

occurred, but its effect is still ongoing as Zeus and his trophies are currently present. The present ἐσκομίζεται, which would indicate that the trophies are being raised while the action is taking place, presents a meaning that makes no less sense than the transmitted text and allows the restoration of a complete iambic trimeter. A scribe may have misspelled ἐσκομίζεται, or that part of the line could have suffered material damage.

I suggest the following text:

μόνφ καὶ Ζεὺς τροπαῖος ἐσκομίζεται τόποις

to/for (...) alone and Zeus, the granter of victory, is introduced to places \mid (...)

ἐσκομίζεται is less intrusive on the paradosis than Lloyd-Jones's εἰσεκόμασεν ('has stormed into the place'). While it is true that the idea of storming into a place is easier to associate with the king of the Olympians than that of being brought into one (ἐσκομίζεται), the idea that a god's cult and its relative cult-object may be introduced to new places is not difficult.

Sapienza Università di Roma

TOMMASO SUARIA tommaso.suaria@uniroma1.it doi:10.1017/S0009838823000034

APPRENTICESHIP CONTRACTS IN CLASSICAL ATHENS

ABSTRACT

Numerous apprenticeship contracts survive among the papyri of Graeco-Roman Egypt, but scholars have been left guessing whether this documentation offers a sound comparison to job training in Classical Greece. This paper points out that such apprenticeship contracts are firmly attested in a work of Xenophon, revealing that, by the mid fourth century B.C., Athens was already home to the practice of formal apprenticeship.

Keywords: apprenticeship; job training; Classical Athens; Xenophon; *Art of Horsemanship*

Recent decades have witnessed debate over the nature and extent of apprenticeship in the Classical Greek world, and that debate has taken us to some strange places. One finds it claimed, for instance, that apprenticeship simply did not exist in the Classical period; while apprentices are amply attested in, say, Roman Egypt, the lack of comparable documentation from the Greek world reflects a fundamental difference in the system of training workers—or so the reasoning goes. Others have thought to

¹ T. Rihill, 'Skilled slaves and the economy: the silver mines of the Laurion', in H. Heinen (ed.), *Antike Sklaverei: Rückblick und Ausblick. Neue Beiträge zur Forschungsgeschichte und zur Erschlieβung der archäologischen Zeugnisse* (Stuttgart, 2010), 203–20, at 203 ('We simply do not find this sort of relationship in the classical Greek world'), 206 ('there does not appear to be such an institution in this time and place').

[©] The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Classical Association.

find a smoking gun in the fourth-century letter of Lesis, a worker (presumed to be a child) at a forge in Athens, who writes to his mother and describes the abusive conditions of his work.² This letter allegedly provides hard evidence of a free apprentice; and not only that, it represents essentially 'the only real testimonium' to the institution of apprenticeship in Classical Athens.³ In truth, this is wrong on both scores: there are several traces of apprenticeship in the Classical period, but it is anyone's guess whether the letter of Lesis is among them.⁴ Over the last few years, the careful scholarship of Eleni Hasaki has put the study of Greek craft apprenticeship on a considerably firmer basis.⁵ However, even in Hasaki's telling, the evidence permits 'only a very vague picture about apprenticeship' ([n. 2], 139). Variants of this sentiment are ubiquitous in scholarship.

Looming over these discussions is an evidentiary problem of an entirely different sort. At least forty-three apprenticeship documents survive on papyri from Graeco-Roman Egypt (one from the Hellenistic period, the rest dating to the Roman era), allowing for a detailed glimpse at a formal system of ancient apprenticeship.⁶ A few of these documents are no more than registrations submitted to public officials for tax purposes, declaring one's intent to apprentice a child or slave (Forselv [n. 6], 117). Most represent actual agreements between two parties, laving out the terms under which a teacher will take on an apprentice. Scholars conventionally refer to these documents as apprenticeship contracts, or didaskalikai. Comparable evidence does not survive from mainland Greece, and scholars who wish to discuss the nature of job training in the Classical period labour under the shadow of this imbalance of documentation. Many are left guessing whether the papyri offer any sort of rough approximation of job training practices in the Greek world. Thus Burford concedes that 'the only surviving records of such agreements [come] from Hellenistic and Roman Egypt'. Nevertheless, she continues, 'the conditions of apprenticeship cannot in the nature of things have varied much.'7

² D.R. Jordan, 'A personal letter found in the Athenian agora', *Hesperia* 69 (2000), 91–103. Some scholars have followed Jordan's interpretation, e.g. M. Golden, 'Oedipal complexities', in S.R. Hübner and D.M. Ratzan (edd.), *Growing up Fatherless in Antiquity* (Cambridge, 2009), 41–60, at 48; E. Hasaki, 'Craft apprenticeship in ancient Greece: reaching beyond the masters', in W. Wendrich (ed.), *Archaeology and Apprenticeship: Body Knowledge, Identity, and Communities of Practice* (Tucson, 2012), 171–202, at 185.

