine and lake records. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 43: 16–32.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.04.007>
- PELLING, R. 2008. Garamantian agriculture: the plant remains from Jarma, Fazzan. *Libyan Studies* 39: 41–71. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0263718900009997>
- PEÑA-CHOCARRO, L. *et al.* 2019. Roman and medieval crops in the Iberian Peninsula: a first overview of seeds and fruits from archaeological sites. *Quaternary International* 499: 49–66.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2017.09.037>
- PLUSKOWSKI, A.G., A. BOAS & C. GERRARD. 2011. The ecology of crusading: investigating the environmental impact of holy war and colonisation at the frontiers of medieval Europe. *Medieval Archaeology* 55: 192–225.  
<https://doi.org/10.1179/174581711X13103897378564>
- PUY, A. & A.L. BALBO. 2013. The genesis of irrigated terraces in al-Andalus: a geoarchaeological perspective on intensive agriculture in semi-arid environments (Ricote, Murcia, Spain). *Journal of Arid Environments* 89: 45–56.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2012.10.008>
- PUY, A., A.L. BALBO, A. VIRGILI & H. KIRCHNER. 2014. The evolution of Mediterranean wetlands in the first millennium AD: the case of Les Arenes floodplain (NE Spain). *Geoderma* 232/234: 219–35.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.05.001>
- RETAMERO, F. 1998. Un conjunto de reglas sabias y ordenadas: la disciplina agraria del sultán, in C. Laliena & J.F. Utrilla (ed.) *De Toledo a Huesca: sociedades medievales en transición a finales del siglo XI (1080–1100)*: 75–91. Zaragoza: Institución Fernando el Católico.
- SQUATRITI, P. 2014. Of seeds, seasons and seas: Andrew Watson’s medieval agrarian revolution forty years later. *Journal of Economic History* 74: 1205–20.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022050714000904>
- TORRÓ, J. & E. GUINOT (ed.) 2012. *Hidráulica agraria y sociedad feudal*. València: Universitat de València.
- TORRÓ, J. & E. GUINOT. 2018. *Trigo y ovejas. El impacto de las conquistas en los paisajes andaluses (siglos XI–XVI)*. València: Universitat de València.
- TROUET, V. *et al.* 2009. Persistent positive North Atlantic Oscillation Mode dominated the Medieval Climate Anomaly. *Science* 324: 78–80.  
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1166349>
- TURNER, S. *et al.* 2021. Agricultural terraces in the Mediterranean: medieval intensification revealed by OSL profiling and dating. *Antiquity* 95: 773–90. <https://doi.org/10.15184/ay.2020.187>
- VAN DER VEEN, M. 2010. *Consumption, trade and innovation: exploring the botanical remains from the Roman and Islamic ports at Quseir al-Qadim, Egypt*. Frankfurt: Africa Magna.
- WATSON, A.M. 1974. The Arab agricultural revolution and its diffusion, 700–1100. *Journal of Economic History* 34: 8–35.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022050700079602>
- 2007. A case of non-diffusion: the non-adoption by Muslim Spain of the open-field system of Christian Europe: causes and consequences, in S. Cavaciocchi (ed.) *Relazioni economiche tra Europa e mondo islamico. Secc. XIII–XVIII, I*: 242–65. Firenze: Le Monnier.
- XOPLAKI, E. *et al.* 2018. Modelling climate and societal resilience in the Eastern Mediterranean in the last millennium. *Human Ecology* 46: 363–79.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10745-018-9995-9>