

Adaptive increase in phytate digestibility by phosphorus-deprived rats and the relationship of intestinal phytase (*EC* 3.1.3.8) and alkaline phosphatase (*EC* 3.1.3.1) to phytate utilization

BY ROBERT J. MOORE* AND TRYGVE L. VEUM†

110 Animal Science Research Center, University of Missouri, Columbia,
Missouri 65211, USA

(Received 1 June 1982—Accepted 2 September 1982)

1. The effects of phosphorus deprivation on phytate digestibility, phosphorus utilization and intestinal phytase (*EC* 3.1.3.8) and alkaline phosphatase (*EC* 3.1.3.1) in rats were investigated.
2. P deprivation was achieved by giving rats a diet containing 3 g P/kg and resulted in hypophosphataemia, hypercalcaemia, hypercalciuria, and lower levels of P absorbed and retained, and calcium retained.
3. Rats adapted to P deprivation by increasing the digestion of total dietary-P and phytate-P.
4. Levels of intestinal alkaline phosphatase and alkaline phytase were not different between the two treatment groups.
5. P deprivation in the rats given the marginal-P diet may be a result of a lower absorption of total dietary-P or increased absorption of inositol phosphates formed during the enzymic hydrolysis of phytate which are not readily utilized by the rat.
6. These results suggest that intestinal phytase and alkaline phosphatase do not play a role in the adaptive increase in phytate digestibility by rats given marginal-P diets. The adaptation may result from enhanced phytase or alkaline phosphatase synthesis by the gastrointestinal microflora stimulated by a lower level of P in the digesta.

The predominant form of phosphorus in cereal grains and oilseed meals is inositol hexaphosphate (phytate) (Lolas *et al.* 1976). Even though phytate contributes a major portion of P to the diets of non-ruminants, the mineral is mostly unavailable to these animals (Taylor, 1980). Phytate also interferes with trace mineral and calcium utilization (Erdman, 1979; Nahapetian & Young, 1980).

The bio-availability of P in phytate depends to a large extent on the level of inorganic-P in the diet. Moore & Veum (1982) found that phytate-P was more available to rats given diets low in inorganic-P than from diets with supplements of P.

The mechanism by which phytate digestibility is increased in rats given low-P diets is unknown but may be related to the activity of phytase (*EC* 3.1.3.8) and alkaline phosphatase (*EC* 3.1.3.1) present in rat intestine (Pileggi, 1959; Davies & Flett, 1978). Phosphate transport from the intestine is stimulated by low dietary P levels in chicks (Fox *et al.* 1981), rats (Lee *et al.* 1979) and pigs (Fox & Care, 1978). Serum levels of 1,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol are elevated in vitamin D-replete rats given low-P diets (Hughes *et al.* 1975) and this metabolite of vitamin D is associated with enhanced intestinal P absorption and alkaline phosphatase levels (Peterlik & Wasserman, 1980). In addition, dietary vitamin D has been shown to stimulate phytase levels in rat intestine (Pileggi *et al.* 1955; Roberts & Yudkin, 1961).

Thus, the adaptive increase in phytate-P digestion by rats given low-P diets may be related to an increase in intestinal levels of alkaline phosphatase and phytase mediated by changes in serum levels of 1,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol. The experiment reported here was conducted to determine: (i) the effect of dietary P level on Ca, P and phytate-P utilization in

* Present address: Department of Animal Science, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061.

† For reprints.

Table 1. *Composition (g/kg) of experimental diets*

Phosphorus level*... Treatment group...	Marginal MP	Adequate AP
Ingredients		
Ground maize	714.4	712.5
Soya-bean meal (44%)	131.2	131.2
Egg albumen†	14.2	14.2
Maize oil	78.0	78.0
Amino acids‡	41.0	41.0
Minerals	5.0	5.0
Vitamins§	2.2	2.2
Calcium carbonate	11.6	9.1
Phytic acid¶	0.4	0.4
Calcium phosphate, dibasic	—	4.4
Chromic oxide	2.0	2.0
Analysed nutrient composition (g/kg)		
Crude protein (N × 6.25)	164.0	160.0
Total P	3.0	4.0
Calcium	5.7	6.2
Phytate-P	1.2	1.3
Molar Ca : P	1.46	1.20
Proportion of total P as phytate-P	0.400	0.325

* Marginal-P level 3 g/kg, adequate-P level 4 g/kg based on recommendations of (US) National Academy of Sciences (1978).

