

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DISTANCE FORMULAE AND COMPACT PERTURBATIONS FOR REFLEXIVE ALGEBRAS

BY

KENNETH R. DAVIDSON

ABSTRACT. For completely distributive CSL algebras, hyper-reflexivity is equivalent to a description of the compact perturbation of the algebra analogous to the Fall-Arveson-Muhly Theorem for nest algebras.

The Arveson distance formula for nest algebras [2] is fundamental to the penetrating analysis that has been possible for these algebras. Power and I [8] showed that such a formula does not exist in general for the larger class of commutative subspace lattice (CSL) algebras. One consequence of the distance formula for nests is a pretty characterization [10] of the algebra of compact perturbations of a nest algebra. Conversely, Andersen's analysis [1] of the compact perturbations of nest algebras lead to Larson's solution [14] of the 'Ringrose problem', and ultimately to our complete similarity invariants for arbitrary nests [5].

The purpose of this note is to show that for the class of completely distributive CSL algebras, the existence of a distance formula is equivalent to the appropriate analogue of the Fall-Arveson-Muhly characterization of the compact perturbation of a nest algebra. A lattice is *completely distributive* if the most general possible distributive law for lattices holds (c.f. [6, §23]). This class has proven to be *the* tractable subclass of all CSL's from a number of perspectives. For example, it is precisely this class for which the finite rank operators in the algebra are weak* dense [15]. The properties that we will use will be elaborated upon later.

I would to thank Vern Paulsen for some discussions regarding this work. Also, I wish to thank Alan Hopenwasser for some useful editorial comments on an earlier version of this note.

All Hilbert spaces, usually denoted \mathcal{H} , will be separable. The algebras of all bounded and compact operators are denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, respectively. Let \mathcal{L} be a commutative subspace lattice endowed with its strong operator topology. (This is an intrinsic topology to the lattice, as it is equivalent to the metric topology obtained from any faithful, normal valuation on \mathcal{L} .) Let $C_{s*}^*(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ denote the C^* -algebra of continuous functions from \mathcal{L} into $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ endowed with the strong* topology. The ideal of norm continuous, compact valued functions is denoted by $C_n^*(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{K})$. For T in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, let Φ_T be the element of $C_{s*}^*(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ given by

$$\Phi_T(L) = P(L)^\perp TP(L).$$

Received April 2, 1990.

AMS subject classification: 47D25.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 1990.

Supported by an NSERC grant, a NATO International Collaboration grant and an E. W. R. Steacie Fellowship.

Here, $P(L)$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto L . The desired distance estimate asks about the relationship between $\text{dist}(T, \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}))$ and

$$\|\Phi_T\| = \sup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \|P(L)^\perp TP(L)\|.$$

Clearly, $\|\Phi_T\| \leq \text{dist}(T, \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}))$. The algebra is called *hypercentreflexive* if there is a constant C such that $\text{dist}(T, \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})) \leq C\|\Phi_T\|$. When \mathcal{L} is a nest, one may take $C = 1$ [2]; for complemented CSL's, one may take $C = 2$ [4, 16]; but in general, there is no such constant [8]. From the Open Mapping Theorem, \mathcal{L} is hyperreflexive if and only if the map $\Phi(T) := \Phi_T$ has closed range.

When K is compact, it is elementary that Φ_K is norm continuous and compact valued. Since the kernel of Φ is precisely $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) + \mathcal{K}$, Φ maps $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) + \mathcal{K}$ into $C_n^*(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{K})$. The Fall-Arveson-Muhly Theorem is the converse for nests: *If \mathcal{N} is a nest, and T is an operator such that Φ_T belongs to $C_n^*(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{K})$, then T belongs to $Q\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{N}) = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N}) + \mathcal{K}$.* We will say that \mathcal{L} has the FAM property provided that when Φ_T belongs to $C_n^*(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{K})$, then T belongs to $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) + \mathcal{K}$.

