Article

WinClbclas, a Windows program for columbite supergroup minerals

Fuat Yavuz

Department of Geological Engineering, Istanbul Technical University, 34469 Maslak, Istanbul, Turkey

Abstract

A Microsoft® Visual Basic software, WinClbclas, has been developed to calculate the chemical formulae of columbite supergroup minerals (CSM) based on data obtained from wet-chemical and electron-microprobe analyses using the current nomenclature scheme adopted by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification (CNMNC) of the International Mineralogical Association for CSM (IMA-23). The program evaluates the 36 IMA-approved, three questionable in terms of their unit-cell parameters, four insufficiently studied other questionable and one ungrouped species according to the dominant valance and constituent status in five mineral groups including ixiolite (MO_2), wolframite ($M1M2O_4$), samarskite ($AB M2O_8$), columbite ($M1M2O_6$) and wodginite ($M1M2M3_2O_8$) within the CSM. Mineral analyses of the columbite supergroup are calculated based on 24 oxygen atoms per formula unit. However, the formulae of ixiolite to wodginite groups can be estimated by program based on their cation and anion values in their typical mineral formulae (e.g. 4 cations and 8 oxygen for the wodginite group) with normalization procedures. The Fe^{3+} and Fe^{2+} contents from microprobe-derived total FeO (wt. %) amounts are estimated by stoichiometric constraints. WinClbclas allows users to: (1) enter up to 47 input variables for mineral-chemical analyses; (2) type and load multiple CSM compositions in the data entry section; (3) edit and load the Microsoft® Excel files used in calculating, classifying, and naming the CSM, together with the total monovalent to hexavalent ion, and (4) store all the calculated parameters in the output of a Microsoft® Excel file for further data evaluations. The program is distributed as a self-extracting setup file, including the necessary support files used by the program, a help file, and representative sample data files.

Keywords: columbite supergroup, ixiolite group, wolframite group, samarskite group, columbite group, wodginite group, classification, software

(Submitted: March 12, 2024)

This is a 'preproof' accepted article for Mineralogical Magazine. This version may be subject to change during the production process.
DOI: 10.1180/mgm.2024.35

Author for correspondence: Fuat Yavuz, Email: yavuz@itu.edu.tr

Introduction

Niobium-, tantalum- and wolfram-bearing minerals are considered essentially strategic and critical materials because of their significance in several of high technology applications such as the manufacturing of super-alloys for aerospace industry, high-strength low-alloy steels for construction, low temperature superconductor wires for medical equipment as well as particle accelerators and nuclear fusion instruments (Sanchez-Segado *et al*., 2017 and references therein). Tantalum (Ta), one of these strategic materials recovered from oxide minerals as minor constituents, is commonly associated with pegmatite-related deposits, rare element-enriched granites, peralkaline granite complexes and as important resources in weathered crusts and in placer deposits where Ta may be a co-product of tin, whereas the most niobium (Nb) resources are observed in carbonatite complex-related deposits and peralkaline intrusions commonly coexists with rare earth element mineralization (Melcher *et al*., 2017; Simandl *et al*., 2018).

The CSM have been established in five mineral groups including ixiolite [ixiolite-(Mn^{2+}), ixiolite-(Fe^{2+}), nioboixiolite-(Mn^{2+}), nioboixiolite-(□), scrutinyite, seifertite, srilankite], wolframite [ferberite, hübnerite, huanzalaite, sanmartinite, heftetjernite, niobоheftetjernite, rossovskyite, riesite, dmitryvarlamovite], samarskite [samarskite-(Y), ekebergite, shakhdaraite-(Y), *samarskite*-(*Yb*), *ishikawaite*, *calciosamarskite*], columbite [columbite-(Fe), columbite-(Mn), columbite-(Mg), tantalite-(Fe), tantalite-(Mn), tantalite-(Mg), fersmite, euxenite-(Y), tanteuxenite-(Y), uranopolycrase] and wodginite [wodginite, ferrowodginite, titanowodginite, ferrotitanowodginite, tantalowodginite, lithiowodginite, achalaite] with four other questionable and insufficiently studied minerals [*qitanlingite*, *yttrocolumbite*-(*Y*), *yttrotantalite*-(*Y*), *yttrocrasite*-(*Y*)] and one ungrouped

