ON TOTAL MASSES OF BALAYAGED MEASURES

MASAYUKI ITÔ

Introduction

Beurling and Deny [1], [2] introduced the notion of Dirichlet spaces. They [2] showed the existence of balayaged measures and equilibrium measures in the theory of Dirichlet spaces. In this paper, we shall show that the following equivalence is valid for a Dirichlet space on a locally compact Hausdorff space X.

(1) *For a pure potential u_μ such that S_μ, the support of μ, is compact and for a compact neighborhood ω of S_μ, let μ' be the balayaged measure of μ to $\mathscr{C}\omega$. Then*

$$\int d\mu = \int d\mu' .$$

(2) *For an increasing net $(\omega_\alpha)_{\alpha\in I}$ of relatively compact open sets satisfying $\omega_\alpha \nearrow X$, let ν_α be the equilibrium measure of ω_α. Then the net $(\nu_\alpha)_{\alpha\in I}$ converges vaguely to* 0.

Furthermore we shall examine anologous equivalences for a special Dirichlet space on a locally compact abelian group X.

1. Preliminaries on Dirichlet spaces

According to Beurling and Deny [2], we define a normal contraction of the complex plane $\mathfrak{C}$.

DEFINITION 1. A transformation T of $\mathfrak{C}$ into itself is called a normal contraction if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$T(0)=0 \text{ and } |Tz_1-Tz_2| \leq |z_1-z_2|$$

for any couple of z_1 and z_2 in $\mathfrak{C}$.

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let $C_k=C_k(X)$ be the space of complex valued continuous functions with compact support provided with the topology of uniform convergence.

DEFINITION 2.[1] Let ξ be a positive Radon measure in X which is every-

Received May 6, 1966.

[1] Cf. [2], p. 209.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000012502 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where dense (i.e., $\xi(\omega)>0$ for each non-empty open set ω in X). A Hilbert space $D=D(X,\xi)$ is called a ξ-Dirichlet space (simply, a Dirichlet space) if each element in D is a complex valued function $u(x)$ which is locally summable for ξ and the following three conditions are satisfied.

a) For each compact subset K in X, there exists a positive constant $A(K)$ such that, for any u in D

$$\int_K |u(x)|\,d\xi(x)\leq A(K)\,\|u\|.$$

b) $C_k\cap D$ is dense both in C_k and in D.

c) For any normal contraction T and any u in D,

$$Tu\varepsilon D \quad\text{and}\quad \|Tu\|\leq\|u\|.$$

More precisely, two functions which are equal to $p.p.$ in X[2] represent the same element in D. The norm in D is denoted by $\|\cdot\|$, the associated scalar product by $(\cdot,\ \cdot)$.

Definition 3.[3] An element u in D is called a potential if there exists a Radon measure μ such that

$$(u,v)=\int\bar{v}\,d\mu$$

for any v in $C_k\cap D$. Such an element u is denoted by u_μ. Especially if μ is positive, u_μ is called a pure potential.

It is evident that the subspace of linear combinations of pure potentials is dense in D.

Definition 4.[4] We say that a property holds $p.p.p.$ on a subset E in X if the property holds μ-$p.p.$ for any pure potential u_μ such that $S_\mu\subset E$.[5]

It is evident that a property holds $p.p.$ on a subset E in X if the property holds $p.p.p.$ on E, because for any complex valued bounded measurable function f with compact support, there exists the potential u_f generated by f.

In order to prove our main theorem, we need the following lemmas. Let D be a Dirichlet space on X. For each element u in D, the refinement of u is

---

2) A property is said to hold $p.p.$ in a subset E in X if the property holds in E except a set which is locally of ξ-measure 0.

3) Cf. [2], p. 209.

4) Cf. [7].