In particular, FAM implies that $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) + \mathcal{K}$ is norm closed. Froelich [11] has shown that there are CSL's for which $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) + \mathcal{K}$ is not closed. It is always closed for completely distributive lattices [13]. The Laurie-Longstaff Theorem shows that $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) \cap \mathcal{K}$ is weak* dense in $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})$. The M-ideal arguments of [9] also show that $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) + \mathcal{K}$ is norm closed, and that the natural injection

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) / \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) \cap \mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) + \mathcal{K} / \mathcal{K}$$

is isometric. Moreover, it shows that the unit ball of $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) \cap \mathcal{K}$ is weak* dense in the unit ball of $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})$. Thus, there is a norm one approximate identity for \mathcal{K} in $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) \cap \mathcal{K}$. For related results, see [12].

Wagner [18] has shown that completely distributive CSL's are compact (c.f.[7]). Arveson [3] generalized Voiculescu's Theorem [17] and Andersen's Theorem [1] to a common version valid for many non-separable C^* -algebras. One consequence is that for compact CSL's, there is a norm one approximate identity for \mathcal{K} consisting of positive finite rank operators R_n such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_n P(L) - P(L) R_n\| = 0$$

uniformly on \mathcal{L} . Of course, these operators do not lie in $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})$. We will refer to such a sequence as a *quasi-central approximate unit for \mathcal{L}* . The commutator $XY - YX$ will be denoted by $[X, Y]$. Most of the results just described are systematically developed in [6].

THEOREM 1. *Let \mathcal{L} be a completely distributive commutative subspace lattice. Then \mathcal{L} is hyperreflexive if and only if \mathcal{L} satisfies FAM.*

PROOF. Assume that \mathcal{L} is hyperreflexive with distance constant C . Let T be an operator such that Φ_T belongs to $C_n^*(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{K})$. Let R_n be a quasi-central approximate unit for \mathcal{L} ; and set $K_n = R_n T R_n$. First, it will be shown that Φ_{K_n} converge uniformly to Φ_T . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_T - \Phi_{K_n} &= P^\perp TP - P^\perp R_n P^\perp T R_n P - P^\perp R_n P T R_n P \\ &= P^\perp (P^\perp TP - R_n P^\perp T P R_n) + \\ &\quad P^\perp R_n P^\perp T [P, R_n] + P^\perp [P, R_n] T P R_n. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the last two terms of this sum converge uniformly to 0. Since Φ_T is continuous on the compact set \mathcal{L} , the range of Φ_T is a compact set. Thus, the first term of the sum also converges to 0 uniformly. For use in proving the converse, note that if T is arbitrary, then the sequence Φ_{K_n} converges to Φ_T in the strong* topology.

Now since $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})$ has distance constant C , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(T, \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) + \mathcal{K}) &\leq \inf \text{dist}(T - K_n, \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})) \\ &\leq C \inf \|\Phi_{T-K_n}\| \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) + \mathcal{K}$ is closed, property FAM holds.

Next, note that the distance constant can be defined merely by examining finite rank operators. Indeed, by weak* compactness, every coset of $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})$ contains an operator T such that

$$\|T\| = \text{dist}(T, \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})).$$

Without loss of generality, suppose that $\|T\| = 1$. Then $K_n := R_n T R_n$ are finite rank, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|K_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(K_n, \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})) = 1.$$

From the computation in the previous paragraph, it follows that the sequence Φ_{K_n} converges to Φ_T in the strong* topology, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_{K_n}\| = \|\Phi_T\|.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(K_n, \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}))}{\|\Phi_{K_n}\|} = \frac{\text{dist}(T, \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}))}{\|\Phi_T\|}.$$

Assume that \mathcal{L} is not hyperreflexive. We will construct orthogonal families of projections $\{E_n\}$ and $\{F_n\}$ in \mathcal{L}'' so that the distance constant for the distance of elements of $E_n \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) F_n$ to $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})$ tends to infinity. Once this is accomplished, choose finite rank operators $K_n = E_n K_n F_n$ such that $\|K_n\| = 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_{K_n}\| = 0$, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(K_n, \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})) = 1.$$

Set $T = \sum_{n \geq 1} K_n$. Clearly, $\Phi_T = \sum_{n \geq 1} \Phi_{K_n}$ is a norm convergent sum, and hence belongs to $C_n^*(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{K})$. But if K is any compact operator, then $\|E_n K F_n\| < 1/2$ for n sufficiently large. Thus, for n sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(T - K, \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})) &\geq \text{dist}(E_n(T - K)F_n, \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})) \\ &\geq \text{dist}(K_n, \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})) - \|E_n K F_n\| \geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, T is not in $\overline{\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) + \mathcal{K}}$, and FAM fails.