† Spray-dried egg albumen; Kraft Corp., Memphis, Tennessee, autoclaved at 121° for 20 min.

‡ Amino acid supplement provided (g/kg diet): L-glutamic acid 32.1, L-histidine-hydrochloride 0.4, L-lysine-hydrochloride 2.1, DL-methionine 4.3, L-threonine 0.7, L-tryptophan 0.6, L-valine 0.8.

|| Mineral premix provided (/kg diet): 18 mg Zn as ZnCO₃, 0.1 mg Se as Na₂SeO₃ · 5H₂O, 75 mg Mn as MnSO₄, 0.3 mg I as KI, 2 mg F as NaF, 0.5 mg Cr as CrK(SO₄) · 12H₂O, 16 mg Fe as FeSO₄ · 7H₂O, 0.4 g NaCl, 2 g K as K₂CO₃.

§ Vitamin premix provided (/kg diet): biotin 0.2 mg, cyanocobalamin, 100 µg, pyridoxine-hydrochloride 9 mg, thiamin 6 mg as thiamin-mononitrate, riboflavin 5 mg, Ca pantothenate 12 mg, nicotinic acid 30 mg, folic acid 2 mg, choline chloride 1000 mg, vitamin D 37.5 µg as ergocalciferol, vitamin E 20 mg as α-tocopherol acetate, vitamin A 3.3 mg as retinyl palmitate, vitamin K 100 µg as menadione sodium bisulphite complex.

¶ Phytic acid supplied as sodium phytate (Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, Missouri).

growing rats, and (ii) whether changes in intestinal levels of phytase or alkaline phosphatase are involved in the adaptive increase in phytate digestibility by rats given marginal-P diets.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Male weanling rats of the Wistar strain were used in a 28 d study to investigate the effect of dietary P level on phytate digestibility and P utilization and intestinal levels of phytase and alkaline phosphatase. Twenty-four rats were divided into two treatment groups of twelve rats each as follows: adequate P (diet AP; 4 g P/kg, 6.2 g Ca/kg) and marginal P (diet MP; 3 g P/kg, 5.7 g Ca/kg). The compositions of the diets are given in Table 1.

Food and deionized water were available *ad lib.* and food consumption was determined daily. Rats were housed in individual stainless-steel cages for a 21 d feeding period and then transferred to metabolism cages for a 7 d balance trial. During the balance trial, separate faeces and urine collections were made twice daily (06.00 and 18.00 hours) and frozen (−60°). The daily collections for each rat were pooled over the entire collection period. Faeces were dried (60° for 24 h) and ground in a Wiley Mill (Arthur Thomas Co., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania) to pass a 30-mesh screen. Feed and faeces were analysed for

Ca and P (Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 1980), phytate-P (Ellis *et al.* 1977) and chromic oxide (Gherke *et al.* 1950). Nutrient digestibilities were determined using Cr_2O_3 as an inert digestibility indicator (Kotb & Luckey, 1972).

After 28 d, rats were killed by decapitation and the serum collected. Serum was analysed for Ca by atomic absorption spectroscopy (Perkin-Elmer Corp., 1971), P by a colorimetric method (Goldenberg & Fernandez, 1966) and alkaline phosphatase using a test kit (Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, Missouri) with *p*-nitrophenylphosphate as the substrate. The right femur from each rat was removed, trimmed of tissue and defatted by reflux extraction with chloroform-methanol (2:1, v/v). The femurs were dried to a constant weight, ashed at 550° for 18 h and Ca and P contents determined (Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 1980).

Intestinal phytase and alkaline phosphatase activities were determined for eight rats from each treatment according to a modified procedure of Davies & Flett (1978). Duodenum mucosal scrapings (0–160 mm distal to the pyloric sphincter) were homogenized in 5 vol. 0.25 M-sucrose, pH 7.4, in a Ten-Broeck glass homogenizer with a ground-glass pestle (ten strokes). For phytase determination, 0.1 ml portions of the homogenate were transferred to duplicate tubes containing 3.0 ml 50 mM-Tris-succinate buffer (0.5 mM-Mg ions, pH 7.4) and equilibrated in a water-bath at 37°. The reaction was initiated by the addition of 0.05 ml 50 mM-sodium phytate and terminated by the addition of 1.0 ml trichloroacetic acid (200 g/l; TCA) after 30 min. The amount of phosphate liberated was determined on the supernatant fraction (Fiske & Subbarow, 1925) after centrifugation. Reagent 'blanks' (no enzyme added) and enzyme 'blanks' (no substrate added) were subjected to the same assay procedures as the samples. The amount of phosphate liberated from the test assays was corrected for phosphate found in the 'blanks'.

