

ARTICLE

‘...it’s hard to prepare yourself, it’s like a death’: barriers and facilitators to older people discussing and planning for driving retirement

Nadine Veerhuis^{1*} , Victoria Traynor¹  and Melanie Randle² 

¹Aged, Dementia, Health Education and Research (ADHERE), School of Nursing, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW, Australia and ²Faculty of Business and Law, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW, Australia

*Corresponding author. Email: nadine_veerhuis@uow.edu.au

(Accepted 16 August 2022)

Abstract

Driving is the preferred mode of transport for many older drivers, providing mobility to maintain independence and quality of life. The loss of driving privilege has negative psychosocial consequences, including depression. Early discussions and planning for driving retirement are therefore essential. Driving retirement, however, is typically a taboo topic for older drivers and their support networks. To understand why discussions and planning about driving retirement are avoided, 43 semi-structured interviews were conducted with older drivers in New South Wales, Australia. Drawing on Löckenhoff’s ageing and decision-making framework, thematic analysis of transcripts offers insights into why discussions and planning for driving retirement are avoided or facilitated. The findings reveal most older drivers had not discussed or planned for driving retirement. Barriers to discussing and planning for driving retirement included: perceptions of loss, change, death and denial. Facilitators to discussing or planning for driving retirement included: declining health and driving confidence, medical advice, age or car accident. Driving retirement in car-dependent societies is a major life event, symbolising an end-of-life stage for many older people. This paper calls for strategies to encourage early and regular discussions about driving retirement with older drivers. To support older drivers’ transition to driving retirement, an understanding of the value and meaning placed on driving in the context of the individuals’ identity and lifestyle is recommended.

Keywords: older people; ageing; driving cessation; planning; decision making

Introduction

In Australia and other western societies, older people rely heavily on the private car as their primary mode of transport (Buys *et al.*, 2012; Cui *et al.*, 2017; Gormley and O’Neill, 2019). For many older people, driving is key to maintenance of mobility (King *et al.*, 2011) and quality of life (Oxley and Whelan, 2008; Musselwhite and Haddad, 2010). Driving also provides a sense of freedom, control, identity,

independence and social connectedness (Windsor *et al.*, 2007; Musselwhite, 2017; Pristavec, 2018; Sanford *et al.*, 2020; Ang *et al.*, 2019a).

In the coming years, it is predicted the reliance on private cars by older people as the main form of transport will increase (Haustein and Siren, 2015) in line with the projected ageing population (United Nations, 2019). However, older drivers need to consider alternatives to driving, as previous research suggests they will live seven to 10 years beyond their driving life (Foley *et al.*, 2002). Expectations of driving life by some older people, however, differ from this reality. One study reports that one in ten older drivers never intend to stop driving and over half intend to stop driving when they are in their nineties (Naumann *et al.*, 2014). A more recent study found that 10 per cent of older drivers expect to stop driving near their death (Babulal *et al.*, 2019). However, it was also reported older people expect to live on average nearly six years, with some reporting up to 30 years, post driving retirement (Babulal *et al.*, 2019). Therefore, it is important that older drivers are able to recognise and consider future driving retirement and alternate mobility options.

Deciding on the 'right' time to retire from driving is challenging, particularly given the individual variations in the degree of cognitive and physical health decline people experience as they age (Andrew *et al.*, 2015; World Health Organization, 2015; Pomidor, 2019). On the one hand, guidelines and reports support maintenance of driving because it provides an important way that older people can maintain mobility and out-of-home activities which contribute to improved health and quality of life (Musselwhite and Haddad, 2010; Baldock *et al.*, 2016; Parkes, 2016). This approach is important as the loss of driving privilege increases the risk of depression (Chihuri *et al.*, 2016) and associated with declines in life satisfaction, social participation and increased mortality risk (Liddle *et al.*, 2012; Chihuri *et al.*, 2016; Pristavec, 2018). On the other hand, older drivers are disproportionately represented in car-related serious injuries and fatalities (Ang *et al.*, 2017), attributed to their increased frailty (Baldock *et al.*, 2016; Pomidor, 2019). In the absence of a validated office-based test to assess fitness to drive (Dickerson *et al.*, 2014; Pomidor, 2019), some jurisdictions implement controversial age-based licensing renewal policies to address safety concerns (Kahvedžić, 2013; Siren and Haustein, 2015). Opponents of mandatory aged-based on-road assessments or medical reviews for older drivers argue such policies provide no or limited safety benefits, are ageist and discriminatory, are not evidence based or work against maintaining independent mobility (Tasmanian Department of Infrastructure, Energy and Resources, 2011; Kahvedžić, 2013; Siren and Haustein, 2015).

Regardless, some older people will need to retire from driving due to health declines. To attenuate the negative effects of driving retirement, various countermeasures are hypothesised and can be grouped into three categories. First, maintaining participation in social activities post driving retirement is central to preserving positive mental health (Pachana *et al.*, 2016). Second, older drivers who engage support networks that provide advice, emotional or practical social support can temper the drop in life satisfaction following driving retirement and maintain quality of life (Musselwhite and Shergold, 2013; Jones *et al.*, 2019). Third, as early planning is a strong predictor of higher self-reported quality of life post driving retirement (Musselwhite and Shergold, 2013), regular discussions

and early planning to emotionally and logistically prepare older drivers for life without driving are highly recommended (Baldock *et al.*, 2016; Pomidor, 2019).

Discussions and planning for driving retirement

Discussions about driving are important as they ‘plant the seed’ (Betz *et al.*, 2015: 235) for older people to think about changes early in the decision pathway instead of driving retirement being sudden or forced (Betz *et al.*, 2016). Despite older people’s preference to be central to decisions about driving (Betz *et al.*, 2016), few ever report having planned or discussed driving retirement with their support networks such as health practitioners or family (Betz *et al.*, 2019). Health practitioners find discussions with older drivers challenging for a range of reasons. These include gaps in training on driving assessments and counselling, inadequate resources on the topic, time constraints, or concern over negatively affecting the doctor–patient relationship when recommending an unfavourable outcome from the perspective of the older person (McKernan *et al.*, 2022; Betz *et al.*, 2013, 2015).

Rural medical practitioners are significantly less likely to discuss driving with people over 75 years compared to their urban counterparts (Huseth-Zosel *et al.*, 2016). This is due to inadequate resources, feeling responsible for the older person losing independence and distance to the nearest on-road driving assessment (Huseth-Zosel *et al.*, 2016). Research with adult children of older drivers attribute avoidance of discussions about driving to the perceived personal burden of immobile older parents and denial, by the parent, of declining driving skills (Connell *et al.*, 2013).

Avoidance of discussions and planning about driving is not restricted to medical practitioners and family. Older drivers themselves rarely discuss or plan for driving retirement, even if they believe that planning would assist in the transition to driving retirement (King *et al.*, 2011; Harmon *et al.*, 2018; Betz *et al.*, 2019). Those who do plan are typically older, live alone, do not view driving as important, are not confident drivers or have received medical advice after a ‘red flag’ event such as a car accident or medical event (Betz *et al.*, 2013: 1575; Harmon *et al.*, 2018; Feng and Meulenens, 2020). However, even for older people who do plan, few make any practical life changes to prepare themselves for life without driving (Feng and Meulenens, 2020). The reasons for avoiding discussions and planning for driving retirement are yet to be explored fully from the perspective of the older person.