5) S_μ is the support of μ.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000012502 Published online by Cambridge University Press

denoted by u^*.[6]

LEMMA 1. *For elements u and v in D, suppose that $u(x) \geqq v(x)$ p.p. in an open set G. Then $u^*(x) \geqq v^*(x)$ p.p.p. in G.*

Proof. For any pure potential u_μ such that $S_\mu \subset G$, it is sufficient to prove that

$$(u, u_\mu) \geqq (v, u_\mu),$$

because

$$(u, u_\mu) = \int u^* d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad (v, u_\mu) = \int v^* d\mu .$$

Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3 in [7], there exist positive bounded measurable functions f_n with compact support such that $f_n(x) = 0$ *p.p.* in $\mathscr{C}G$ and the sequence (u_{f_n}) converges weakly u_μ in D. By our assumption,

$$(u, u_{f_n}) = \int u(x) f_n(x) d\xi \geqq \int v(x) f_n(x) d\xi = (v, u_{f_n}) .$$

Hence

$$(u, u_\mu) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (u, u_{f_n}) \geqq \lim_{n \to \infty} (v, u_{f_n}) = (v, u_\mu) .$$

This completes the proof.

By Lemma 1, we obtain the following domination theorem.

LEMMA 2. *For pure potentials u_{μ_1} and u_{μ_2} in D, suppose that*

$$u_{\mu_1}(x) \geqq u_{\mu_2}(x)$$

p.p. in some open neighborhood ω of S_{μ_2}. Then

$$u_{\mu_1} \geqq u_{\mu_2}$$

Proof. By Lemma 1,

$$u^*_{\mu_1}(x) \geqq u^*_{\mu_2}(x)$$

p.p.p. in ω. It is known that there exists a pure potential u_ν such that[7]

$$u_\nu = \inf (u_{\mu_1}, u_{\mu_2}) .$$

6) Cf. [2], p. 210.

7) Cf. [4], Lemma 2, p. 5.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000012502 Published online by Cambridge University Press

By above, it holds that

$$u_\nu^*(x)=\mu_{\mu_2}^*(x) \quad p.p.p. \text{ in } \omega\,,$$
$$u_\nu^*(x)\leq u_{\mu_2}^*(x) \quad p.p.p. \text{ in } X\,.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_{\mu_2}-u_\nu\|^2&=\|u_{\mu_2}\|^2-2(u_{\mu_2}, u_\nu)+\|u_\nu\|^2\\ &=\int u_{\mu_2}^* d\mu_2-2\int u_\nu^* d\mu_2+\int u_\nu^* d\nu\\ &=\int u_\nu^* d\nu-\int u_\nu^* d\mu_2\\ &=\int (u_\nu^*-u_{\mu_2}^*)d\nu\leq 0\,.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$u_{\mu_2}=u_\nu, \text{ i.e., } u_{\mu_1}\geq u_{\mu_2}\,.$$

This completes the proof.

By the above lemma, we obtain the following unicity theorem.

COROLLARY. *Let u_{μ_1} and u_{μ_2} be two potentials in D. If*

$$u_{\mu_1}(x)=u_{\mu_2}(x) \quad p.p.$$

in some neighborhood of $S_{\mu_1}\cup S_{\mu_2}$, then $\mu_1=\mu_2$.

This is evident by Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. *For elements u and v in D, the following equalities hold.*

(1) $$(\alpha u+\beta v)^*(x)=\alpha u^*(x)+\beta v^*(x) \qquad p.p.p. \text{ in } X\,,$$

(2) $$(\inf(u, v))^*(x)=\inf(u^*(x), v^*(x)) \quad p.p.p. \text{ in } X.$$

The proof is evident by Lemma 1 and the fact that $(u^*)^*(x)=u^*(x)$ $p.p.p.$ in X for any u in D.

LEMMA 4. *For any pure potential u_μ in D with $\int d\mu<+\infty$ and any positive number M, there exists a pure potential u_{μ_M} such that*

$$u_{\mu_M}(x)=\inf(u_\mu(x), M) \text{ and } \int d\mu_M\leq\int d\mu\,.$$

Proof. The existence of a pure potential u_{μ_M} is followed from a result of

Deny.[8] For a relatively compact open set ω, let u_ν be the equilibrium potential of ω.[9] Then

$$\int_\omega d\mu_M \leq \int u_\nu^* d\mu_M = (u_\nu, u_{\mu_M}) = \int u_{\mu_M}^* d\nu$$

$$= \int \inf(u_\mu^*(x), M) d\nu \leq \int u_\mu^* d\nu$$

$$= \int u_\nu^* d\mu \leq \int d\mu .$$

ω being arbitrary, we obtain

$$\int d\mu_M \leq \int d\mu .$$

This completes the proof.

Now we define the spectrum of an element in D. Given an element u in D, there exists the greatest open set ω having the following property: $(u, v)=0$ for any v in $C_k \cap D$ with support in ω.