For alkaline phosphatase assay, 0.1 ml homogenate was further diluted (1:5 v/v) with 0.25 M-sucrose, pH 7.4, and 0.2 ml of the diluted homogenate was added to tubes containing 3.0 ml 50 mM-Tris-succinate buffer. The reaction was started by addition of 0.05 ml 0.3 M- β -glycerophosphate (sodium salt) and terminated by addition of 1.0 ml TCA after 15 min. The phosphate liberated was determined as described previously.

Protein was determined according to the procedure of Lowry *et al.* (1951). One unit of enzyme activity was equal to 1.0 μmol phosphate liberated/h per mg protein at 37°, pH 7.4.

Treatment means for the results were compared using Student's *t* test (Snedecor & Cochran, 1980).

RESULTS

No significant differences in food intake or weight gain were found between groups of rats given the two dietary P levels. Rats given the marginal-P diet consumed 17 g food/d and gained 6.0 g/d, while rats given the adequate-P diet consumed 17 g food/d and gained 5.8 g/d. Femur mineralization was not influenced by treatment (Table 2) since both groups had similar levels of bone ash, Ca and P.

Rats given the marginal-P diet were hypercalcaemic ($P < 0.01$) and hypophosphataemic ($P < 0.05$) compared to rats given the adequate-P diet. However, serum alkaline phosphatase activity did not differ between the two treatment groups (Table 2).

Ca, P and phytate-P absorption and utilization results are given in Table 3. Rats given the marginal-P diet had greater apparent digestibilities of total P ($P < 0.01$) and phytate-P ($P < 0.001$) compared to rats given the adequate-P diet (Table 3). There was a tendency ($P < 0.10$) for Ca digestibility to be greater in rats given the marginal-P diet.

P absorption and retention were greater ($P < 0.05$) in rats given the adequate-P diet. There was a tendency ($P < 0.10$) for the rats given the marginal-P diet to be hypophosphaturic.

Table 2. *Effect of dietary phosphorus level on rat femur mineralization and serum levels of calcium, P and alkaline phosphatase (EC 3.1.3.1)*

	Dietary treatment group†			
	Marginal-P		Adequate-P	
	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM
Femur				
Wt (mg)	341	7	346	9
Ash (g/kg)	540	3	543	3
Ca (g/kg)	200	2	200	1
P (g/kg)	102	2	103	1
Serum				
Ca (mg/l)	114**	2	105	2
P (mg/l)	70*	2	76	2
Alkaline phosphatase (i.u.)‡	217	10	214	12

† For details, see Table 1.

‡ One unit is equal to 1 μ mol *p*-nitrophenol liberated/min per l.

* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$.

Table 3. *Effect of dietary phosphorus level on the digestibility and utilization of calcium, P and phytate-P*

	Dietary treatment group†			
	Marginal-P		Adequate-P	
	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM
P				
Digestibility	0.591**	0.016	0.519	0.016
Absorbed (mg/d)	33.7*	1.1	38.3	1.7
Urinary (mg/d)	0.36‡	0.02	0.48	0.07
Retained (mg/d)	33.4*	1.1	37.8	1.7
Phytate-P				
Digestibility	0.653***	0.035	0.250	0.066
Phytate derived-P available (mg/d)	14.8**	1.0	6.0	1.6
Percentage of total P absorbed as phytate-P	43.6**	2.0	14.4	3.9
Calcium				
Digestibility	0.527‡	0.014	0.481	0.018
Absorbed (mg/d)	57.0	1.3	55.1	3.1
Urinary (mg/d)	14.1***	1.0	6.0	0.7
Retained (mg/d)	42.9*	0.9	49.1	2.9
Ca:P balance				
Ca:P absorbed	1.70***	0.04	1.43	0.03
Ca:P retained	1.29	0.03	1.29	0.03

† For details, see Table 1.

‡ $P < 0.10$, * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$.