Theoretical framing

Prior research reveals differences between how younger and older people make decisions (Löckenhoff, 2018). Models of decision making that take into consideration the age of the decision maker therefore represent an ideal framework to underpin our investigation of driving retirement decisions by older people. Previous studies which are underpinned by aged-based and lifespan theories such as socioemotional selectivity theory (Carstensen *et al.*, 1999) and the selection, optimisation and compensation model (Baltes and Baltes, 1990) provide valuable insight to understanding the impact of driving retirement on the social engagement of older people (Qin *et al.*, 2020) and older driver self-regulation strategies (Bieri *et al.*, 2015). Yet to be explored is how aged-based decision theories develop our




Figure 1. Conceptual framework for mapping age differences in decision making.
 Source: Löckenhoff (2018: 141). Copyright © 2017 Karger Publishers, Basel, Switzerland.

understanding of the decision-making process of older drivers discussing and planning for driving retirement. By adopting a decision-making theoretical perspective specifically for older people, the present study provides new insights to understand the decision-making process associated with discussions and planning for driving retirement.

The ageing and decision-making framework proposed by Löckenhoff (2018) integrates numerous theories across various disciplines to explain age differences in decision making (Figure 1), and therefore an ideal framework to explore the topic of driving retirement for older people. Alternative models exploring older people and driving retirement are typically underpinned by wellbeing theory or do so in the context of mobility more broadly (Nordbakke and Schwanen, 2014; Musselwhite and Haddad, 2018).

The ageing and decision-making framework (Löckenhoff, 2018) identifies cognitive, affective and motivational mechanisms (shown in the top white box in Figure 1) impact decision-making processes for older people (shown in the bottom white box in Figure 1) (Löckenhoff, 2018). Cognitive decline, typical with

increasing age, can impair memory and processing speed, which can, for example, impact pre-decision information search and planning skills (Löckenhoff, 2018; Tannou *et al.*, 2020). Consequently, older people may need additional time to make decisions and will rely upon their past experiences for decisions that need to be made quickly (Löckenhoff, 2018; Tannou *et al.*, 2020).

However, as Figure 1 also illustrates, older people can draw upon affective and motivational mechanisms which can compensate for such cognitive decline (Löckenhoff, 2018). Affect, such as positive and negative emotions, can influence the decisions older people make. There can be a tendency for older people to rely on positive affective responses when making decisions, particularly for complex decisions, which can lead to biases (Löckenhoff, 2018; Tannou *et al.*, 2020). For example, older people may be more inclined to pursue more positive rather than negative material when weighing options, seeking information and making decisions (Reed *et al.*, 2014; Löckenhoff, 2018). Motivational mechanisms which impact decision-making processes for older people include having perceived limited time horizons (socioemotional selectivity theory) compared to younger counterparts (Carstensen, 1995). Older people therefore may be inclined to make decisions which are rewarded with outcomes that are more emotionally positively fulfilling in the short term (Carstensen, 1995).

The task characteristics of the decision being made and contextual factors (middle two white boxes in Figure 1) also impact how older people make decisions (large white box at the bottom of Figure 1) and the outcome of the decision (Löckenhoff, 2018). For example, decisions that hold higher accountability or personal importance typically involve more deliberation and engagement in information search strategies when deciding between choices (Hess *et al.*, 2013). Contextual factors (right middle white box in Figure 1), including the social context of the decision and support networks, also play a key role in how older people make decisions (Löckenhoff, 2018).

In general, older people more often avoid engaging in decisions compared to younger cohorts. Differences occur with decision identification, engagement, delegation and information search in response to task characteristics, context, cognitive, motivational and affective mechanisms (Löckenhoff, 2018).

Aims and research questions

This study aimed to contribute knowledge regarding how decisions to discuss or plan for driving retirement occur among older people. This was achieved by answering the following research questions.

- (1) What are the perceived barriers to discussing and planning for driving retirement among older people?
- (2) What are the perceived facilitators to discussing and planning for driving retirement among older people?

Method

Study design

This study employed a qualitative design involving face-to-face interviews with older drivers (Polit and Beck, 2004). This approach enabled an in-depth understanding of the views and experiences of older people about driving retirement

(Polit and Beck, 2004). The themes are interpreted and discussed within the context of Löckenhoff's (2018) ageing and decision-making framework (Figure 1). Ethics approval to conduct the present study was obtained from the University of Wollongong prior to data collection commencing.

Participants and recruitment

A convenience sample of 43 older drivers, 65 years and over, and residing in the state of New South Wales (NSW), Australia, were recruited through contacts known to the research team (Polit and Beck, 2004). The sample necessarily included older drivers, as the aim of the research was to understand discussions and planning for driving retirement from the perspectives of older people. We contacted participants by email and invited them to be interviewed. We provided participants with an information sheet and consent forms were signed prior to the interviews commencing. Sample diversity in terms of participants' geographical location, age and gender were considered to capture as wide a range of views as possible. Sample diversity on these dimensions was important because they are known to impact the decisions of older people about driving retirement (Ang *et al.*, 2019b). The geographic locations of participants were categorised according to the Modified Monash Model, which measures geographic area relative to access to public services (Australian Government Department of Health, 2019).

Details of the sample characteristics are provided in Table 1. Most participants lived with a partner or family member ($N = 25$, 58%). Daily ($N = 18$, 42%) or weekly ($N = 23$, 54%) driving patterns were reported with mean driving experience of 55 years (standard deviation (SD) = 6). Just over half of participants were female ($N = 23$, 53%) and almost three-quarters lived in metropolitan areas ($N = 32$, 74%). The mean age of participants was 76 years (SD = 7).

Data collection

Semi-structured interviews were conducted over a three-month period in 2019. Interviews ranged from 20 to 120 minutes in duration and were conducted at a place chosen by the participants at a mutually convenient time. Audio recordings were transcribed verbatim. The interview schedule consisted of sociodemographic and pre-defined semi-structured questions relating to experiences, meaning and memories of: driving in the past, driving in the present, being a passenger, perceptions of life without driving, discussions about cars and driving, and costs and decisions associated with buying and owning a car.

Data analysis

Demographic profiles of participants were descriptively analysed using Microsoft Excel, version 16. Analysis of interview transcripts was conducted using NVivo 12 software (QSR International, Melbourne). Thematic analysis was guided by the steps of Braun and Clarke (2006) using an inductive data-driven approach. Thematic analysis is used to describe and interpret recurring themes and patterns across data and to summarise key features of large datasets (Braun and Clarke, 2006). Unlike alternative analytic approaches like interpretative phenomenological analysis, thematic analysis

Table 1. Participant characteristics

Sociodemographic category	N	%
Participants:		
Female	23	53
Male	20	47
Age:		
Mean (SD)	76 (7)	
65–74	19	44
75–84	18	42
85 and over	6	14
Marital status:		
Married	27	63
Widowed	11	26
Divorced	4	9
Partner	1	2
Household composition:		
Living alone	11	26
Living with partner or family	25	58
Undisclosed	7	16
Location:		
Metropolitan area	32	74
Regional centre	2	5
Large rural town	8	19
Medium or small rural town	1	2
Remote or very remote communities	0	0
Occupation:		
Retired or undertaking volunteer work	35	81
Paid workforce	8	19
Travel patterns:		
Daily	18	42
Weekly (1–6 days)	23	54
Monthly	1	2
Undisclosed	1	2

Notes: N = 43. SD: standard deviation.

provides theoretical flexibility to understand the perspectives of older people in the context of driving retirement and therefore was considered a suitable analytical method to answer our research questions (Braun and Clarke, 2006).

