

The Emergence of Aberration Correctors for Electron Lenses

John Silcox, Cornell University

In 1936, Otto Scherzer published his famous theoretical paper¹ on the correction of aberrations in round magnetic electron lenses. For fundamental reasons, the aberration coefficients are positive and to introduce negative corrections, the electron optical elements have to produce either charges or poles on the optic axis, or contain a mirror or be a multipole system. In 1997, three papers appeared with actual demonstrations of the correction of aberrations in imaging lenses,² probe forming lenses³ and electron mirrors.⁴ It took almost two thirds of a century for this seemingly straightforward development to occur and for the promise of wavelength limited electron imaging to emerge as a practical proposition. In this paper I will put this achievement into perspective, i.e., try to explain why this was so difficult, give some indication of the implications for our field and provide a sense of the contributions of two scientists, Albert Crewe and Harald Rose, whose work has immeasurably influenced the work we do now and, in my view, has even more influenced the future of this field.

Before aberration correction can actually have an impact, the electron optical systems should be operating at or near the theoretical limits. The first instrument that I encountered (in 1961), the Siemens Elmiskop IA, had a spatial resolution of 15 Å. Fortunately, this was more than adequate for the identification and study of dislocations and other important microstructural features in materials. Environmental and manufacturing capabilities almost certainly determined the final performance levels of this instrument. Given the 100 keV electron wavelength of 0.037 Å, the 15 Å value was so far away from the performance limit that serious improvements in design, manufacturing and environment of the basic instrument had to happen before aberration correction could be considered essential to further progress in electron microscopy. In other words there was plenty to do with the existing instrument.

These considerations did not prevent efforts at understanding how to do this and in looking for neat ways to circumvent the aberration limitations. For example, Denis Gabor invented optical holography⁵ (and won the 1971 Nobel Prize for physics) as a means of correcting electron micrographs for aberration distortions. Only very recently have serious efforts been undertaken to seriously exploit electron holography as a method of improving information at the atomic scale (see, for example the symposium at the 2002 Quebec M & M meeting in honor of Hannes Lichte and Akira Tonomura). The prospect of atomic scale imaging resolution, albeit a distant goal, still served to stimulate efforts to circumvent the aberration limitations of the lenses. Electron optics was undertaken as a study in its own right and substantial commitments of time, funds and ingenuity were expended in making progress towards this eventual goal. Thus a serious background to the subject was developed even though working electron microscopes did not operate at the level that was limited by the electron optical performance of the lenses.

I date the modern era from the arrival of Albert Crewe on the electron microscopy scene in the early to late seventies. Professor Crewe made his reputation in accelerator physics and used insights from his experience in that field in his venture into electron optics. On becoming Director of Argonne National Laboratory, the story goes that on wandering through the labo-

ratory he was struck by how many of the staff used electron microscopy and he wanted to help. In the intervening period both at Argonne and later at the University of Chicago, he and his group introduced the field emission gun that is now common, added a high quality (i.e., small spherical aberration coefficient) lens to form a high resolution scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM), used an Annular Dark Field detector for "Z-contrast" imaging and added an electron spectrometer for Electron Energy Loss Spectrometry. In this period atoms were imaged for the first time and in short order, he and his team changed the field of electron microscopy as we know it today. For a period, that team included Elmer Zeitler and Harald Rose as well as a number of bright graduate students (such as Michael Isaacson, Joe Wall and Dale Johnson) who have gone on to make their own independent marks.

Once the new instrument had been established, serious work began on the very difficult problem of correcting aberrations to reduce the probe even more. Work was under way in several laboratories around the world in the UK, Germany and US throughout this period. Space forbids an exhaustive survey in this paper so only the work of Jiye and Crewe analyzing the electron optics of multipole systems and sextupole/roundlenses/sextupole⁵ systems will be noted here.

In the meantime, Harald Rose eventually went back to Germany and took up the chair in Darmstadt following in the long history and tradition established by Otto Scherzer. There he has trained a number of students in electron optics (such as Max Haider², Jo Zach and Georg Hotstettler) and has been particularly concerned with the problems of practical construction of the many devices invented by himself and his students. His 1990 paper⁶ laid out in detail the design of a spherically corrected semi-aplanatic TEM that was the harbinger of the instrument later reported. I have a vivid memory of a later visit to EMBO at Heidelberg in which Jo Zach showed me every electron optical aberration I had ever heard of (and others that I had not encountered) and proceeded to correct them in a working aberration corrected low voltage SEM⁸.

In the final analysis, this has been a long path to realization and almost certainly, one that had to await the arrival of the computational capability as applied in simulation of the optical paths through the lenses, control of the machining capability and control of the lens excitations and overall operations. Has it been worth it? I am an unabashed advocate of the new era and look forward eagerly to these instruments⁹. ■

[1] O. Scherzer, *Z. Physik* **101**, 593-603 (1936).

[2] M. Haider, S. Uhlemann, E. Schwan, H. Rose, B. Kabius, K. Urban, *Nature*, **392**, 768-769 (1998).

[3] O.L. Krivanek, N. Dellby, A.J.H. Spence, R.A. Camps and L.M. Brown, *EMAG 197 I.o.P. Conference series (ed. J.M. Rodenburg)* **153**, 35-40 (1997).

[4] G.F. Rempfer, D.M. Desloge, W.P. Skoczylas, and O.H. Grif-fith, *Microscopy and Microanalysis* **3**, 14-27 (1997).

[5] D. Gabor, *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London)*, **197** 455-487 (1949)

[6] X. Jiye and A.V. Crewe, *Optik* **70** 37-42 (1985)

[7] H. Rose, *Optik* **85** 19-24 (1990).

[8] J. Zach and M. Haider, *Optik* **98** 112 - 118 (1995).

[9] My interest in these topics over the years has been sustained by grants largely directed at the use of electron microscopy in materials supported by the Department of Energy, the National Science Foundation, the Department of Defense and the Semiconductor Research Corporation.

Feeling pressed for time, cash and capacity?

Go digital!



www.soft-imaging.net

Let digital image analysis put you in the lead!

Exploit the generous scope of digital acquisition, archiving, documentation and analytical functions offered by Soft Imaging System.

The never-ending pressure to cut costs and the rising product-quality demands require efficiently-organized workflows and optimized use of device capacity.

- This is precisely what the **analySIS**[®] image-analytical system provides you with: microscope control, camera operation, optimized image acquisition, manual or automated image analysis, database archiving, report generation, and e-mailing.

- And it's all integrated in a single software program: **analySIS**[®]. This saves time, reduces running costs and frees up capacity for what really is important.

For more information on **analySIS**[®] and **Soft Imaging System**, visit us at:
www.soft-imaging.net

Europe
+49 (251) 798000

North America
(888) FIND SIS
+1 (303) 2349270

Asia / Pacific
+60 (3) 83181400

Digital Solutions for Imaging and Microscopy
Soft Imaging System