Table 4. Effect of dietary phosphorus level on the amounts of phytase (EC 3.1.3.8) and alkaline phosphatase (EC 3.1.3.1) in the duodenal mucosa of rats

	Dietary treatment group†			
	Marginal-P		Adequate-P	
	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM
Phytase*	3.89	0.37	3.41	0.34
Alkaline phosphatase*	18.39	1.50	17.92	0.72

* One unit of enzyme activity is equal to 1 μ mol phosphate liberated/h per mg protein at 37°, pH 7.4. The substrate for alkaline phosphatase was β -glycerophosphate and the substrate for phytase was sodium phytate.

† For details, see Table 1.

Assuming that the disappearance of phytate-P during transit through the gastrointestinal tract reflects absorption, rats given the marginal-P diet absorbed more ($P < 0.01$) phytate-P than did rats given the adequate-P diet. This source of P represented a greater percentage of the total P absorbed ($P < 0.01$) in these rats than in those given the adequate-P diet.

There was no difference in the absolute amounts of Ca absorbed between treatments. However, compared to rats given the adequate-P diet, those given the marginal-P diet were hypercalciuric ($P < 0.001$) and thus retained less Ca ($P < 0.05$). The Ca absorbed:P absorbed value was greater ($P < 0.001$) in the rats given the marginal-P diet. However, because of hypercalciuria, the Ca retained:P retained value was similar to that for rats given the adequate-P diet. Therefore, the difference in the two ratios was greater ($P < 0.001$) in rats given the marginal-P diet than in those given the adequate-P diet.

There was no difference between the two groups with respect to the levels of phytase or alkaline phosphatase assayed in mucosal scrapings of the duodenum (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Even though the level of P in the marginal-P diet (3 g P/kg) was only 25% lower than that in the diet containing an adequate level of P (4 g P/kg) the rats given the marginal-P diet were hypophosphataemic and hypercalcaemic, two conditions signifying a state of P deprivation (Brautbar *et al.* 1979; Lee *et al.* 1979). Many animal species adapt to P deficiency by increasing the efficiency of P absorption from the intestine (Fox & Care, 1978; Lee *et al.* 1979; Fox *et al.* 1981). Rats given the marginal-P diet in the present study showed an increase in the efficiency of P absorbed as reflected by an increase in apparent P digestibility and a tendency toward enhanced renal conservation of P when compared to the rats given the adequate-P diet.

There was also an increase in phytate digestibility by rats given the marginal-P diet. It is possible that some phytate hydrolysis could have occurred during the interval from when the faeces were voided and collected, however, no attempt was made to determine this in our experiment. If this occurred it probably would not alter the relative differences in phytate digestibility noted between treatments, but would result in an over-estimation of the absolute amounts of phytate-P absorbed. The increase in phytate digestibility by rats given the marginal-P diet is in agreement with previous work (Moore & Veum, 1982) which found that rats given a diet containing 3.4 g P/kg digested more dietary phytate than rats given a 4 g P/kg diet. It has been suggested that the increase in intestinal P absorption during P deficiency may be related to enhanced synthesis of alkaline phosphatase in the intestinal mucosa (Kempson *et al.* 1979; Birge & Avioli, 1981). The rat possesses substantial levels

of intestinal phytase and alkaline phosphatase (Pileggi, 1959; Davies & Flett, 1978) and acid phytase and acid phosphatase (Ramikrishnan & Bhandari, 1979). Our results clearly show that there was no difference in the intestinal levels of alkaline phosphatase or phytase between rats given either level of dietary P, suggesting that these enzymes do not play a role in the adaptive increase in the digestibility of P or phytate-P in rats given marginal-P diets.

Savage *et al.* (1964) reported that germ-free chicks were unable to hydrolyse dietary phytic acid. Wise & Gilbert (1982) found that germ-free rats were unable to digest phytate while conventional rats were able to hydrolyse phytate. Many microbes adapt to low media-P concentrations by increasing the synthesis of alkaline phosphatase (McComb *et al.* 1979). Shieh *et al.* (1969) found that the synthesis of acid phosphatase was depressed when inorganic-P was added to the media of the mould *Aspergillus ficuum*. Our results demonstrate an adaptive increase in phytate digestion by rats given the marginal-P diet which is independent of intestinal levels of phytase and alkaline phosphatase. Thus, we suggest that the adaptation may be manifested by enhancement of phytase or alkaline phosphatase synthesis by the intestinal microflora which are stimulated by a lower level of P in the digesta.