The analysis process involved an initial familiarisation with the interviews by listening to three audio recordings and reading the transcripts to identify initial codes. Each transcript was then read and worked through systematically to code the data. Next, the full dataset was reviewed, codes refined and new codes identified. In the final step, after iterative reviewing of codes was complete and no new codes identified, themes and subthemes were generated (Braun and Clarke, 2006). These themes and subthemes were then reflected upon and refined, again using an iterative process across the dataset (Braun and Clarke, 2006). A table with corresponding participant quotes which exemplify the themes was then developed and reviewed by members of the research team until consensus about their appropriate assignment to themes was reached.

Findings

Understanding discussions and planning for driving retirement

Most participants had not thought about, discussed or planned for driving retirement prior to the interview. As one participant stated:

No, actually I haven't discussed 'not driving' with family or friends. No, it is an issue that I haven't discussed. (Male, 74, metropolitan area)

Except for their early years, most participants had none or very few experiences of life without driving. The few experiences of life without driving were temporary, for example, a short period of time due to an operation or for a few days when a car was being repaired or serviced. Notably, in our study there were a few participants who had adopted alternative modes of transport in addition to using the car. One participant felt confident dealing with the transition process because she:

Could manage quite well, cause I'm pretty independent without a car. I've already got the buses, the taxis ... and maybe a girlfriend. (Female, 68, metropolitan area)

These individuals felt this helped them feel prepared for the transition to life without driving. The main findings reported in this study are provided as a thematic summary, describing the barriers and facilitators to discussing and planning for driving retirement by older drivers.

Barriers to discussing and planning for driving retirement

Theme 1: Negative perceptions

When asked about plans for a future without driving, older drivers conveyed a range of negative emotions and implications. Loss, change and death were outcomes typically associated with life without driving. Both males and females of different ages expressed negative emotions when thinking about discussing and planning for driving retirement. No one looked forward to life without driving, as participants felt that no other transport alternatives could replace the benefits provided by driving their own car. While this broad sentiment was expressed by all participants, the specific benefits they were concerned about losing were expressed in different ways. One participant stated:

When it's a nice sunny day and I'm in a good mood I love to jump in the car and go up to one of the northern beaches perhaps, or down the coast a bit and you know, just somewhere nice, so you're always relaxed and happy in the car. (Male, 67 metropolitan area)

Some participants expressed this view in terms of the ability to be spontaneous and decide where to go on the day, for example, according to the weather outside. Some explained that driving offered them a level of convenience that they did not think they would get from other forms of transport. Others explained that they found the experience of driving, and the independence this offered them, enjoyable.

Loss. For many older drivers in this study, the loss of driving privileges represented a loss of independence, freedom, convenience and the ability to do things for themselves. As one driver described:

...you've lost the biggest thing in your life, which is your own independence at 80 or 75. (Female, 75, large rural town)

The loss of driving privilege means loss of spontaneity and doing the things you want 'whenever I want to and not have to rely on timetables' (Female, 75, large rural town). Loss of convenience and time was also connected to driving retirement. Using alternative transport options required additional time and preparation while driving did not. This was the case even in metropolitan areas. As one participant explained:

It's not just expensive, you need more time, you need to wait for the bus, you need to wait for the taxi. (Female, 68, metropolitan area)

Loss of important social and leisure activities was also anticipated with driving retirement. One participant reflecting on the prospect of driving retirement stated: 'I might have to give up my sporting, my golf' (male, 66, metropolitan area). This is because the car offers, for example, the ability to transport equipment required to maintain leisure activities.

Change. Loss and change are intertwined as the loss of driving privilege brings a change to the current way of life, including how participation in social and leisure activities occurs. One participant describes the anticipated changes to everyday activities:

I couldn't jump on a bus to go to golf because I've got so much gear with me ... if I had to go shopping and I had to buy a lot of stuff, I'd probably have to get a taxi with all the bags and things. (Male, 66, metropolitan area)

Others describe the need to relocate if they can no longer drive. This is particularly notable for those living in rural areas, as one participant describes: 'If we couldn't drive, we wouldn't be able to live up there' (Female, 71, large rural town). These expected changes as a result of driving retirement were not something participants

looked forward to as driving embodied more than a means of transport for most, although not all participants. The importance of driving a car was described by one participant as ‘part of life you know. Once you lose that, things will change’ (Male, 73, metropolitan area). Conversely, for others, driving was not an enjoyable experience and merely a means to get from one place to another. Still, most participants anticipated emotional and mental health changes such as anxiety or depression upon the loss of driving privileges. Furthermore, participants described physical responses, such as feeling sick, at the thought of life without driving.

Symbolising death. Planning for driving retirement is inextricably linked to death for some older drivers. The complete loss of driving privilege symbolised death or approaching end-of-life and, for this reason, it was difficult to discuss or prepare for life without driving. As one female participant described:

To prepare yourself for a death? It’s just the same as a death, you ask anybody.
(Female, 75, large rural town)

Further, driving retirement was perceived as an event expected near death. Therefore, preparing or planning for driving retirement was difficult as the timing of death was uncertain; for example:

To make a decision about a date from which I then am not going to drive, presupposes that you have a good idea about your mortality, and what is going to hold for you in the future. (Male, 68, metropolitan area)

Older drivers also explained they consciously avoided contemplating, discussing or planning for driving retirement. As one participant explains, it is:

Like the chicken’s last walk to the woodheap (*laughing*). If you’ve lost your licence you must be running out of living time, and it’s not something that people want to actually face up to. (Female, 77, metropolitan area)

Older drivers, therefore, do not want to think about or make plans for driving retirement as they do not want to plan or discuss end-of-life.

Theme 2: It’s not my time

A group of older drivers, which included both males and females across all age groups and different geographic locations, considered driving retirement not an imminent event and therefore it was not ‘time’ to discuss or plan for a future without driving. Imminent was described by older drivers in terms of timeframes including ‘today’, ‘tomorrow’, in ‘one or two years time’. As one older driver explained:

The fact is that [driving retirement] is so far off I believe that it’s never been a discussion point. (Male, 71, metropolitan area)

The reasons participants considered that it was not their time to discuss or plan for driving retirement was based on observations they had made of drivers older than

themselves who continued to drive successfully, their self-perceived good health and their confidence in their own driving abilities. For these reasons, discussions and planning for driving retirement did not occur.

Observation of others. Older drivers observed people older than themselves continuing to drive into their eighties and nineties. This observation reinforced the ‘possibilities’ of maintaining driving privileges long into the future. One male driver commented:

I’m 73. I mean, look, we just don’t know. I would like to think I can continue to drive for a long time yet. I mean, we have a lady in our bible study, [she] is 88. (Male, 73, metropolitan area)

Participants suggested that driving would continue up until the end of life as this was observed in others close to them. One male driver described his observations of others close to him: ‘[My wife’s] father, 94, and he still drives and passes his driving test.’ Another older person states that:

My father died at 87 and he had just passed his driving test and they’re both good drivers and nobody, neither of those men thought or had made a thought about what would happen if they couldn’t drive. (Male, 68, metropolitan area)

For this reason, there was no need to consider life without driving.

Perceived good health. Perceived good health is a further reason driving retirement was not considered or discussed:

Mentally okay, physically okay, medically, reasonably okay. I think I’m fairly alert. I think I am a reasonably good driver, so I haven’t really talked about that. (Male, 73, metropolitan area)

This reflection by a driver described how his current health influenced his decision not to discuss driving retirement.

Self-awareness of changes in one’s health and body were considered an important attribute to identifying the timing of discussions and decisions about driving retirement. For example, a female driver in a rural town revealed:

You know in yourself. My health is pretty good ... so you know, I just never thought of it ... you know your own body, if you can do it or you can’t. (Female, 75, large rural town)

Other drivers had contrary experiences:

I’m still active enough to walk to the station but there’ll become a time where I won’t be able to walk to the station, and then the car will be more important while I maintain my independence. (Male, 65, metropolitan area)

For some, driving as a mode of transport was perceived to become even more important with declines in physical ability.