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

species [lithiotantite] in the general stoichiometry MO_2, the crystal structures based on the hexagonal close packing of anions, the six-fold coordination number of *M*-type cations and the presence of zig-zag chains of edge-sharing *M*-centred polyhedral (Chukanov *et al*., 2023a). All the species in the CSM have the same topology of their atomic nets with different schemes of cation ordering and unit-cell dimensions (Udoratina *et al*., in press). There are quite a few mineral species that contain W, Mo, Nb, Ta, Sb, Ti, Sn, Si, Ge, Mn, Pb and Te as oxides with the stoichiometry MO_2 in relation structurally to columbite. Although these species show significant common characteristics, they differ from each other in many respects such as symmetry, cation ordering, unit-cell dimensions, and coordination numbers of cations (Chukanov *et al*., 2023a). In the current CSM nomenclature scheme, topologically same minerals with different cation ordering (i.e. with similar unit-cell dimensions and same end-member formulae) have been taken into account as different mineral species. For example, srilankite with *Pbcn*, a = 4.71, b = 5.55, c = 5.02 Å and riesite with *P2/b*, a = 4.52, b = 5.50, c = 4.89 Å, β = 90.6°, both TiO_2, are essentially different minerals. Similarly, nioboixiolite-(Mn^{2+}) [$(Nb_{2/3}Mn^{2+}_{1/3})O_2 = Mn^{2+}Nb_2O_6$)] with *Pbcn*, a = 4.756, b = 5.732, c = 5.134 Å and columbite-(Mn) ($Mn^{2+}Nb_2O_6$ with *Pbcn*, a = 14.32, b = 5.74, c = 5.11 Å are also different species belonging to the ixiolite and columbite group, respectively (Udoratina *et al*., in press).

Many studies showed that the investigation of paragenetic assemblages, together with the chemical composition of some accessory minerals (e.g. columbite-tantalite, tourmaline, gahnite) provides a useful knowledge about the magmatic evolution of the granitic pegmatite and pegmatite melts (Tindle and Breaks, 2000; Tindle *et al*., 2002; López de Azarevich *et al*., 2021). The root-name columbite within the columbite group minerals (CGM) is the oldest species among all of the mineral names and also important in numerous petrological and geochemical studies. For example, columbite crystals may show partial cation disorder depending on their chemical compositions as well as formation conditions. The compositional variations in CGM (e.g. Nb–Ta and Fe–Mn pairs) are sensitive indicators of magmatic to subsolidus evolution of the parental rock. Hence, the CGM

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

are, actually, potential indicators in the historical evolutionary of their parental rocks (Beurlen *et al*., 2007; Novak *et al*., 2018). Consequently, the CGM are both economically and petrologically important minerals in our understanding the regional and internal geochemical variations and specification of fractionation and crystallization conditions of rare-element-enriched granitic pegmatite and fertile granite as well as age determination using the U-Pb dating to obtain emplacement and rare-element mineralization ages of granitic pegmatite due to their high U and low Pb common contents (Černý and Ercit, 1985; 1989; Černý *et al*., 1986; Ercit *et al*., 1995; Ercit, 1994; Tindle and Breaks, 2000; Novák *et al*., 2018; Zhou *et al*., 2021 and references therein; Ryznar *et al*., 2023).

Although various computer programs applicable to the calculation and classification of rock-forming silicate minerals have been developed over the past two decades (e.g. Yavuz, 1999; 2001a; 2003; 2007; 2013; Yavuz *et al*., 2014; 2015; Yavuz and Yıldırım, 2020; Yavuz and Yavuz, 2023a, b; 2024), that useful for CSM, according to the current IMA report, has not yet appeared in the literature, except for program specially focused on columbite group minerals (Yavuz 2001b), possibly in part owing to the lack of recent classification systematic by Chukanov *et al*. (2023a). Taking this situation into consideration, a computer program called WinClbclas has been developed using the Microsoft® Visual Basic programming language. It can be used to calculate the chemical formulae from up to 200 analyses obtained from both wet-chemical and electron-microprobe techniques. The program estimates and classifies mineral analyses of the CSM on the basis of 24 O and 12 cations. However, optionally different normalization procedures [e.g. columbite group ($M1M2_2O_6$) to total cations = 3.00 atoms per formula unit (apfu)] can be applied to each group for the CSM from the pull-down menu of *Normalization* in the *Start-up Screen*. The calculation and classification procedures applied to CSM by WinClbclas are carried out based on the currently accepted IMA nomenclature scheme, but also takes into account new species that post-date the IMA report. The program is capable to estimate the FeO and Fe_2O_3 (wt.%) contents from a microprobe-derived total FeO (wt.%) analysis using the stoichiometric constraints proposed by

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Droop (1987).