Definition 5.[9] The complementary set of such an open set ω is called the spectrum of u, denoted by $s(u)$.

Evidently for any potential u_μ in D, $s(u_\mu)=S_\mu$.

We put, for an open set ω,

$$D_\omega^{(1)} = \overline{\{u \varepsilon D;\ s(u) \subset \omega\}},$$

$$D_\omega^{(2)} = \overline{\{f \varepsilon C_k \cap D;\ S_f \subset \omega\}},$$

and for a closed set F in X,

$$D_F^{(1)} = \{u \varepsilon D;\ s(u) \subset F\},$$

$$D_F^{(3)} = \{u \varepsilon D;\ u^*(x) = 0 \quad p.p.p. \text{ on } F\}.$$

Lemma 5. *Let u_μ be a pure potential in D and let F be a closed set in X. Then there exists a pure potential $u_{\mu'}$ in D such that*

(1) μ' is supported by F and $\int d\mu' \leq \int d\mu$,

(2) $u_\mu^*(x) = u_{\mu'}^*(x) \quad p.p.p.$ on F,

(3) $u_\mu(x) \geq u_{\mu'}(x) \quad p.p.$ in X,

---

8) Cf. [4], p. 6.

9) Cf. [2], p. 215.

(4) $u_{\mu'}$ is equal to the projection of u_μ to $D_F^{(1)}$.

Proof. We put

$$E_{u_{\mu'}F}=\{u\,\varepsilon D;\,u^*(x)\geq u_\mu^*(x)\quad p.p.p.\text{ on }F\}\,.$$

Then $E_{u_\mu,F}$ is a non-empty closed convex set in D. Let u' be the unique element which minimizes the norm in $E_{u_\mu,F}$. Similarly as the proof of Beurling and Deny's Balayage Theorem,[10] we can prove that u' is a pure potential in D and the conditions (1)–(3) are satisfied. Furthermore similarly as the proof of Lemma 3 in [7], we can prove that the condition (4) is satisfied.

We remark that for a pure potential u_μ in D, the element which satisfied the conditions (1)–(3) is uniquely determined in D by Lemma 2. We call such a pure potential $u_{\mu'}$ the balayaged potential of u_μ to F and the positive measure μ' the balayaged measure of μ to F.

LEMMA 6. For an open set ω in X, $D_\omega^{(2)}$ is a Dirichlet space on ω with the norm induced from the norm in D. Let u'_μ be a pure potential in $D_\omega^{(2)}$ such that S_μ is compact in ω. Then there exists a potential u_μ in D such that

$$u'_\mu(x)=u_\mu(x)-u_{\mu'}(x)\,,$$

where $u_{\mu'}$ is the balayaged potential of u_μ to $\mathscr{C}\omega$.

Proof. It is evident that $D_\omega^{(2)}$ become a Dirichlet space on ω by the norm induced from the norm in D. We may assume that

$$D_\omega^{(2)}=\{u-u_1;\,u\,\varepsilon D_\omega^{(1)}\}\,,$$

where u_1 is the projection of u to $D_{\mathscr{C}\omega}^{(1)}$. Hence there exists an element v in $D_\omega^{(1)}$ such that

$$u'_\mu=v-v_1$$

Obviously

$$s(v-v_1)\subset s_\mu\cup\mathscr{C}\omega\,.$$

S_μ being compact in ω, $s(v)=S_\mu$ and for any φ in $C_k\cap D_\omega^{(2)}$,

$$(v,\varphi)=\int\bar{\varphi}(x)d\mu\,,$$

that is, for any φ in $C_k\cap D$,

$$(v,\varphi)=\int\bar{\varphi}(x)d\mu\,.$$

---

10) Cf. [2], p. 210 and [7].

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000012502 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Therefore $v=u_\mu$ and by Lemma 5, $v_1=u_{\mu'}$. This completes the proof.

2. Main theorems

By the above lemmas, we obtain the following main theorems.

THEOREM I. *Let D be a Dirichlet space on X. Then the following two conditions are equivalent.*

(I. 1) *For a pure potential u_μ in D such that S_μ is compact in X and a compact neighborhood ω of S_μ, let μ' be the balayaged measure of μ to $\mathscr{C}\omega$.*[11] *Then*

$$\int d\mu=\int d\mu' .$$

(I. 2) *For an increasing net (ω_α) of relatively compact open sets with $\omega_\alpha \nearrow X$, the net of the equilibrium measures ν_α of ω_α*[12] *converges vaguely to* 0.

