

Correspondence

Contents: ‘Audible thoughts’ and ‘speech defect’/ Tricyclics and SSRIs/Cross-cultural studies/Lithium and weight gain/Influenza and schizophrenia/ No evidence for association between *CNTF* null mutant allele and schizophrenia/Should the administration of ECT during clozapine therapy be contraindicated?/Train of thought continued.

‘Audible thoughts’ and ‘speech defect’

SIR: Szasz (1996) equates auditory hallucinations with “thoughts becoming loud” (*Gedankenlautwerden*), which, he suggests, is much the same as talking to oneself, which in turn is what thinking really is. Ergo: auditory hallucinations are in fact part of normal cognition. Put this way, his conclusion sounds absurd – which it is. (Szasz’s arguments have, by the way, nothing to do with so-called output theories of auditory hallucinations, according to which patients talk to themselves, but perceive the voices as coming from somewhere or someone else – a phenomenon which is clearly pathological.)

Let us examine more closely what at first sight appears to be the least tenuous link in the exceedingly rusty chain of Szaszian arguments. Szasz quotes Plato’s view in the ‘Theaetetus’ that thinking is an inward dialogue carried on by the mind with itself and Kant’s statement that “thinking is talking to oneself”. Although Szasz asserts that this idea is “self-evident”, after a moment’s reflection it becomes self-evident that thinking is *not* always talking to oneself. Many of us are familiar with the experience of having a thought and not knowing how to put it into words or even knowing that it cannot be put into words at all. Thinking is clearly possible without words. If I am thinking of my next move in a game of chess, I am not usually talking to myself (after all, my opponent might overhear me), or thinking in words, but imagining how the position will change if I attack my opponent’s knight with my bishop and what the most likely responses are. Similarly, if I am thinking about how to arrange some pictures on my living-room wall, words are not

required. The verb “to think” can even be used in contexts in which there is no conscious thought, e.g. in a chess tournament I may fail to complete my first 40 moves within the time allocated and then say to the referee “I thought I had more time”, although at no time during the game was I aware of having this thought. While thought and language are obviously connected in complex and varied ways, and talking to oneself can be one criterion of thought, the two are not at all the same thing.

Szasz misconstrues two of the key German terms in his paper. *Sprachfehler* is any linguistic error, not merely one of speech or articulation (*Sprache* = language, as well as speech). In the context of schizophrenia, the wider sense is more appropriate than Szasz’s translation (“faulty speech” or “faulty speaking”). Secondly, he interprets *Gedankenlautwerden* (“thoughts becoming loud”) to be something very like “talking to oneself aloud”. Here he turns what in German is an experience (“thoughts becoming loud”) into an action. Unfortunately, the Italian motto which Szasz installs at the beginning of his piece, “Traduttori traditori – Translators are traitors”, can be applied to Szasz himself.

SZASZ, T. (1996) “Audible thoughts” and “speech defect” in schizophrenia. A note on reading and translating Bleuler. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 168, 533–535.

P. CRICHTON

*Guy’s Hospital
London SE1*

SIR: I am sure that many people will agree with Szasz (1996) that elucidating the meaning of psychotic experiences is an important although complex task. Rather than suggesting that these experiences are not abnormal, I think the value of this approach lies in its emphasis on the possibility of gaining insight into psychosis in terms of ordinary experience.

However, in contrast to Kerr & Howarth (1996), I think that the attribution of meaning to the activities and expressions of psychotic conditions does present problems for the concept of mental

illness. Abnormality as a criterion is not sufficient to distinguish illness from other forms of deviance. The meaning of the terms illness and disease is derived from the context of physical, bodily conditions and implies more than deviation from the norm. These concepts characterise processes which are discrete from the subject's will or intentionality and whose course is biologically determined. To suggest that behaviours and utterances are "symptoms" of illness is therefore to classify them as events that have no relation to the voluntary activity and purposes of the individual and are therefore devoid of meaning. This seems to me to be an impoverished approach to the myriad of complex human behaviours that comprise psychiatric problems and is likely to hamper the process of finding imaginative solutions.

Characterising mental disorders as existential conditions rather than as illnesses does not mean that medical techniques have no place in helping people to manage or survive them. A different emphasis in psychiatry might liberate psychiatrists and patients alike from the shackles of the "illness" paradigm.

KERR, A. & HOWARTH, P. (1996) Commentary on "'Audible thoughts' and 'speech defect' in schizophrenia". *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 168, 538–539.

SZASZ, T. (1996) 'Audible thoughts' and 'speech defect' in schizophrenia. A note on reading and translating Bleuler. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 168, 533–535.

J. MONCRIEFF

Institute of Psychiatry
London SE5 8AF

SIR: Szasz (1996) has provided us with another sample of his inimitable linguistic *legerdemain*, this time concerning the phenomenology of schizophrenic thought disorder and auditory hallucinations.

Firstly, *contra* Szasz, there is a clear difference between *hearing* one's own thoughts spoken aloud, as if they were coming from outside oneself, by a (stranger's) voice at the same time as one is thinking them (*Gedankenlautwerden*) and "hearing" one's own inner voice or even thinking aloud. There is no confusion between these two distinct phenomena in psychopathology.

The comparison between schizophrenic thought insertion and "projection" is a typical Szaszian disanalogy. The belief that others are somehow beaming their thoughts into one's head is categorically different from accusing others of having feelings that one is unwilling to recognise in oneself. Again the psychopathologies are unmistakably

distinct, and certainly not applied *post hoc* after deciding whether the patient is sane or insane.

Finally, how can he assert that "ordinary medical maladies are not diagnosed by making inferences from the way the patient speaks"? We routinely do so in terms of both the form and the content of the patient's speech; the former is utilised to diagnose cerebellar staccato speech and Parkinsonian speech; the latter whenever we reach any diagnosis from the history alone; perhaps a mixture of the two with the aphasia. These 'speech defects' certainly do not arise from "incorrect use of the muscles of [the] mouth and tongue" as Szasz would have it, but from brain diseases. It also seems particularly wilful to suggest that schizophrenic speech is "deviant" in the way that a thick Yorkshire accent deviates from Received Pronunciation.

Perhaps the only worthwhile point I could draw from this paper was that we should more properly speak of schizophrenic *speech* disorder and *inferred* thought disorder since we do not have direct access to the thoughts of others.

SZASZ, T. (1996) 'Audible thoughts' and 'speech defect' in schizophrenia. A note on reading and translating Bleuler. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 168, 533–535.

S. WILSON

Friarage Hospital
Northallerton
North Yorkshire DL6 1JG

Tricyclics and SSRIs

SIR: Taylor & Lader (1996) usefully point out the potential dangers of combining tricyclic antidepressants with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. The tertiary referrals received at this unit, which specialises in treatment-resistant depression, indicate that this practice is becoming increasingly common and usually occurs without the monitoring of serum tricyclic antidepressant concentrations. We would like to extend their clinical recommendations by suggesting that this combination should not be routinely used. It is difficult to justify theoretically as adequate doses of tertiary amine tricyclic antidepressants would produce the same effect on cerebral amines as combinations of tricyclics and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. If adequate doses of tricyclic antidepressants are not tolerated then perhaps venlafaxine would be the next logical step because of its effect on both serotonin and noradrenaline neurotransmitters.

A clinically important cytochrome-mediated interaction that Taylor & Lader do not mention is