Columbite supergroup minerals nomenclature

Using the available data on minerals with the stoichiometry MO_2 that are topologically related to columbite and constitute the columbite supergroup, Chukanov *et al*. (2023a) proposed a nomenclature and classification scheme for the CSM which has been approved by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification of the International Mineralogical Association (IMA–CNMNC). In this context, the 36 valid minerals of the CSM have been divided into five groups by Chukanov *et al*. (2023a, see Table 1) on the basis of following criteria: (1) the general stoichiometry MO_2 is required; (2) the crystal structure based on the hexagonal close packing (*hcp*) of anions is considered; (3) only octahedral voids of *hcp* are occupied, and (4) the presence of zig-zag chains of edge-shared octahedral is taken into account. The mineral nomenclature procedure is carried out according to the dominant cation in each site(s) of ixiolite (MO_2), wolframite ($M1M2O_4$), samarskite (ABM_2O_8), columbite ($M1M2_2O_6$) and wodginite ($M1M2M3_2O_8$) group.

According to Chukanov *et al*. (2023a), all minerals in ixiolite group have the general formula $M1O_2$ (i.e. orthorhombic, *Pbcn*, $a = \mathbf{a_0}$, $b = \mathbf{b_0}$, $c = \mathbf{c_0}$ and $Z = 4$) with a disordered distribution of the cations occupied in a single *M*1 site. The chemical formula of ixiolite group minerals (IGM), as an *aristotype* structure, is currently defined as (Ta, Mn, Nb)O_2 in which Mn as the main charge-balancing component, but also samples with Fe > Mn content in the *M*1 site [e.g. ixiolite-(Fe^{2+}), see Table 1]. The wolframite group minerals (WGM) with the wolframite-type structure ($M1M2O_4$, monoclinic, *P*2/*c*, $a = \mathbf{a_0}$, $b = \mathbf{b_0}$, $c = \mathbf{c_0}$, $\beta \approx 91°$ and $Z = 2$) is actually a derivative form of the ixiolite-type structure having a sequence of two kinds of structurally same, but chemically different, octahedral layers of parallel zig-zag chains. In the wolframite-type structure, as cations with larger-radius prefer to keep in the octahedral *M*1 site and the smaller ones occupy the *M*2 octahedron, species belonging to the wolframite group are double oxides with the general formula $M1^{2+}M2^{6+}O_4$ (*M*1 = Mg, Mn, Fe and Zn; *M*2 = W) or $M1^{3+}M2^{5+}O_4$ (*M*1 = Sc and Fe; *M*2 = Nb and Ta), except for riesite ($Ti^{4+}Ti^{4+}O_4$) that shows a slightly distorted variant of the wolframite structure (Chukanov

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

et al., 2023a). The samarskite group minerals (SGM) include three valid species [samarskite-(Y), ekebergite and shakhdaraite-(Y)] in monoclinic (*P*2/*c*, *a* = **2a**$_0$, *b* = **b**$_0$, *c* = **c**$_0$, β ≈ 93° and *Z* = 2), cation-ordered double niobates and tantalates with the general formula $AM1M2_2O_8$ (*A* = Y and Th; *M*1 = Fe^{2+}, Fe^{3+} and Sc^{3+}; *M*2 = Nb and Ta). Compared to the other CSM, species belonging to the SGM contain a relatively large cation at the *A* site with 6 + 2-fold coordination owing to the slight irregularity of the *hcp*. This situation causes a large cation transforms parallel zig-zag chains into a rigid layer of edge-sharing AO_8 polyhedra with the preservation of the cation distribution between the 'octahedral' voids of *hcp* (Lima-de-Faria, 2012). Three insufficiently studied metamict minerals including "*samarskite*-(*Yb*)*",* "*ishikawaite*" and "*calciosamarskite*" have been tentatively assigned to the SGM by Chukanov *et al*. (2023a) based on their stoichiometry and studies on the powder XRD patterns of annealed samples. The columbite group minerals (CGM) consist of ten species including double oxides with the general formula $M1^{2+}M2^{5+}{}_2O_6$ (orthorhombic, *Pbcn*, *a* = **3a**$_0$, *b* = **b**$_0$, *c* = **c**$_0$ and *Z* = 4; *M*1 = Mg, Ca, Mn and Fe; *M*2 = Nb and Ta). In the crystal structure of CGM, $M1O_6$ octahedra share edges to form infinite zig-zag chains along the *c* axis with also similar chains at the $M2O_6$ octahedra resulting in alternating [100] 'layers' with a single 'layer' occurring of chains of $M1O_6$ octahedra and double 'layers' including chains of $M2O_6$ octahedra (Chukanov *et al.*, 2023a). The wodginite group minerals (WGM) comprise monoclinic species (*C*2/*c*; *a* = **2a**$_0$, *b* = **2b**$_0$, *c* = **c**$_0$, β ≈ 91° and *Z* = 4) with the general formula $M1M2M3_2O_8$ where the dominant cations at the *M* sites are: *M*1 = Mn^{2+}, Fe^{2+} and Li; *M*2 = Ti, Sn^{4+} and Ta; *M*3 = Ta (Chukanov *et al*., 2023a). According to Ercit *et al*. (1992a), the structure of WGM are characterised by a different degree of ordering of cations among the *M* sites with alternating (100) 'layers' including chains of edge-sharing MO_6 octahedra running along the *c* axis. Consequently, in the WGM, the 'layers' of the first type include chains of $M3O_6$ octahedra, whereas the 'layers' of the second type contain chains of alternating $M1O_6$ and $M2O_6$ octahedra (Chukanov *et al*., 2023a).