Proof. First we prove the implication (I. 1) $\Rightarrow$ (I. 2). Since the net $(u^*_{\nu_\alpha})$ is increasing and converges to 1 *p.p.p.* in X,

$$\lim_{\alpha\in I}\int u^*_{\nu_\alpha}d\mu=\lim_{\alpha\in I}\int u^*_{\nu_\alpha}d\mu'$$

for any u_μ in D such that S_μ is compact and any compact neighborhood ω. S_μ being compact,

$$0=\lim_{\alpha\in I}\int u^*_{\nu_\alpha}d(\mu-\mu')=\lim_{\alpha\in I}\int(u^*_\mu-\mu^*_{\mu'})d\nu_\alpha .$$

We take a fixed function φ in C_k. Next we take a relatively compact open sets ω and ω_0 such that

$$S_\varphi\subset\omega_0\subset\bar{\omega}_0\subset\omega .$$

Let u'_ν be the equilibrium potential of ω_0 in the Dirichlet space $D^{(2)}_\omega$. By Lemma 6, there exists a pure potential u_ν in D such that

$$u'_\nu=u_\nu-u_{\nu'},$$

where $u_{\nu'}$ is the balayaged potential of u_ν to $C\omega$. Furtheremore we take a relatively compact open set ω' such that $\bar{\omega}\subset\omega'$. Let ν'' be the balayaged measure of ν to $\mathscr{C}\bar{\omega}'$. Then

$$u_{\nu'}(x)\geqq u_{\nu''}(x)$$

11) Cf. [2], p. 210 and [7].
12) Cf. [2], p. 210 and [7].

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000012502 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$p.p.$ in $\mathscr{C}\omega$. By Lemma 2,

$$u_{\nu'}(x) \geq u_{\nu''}(x)$$

$p.p.$ in X. That is,

$$u_{\nu}^{*}(x) - u_{\nu'}^{*}(x) \leq u_{\nu}^{*}(x) - u_{\nu''}^{*}(x)$$

$p.p.p.$ in X. Hence there exists a positive number M such that

$$\overline{\lim_{\alpha \varepsilon I}} \int |\varphi| d\nu_{\alpha} \leq \overline{\lim_{\alpha \varepsilon I}} M \int (u_{\nu}^{*} - u_{\nu'}^{*}) d\nu_{\alpha}$$

$$\leq \overline{\lim_{\alpha \varepsilon I}} M \int (u_{\nu}^{*} - u_{\nu''}^{*}) d\nu_{\alpha} = \lim_{\alpha \in I} M \int (u_{\nu}^{*} - u_{\nu''}^{*}) d\nu_{\alpha} = 0\,.$$

Therefore the net $(\nu_{\alpha})_{\alpha \varepsilon I}$ converges vaguely to 0.

Next we prove the implication (I. 2) $\Rightarrow$ (I. 1). Let u_{μ} and $u_{\mu'}$ be the elements in our theorem. By Lemma 4, for each positive number M, there exists positive measures μ_M and μ'_M such that

$$u_{\mu_M} = \inf(u_{\mu}, M) \text{ and } u_{\mu'_M} = \inf(u_{\mu'}, M)\,.$$

Since we have

$$\int d\mu_M \leq \int d\mu \text{ and } \int d\mu'_M \leq \int d\mu'\,,$$

we may assume that there exist bounded linear functionals T and T' on C, where C is the Banach space of bounded continuous functions in X with norm

$$\|f\|_C = \sup_{x \varepsilon X} |f(x)|.$$

Then

$$\int f\, d\mu_M \longrightarrow T(f) \text{ and } \int f\, d\mu'_M \longrightarrow T'(f)$$

as $M \to \infty$ for any f in C. On the other hand

$$\|u_{\mu_M}\|^2 = \int u_{\mu_M}^{*} d\mu_M \leq \int u_{\mu}^{*} d\mu_M = \int u_{\mu_M}^{*} d\mu \leq \int u_{\mu}^{*} d\mu = \|u_{\mu}\|^2\,.$$