³ Jordan (n. 2), 98, pointing to the Attic manumission inscriptions as the only other example of apprenticeship in Athens. Whether the freed slaves in those documents did in fact learn their trades through formal apprenticeship or by some other means is a question for another time.

⁴ E.M. Harris, 'Notes on a lead letter from the Athenian agora', *HSPh* 102 (2004), 157–70 convincingly demonstrates that Lesis was a slave, not a free apprentice. While it is entirely possible that Lesis was an enslaved apprentice whose master assigned him to the forge to learn a trade, Lesis just as well could have provided unskilled labour without receiving an intentional programme of instruction in any trade (cf. Harris [this note], 161).

⁵ Hasaki (n. 2); E. Hasaki, 'Craft apprenticeship, social networks, and communities of practice in ancient Greece', *Center* 38 (2018), 116–19. On apprenticeship in the Roman world, see especially C. Freu, 'Disciplina, patrocinium, nomen: the benefits of apprenticeship in the Roman world', in A. Wilson and M. Flohr (edd.), *Urban Craftsmen and Traders in the Roman World* (Oxford, 2016), 183–99. See also J. Liu, 'Group membership, trust networks, and social capital: a critical analysis', in K. Verboven and C. Laes (edd.), *Work, Labour, and Professions in the Roman World* (Leiden and Boston, 2017), 203–26, at 217–24; J.T. Benton, *The Bread Makers: The Social and Professional Lives of Bakers in the Western Roman Empire* (Cham, 2020), 124–31.

⁶ W.L. Westermann, 'Apprenticeship contracts and the apprentice system in Roman Egypt', *CPh* 9 (1914), 295–315; M. Bergamasco, 'Le διδασκαλικαί nella ricerca attuale', *Aegyptus* 75 (1995), 95–167; I.L. Forselv, 'Registration of an apprentice: P. Osl. inv. no. 1470', *SO* 73 (1998), 116–24.
⁷ A. Burford, *Craftsmen in Greek and Roman Society* (Ithaca, 1972), 89.

But we need not rely on guesswork. Though this point is often overlooked, apprenticeship contracts similar to those from Egypt are firmly attested in Classical Athens. No specimens survive, of course—papyri from mainland Greece almost never do—but Xenophon refers quite plainly to their existence (*Eq.* 2.2):

ό μὲν δὴ ἄσπερ ἐγὰ γιγνώσκων περὶ πωλείας δῆλον ὅτι ἐκδώσει τὸν πῶλον. χρὴ μέντοι, ὅσπερ τὸν παῖδα ὅταν ἐπὶ τέχνην ἐκδῷ, συγγραψάμενον ἃ δεήσει ἐπιστάμενον ἀποδοῦναι οὕτως ἐκδιδόναι. ταῦτα γὰρ ὑπομνήματα ἔσται τῷ πωλοδάμνῃ ὧν δεῖ ἐπιμεληθῆναι, εἰ μέλλει τὸν μισθὸν ἀπολήψεσθαι.

One who holds views similar to mine about the training of colts will obviously hand over his colt to a trainer. However, it is necessary to do so in the same way he hands over his child to learn a trade, writing down what the child must know before being sent back home. For these will be the horse-trainer's records of what he must tend to if he is to receive his pay.

Similarities to the surviving apprenticeship contracts are numerous. The verb ἐκδίδωμι looks to be a technical term for apprenticeship; we may compare Xenophon's ἐπὶ τέγνην ἐκδῶ to the various forms of ἐκδίδωιι in the papyri. Then there is the obvious formal similarity: in Xenophon, as in the papyri, written documents set forth the terms of the apprenticeship. These documents, Xenophon tells us, stipulate precisely what skills the apprentice must learn, a feature on full display in the papyri as well. A slave apprenticed to a shorthand writer, for example, must be able to 'read and write all types of prose without error' (ἐκ παντὸς λόγου πεζοῦ γράφοντος καὶ ἀναγεινώσ[κον]τος ἀμέμπτως) by the end of his apprenticeship (P.Oxy. 724, line 10). All of this is in service of acquiring proficiency in a particular trade, or techne, and here too one cannot help but notice similarities: Xenophon's ἐπὶ τέγνην is reminiscent of formulations in the papyri such as ὥστε μαθεῖν τὴν γερδιακὴν τέχνην, 'in order to learn the weaving trade' (P.Mich. III 170, lines 6-7). Even Xenophon's notion of conditional payment finds parallels in the papyri. Though many of the apprenticeship contracts provide the teacher with no compensation (other than guaranteed access to the apprentice's labour), this is not true in every case. One contract (P.Oxv. 724) stipulates a payment of 120 drachmas in three equal instalments, the final 40-drachma payment coming at the end of the apprenticeship (ἐπὶ τέλει τοῦ χρόνου, line 9). Another mandates a payment of 100 drachmas in two instalments: 50 drachmas up front, then the remainder after six months, presumably the end of the apprenticeship (BGU 1125). It seems reasonably clear that Xenophon is describing precisely such arrangements, well over a century before the earliest extant apprenticeship contract on papyrus (P.Heid. 226, 215–213 B.C.).