It remains to construct the families of projections. One can build a binary tree of projections in \mathcal{L}'' which, at each step, splits the subspaces into two, neither of which is

a finite sum of atoms, so that the intersection along each infinite decreasing chain is 0 or a minimal projection. (If \mathcal{L}' is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}^\infty(0, 1)$ or to $\ell^\infty(\mathbf{Q} \cap (0, 1))$, this is easily achieved by dissecting the interval into diadic subintervals. The general case just combines these two plans.)

For projections in \mathcal{L}' , let the distance constant for $E\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})F$ relative to $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})$ be

$$\beta(E, F) := \sup_{T \in E\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})F} \frac{\text{dist}(T, \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}))}{\sup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \|P(L)^\perp TP(L)\|}.$$

Note that one of the four subspaces $E\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})F$, $E\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})F^\perp$, $E^\perp\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})F$, and $E^\perp\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})F^\perp$ has infinite distance constant. For if they were all bounded by a constant C , the distance constant for \mathcal{L} would be at most $2C$. Using the binary tree, construct decreasing sequences $\{E_n\}$ and $\{F_n\}$ so that $\beta(E_n, F_n)$ is infinite, and so that $\bigwedge E_n = E_0$ and $\bigwedge F_n = F_0$ are 0 or atoms of \mathcal{L} . Either way, $\beta(E_0, F_0) = 1$.

Next, notice that $\beta(E_n^\perp, F_n^\perp)$ increases to $\beta(E_0^\perp, F_0^\perp)$, namely infinity. Thus it is easy to pick a sequence $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$ so that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta(E_{n_k} - E_{n_{k+1}}, F_{n_k} - F_{n_{k+1}}) = \infty.$$

This is the desired sequence. ■

REFERENCES

1. N. T. Andersen, *Compact perturbations of reflexive algebras*, J. Func. Anal. **38** (1980), 366–400.
2. W. B. Arveson, *Interpolation problems in nest algebras*, J. Func. Anal. **20** (1975), 208–233.
3. W. B. Arveson, *Perturbation theory for groups and lattices*, J. Func. Anal. **53** (1983), 22–73.
4. E. Christensen, *Perturbations of operator algebras II*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **26** (1977), 891–904.
5. K. R. Davidson, *Similarity and compact perturbations of nest algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **348** (1984), 286–294.
6. K. R. Davidson, *Nest Algebras*, Longman Scientific Pitman Research Notes in Math., **191**, Essex, 1988.
7. K. R. Davidson, and D.R. Pitts, *Complete distributivity and compactness of commutative subspace lattices*, J. London Math. Soc., (to appear).
8. K. R. Davidson, and S.C. Power, *Failure of the distance formula*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **32** (1984), 157–165.
9. K. R. Davidson, and S.C. Power, *Best approximation in C^* -algebras*, J. reine angew. Math. **368** (1986), 43–62.
10. T. Fall, W. B. Arveson, P. Muhly, *Perturbations of nest algebras*, J. Operator Theory **1** (1976), 137–150.
11. J. Froelich, *Compact operators, invariant subspaces, and spectral synthesis*, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Iowa, 1984.
12. F. Gilfeather, A. Hopenwasser, D. Larson, *Reflexive algebras with finite width lattices: tensor products, cohomology, compact perturbations*, J. Func. Anal. **55** (1984), 176–199.
13. A. Hopenwasser, C. Laurie, R. Moore, *Reflexive algebras with completely distributive subspace lattices*, J. Operator Theory **11** (1984), 91–108.
14. D. R. Larson, *Nest algebras and similarity transformations*, Ann. Math. **121** (1985), 409–427.
15. C. Laurie, W. Longstaff, *A note on rank one operators in reflexive algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1983), 293–297.
16. S. Rosenoer, *Distance estimates for von Neumann algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **86** (1982), 248–252.
17. D. Voiculescu, *A non-commutative Weyl von Neumann theorem*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl. **21** (1976), 97–113.

18. B. Wagner, *Weak limits of projections and compactness of subspace lattices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **304** (1987), 515–535.

Pure Mathematics Department
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1