Similarly we have

$$\|u_{\mu'_M}\|^2 \leq \|u_{\mu'}\|^2\,.$$

For any bounded measurable function f with compact support, we have the following convergences

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000012502 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\int u_{\mu_M}(x)f(x)d\xi(x)=\int u_{\mu}(x)f(x)d\xi(x),$$

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\int u_{\mu'_M}(x)f(x)d\xi(x)=\int u_{\mu'}(x)f(x)d\xi(x).$$

Hence (u_{μ_M}) and $(u_{\mu'_M})$ converges weakly to u_μ and $u_{\mu'}$, respectively, because the totality of potentials generated by such functions f is dense in D. Now for any φ in $C_k \cap D$,

$$T(\varphi)=\int\varphi d\mu \text{ and } T'(\varphi)=\int\varphi d\mu'.$$

By the denseness of $C_k \cap D$ in C_k,

$$T(f)\geq\int f\, d\mu \quad\text{and}\quad T'(f)\geq\int f\, d\mu'$$

for any f in C. On the othr hand by lemma 4,

$$T(1)\leq\int d\mu \quad\text{and}\quad T'(1)\leq\int d\mu'.$$

That is,

$$T(1)=\int d\mu \quad\text{and}\quad T'(1)=\int d\mu'.$$

By the above equality, it is sufficient to prove that for any $M>0$,

$$\int d\mu_M=\int d\mu'_M.$$

Since we have

$$0\leq u^*_{\mu_M}-u_{\mu'_M}\leq M \quad p.p.p. \text{ in } X,$$

$$u^*_{\mu_M}-u^*_{\mu'_M}=0 \quad p.p.p. \text{ in } \mathscr{C}\omega,$$

there exists a function φ in C_k such that

$$u^*_{\mu_M}(x)-u^*_{\mu'_M}(x)\leq\varphi(x)$$

$p.p.p.$ in X. Hence by our assumption,

$$\overline{\lim_{\alpha\in I}}\int(u^*_{\mu_M}-u^*_{\mu'_M})d\nu_\alpha\leq\lim_{\alpha\in I}\int\varphi d\nu_\alpha=0.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{\alpha\in I}\int(u^*_{\mu_M}-u^*_{\mu'_M})d\nu_\alpha=\lim_{\alpha\in I}\int u^*_{\nu_\alpha}d(\mu_M-\mu'_M)=0.$$

That is,

$$\int d\mu_M = \int d\mu'_M .$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 1. *In the above theorem, a sufficient condition for the condition* (I. 1) *to be satisfied is the followingq*(I.2').

(I. 2') *There exists an increasing net* $(\omega_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ *of relatively compact open sets such that* $\omega_\alpha \nearrow X$ *and the net of the equilibrium measures* ν_α *of* ω_α *converges vaguely to* 0.

Remark 2. *Let* X *be a bounded domain in the n-dimensional Euclidean space* $R^n (n \geq 2)$ *with sufficiently smooth boundary and let* $0 < \alpha < 2$. *In the Dirichlet space* D_α^0 *on* X *introduced by Elliott* [6], *the condition* (I. 1) *in Theorem* I *is not satisfied, because for any sequence* (ω_m) *of relatively compact open sets tending to* X, *the sequence* (ν_m) *of the equilibrium measures of* ω_m *converges vaguely to* $m(x)$, *where*

$$m(x) = J_\alpha \int_{\mathscr{C}X} |x-y|^{-\alpha-n} dy$$

and J_α *is a positive constant.*

By Beurling and Deny's Representation Theorem[13] and our Theorem I, we obtain the following

Theorem II. *Let* D *be a Dirichlet space on* X. *Then the following two conditions are equivalent.*

(II. 1) *For a pure potential* u_μ *in* D *such that* S_μ *is compact and a compact neighborhood* ω *of* $S_{\mu'}$, *let* μ' *be the balayaged measure of* μ *to* $\mathscr{C}\omega$. *Then*

$$\int d\mu = \int d\mu' .$$

(II. 2) *There exist a positive Hermitian form* $N(f, g)$ *on* $C_k \cap D$ *with a local character*[14] *and a positiveve symmetric measure* σ *in* $X \times X - \delta$(δ *is the diagonal set of* $X \times X$) *such that*

$$(f, g) = N(f, g) + \iint (f(x) - f(y))(\bar{g}(x) - \bar{g}(y)) d\sigma(x, y) .$$

By Beurling and Deny's Representation Theorem and the remark with respect to it in [7], it is evident that the conditions (I. 1) and (II. 2) are equivalent.