Confidence in driving ability. Participants who felt confident and comfortable in their driving ability, were less likely to discuss or plan for driving retirement. One male driver suggested changes to his perceived ‘feelings’ about driving ability would facilitate contemplation of driving retirement.

...because I feel good enough to drive. If I didn’t feel good enough for driving, then I would have to think about retiring from driving. (Male, 76, metropolitan area)

Irrespective of age and geographical location, feeling confident in one’s driving ability is equally attributed to why discussions and planning for driving retirement were not considered, as one participant explained: ‘I just don’t think about it, while I’m feeling confident in driving’ (Female, 84, regional centre). Confidence and comfort in current driving ability meant that driving retirement was not expected to occur in the short term, therefore discussions were unnecessary:

I don’t need to talk about it because I’m still comfortable driving. I’m not sort of intending on giving up driving in the next twelve months. (Female, 75, large rural town)

Others expected their driving ability to be maintained over time:

I haven’t thought about it because the simple reason being that I know that at 85 I have to go for a test, but I feel confident enough that I’m a good enough driver that I will be able to pass that. (Male, 71, metropolitan area)

For this driver, he expected his driving skills would remain unchanged for more than ten years.

Theme 3: Denial

Most participants had not considered life without driving before the interview took place. Denial of the need to discuss or plan for driving retirement was identified by participants of both genders and from a range of geographic locations. As one male participant on the topic of discussing driving retirement declared: ‘Well ... as far as I’m concerned, it’s taboo!’ (Male, 78, metropolitan area). Indicators of denial included older drivers not being able to imagine or foresee a life without driving; they did not want to think about driving retirement or preferred to wait until it ‘just happened’.

Can’t imagine it. Driving was viewed as an integral part of everyday life by most participants:

We at our age are dealing with something we have lived with all our lives and that’s how you see the future. If the future is different, I don’t know. (Male, 68, metropolitan area)

Another driver explained: 'At this stage you can't ever envision not driving' (Male, 73, metropolitan area). Similarly, a female driver reflected that:

I have not got any plans! I've never thought of not being able to drive, it's just something that is second nature ... never talked about not having a car and never thought about it. (Female, 77, metropolitan area)

For these drivers it was difficult to imagine a life without driving.

While for other participants because they anticipate they would never stop driving, discussions and planning for driving retirement were not required. As one participant explains:

You can't see it happening ... you never think you're gonna [sic] get to the point where you can't drive, so you don't even think about it. (Male, 68, metropolitan area)

Furthermore, some participants cannot imagine a time where their driving skills or health may decline as: 'We think we are invincible. We think we are going to be driving for ever' (Female, 81, metropolitan area). As driving retirement is perceived as an event that would never occur at any point in time, it was not something even considered. Consequently, discussions and planning do not occur.

Don't want to think about it. Many older people preferred not to think about life without driving with preference for maintaining the *status quo*. This preference was due to the perceived negative consequences that would occur upon driving retirement. One participant described a perceived uncertain future upon retiring from driving:

I don't know what the future is going to bring it's not going to be fun. I don't want to talk; I don't want to think about it right now ... when you get old you don't want to think too much ahead, so at the moment, I'm happy the way things are. (Female, 76, regional centre)

Another driver provides specific consequences and fears of change as reasons for not thinking about driving retirement, suggesting:

No, I don't want to think about it. I'd hate it. If I live long enough, I suppose it will come. I don't want to leave my house you see; I don't want to go into one of those old people homes. (Female, 81, metropolitan area)

Some older drivers accepted driving retirement as an inevitable event in the future. For example, a female driver explained:

Well you know it's [going to] happen, but you don't think about it. Or you think about it and you put it out of your mind. (Female, 75, large rural town)

Even for drivers who were accepting of a non-driving future, it was preferable not to think that this event was ahead of them.

Will wait until it happens. Some participants indicated a preference for waiting until driving retirement is a forgone conclusion before discussions and planning for driving retirement occur. The practical adjustment required for life without driving would also be made at this time. As one driver explains:

I think when I can't drive, I'll just accept the fact that I can't drive, and I'll have to rely on public transport. (Female, 75, large rural town)

Furthermore, decisions to defer discussions and planning until the time of driving retirement were based on observations of other older adults who also did this:

They [parents] were going to wait till it happened and then worry about it. That's why I say I don't think anyone can answer that [about plans for driving retirement]. (Male, 68, metropolitan area)

There were also older people who just did not want to make plans about changing driving habits or retiring from driving.

Facilitators to discussing and planning for driving retirement

Theme 1: Intrinsic influences

Despite most participants not having considered life without driving, various situations and triggers were identified which may prompt older drivers to contemplate, discuss or plan for driving retirement in the future. Declines in physical and cognitive health, age and declining driving confidence were intrinsic contributors prompting discussions about changes to driving behaviour in the future.

Declines in physical and cognitive health. Declines in physical and cognitive health were perceived triggers for contemplating changes to driving behaviour in the future. As one participant stated, when asked about their plans to retire from driving:

Depends on my health, if I'm healthy, I'm going to drive to 101. If not, I'm going to choose something else. (Female, 68, metropolitan area)

Specifically, declines in cognition and vision were potential triggers to discussions and contemplation about changes in driving behaviour. This was the case for one male older driver, who suggested discussions would occur: 'Not until my eyesight or brain goes' (Male, 65, metropolitan area). When asked if there were any plans for driving retirement, one participant responded:

Obviously that is something that eventually is going to happen [driving retirement]. I wouldn't stop unless there was medical reasons for my doing so. (Female, 75, metropolitan area)

It was clear that for some older people driving retirement would only be considered if they experienced a medical problem.

Age. Age was perceived as a trigger prompting plans to manage future mobility options. For example, one male driver explains he will rely on his wife who is younger than him to drive when he is unable to:

Initially my wife, because she's about 5 years younger than me, so in theory she might be driving for some years after I'm not able to. (Male, 65, metropolitan area)

The specific age in which planning for driving retirement would occur differed between participants. For example, one driver aged 80 had no plans despite acknowledging driving retirement will occur at some point:

At the moment I'm not planning to give up driving, no. As I said, I'm 80, I know it's going to happen. (Male, 80, metropolitan area)

However, perceptions about the specific age discussions and planning would occur changed for some participants as they reached closer to that age. For example, one participant explains:

I thought that was ridiculous that people were still driving their car when they were 90. I just couldn't imagine ever being 90. So, I suppose I thought that people driving at 80 was ridiculous too ... but now that I'm 90 I can't see why I can't drive. I don't feel any different. (Female, 92, large rural town)

Therefore, for some participants, how they felt about their health and driving skills was viewed as more important than the pre-determined age that participants would plan for driving retirement.

Decline in confidence. Reduced confidence in driving ability was also identified as a trigger that would prompt participants to consider having a discussion or plan for driving retirement. Participants indicated they would rely on personal judgement to identify declines in driving abilities. One female driver explains: 'Well, hopefully I'll have enough common sense to know that I'm not capable' (Female, 71, large rural town). Driving retirement would only occur at the point at which participants determined their own capacity to drive safely was compromised. A male driver living in a small rural town revealed:

I think sometimes you're an idiot for worrying about it because you're still good on the road. And if I thought I wasn't I would give my licence back, so I know that for a fact. (Male, 66, metropolitan area)

Those older drivers who self-identified as experiencing declines in driving confidence purposely changed when and where they drove, for instance avoiding night driving.