In the current CSM nomenclature scheme, lithiotantite ($LiTa_3O_8$) which is chemically and topologically identical to lithiowodginite ($LiTa_3O_8$) has been regarded as the ungrouped species.

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Similarly, "*qitianlingite*" [Fe^{2+}_2 $Nb_2W^{6+}O_{10}$], "*yttrocolumbite*-(*Y*)" [$YNbO_4$ (?)], "*yttrotantalite*-(*Y*)" [$YTaO_4$ (?)] and "*yttrocrasite*-(*Y*)" [$YTi_2O_5(OH)$ (?)] are not currently included in the CSM due to lack of reliable data on their chemical composition and crystal structure.

Program description

WinClbclas is a user-friendly, compiled program package (≈14 Mb) developed for personal computers running on the Microsoft® Windows operating system. The program first calculates the cation values (in apfu) for analyses made on CSM (wet-chemical or electron-microprobe techniques) and then uses these to classify the mineral into the 36 IMA-approved species that belong to five groups including ixiolite, wolframite, samarskite, columbite and wodginite, as well as those of eight species that are currently questionable and insufficiently studied species (see Table 1). A list of the calculation steps in the *Calculation Screen* and in the output of a Microsoft Excel file developed by the program is given in Table 2. Upon successful installation of WinClbclas, the start-up screen, with various pull-down menus and equivalent shortcuts, appears on the screen (Fig. 1a). The program allows the user to input wet-chemical or electron-microprobe wolframite, samarskite, columbite, wodginite as well as ixiolite group analyses both together or as a separate form by clicking the *New* icon on the tool bar, by selecting the *New File* from the pull-down menu of *File* option or pressing the *Ctrl* + *N* keys (Fig. 1b). In the *New File*, *Data Entry Screen* and *Calculation Screen*; these parameters are highlighted by the soft green (i.e. data entry for wolframite, samarskite, columbite and wodginite analyses) and pink colours (i.e. data entry for ixiolite analyses), respectively. Up to 47 chemical analytes (in wt.%) are used by WinClbclas for calculation and classification of the CSM as in the following orders:

Sample No [wolframite, samarskite, columbite and wodginite group], SiO_2, TiO_2, ZrO_2, UO_2, ThO_2, HfO_2, SnO_2, Al_2O_3, Cr_2O_3, V_2O_3, Sb_2O_3, Fe_2O_3, As_2O_3, Bi_2O_3, Y_2O_3, Sc_2O_3, La_2O_3, Ce_2O_3, Pr_2O_3, Nd_2O_3, Sm_2O_3, Eu_2O_3, Gd_2O_3, Tb_2O_3, Dy_2O_3, Ho_2O_3, Er_2O_3, Tm_2O_3, Yb_2O_3, Lu_2O_3, Nb_2O_5,

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Ta_2O_5, P_2O_5, WO_3, FeO, MnO, PbO, ZnO, MgO, CaO, SrO, BaO, Na_2O, K_2O, Li_2O, F and H_2O (in wt.%).

Sample No [ixiolite group], SiO_2, TiO_2, ZrO_2, UO_2, ThO_2, HfO_2, SnO_2, Al_2O_3, Cr_2O_3, V_2O_3, Sb_2O_3, Fe_2O_3, As_2O_3, Bi_2O_3, Y_2O_3, Sc_2O_3, TotalREE_2O_3, Nb_2O_5, Ta_2O_5, P_2O_5, WO_3, FeO, MnO, PbO, ZnO, MgO, CaO, SrO, BaO, Na_2O, K_2O, Li_2O, F and H_2O (in wt.%).