13) Cf. [2], pp. 211 and [7].

14) This means that $N(f, g) = 0$ if g is constant in some neighborhood of S_f.

3. Special Dirichlet spaces

According to Beurling and Deny [2], we define a negative definite function in a locally compact abelian group X and a special Dirichlet space on X.

DEFINITION 6.[15] A complex valued continuous function $\lambda(x)$ defined in X is said to be negative definite if the following Hermitian form

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(\lambda(x_i)+\overline{\lambda(x_j)}-\lambda(x_i-x_j))\rho_i\rho_j$$

is positive for each set of n points $x_1, x_2, \ldots\ldots, x_n$ in X and each n complex number $\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots\ldots, \rho_n$ $(n=1, 2, \ldots\ldots)$.

DEFINITION 7.[16] A Dirichlet space $D=D(X, \xi)$ is said to be special if X is a locally compact abelian group and ξ is the Haar measure on X, the following condition being satisfied.

d) If $U_x u$ is the function obtained from u in D by the translation $x \varepsilon X$(i.e., $U_x u(y)=u(y-x)$), then

$$U_x u \varepsilon D \quad \text{and} \quad \|U_x u\|=\|u\|.$$

Buerling and Deny [2] showed the following important result.

To a special Dirichlet space D on X corresponds a real valued negative definite function $\lambda(\hat{x})$ on the dual group $\hat{X}$ of X such that λ^{-1} is locally summable and the following equality holds:

$$\|u\|^2=\int\lambda(\hat{x})|\hat{u}(\hat{x})|^2 d\hat{x} \tag{1}$$

for any u in $C_k \cap D$, where û is the Fourier transform of u.

Conversely, such a negative definite function $\lambda(\hat{x})$ on $\hat{X}$ defines, by means of (1), a special Dirichlet space on X.

Furthermore for a special Dirichlet space D, there exists a positive measure κ having λ^{-1} as the Fourier transform. We call this measure κ the kernel of D. We [7] proved the following proposition.

PROPOSITION. *Let D be a special Dirichlet space on X and let κ be the kernal of D. For a point x in X and a compact neighborhood ω of x, there exists a positive measure ε'_x such that*

15) Cf. [2], p. 214 and [4], p. 8.
16) Cf. [2], p. 215 and [4], p. 9.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000012502 Published online by Cambridge University Press

(1) ε'_x *supported by* $\overline{\mathscr{C}\omega}$ *and* $\int d\varepsilon'_x \leq 1$,

(2) $\kappa * \varepsilon_x = \kappa * \varepsilon'_x$ *as a measure in* $\mathscr{C}\omega$,

(3) $\kappa * \varepsilon_x \geq \kappa * \varepsilon'_x$ *in* X .

This meaure ε'_x is called the balayaged measure of the unit measure ε_x at x to $\mathscr{C}\omega$.

To prove the second main theorem, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 7. *Let D be a special Dirichlet space on X. For each increaesing net $(\omega_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ of relatively compact open sets with $\omega_\alpha \nearrow X$, the net $(\nu_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ converges vaguely to $\lambda(\hat{o})$ if $\lambda(\hat{o}) \neq 0$, where ν_α is the equilibrium measure of ω_α.*

Cf. [7], Lamma 12.

LEMMA 8. *Let D_1 and D_2 be special Dirichlet spaces on X and $\lambda_1(\hat{x})$ and $\lambda_2(\hat{x})$ be the negative definite functions of D_1 and D_2, respectively. If $\lambda_1(\hat{x}) \geq \lambda_2(\hat{x})$, then $D_1 \subset D_2$.*

Proof. For any u in $C_k \cap D_1$,

$$\| u \|_2^2 = \int |\hat{u}(\hat{x})|^2 \lambda_2(\hat{x}) d\hat{x} \leq \int |\hat{u}(\hat{x})|^2 \lambda_1(\hat{x}) d\hat{x} = \| u \|_1^2 ,$$

where $\| \cdot \|_i$ is the norm in D_i. Then u is in D_2 and $\| u \|_2 \leq \| u \|_1$.