Despite enhanced efficiency of P and phytate-P absorption by rats given the marginal-P diet, P deprivation was evident. Because of hypercalciuria by rats given the marginal-P diet, these rats retained less Ca than did rats given the adequate-P diet. Hypercalciuria is characteristic of P deprivation (Lee *et al.* 1979) and Henry *et al.* (1979) have suggested that the decrease in the efficiency of Ca utilization in P-deprived rats is a result of competition between soft tissue and skeletal tissue for P. Henry *et al.* (1979) also found that Ca retained : P retained values by rats decreased, as the level of P in the diet probably reflects a lower level of P absorbed and retained in these rats compared to the rats given the adequate-P diet. However, the difference between the two ratios may also be a reflexion of absorption of a poorly-utilized source of P.

The enzymic degradation of phytate is a step-wise dephosphorylation reaction which produces hydrolysates containing partially-phosphorylated inositols (Greaves *et al.* 1967; Lim & Tate, 1973). Fardiaz & Markakis (1981) found significant amounts of inositol di- and triphosphate in a peanut press cake fermented with a mould phytase. These inositol phosphates are potent inhibitors of rat aortic calcification in vivo and in vitro (Thomas & Tilden, 1972; Van Den Berg *et al.* 1972). Moore & Veum (1982) found that rats which adapted to P deprivation by increasing phytate digestion and P absorption were still in a state of P deprivation, which suggested that the inositol phosphates formed in the intestine during phytate digestion represented an absorbable but poorly utilized form of P. Nahapetian & Young (1980) have suggested that improvements in bone mineralization of rats given diets with phytate containing high levels of Ca may be due to a decrease in phytate digestibility that leads to the absorption of less inositol phosphates.

The lower inositol phosphate esters are not quantitatively measured by methods utilizing ferric ion precipitation of inositol phosphates. Møllgaard (1946) reported that inositol monophosphate and inositol diphosphate were not quantitatively precipitated by iron. DeBoland *et al.* (1975) found that all myo-inositol phosphates from the di- to the hexaphosphate form iron-precipitable complexes. However, the di- and triphosphate complexes were appreciably soluble and, thus, they may not be determined quantitatively by the method employed in our study.

If substantial levels of the lower inositol phosphate esters were present in the faeces, our procedure may not have quantitatively measured them. Thus we would have over-estimated the values reported for phytate digestibility, phytate-P absorbed and the percentage of the total P absorbed as phytate-P. Additionally, since the enzymic dephosphorylation of

inositol hexaphosphate proceeds sequentially, it is possible that this error would be differentially greater in the rats given the marginal-P diet, if the dephosphorylation had progressed substantially past the inositol tetraphosphate step.

In conclusion, it appears that the rat is able to compensate for low dietary levels of P by increasing the digestibility of phytate. The mechanism by which this adaptation occurs is unknown. However, it is clear from our results that intestinal phytase and alkaline phosphatase are not involved. Because signs of P deprivation are still present, the P released from phytate may be in a form which is poorly utilized by the rat and, therefore, suggests that inositol phosphates formed during the enzymic degradation of phytate are absorbed.

This investigation is a contribution of the Missouri Agricultural Experiment Station. Thanks are extended to Joel Fox and Scott Marquardt for care of the animals and for technical assistance, to Dr Boyd L. O'Dell for supplying the animals and facilities and to Dr Philip G. Reeves for advice during the course of the experiment and preparation of the manuscript. The authors acknowledge financial support from Diamond V Mills, Inc., Cedar Rapids, Indiana and the UMC College of Agriculture Honors Program Research Fund.

