

Audit of section 2 and section 3 mental health act paperwork in Derby inpatient psychiatric units using an audit tool by Mason et al. (2012)

Abigail Pearson^{1*}, Andrew Horton¹ and Mike Akroyd²

¹Former Clinical Leadership Fellow at Derbyshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, CT1 Doctor at Sheffield Health and Social Care and ²Consultant Psychiatrist, Derbyshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust

*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2021.294

Aims. Complete an audit of Section 2 and Section 3 Mental Health Act Paperwork in Derby Inpatient psychiatric units using an audit tool developed in a study by Mason et al. (2012).

Background. The 1983 Mental Health Act enables doctors approved on behalf of the Secretary of State under Section 12 to be able to make recommendations for the detention of individuals with a mental health problem where the degree and/or nature, and associated risk to that person's health or safety, or that of others, makes inpatient care necessary. For the detention and the associated deprivation of their liberty to be lawful, it is necessary that the clinical situation meets certain criteria as outlined in the Mental Health Act.

Method. Ward status was reviewed for each inpatient ward in Derby and the first five patients alphabetically, who were detained under sections 2 or 3 were selected. The Mental Health Act medical recommendation documents were reviewed according to the necessary criteria, using an assessment tool generated from a study by Mason et al. in 2012 'Compulsion under the Mental Health Act 1983: audit of the quality of medical recommendations'. A junior colleague was trained to analyse Mental Health Act paperwork using the audit tool. Medical recommendations were reviewed and rated as 'clear', 'implied' or 'none' for each criterion.

Result. Evidence of a mental health problem and the nature or degree of illness was well documented. Evidence regarding why informal admission was not appropriate was also reasonable but with room for improvement. Poor compliance was evident mostly in relation to the justification related to risk to health, safety or others, the lowest clearly documented percentage of these appear to be regarding health.

Conclusion. From analysing the documentation, often written justification incorporated general safety as a whole; however health and safety are identified by the mental health act as separate criterion requiring clear justification of each. In a number of occasions people failed to identify which of the three risk categories were relevant for the patient. Potential criticisms of this audit include the subjective nature of the interpretation of clearly explained and implied and that data analysis was completed by a non-section 12 approved doctor. Data were presented at the local weekly academic teaching to raise awareness of the results and a recommendation was made for the subject to be included in the junior doctor induction.

Are blood tests being performed for new inpatient admissions to a psychiatric hospital as recommended by RCPsych guidelines?

Isabella Piper*

Leverndale Psychiatric Hospital

*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2021.295

Aims. Severe mental illness (SMI) has a significant impact on a person's physical health and mortality. There is a 10–25-year life

expectancy reduction in patients with SMI. The majority of deaths are due to physical health conditions. The Royal College of Psychiatry (RCPsych) sets out a standard that new inpatient admissions to Mental Health Services should have routine blood tests performed within 24 hours of admission, unless they have had a recent blood test. The aim of this audit was to review whether blood tests were performed either in the 48 hours preceding admission or the 48 hours after admission to Leverndale Hospital.

Method. Clinical records were reviewed for new inpatient admissions to two general adult wards over a four-month period.

Result. 79 patients were admitted (M = 39, F = 40, Age: 18–62 years old). 70/79 (89%) had blood tests performed within the 48-hour timeframe. 5/79 (6%) had a blood test performed after 48 hours of their admission. 4/79 (5%) did not have a blood test. The blood tests performed varied. 51/75 (68%) patients had at least one abnormal blood test. The yield of abnormal blood results ranged from 2% for thyroid function tests to 35% for a full blood count.

Conclusion. This audit has established that the majority of patients had blood tests performed within the 48-hour timeframe. This could be improved by setting up an electronic reminder to prompt the clinician to perform a blood test at 24 hours as per RCPsych guidance if one had not yet been done. The blood tests performed varied. RCPsych guidance does not specify which blood tests should be done. A further scope for this audit could be to review the clinical significance of abnormal blood results to develop a standard set of blood tests for admission.

Venous thromboembolism (VTE) risk assessment completion in psychiatric inpatients

Harriet Powell^{1*} and Josie Jenkinson²

¹Ashford and St Peter's NHS Trust and ²Surrey and Borders Partnership NHS Foundation trust

*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2021.296

Aims. To audit VTE risk assessment compliance across psychiatric inpatient wards at three different sites within Surrey and Borders Partnership NHS Foundation Trust (SABP), and to highlight the importance of completing VTE risk assessments for psychiatric inpatient safety and care as set out by NICE guidelines (2019).

Method. Numbers of VTE risk assessments completed (within 24 hours, and those completed any time during inpatient stay) and VTE risk assessments not completed were collected via SABP electronic mental health records. Percentage compliance for each ward and hospital involved in the study were calculated. Chi square statistical t tests were conducted using Excel to check for associations between type of ward (older adult and working age) and VTE risk assessment completion.

A total of 3004 patients were included in the study. Ages ranged from 18–82 years of age, and both males and females included in the study. A total of 2060 were working age (WA) patients (aged 18–64 years) and 944 were older adults (OA) (aged > 65 years).

Result. Across all three sites, more than 90% of all inpatients admitted between May 2018 and October 2020 did not have a formal VTE risk assessment completed. Across all sites, less than 1% of all inpatients had a completed VTE risk assessment done within 24 hours, as recommended by the NICE guidelines. Older Adult wards showed better compliance with VTE risk assessment completion with 38% of patients on one OA ward having had a completed VTE risk assessment, and 28% on another completed OA ward. Being admitted to an OA ward