Therefore $D_1 \subset D_2$, because $C_k \cap D_1$ is dense in D_1. This completes the proof.

By Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, we obtain the following

LEMMA 9. *Let D be a special Dirichlet space on X and let $\lambda(\hat{x})$ be the negative definite function of D. For each increasing net $(\omega_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ of relatively compact open sets with $\omega_\alpha \nearrow X$, the net $(\nu_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ converges vaguely to $\lambda(\hat{o})$, where ν_α is the equilibrium measure of ω_α.*

Proof. For a fixed positive number c, we put

$$\lambda'(\hat{x}) = \lambda(\hat{x}) + c .$$

Then λ' is negative definite and λ'^{-1} is finite continuous in X. Let D' be the special Dirichlet space on X such that $\lambda'(\hat{x})$ is the negative definite function of D'. For our net $(\omega_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$, we take another net $(\omega'_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ of relatively compact open sets such that

$$\overline{\omega_\alpha} \subset \omega'_\alpha$$

for any α. Let u'_{μ_α} be the condensor potential with respect to ω_α and $\overline{\mathscr{C}\omega'_\alpha}$ in

D'. We take a fixed function φ in C_k such that

$$\varphi(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int \varphi(x)dx = 1 .$$

Then $u_{\mu_\alpha} * \varphi$ is in $C_k \cap D'$. Put

$$u_\alpha(x) = u_{\mu_\alpha} * \varphi(x) .$$

Then $u_\alpha(x)$ tends to 1. For any u in $C_k \cap D'$, there exists a number α_0 such that $u_\alpha(x) = 1$ in some neighborhood of S_u for each $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$. By Beurling and Deny's Representation Theorem and Lemma 7,

$$(u, u_\alpha)' = (\lambda(\hat{o}) + c)\int u(x)dx + 2\iint u(x)(1 - u_\alpha(y))d\sigma(x, y) ,$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)'$ is the scalar product in D' and σ is a positive symmetric measure in $X \times X - \delta$ (δ is the diagonal set of $X \times X$). Hence we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\alpha \varepsilon I} (u, u_\alpha)' &= \lim_{\alpha \varepsilon I} \int u(x)\mu_\alpha * \varphi(x)dx \\ &= (\lambda(\hat{o}) + c)\int u(x)dx . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by Beurling and Deny's Representation theorem and Lemma 8, The net (ν_α) converges vaguely to some positive measure ν and we obtain the following equality,

$$\lim_{\alpha \varepsilon I} (u, u_\alpha) = \int u(x)d\nu(x) .$$

Since we have the equality

$$(u, u_\alpha)' - (u, u_\alpha) = c\int u(x)\overline{u_\alpha}(x)dx = c\int u(x)u_\alpha(x)dx ,$$

$$\lim_{\alpha \varepsilon I} (u, u_\alpha) = \int u(x)d\nu(x) = \lambda(\hat{o})\int u(x)dx .$$

By the denseness of $C_k \cap D'$ in C_k, we have the equality $\nu = \lambda(\hat{o})$ as a measure in X. This completes the proof.

By Theorem I and the above lemma, we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM III. *Let D be a special Dirichlet space on X. Then the following three condition are equivalent.*

(1) *There exist a point x in X and a compact neighborhood ω of x such that*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000012502 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$\int d\varepsilon'_x = 1\,,$$

where ε'_x *is the balayaged measure of* ε_x *to* $\mathscr{C}\omega$.

(2) $$\lambda(\hat{o}) = 0\,.$$

(3) *For any point* x *in* X *and any compact neighborhood* ω *of* x, *the total mass of the balayaged measure of* ε_x *to* $\mathscr{C}\omega$ *is equal to* 1.

Proof. First we shall prove the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Assume that $\lambda(\hat{o}) \neq 0$. Then λ^{-1} is finite continuous in X, because

$$\lambda(\hat{x}) \geq \lambda(\hat{o})$$

for all $\hat{x}$ in $\hat{X}$. By Bochner's theorem, the total mass of the kernel κ of D is finite. By the unicity theorem with respect to special Dirichlet spaces (Cf. [7]),

$$\int d\kappa > \int d(\kappa * \varepsilon'_x) = \int d\kappa \cdot \int d\varepsilon'_x$$

for each x and each compact neighborhood ω of x. That is, the total mass of ε'_x is less than 1. This contradicts our assumption.

The implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is evidently followed from Theorem I and Lemma 9.

The implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is evident.

This completes the proof.

Moreover we obtain the following

THEOREM IV. *Let* D *be a special Dirichlet space on* X *and let* $\lambda(\hat{x})$ *be the negative definite function of* D. *Assume that* $\lambda(\hat{o}) \neq 0$. *Then for any increasing net* $(\omega_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ *of compact neighborhoods of* x *in* X *with* $\omega_\alpha \nearrow X$, *we obtain the following convergence*

$$\lim_{\alpha \in I} \int d\varepsilon'_\alpha = 0\,,$$

where ε'_α *is the balayaged measrure of* ε_x *to* $\mathscr{C}\omega_\alpha$.

Proof. By our assumption, $\lambda(\hat{x}) > 0$ for any $\hat{x}$ in X. Hence the total mass of the kernel κ of D is finite. Since

$$\int d\varepsilon'_\alpha < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad S_{\varepsilon'_\alpha} \subset \overline{\mathscr{C}\omega_\alpha}\,,$$

we obtain the convergence

$$\lim_{\alpha \in I} \int f(x) d\varepsilon'_\alpha = 0 ,$$

for any finite continuous function f vanishing at infinity. On the other hand

$$u_{\mu'_\alpha}(x) \geq u_{\mu'_\beta}(x)$$

if $\alpha \leq \beta$ for any pure potential u_μ, where $u_{\mu'_\alpha}$ and $u_{\mu'_\beta}$ are the balayaged potentials of u_μ to $\mathscr{C}\omega_\alpha$ and $\mathscr{C}\omega_\beta$, respectively. Hence

$$\kappa * \varepsilon'_\alpha \geq \kappa * \varepsilon'_\beta$$

if $\alpha \leq \beta$. Since the total mass of κ is finite, there exists a positive measure η such that

$$\lim_{\alpha \in I} \int f(x) d(\kappa * \varepsilon'_\alpha) = \int f(x) d\eta$$

for any bounded continouus function f in X. For each φ in C_k, $\kappa * \varphi(x)$ is a finite continuous function vanishing at infinity, and hence

$$\lim_{\alpha \in I} \int \varphi(x) d(\kappa * \varepsilon'_\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha \in I} \int \kappa * \varphi(x) d\varepsilon'_\alpha = 0.$$

Therefore $\eta = 0$. Now since the total mass of κ is not zero, there exists a bounded measurable function f in X such that

$$\kappa * f(x) \geq 1$$

in X. Then

$$\overline{\lim_{\alpha \in I}} \int d\varepsilon'_\alpha \leq \overline{\lim_{\alpha \in I}} \int \kappa * f(x) d\varepsilon'_\alpha$$

$$= \overline{\lim_{\alpha \in I}} \int f(x) d(\kappa * \varepsilon'_\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha \in I} \int f(x) d(\kappa * \varepsilon'_\alpha) = 0 .$$

This completes the proof.

Remark. Let D be a Dirichlet space on a locally compact Hausdorff space X. It is an open question if the same result with Theorem IV exists when ν_α, the equilibrium measure of ω_α, tends vaguely to a non-zero measure for an increasing net (ω_α) of relatively compact open sets with $\omega_\alpha \nearrow X$.

References

[1] A. Beurling and J. Deny: Espaces de Dirichlet I, Le case élémentaire, Acta Math., **99** (1958), 203–214.
[2] A. Beurling and J. Deny: Dirlichlet spaces, Proc. Nat. Acad. U.S.A., **45**(1959) 208–215.
[3] S. Bochner: Harmonic analysis and the theory of probablity, Berkeley, 1955.
[5] J. Deny: Sur les espaces de Dirichlet, Sémin. Théorie du potentiel, Paris, 1957.
[4] J. Deny: Principe complet du maximum et Contractions, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 15 (1965), 259–272.
[6] J. Elliott: Dirichlet spaces associated with intregro-differential operators Part I, Illinois Math. J., (1965), 87–98.
[7] M. Itô: Characterizations of supports of balayaged measures, Nagoya Math. J., 28 (1966), 203–230.

Mathematical Institute Nagoya University