Data from a CSG analysis can also be input into a blank Excel file following the above order, saving it with the extension of ".xls" or ".xlsx", after which it can then be loaded into the *Data Entry Screen* of the program by clicking the *Open Excel File* option from the pull-down menu of *File*. By selecting the *Edit Excel File* option from the pull-down menu of *File*, data can be inserted into a blank Excel file (i.e. MyColumbite), saved using a different file name (with the extension of ".xls" or ".xlsx"), and then loaded into the *Data Entry Screen* of the program by clicking the *Open Excel File* option from the pull-down menu of *File*. Additional information about the data entry or similar topics can be accessed by pressing the F1 function key to display the WinClbclas.chm file on the screen. The current version of WinClbclas includes a total of 26 binary and ternary classification and compositional plots. Data on any of these plots can be displayed using the Grapher program by selecting the diagram type from the pull-down menu of *Graph* in the *Calculation Screen* of the program (Fig. 1c).

Worked examples

Using the selected data set from literature (see references in Tables 3, 4, and 5), examples showing how WinClbclas can be used in the determination of chemical formulae and CSM classification are presented. The previously typed or loaded analyses are processed by clicking the *Calculate* icon (i.e. ∑) in the *Data Entry Section* of the program, after which all input and estimation parameters are displayed in columns 1–204 (see Table 2) of the *Calculation Screen* (i.e. 1–116 for wolframite, samarskite, columbite and wodginite groups highlighted by the soft green colour; 117–204 for ixiolite group highlighted by the soft pink colour). Pressing the *Ctrl* + *F* keys or clicking the *Open File to Calculate* option from the *Calculate* menu also executes processing of a selected data file

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

with the extension of ".csg" that refers to the columbite supergroup. By clicking the *Send results to Excel* file icon in the *Calculation Screen*, all calculations can be stored in an Excel file (Output.xlsx) and then displayed by clicking the *Open and edit Excel file* icon.

The validity of program output has been tested with representative CSM analyses selected from the literature (see references in Tables 3, 4, and 5). The program calculates Fe^{3+} and Fe^{2+} (apfu) from electron-microprobe-derived FeO (wt.%) content using the stoichiometric constraints according to the Droop's (1987) method (see sample number S1 in Table 4). WinClbclas calculates the chemical formula for a given CSM analysis on the basis of 24 O and 12 cations (apfu). On the other hand, by clicking the one of options, for example, *Columbite group minerals [M1M2(2)O6] on the basis of 6 O and 3 cations (apfu)* from the pull-down menu of *Content of Ions* in the *Start-up Screen* the program calculates CGM according to the selected criteria. Similarly, by clicking the one of options [e.g. *Normalize wodginite group minerals [M1M2M3(2)O8] to total cations = 4.00 (apfu)*] from the pull-down menu of *Normalization* in the *Start-up Screen* the program normalizes the total cation content according to the selected criteria. The program provides the users some of useful ratios such as Mn/(Mn+Fe), Ta/(Ta+Nb) and Ti/(Ti+Ta+Nb) in the *Calculation Screen* (see rows 78-80 in Table 4). Classification of a given analysis into its proper group is carried out on the basis of the dominant cation at site(s). WinClbclas lists total monovalent (i.e. M^{+}) to hexavalent (i.e. M^{6+}) ions, resulting in the same valence state as a single constituent, together with the cations on the type of valence state (i.e. M^{+}) in the *Calculation Screen* and an Excel output file (e.g. see rows 53–58 in Table 5).

In a case where a chemical composition corresponds to a hitherto unknown species within the columbite supergroup (i.e. a new species), WinClbclas warns the user with a "Not classified" statement in column number 115 of the *Calculation Screen*. For example, a CSM with the following analytical data (see Table 2 in Alekseev, 2023; wt.%): TiO_2 1.68, SnO_2 4.35, Nb_2O_5 17.98, Ta_2O_5 38.97, WO_3 18.39, FeO 8.85, MnO 8.33, Li_2O 0.21, total 98.76 is defined as "wolframowodginite" that yields the empirical formula

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$(Mn_{2.0659}Fe^{2+}_{1.251}Li_{0.247})_{\sum 3.563}(Fe^{3+}_{0.916}Sn_{0.508}Ti_{0.37})_{\sum 1.794}(Ta_{3.102}Nb_{2.379}W_{1.395})_{\sum 6.876}O_8$. As can be seen from the empirical formula, calculated content of ions on the basis of 24 O and 12 cations (apfu), the dominant ions at the *M*1, *M*2, and *M*3 sites correspond to a Mn, Fe^{3+}, and Ta, respectively. Since no species corresponding to this composition exists in the current classification scheme (i.e. *M*1 = Mn, *M*2 = Fe^{3+}, *M*3 = Ta), the program designates it as "Not classified" rather than applying the name of one of known species given in Table 1.