Theme 2: Extrinsic influences

Changes to life circumstances such as relocation or retirement, compulsory driving medical assessments, medical advice or a car accident were extrinsic factors that may prompt discussion and planning for life without driving.

Changes to life circumstance. Despite most participants having no plans for driving retirement, there were plans for other life changes such as retiring from work or relocating residence. Transport options were sometimes considered by older drivers in these plans. One participant provided an example of how decisions about relocating included plans for future transport options:

Well we have bought our final home and have made sure it's on a bus line and close to public transport and hospitals so that transport will never be an issue because we have thought about that in retirement. (Female, 67, metropolitan area)

Downsizing place of residence was considered an opportunity to plan for future changes to driving behaviour and alternative modes of transport. As an example, one participant explained:

At this point, there is [*sic*] no plans, but it doesn't have to be. But we're talking now, [my wife] is desperate to downsize. (Male, 76, metropolitan area)

Another participant identified involvement in the aged care system as a trigger for planning:

Being in the system of the retirement system and getting into the aged care, well you're covered pretty well ... if I ever need to fall back on them, I've got them. (Female, 89, metropolitan area)

Being part of the aged care system for this person started the process to secure future accommodation and transport needs when the time came to retire from driving.

Compulsory medical assessments or medical advice. Compulsory annual medical assessments and an on-road test were specific events that may lead some older drivers to discuss or plan for driving retirement. Older drivers were aware of licence renewal requirements, including medical assessments and on-road tests, however, there was uncertainty by some older drivers of the age this occurs. As one driver commented:

No, not at this age. I think in 5 years' time, I'll be 78. I think after you turn 80, you have to get a [on-road] test every year. I'm not sure. (Male, 74, metropolitan area)

Letters sent from the driver licensing authority to complete the compulsory annual driving medical assessments prompted some older drivers to contemplate discussions about driving retirement. For example, one participant commented:

It hit me when my sister-in-law got a letter and I got a letter to take it to the doctor. (Female, 75, large rural town)

For one female driver, it was not until the on-road driving test that an open discussion about driving and the test process occurred:

I did talk a lot about it. But when I was going to go for my test again, yeah (*laughs*), just sort of more, of you know reassurance more than anything. (Female, 85, metropolitan area)

A driving test for this older woman, however, did not lead to a discussion about driving retirement.

Medical advice was viewed as a compelling reason for when discussions and decisions to cease driving would occur. One participant identified preference to defer discussions and delegate the final decision about driving retirement to the medical doctor, pointing out that:

There [*sic*] nothing much we can do about it, the doctor says no, you're not going to be able to, you don't. (Female, 75, large rural town)

Older drivers were also accepting that medical issues that would impact driving skills would arise at some stage:

Obviously that is something that eventually is going to happen [driving retirement], I wouldn't stop unless there was [*sic*] medical reasons for my doing so. (Male, 85, metropolitan area)

Medical problems were therefore seen as a legitimate reason to stop driving.

Car accident. A small group of female participants identified car accidents, although unconvincingly, as a possible trigger for initiating discussions about driving retirement. As one participant explained: 'If I had an accident, ...I wouldn't drive again, I don't think' (Female, 71, small rural town). Concerns about having a car accident did facilitate older people to consider changes to their driving behaviour, such as avoiding intersections without traffic lights. For example, one participant states:

I've then got a lot of traffic on this road and I'm sort of thinking, well, I don't need to risk an accident, I'll just go with the lights. (Female, 67, metropolitan area)

Involvement in a car accident in conjunction with increasing age was an additional reason to consider driving retirement. As one participant describes: 'I'm 71, so I just think then [if I had an accident] maybe it's time to give it up' (female, 71, small rural area). Additionally, family members raising concerns about an increased risk of a car accident would also prompt older drivers to think about driving retirement.

Discussion

Older drivers rarely discuss or plan for driving retirement with health practitioners or family members (Betz *et al.*, 2019). Thus far, qualitative studies on discussions or planning for driving retirement from the perspective of the older driver are limited (Betz *et al.*, 2013), are focused on health practitioners (Betz *et al.*, 2015) or are quantitative studies (Harmon *et al.*, 2018; Betz *et al.*, 2019). This study qualitatively

explored older driver perceptions about driving retirement to identify the barriers and facilitators to discussing and planning for a life without driving.

Contributing both theoretically and empirically, the present study used Löckenhoff's framework of decision making for older people to further develop our understanding of decision-making processes in the context of discussions and planning for driving retirement (Löckenhoff, 2018). The facilitators and barriers to discussions and planning for driving retirement are mapped to the decision process (see Figure 2) proposed by Löckenhoff's conceptual framework for mapping age differences in decision making (Löckenhoff, 2018). We discuss in relation to the finding's specific elements of the framework, including task characteristics; age-related mechanisms; and the key stages of the decision process, including decision identification and engagement, decision delegation and avoidance (see Figure 2).

Our findings indicate that older drivers perceive driving as a personal and emotional relevant 'task' fulfilling an integral and meaningful role in their daily lives (see left middle white box in Figure 1). For many older people in this study, driving embodies more than a convenient mode of transport to undertake practical daily activities. Driving was perceived as crucial to sustaining a sense of independence, spontaneity and freedom. Similarly, alternative models exploring mobility and older people identify these perceived benefits as meeting their practical needs, affective needs (*e.g.* independence and control) and aesthetic needs (*e.g.* pleasure) (Musselwhite and Haddad, 2018). Our findings suggest age-related affective and motivational factors (see Figure 1) are entwined with decisions to avoid discussing or planning for driving retirement. The negative emotions experienced and/or anticipated by older drivers were barriers to engaging in discussions and planning, and therefore decisions about future driving retirement (see Figure 2).

Potential facilitators to discussions and planning for driving retirement are events such as retirement, a driving medical assessment, health declines or a car accident, which may provide critical time-points to which older drivers are more amenable to discussions and planning for driving retirement. Further research is required to understand if these critical time-points: (a) engage older drivers in the decision-making process about driving retirement and (b) facilitate pre-decision information search about alternatives to driving.

Barriers to discussions and planning for driving retirement

Previous studies highlight driving retirement to be a major life event for older people, leading to increased risk of depression and perceptions of feeling older (Chihuri *et al.*, 2016; Pachana *et al.*, 2017). Our study provides further insight proposing older people perceive future negative consequences of loss and change upon driving retirement and view life without driving as a symbol of death. These findings support Löckenhoff's (2018) framework, which posits affective mechanisms (see top white box in Figure 1) contribute to how older people make decisions. Given older people maintain their capacity to accurately predict future emotions (Löckenhoff, 2018), these negative perceptions anticipated upon driving retirement are valid concerns. Previous studies identify reduced life satisfaction and social participation are indeed experienced by older adults once they stop driving (Liddle *et al.*,




Figure 2. Mapping older driver discussions and planning for driving retirement to the 'Conceptual framework for mapping age differences in decision making'.

Source: Adapted from Löckenhoff (2018: 141). Copyright © 2017 Karger Publishers, Basel, Switzerland.

2012; Pristavec, 2018). Grief and loss are also emotions experienced by older people living with dementia once they retired from driving (Sanford *et al.*, 2020). Age-related affective responses, in particular the anticipation of momentous loss, change and death, we propose, are reasons older drivers avoid, defer or delay discussions and planning for driving retirement.

In this study older drivers preferred avoiding, deferring the decision about the timing of driving retirement to a medical practitioner or delaying discussions until a 'red flag' incident occurs, such as a car accident or health event. This finding supports other studies which have found that older people rarely discuss or plan for driving retirement (*e.g.* King *et al.*, 2011; Betz *et al.*, 2019). The preference of some older drivers to delegate decisions about driving retirement to medical practitioners are conceivably due to such practitioners being considered trusted confidants and experts when it comes to decisions about driving (Betz *et al.*, 2016). Although we found that delegating decision making was preferred by some older drivers, prior studies, in contrast, have found that due to the importance placed on driving, older drivers preferred to be involved in any decisions about their own driving retirement (Betz *et al.*, 2016).