REFERENCES

- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1980). *Official Methods of Analysis*, 13th ed. Washington, DC: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- Birge, S. J. & Avioli, R. C. (1981). *Am. J. Physiol.* **240**, E384.
- Brautbar, N., Lee, D. B. N., Coburn, J. W. & Kleeman, C. R. (1979). *Am. J. Physiol.* **236**, E283.
- Davies, N. T. & Flett, A. A. (1978). *Br. J. Nutr.* **39**, 307.
- DeBoland, A. R., Garner, G. B. & O'Dell, B. L. (1975). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **23**, 1186.
- Ellis, R., Morris, E. R. & Philpot, C. (1977). *Analyt. Biochem.* **77**, 536.
- Erdman, J. W. Jr (1979). *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.* **56**, 736.
- Fardiaz, D. & Markakis, P. (1981). *J. Food Sci.* **46**, 523.
- Fiske, C. H. & Subbarow, Y. (1925). *J. biol. Chem.* **66**, 375.
- Fox, J., Bunnett, N. W., Farrar, A. R. & Care, A. D. (1981). *J. Endocr.* **88**, 147.
- Fox, J. & Care, A. D. (1978). *J. Endocr.* **77**, 225.
- Gherke, C. W., Mayer, D. T., Pickett, E. E. & Runyon, C. V. (1950). *Res. Bull. Univ. of Missouri* no. 469.
- Goldenberg, H. & Fernandez, A. (1966). *Clin. Chem.* **12**, 871.
- Greaves, M. P., Anderson, G. & Webley, D. M. (1967). *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **132**, 412.
- Henry, Y., Gueguen, L. & Rerat, A. (1979). *Br. J. Nutr.* **42**, 127.
- Hughes, M. R., Brumbaugh, P. F., Haussler, M. R., Wergedal, J. E. & Baylink, D. J. (1975). *Science, N.Y.* **190**, 578.
- Kempson, S. A., Kim, J. K., Northrup, T. E., Knox, R. G. & Dousa, T. P. (1979). *Am. J. Physiol.* **237**, E465.
- Kotb, A. R. & Luckey, T. D. (1972). *Nutr. Abstr. Rev.* **42**, 813.
- Lee, D. B. N., Brautbar, N., Walling, M. W., Silis, V., Coburn, J. W. & Kleeman, C. R. (1979). *Am. J. Physiol.* **236**, E451.
- Lim, P. E. & Tate, M. E. (1973). *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **302**, 316.
- Lolas, G. M., Palamidis, N. & Markakis, P. (1976). *Cereal Chem.* **53**, 867.
- Lowry, O., Rosebrough, N. J., Farr, A. L. & Randall, R. J. (1951). *J. biol. Chem.* **193**, 265.
- McComb, R. B., Bowers, G. N. & Posen, S. (1979). *Alkaline Phosphatase*, p. 866. New York: Plenum Press.
- Møllgaard, H. (1946). *Biochim. J.* **40**, 589.
- Moore, R. J. & Veum, T. L. (1982). *Nutr. Rep. int.* **25**, 221.
- Nahapetian, A. & Young, V. R. (1980). *J. Nutr.* **110**, 1458.
- National Academy of Sciences (1978). *Nutrient Requirements of Laboratory Animals*, 3rd ed. Washington, DC: (US) National Academy of Sciences.
- Perkin-Elmer Corp. (1971). *Methods in Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy*. Norwalk, CT: Perkin-Elmer Corp.
- Peterlik, M. & Wasserman, R. H. (1980). *Horm. Metab. Res.* **12**, 216.
- Pileggi, V. J. (1959). *Archs. Biochem. Biophys.* **80**, 1.
- Pileggi, V. J., DeLuca, H. F. & Steenbock, H. (1955). *Archs. Biochem. Biophys.* **58**, 194.
- Ramkrishnan, C. V. & Bhandari, S. D. (1979). *Experientia* **35**, 994.
- Roberts, A. H. & Yudkin, J. (1961). *Br. J. Nutr.* **15**, 457.
- Savage, J. E., Yohe, E. J. M., Pickett, E. E. & O'Dell, B. L. (1964). *Poult. Sci.* **43**, 420.
- Shieh, T. R., Wodzinski, R. J. & Ware, J. H. (1969). *J. Bact.* **100**, 1161.
- Snedecor, G. W. & Cochran, W. G. (1980). *Statistical Methods*, 7th ed. Ames, Iowa: Iowa State University Press.

- Taylor, T. G. (1980). In *Recent Advances in Animal Nutrition-1979*, p. 23 [W. Haresign and D. Lewis, editors]. London: Butterworths.
- Thomas, W. C. Jr & Tilden, M. T. (1972). *John Hopkins Med. J.* **131**, 133.
- Van Den Berg, C. J., Hill, L. F. & Stanbury, S. W. (1972). *Clin. Sci.* **43**, 377.
- Wise, A. & Gilbert, D. J. (1982). *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **43**, 753.