Despite all of this, Xenophon's statement about apprenticeship may seem counter-intuitive in one respect. Equestrianism was a classic symbol of wealth and status in antiquity; employment in the trades was much the opposite. How is it, then, that Xenophon imagines horse-owners sending out their sons to learn a *technē*?

One might entertain the possibility that Xenophon means *technē* not in the sense of a paid occupation but rather as one of a number of 'soft' skills: rhetoric, music, statecraft, or the like. But this contradicts what we otherwise know about the education of Athenian children, who seem to have typically received 'concurrent education in several

⁸ Xen. Eq. 2.2. Though scholars have occasionally noted that this passage attests to apprenticeship (e.g. M. Golden, 'Pais, "child" and "slave", AC 54 [1985], 91–104, at 98 n. 24), to my knowledge no one has connected it to the papyrus contracts.

⁹ E.g. *P.Oxy.* 275, lines 6–7; *P.Oxy.* 725, line 5; *P.Mich.* 170, line 5; *P.Osl.* inv. 1470, line 7.

subjects'. 10 Accordingly, we should not expect Athenian youths to set out from home ἐπὶ τέγνην short of undertaking an actual apprenticeship. I therefore see two different ways to resolve this conundrum. (1) Xenophon's τὸν παίδα ὅταν ἐπὶ τέχνην ἐκδῷ refers to the apprenticeship of slaves, not of children. The extended meaning of $\pi\alpha\hat{i}\zeta$ as 'slave' is of course well attested, and occurs elsewhere in the writings of Xenophon (though not in his equestrian writings).¹¹ This interpretation is also consistent with the evidence of the papyri, where both slaves and free children appear as apprentices. (2) Xenophon is using $\pi\alpha\hat{i}$ c in its literal sense ('child'), and this is less surprising than it may seem. By the late fifth century, Bugh argues, 'more moderately well-to-do, as opposed to wealthy, Athenian families were expected to purchase and maintain a war-horse year-round.'12 It is quite possible that such families would occasionally send out their children to learn a trade. Comparison to the papyri may again prove instructive. The contracts from Roman Egypt reveal that 'apprenticeship could attract children of a good family, not acquainted with craftsmanship', as Christel Freu notes. 'Although craftsmen's children were predominant in some branches, professional training was also attractive for middle-class children, and sons of veterans or of privileged town residents' (fn. 5], 193-4). We cannot automatically assume similar circumstances held true in Athens, but I am aware of at least one piece of evidence to support the comparison. Lysistratus, an Athenian naval architect from the fourth century B.C., seems to have harboured a more than slight obsession with horses, naming several warships after horse- or cavalry-related subjects and even constructing specialized horse transport vessels for the navy. 13 Here we have an Athenian craftsman from what may be an equestrian family, roughly contemporaneous with the life of Xenophon.¹⁴

In the end, we cannot be certain whether Xenophon is speaking of slaves or of free children, but the fundamental issue is clear enough: by the mid fourth century B.C. formal apprenticeships already existed in Athens. Written contracts specified the terms of these apprenticeships, and seem to have been broadly similar to the *didaskalikai* recorded on papyrus in Hellenistic and Roman Egypt. To be sure, not all job training occurred within the constraints of these contractual arrangements. Many workers doubtless picked up skills on the job without undertaking an intentional apprenticeship programme; others learned directly from family members, taking up an ancestral trade, or *patroia technē*. But none of this gives any reason to doubt the prevalence of a third form of job training: formal apprenticeship. It is worth recalling a passage of that great wielder of craft analogy, Plato. Writing not long before Xenophon, the philosopher conjures the image of a potter who either instructs his own sons in the family trade or—in what now looks like a clear reference to apprenticeship—passes on his skill to 'whomsoever else he might teach'.15

Southern Adventist University

MILLS MCARTHUR millsmcarthur@southern.edu doi:10.1017/S0009838823000125

¹⁰ M. Golden, *Children and Childhood in Classical Athens* (Baltimore, 2015²), 53; see also T.J. Morgan, 'Literate education in Classical Athens', *CQ* 49 (1999), 46–61, at 50 n. 16.

¹¹ Golden (n. 10); Xen. Mem. 3.13.6, 3.14.1; Xen. Oec. 11.15, 11.18.

¹² G.R. Bugh, *The Horsemen of Athens* (Princeton, 1988), 75–6.

¹³ M. McArthur, 'Athenian shipbuilders', *Hesperia* 90 (2021), 479–532, at 506, 524–5.

¹⁴ On onomastic grounds, however, there is reason to suspect that Lysistratus came from a prominent shipbuilding family (Burford [n. 7], 87; McArthur [n. 13], 507). He may have learned his trade within the family rather than by apprenticeship to an outside instructor.

¹⁵ Pl. Resp. 4.421e: τοὺς ὑεῖς ἢ ἄλλους οῦς ἂν διδάσκη.