Deferment or avoidance of discussions and planning for driving retirement also support the ageing and decision-making literature, which posits older people prefer to avoid, defer or delegate decisions compared to their younger cohorts (Pethel and Chen, 2013; Löckenhoff, 2018). Motivational mechanisms (*see* top white box in Figure 1), such as proposed by socioemotional selectivity theory, suggest older people avoid choices that lead to immediate negative emotional outcomes due to their time-limiting lifespan perceptions (Löckenhoff and Carstensen, 2004). However, our findings indicate the thought of driving retirement itself can induce perceptions of a time-limited lifespan for older drivers, leading to avoidance of discussions and planning for driving retirement. Time-limited lifespan perceptions by older people and engagement of avoidance strategies have occurred with other important decisions, such as advanced care planning. End-of-life planning was avoided by older people who had limited lifespan perceptions due to fears of impending mortality (Luth, 2016). Older people who perceived they would live for a long time were also less likely to plan for end of life (Luth, 2016). Our study similarly showed older drivers who perceived driving retirement was not imminent did not consider that discussing or planning for life without driving were warranted.

When there are perceptions of reduced lifespan, older people will typically choose options that provide positive rather than negative emotional outcomes when making decisions (Löckenhoff and Carstensen, 2004). As identified in this study, some older people associate driving retirement with substantial loss, change and even death. Older drivers perceived that loss and change would occur on both practical and emotional levels. For example, loss and change would occur in relation to important practical daily activities such as grocery shopping, leisure activities like golf and the ability to visit family. Loss is also anticipated in terms of independence, freedom and spontaneity. Similarly, studies from Scandinavia and the United Kingdom identified the car as being integral to maintaining these important everyday activities and quality of life (Hjorthol *et al.*, 2010; Musselwhite and Haddad, 2010). These studies describe fulfilment of these activities in terms of Allardt's wellbeing model of having, loving and being (Hjorthol

et al., 2010) or practical, aesthetic and affective needs (Musselwhite and Haddad, 2010).

Guided by Löckenhoff's (2018) framework, we suggest decisions to discuss driving retirement within the context of ageing and decision-making theory is influenced by affective and motivational mechanisms, contextual factors and the importance of the task of driving (see left middle white box in Figure 1). For most older people in this and prior studies, driving is identified as a personal and emotionally salient task that brings a sense of freedom and independence (Ang *et al.*, 2019a) and is crucial to maintaining quality of life (Oxley and Whelan, 2008; Musselwhite and Haddad, 2010). Older drivers, therefore, as posited in Löckenhoff's (2018) framework, prefer to continue driving to prevent losses and preserve their positive meaningful social relationships, activities and quality of life which only driving is perceived to provide (Musselwhite and Haddad, 2010).

Prior studies have identified that older drivers expressed a diverse range of emotions, including anxiety, anger, sadness and powerlessness, when discussing driving retirement (Betz *et al.*, 2016). In the present study, older drivers associated the need to discuss and plan for driving retirement with death or approaching end of life. Because of the strong association with these negative emotions, an empathetic and staged approach to discussions about driving has been recommended (Betz *et al.*, 2016). However, this might not be adequate to address the end-of-life perceptions experienced by some older drivers. Multiple approaches, including utilisation of decision aids such as those developed for drivers with dementia (Carmody *et al.*, 2014) or education materials for advanced care planning are effective as a starting point for health practitioners to begin discussions (Solis *et al.*, 2018) and could be explored in more depth in future studies on driving retirement. Furthermore, positively reframing these discussions to highlight strategies and messages regarding how older people can maintain independence and their lifestyle into the future is a theoretically supported approach (Löckenhoff, 2018), but warrants further investigation.

Facilitators to discussions and planning for driving retirement

Löckenhoff's (2018) framework proposes older people draw on prior experiences when making decisions, however, few older drivers in this study had prior experiences of a time without driving. Of the few who did, these experiences were short term. The interview itself triggered many participants to think about life without driving for the first time. Both intrinsic and extrinsic factors were suggested by older drivers as possible facilitators to discussing and planning for driving retirement. Factors such as age, medical assessments, health declines or retirement we consider potential facilitators to decision identification and engagement with the early stages of the driving retirement decision process (see Figure 2) (Löckenhoff, 2018).

Similar to previous studies, we found it is not until 'red flag' events such as an accident or health decline that meaningful discussions about driving retirement are likely to occur (Betz *et al.*, 2013: 1575). Events such as a car accident, medical advice, or declines in cognition, physical health or driving confidence were identified as red flag indicators for future discussions or planning by older drivers in our study. We suggest triggers such as these are too late in the decision pathway to

engage in discussions or plan for driving retirement. To avoid the decision being sudden or forced, regular discussions and planning for driving retirement with health practitioners and engagement with support networks are recommended (Baldock *et al.*, 2016; Pomidor, 2019), so that older people can emotionally and logistically prepare for life without driving and maintain quality of life post driving retirement (Musselwhite and Shergold, 2013; Jones *et al.*, 2019). However, convincing older people to engage with their support networks for this purpose can be a challenge. Older retired drivers experience feelings of being a burden and are more likely to wait for someone to offer them support rather than ask for it in the first instance (Murray and Musselwhite, 2019).

Furthermore, encouraging the early adoption of non-driving modes as part of planning and preparation could be one component of a successful transition to driving retirement for older people, as this study found the few who already adopted other ways of getting around felt better prepared. Strategies to support the older driver to incorporate non-driving options in everyday practice may be required as a prior study found nearly three quarters of drivers who planned to cease driving had not made any practical changes to support this transition (Feng and Meuleners, 2020).

Implications and recommendations

This research contributes to emerging literature applying aged-based decision theory to research about older drivers. A key contribution of our study is the application of an aged-based conceptual framework (Löckenhoff, 2018) to provide insights regarding how affective and motivational mechanisms influence older driver decisions to discuss or plan for driving retirement. To complete our understanding of how age impacts decisions to discuss or plan for driving retirement, further research and comparisons are required with younger driver cohorts.

This study has implications for practitioners, *e.g.* doctors and eye-care practitioners, because they provide yearly fitness-to-drive medical assessments for older drivers (75 years and over in NSW, Australia). The barriers to discussions identified in this study have implications for how health practitioners and family members initiate discussions about driving with older people. When initiating discussions, health practitioners and family should be mindful that for older people, driving retirement may be perceived as an end-of-life stage event.

Based on our findings, and the extant literature, we offer three recommendations for discussions about driving and planning for driving retirement: (a) understand that the value and meaning placed on driving by the older person may vary and should be considered in the context of the individual's lifestyle, identity and perceived consequences of driving retirement; (b) use sensitive and empathetic approaches to discussions and planning for driving retirement, as suggested previously (Betz *et al.*, 2016), because driving retirement may be considered an end-of-life event for some older people; and (c) encourage regular informal and formal discussions about driving and planning for driving retirement with older people. This is because avoidance of discussions about driving are typical and planning in advance for a life without driving can help to maintain quality of life post driving retirement (Musselwhite and Shergold, 2013).

Approaches to discussions about driving decisions which emphasise positive emotion-related goals is theoretically supported in the context of older people when making decisions more generally (Löckenhoff, 2018). However, further research is required to explore if approaches which emphasise positive emotion-related goals support the decision-making process or prepare the older person for life without driving. In addition, encouraging older drivers to utilise support networks when making decisions about driving, such as family and close confidants, may be worthwhile as they are often involved in the choices older people make (Löckenhoff, 2018). Acknowledging there are barriers and individual differences in how support is utilised and perceived by older retired drivers (Murray and Musselwhite, 2019), maintaining strong support networks to assist with the sensitive discussions, planning and provide emotional and practical support, may help to reduce the negative effects associated with driving retirement (Musselwhite and Shergold, 2013; Jones *et al.*, 2019). Future research is required to better understand the perspectives of family members in discussions about driving and planning for driving retirement with older people.

Health declines, medical advice and medical driving assessments were identified as facilitators to discussions and planning for driving retirement. Given the barriers faced by medical practitioners to discuss driving with older people (Betz *et al.*, 2015), it is likely, irrespective of results following the clinical encounter, there is an absence of in-depth discussions about alternatives to driving. A formal approach and provision of supportive resources to encourage discussions at distinct phases is proposed. Further research is required to determine if regular formal, in-depth and meaningful discussions and planning for life post driving retirement is effective in sustaining quality of life and sense of independence and freedom for older people. The findings from this study will inform the development of a decisional support resource for older drivers.

Strengths and limitations

The large number of interviews and diversity in demographic profile including gender, age range and geographical location were a strength of this study. Ideally, a larger sample of older drivers living in rural and remote areas would have been preferred as these demographics have additional challenges in transitioning to life without driving (Hansen *et al.*, 2020). It is possible that the findings from this study may not be generalisable to older people living in more isolated and remote areas, *e.g.* rural areas with limited public transport options compared to metropolitan areas. They may also lack generalisability to older people from other cultures who may view the role of individual car transport differently to other older people in society and have different levels of social support which may increase or decrease barriers to driving retirement. Furthermore, quantitative studies examining gender and age differences in discussing and planning for driving retirement would be a valuable addition to the research agenda on driving retirement for older people. The findings from this qualitative study did not include regions or cultural groups that are not car dependent. Future studies could include this cohort in the sample in order to compare the decision-making processes of older people who are more and less car dependent.

Conclusion

Driving retirement is a significant life event for many older drivers. Perceptions of loss, change and death are anticipated upon driving retirement. Consequently, it is important older drivers emotionally and practically prepare for life without driving. As older drivers typically avoid or delay discussions and planning for driving retirement, opportunities to initiate discussions early in the decision pathway are warranted. Health practitioners are in a unique position to start the sensitive discussions, address concerns and support the older driver for this life transition. When initiating discussions, an understanding of the value and meaning placed on driving in the context of the older person's identity and lifestyle is necessary.

Acknowledgements. The authors acknowledge the contributions to the study design and data collection of Professor Gordon Waitt, Dr Theresa Harada and third-year human geography students, and the support of the Driving in the Senior Years research team at the University of Wollongong.

Financial support. This work was supported by the University of Wollongong (Global Challenges grant scheme).

Conflict of interest. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Ethical standards. Ethical approval to conduct the present study was obtained from the University of Wollongong (2019/ETHO3735).

References

- Andrew C, Traynor V and Iverson D (2015) An integrative review: understanding driving retirement decisions for individuals living with a dementia. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* **71**, 2728–2740.
- Ang BH, Chen WS and Lee SWH (2017) Global burden of road traffic accidents in older adults: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics* **72**, 32–38.
- Ang BH, Jennifer O, Chen WS and Lee SWH (2019a) Factors and challenges of driving reduction and cessation: a systematic review and meta-synthesis of qualitative studies on self-regulation. *Journal of Safety Research* **69**, 101–108.
- Ang BH, Oxley JA, Chen WS, Yap KK, Song KP and Lee SWH (2019b) To reduce or to cease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of quantitative studies on self-regulation of driving. *Journal of Safety Research* **70**, 243–251.
- Australian Government Department of Health (2019) *Modified Monash Model*. Available at <https://www.health.gov.au/health-topics/rural-health-workforce/classifications/mmm#what-is-the-mmm-used-for>.
- Babulal GM, Vivoda J, Harmon A, Carr DB, Roe CM and Zikmund-Fisher B (2019) Older adults' expectations about mortality, driving life and years left without driving. *Journal of Gerontological Social Work* **62**, 912–929.
- Baldock MR, Thompson JP, Dutschke J, Kloeden CN, Lindsay V and Woolley J (2016) *Older Road Users: Emerging Trends*. Austroads Research Report AP-R530-16. Sydney: Austroads. Available at <https://austroads.com.au/publications/road-safety/ap-r530-16>.
- Baltes PB and Baltes MM (1990) Psychological perspectives on successful aging: the model of selective optimization with compensation. In Baltes PB and Baltes MM (eds), *Successful Aging: Perspectives from the Behavioral Sciences*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, pp. 1–34.
- Betz ME, Jones J, Petroff E and Schwartz R (2013) 'I wish we could normalize driving health': a qualitative study of clinician discussions with older drivers. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* **28**, 1573–1580.
- Betz ME, Jones J and Carr DB (2015) System facilitators and barriers to discussing older driver safety in primary care settings. *Injury Prevention* **21**, 231–237.
- Betz ME, Scott K, Jones J and Diguiseppi C (2016) 'Are you still driving?' Metasynthesis of patient preferences for communication with health care providers. *Traffic Injury Prevention* **17**, 367–373.

- Betz ME, Villavicencio L, Kandasamy D, Kelley-Baker T, Kim W, DiGuseppi C, Mielenz TJ, Eby DW, Molnar LJ, Hill L, Strogatz D, Carr DB and Li G (2019) Physician and family discussions about driving safety: findings from the LongROAD study. *Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine* **32**, 607–613.
- Bieri R, Nef T, Müri RM and Mosimann UP (2015) Development of a novel driving behavior adaptations questionnaire. *International Psychogeriatrics* **27**, 1017–1027.
- Braun V and Clarke V (2006) Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology* **3**, 77–101.
- Buys L, Snow S, van Megen K and Miller E (2012) Transportation behaviours of older adults: an investigation into car dependency in urban Australia. *Australasian Journal on Ageing* **31**, 181–186.
- Carmody J, Potter J, Lewis K, Bhargava S, Traynor V and Iverson D (2014) Development and pilot testing of a decision aid for drivers with dementia. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making* **14**, 19.
- Carstensen LL (1995) Evidence for a life-span theory of socioemotional selectivity. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* **4**, 151–156.
- Carstensen LL, Isaacowitz DM and Charles ST (1999) Taking time seriously: a theory of socioemotional selectivity. *American Psychologist* **54**, 165–181.
- Chihuri S, Mielenz TJ, DiMaggio CJ, Betz ME, DiGuseppi C, Jones VC and Li G (2016) Driving cessation and health outcomes in older adults. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* **64**, 332–341.
- Connell CM, Harmon A, Janevic MR and Kostyniuk LP (2013) Older adults' driving reduction and cessation: perspectives of adult children. *Journal of Applied Gerontology* **32**, 975–996.
- Cui J, Loo BPY and Lin D (2017) Travel behaviour and mobility needs of older adults in an ageing and car-dependent society. *International Journal of Urban Sciences* **21**, 109–128.
- Dickerson AE, Meuel DB, Ridenour CD and Cooper K (2014) Assessment tools predicting fitness to drive in older adults: a systematic review. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy* **68**, 670–680.
- Feng YR and Meuleners L (2020) Planning for driving cessation in older drivers. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour* **72**, 62–70.
- Foley DJ, Heimovitz HK, Guralnik JM and Brock DB (2002) Driving life expectancy of persons aged 70 years and older in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health* **92**, 1284–1289.
- Gormley M and O'Neill D (2019) Driving as a travel option for older adults: findings from the Irish Longitudinal Study on Aging. *Frontiers in Psychology* **10**, 1329.
- Hansen S, Newbold KB, Scott DM, Vrkljan B and Grenier A (2020) To drive or not to drive: driving cessation amongst older adults in rural and small towns in Canada. *Journal of Transport Geography* **86**, 102773.
- Harmon AC, Babulal GM, Vivoda JM, Zikmund-Fisher BJ and Carr DB (2018) Planning for a non-driving future: behaviors and beliefs among middle-aged and older drivers. *Geriatrics* **3**, 19.
- Haustein S and Siren A (2015) Older people's mobility: segments, factors, trends. *Transport Reviews* **35**, 466–487.
- Hess TM, Queen TL and Ennis GE (2013) Age and self-relevance effects on information search during decision making. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B* **68**, 703–711.
- Hjorthol RJ, Levin L and Siren A (2010) Mobility in different generations of older persons. The development of daily travel in different cohorts in Denmark, Norway and Sweden. *Journal of Transport Geography* **18**, 624–633.
- Huseth-Zosel AL, Sanders G, O'Connor M, Fuller-Iglesias H and Langley L (2016) Health care provider mobility counseling provision to older adults: a rural/urban comparison. *Journal of Community Health* **41**, 1–10.
- Jones VC, Johnson RM, Borkoski C, Rebok GW, Gielen AC, Soderstrom C, Molnar LJ, Pitts SI, DiGuseppi C, Hill L, Strogatz D, Mielenz TJ, Betz ME, Kelley-Baker T, Eby DW and Li G (2019) Social support moderates the negative association between reduced driving and life satisfaction in older adults. *Journal of Applied Gerontology* **39**, 1258–1262.
- Kahvedžić A (2013) *International Re-licensing Models of Older Drivers*. National Programme Office for Traffic Medicine, Royal College of Physicians of Ireland. Available at https://rcpi-live-cdn.s3.amazonaws.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/4_International-Re-Licensing-Models-of-Older-Drivers-1.pdf.
- King MD, Meuser TM, Berg-Weger M, Chibnall JT, Harmon AC and Yakimo R (2011) Decoding the Miss Daisy Syndrome: an examination of subjective responses to mobility change. *Journal of Gerontological Social Work* **54**, 29–52.

- Liddle J, Gustafsson L, Bartlett H and McKenna K (2012) Time use, role participation and life satisfaction of older people: impact of driving status. *Australian Occupational Therapy Journal* **59**, 384–392.
- Löckenhoff CE (2018) Aging and decision-making: a conceptual framework for future research – a mini-review. *Gerontology* **64**, 140–148.
- Löckenhoff CE and Carstensen LL (2004) Socioemotional selectivity theory, aging, and health: the increasingly delicate balance between regulating emotions and making tough choices. *Journal of Personality* **72**, 1395–1424.
- Luth EA (2016) Future time perspective and end-of-life planning in older adults. *Research on Aging* **38**, 178–201.
- McKernan E, Chia SYD, Traynor V, Veerhuis N, Mcneil K and Pond CD (2022) Driving assessments for older adult patients: interviews with general practitioners to gauge current strategies and future directions. *Australian Journal of General Practice* **51**, 457–462.
- Murray A and Musselwhite C (2019) Older peoples' experiences of informal support after giving up driving. *Research in Transportation Business and Management* **30**, 100367.
- Musselwhite C (2017) Exploring the importance of discretionary mobility in later life. *Working with Older People* **21**, 49–58.
- Musselwhite C and Haddad H (2010) Mobility, accessibility and quality of later life. *Quality in Ageing and Older Adults* **11**, 25–37.
- Musselwhite C and Haddad H (2018) Older people's travel and mobility needs: a reflection of a hierarchical model 10 years on. *Quality in Ageing and Older Adults* **19**, 87–105.
- Musselwhite C and Shergold I (2013) Examining the process of driving cessation in later life. *European Journal of Ageing* **10**, 89–100.
- Naumann RB, West BA and Sauber-Schatz EK (2014) At what age do you think you will stop driving? Views of older U.S. adults. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* **62**, 1999–2001.
- Nordbakke S and Schwanen T (2014) Well-being and mobility: a theoretical framework and literature review focusing on older people. *Mobilities* **9**, 104–129.
- Oxley J and Whelan M (2008) It cannot be all about safety: the benefits of prolonged mobility. *Traffic Injury Prevention* **9**, 367–378.
- Pachana NA, Leung JK, Gardiner PA and McLaughlin D (2016) Moderating effects of social engagement on driving cessation in older women. *International Psychogeriatrics* **28**, 1237–1244.
- Pachana NA, Jetten J, Gustafsson L and Liddle J (2017) To be or not to be (an older driver): social identity theory and driving cessation in later life. *Ageing & Society* **37**, 1597–1608.
- Parkes A (ed.) (2016) *Supporting Safe Driving into Old Age: A National Older Driver Strategy*. London: Road Safety Foundation. Available at <https://roadsafetyfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/modsfl.pdf>.
- Pethel OL and Chen Y (2013) Age differences in choice deferrals as functions of interattribute conflict and decision domain. *Educational Gerontology* **39**, 772–782.
- Polit DF and Beck CT (2004) *Nursing Research: Principles and Methods*, 7th Edn. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins.
- Pomidor A (ed.) (2019) *Clinician's Guide to Assessing and Counseling Older Drivers*, 4th Edn. New York, NY: The American Geriatrics Society.
- Pristavec T (2018) Social participation in later years: the role of driving mobility. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B* **73**, 1457–1469.
- Qin W, Tuschman P and Wang F (2020) Driving cessation and social participation in late life: a systematic review. *Journal of Human Behaviour in the Social Environment* **30**, 559–572.
- Reed AE, Chan L and Mikels JA (2014) Meta-analysis of the age-related positivity effect: age differences in preferences for positive over negative information. *Psychology and Aging* **29**, 1–15.
- Sanford S, Naglie G, Cameron DH and Rapoport MJ (2020) Subjective experiences of driving cessation and dementia: a meta-synthesis of qualitative literature. *Clinical Gerontologist* **43**, 135–154.
- Siren A and Haustein S (2015) Driving licences and medical screening in old age: review of literature and European licensing policies. *Journal of Transport and Health* **2**, 68–78.
- Solis GR, Mancera BM and Shen MJ (2018) Strategies used to facilitate the discussion of advance care planning with older adults in primary care settings: a literature review. *Journal of the American Association of Nurse Practitioners* **30**, 270–279.

- Tannou T, Koeberlé S, Aubry R and Haffen E** (2020) How does decisional capacity evolve with normal cognitive aging: systematic review of the literature. *European Geriatric Medicine* **11**, 117–129.
- Tasmanian Department of Infrastructure, Energy and Resources** (2011) *The Alternative Older Driver Licensing System for Tasmania: Final Report*. Available at www.transport.tas.gov.au/?a=110857.
- United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division** (2019) World Population Prospects 2019: Ten Key Findings. Available at https://www.population.un.org/wpp/Publications/Files/WPP2019_10KeyFindings.pdf.
- Windsor TD, Anstey KJ, Butterworth P, Luszcz MA and Andrews GR** (2007) The role of perceived control in explaining depressive symptoms associated with driving cessation in a longitudinal study. *The Gerontologist* **47**, 215–223.
- World Health Organization** (2015) *World Report on Ageing and Health*. Geneva: World Health Organization. Available at <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241565042>.