WinClbclas provides options to display various binary and ternary classification and compositional diagrams in the *Calculation Screen* by using the Grapher program. Some of these plots with selected CSM analyses from the literature are given in Fig. 2. The CGM with widespread niobium and tantalum phases in geochemically highly evolved rocks such as leucogranites and granitic pegmatites show compositional variations especially in Nb–Ta and Fe–Mn pairs. During primary magmatic crystallization, these pairs which are sensitive indicators of magmatic to subsolidus evolution of the parental rock usually show a progressive increase in terms of the Ta/(Ta+Nb) and Mn/(Mn+Fe) ratios with a characteristic evolutionary trend in the columbite-tantalite quadrilateral diagram (Černý and Ercit 1985; Černý et al. 1986; Tindle and Breaks 2000; Novák et al., 2018). In a classical columbite-tantalite quadrilateral plot (see Fig. 2a), there exists empirically derived tantalite-tapiolite miscibility gap restricted by the upward and downward two concave curves. The program classifies a sample that plotted between these curves as "miscibility gap" instead of naming it tantalite-(Fe) or tantalite-(Mn). Similarly, a sample that plotted above the upward concave curve is classified as tapiolite-(Fe) by the WinClbclas program.

Differentiation of highly evolved peraluminous crustal magmas may cause high Mn/Fe ratio in the fluid that control the deposition of hubnerite. Hence, in understanding the wolfram deposition environments in perigranitic ore-forming systems, Michaud and Pichavant (2019) proposed the H/F ratio [i.e. hubnerite/ferberite = 100*Mn/(Mn+Fe)] as an indicator of contrasted wolframite deposition mechanisms as well as environments in perigranitic wolfram ore-forming systems within three distinctive domains such as: (1) for H/F ratio > 60, wolframite precipitates from a Mn-rich

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

magmatic fluid evolving under a fluid-buffered path; (2) for H/F ratio between 40 and 60, wolframite precipitates from a fluid buffered by granite/fluid interactions, and (3) for H/F ratio < 40, wolframite precipitates from a fluid carrying a significant non magmatic signature derived from country rocks. In this context, for example, by clicking the option nine belonging to Wolframite Group from the pull-down menu of *Graph* in the *Calculation Screen* (see Fig. 1c), the W versus H/F Ratio plot with selected data file in the *Data Entry Screen* is displayed on screen through the Grapher software (Fig. 2e). All input and calculated parameters from an *Output* tab of an Excel file (i.e. Output.xlsx) are transposed automatically by the *Transpose* tab of the program. This procedure provides the user with the ability to prepare a quick table for presentation as well as publication by using the Copy-Paste options.

Conclusions

WinClbclas is a user-friendly program which is specially developed for personal computers running on the Windows operating system to estimate and classify the CSM using data obtained from both electron-microprobe and wet-chemical analyses. The program processes multiple analyses (up to 200) for each program execution. Current version of WinClbclas classifies total 44 species for a given analysis into one of five groups, including ixiolite, wolframite, samarskite, columbite and wodginite as well as other questionable and ungrouped species, using the current IMA-approved nomenclature scheme (Chukanov *et al.*, 2023a). The program generates two main windows. The first window (i.e. *Start-up*/*Data Entry Screen*), with several pull-down menus and equivalent shortcuts, enables one to edit a given analysis, based on chemistry (wt.%). By clicking the *Calculate* icon (i.e. Σ) in the *Data Entry Screen*, all input and estimated parameters by WinClbclas are displayed in the second window (i.e. *Calculation Screen*). The program reports the output in a tabulated form with a numbered column number from 1 to 204 (e.g. 1-115 for wolframite, samarskite, columbite and wodginite group; 117-204 for ixiolite group) in the *Calculation Screen* window as well as in an Output Excel file. The results in the *Calculation Screen* can be exported to a Microsoft® Excel file (i.e. Output.xlsx), by clicking the *Send Results to Excel File* (Output.xlsx)

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

icon or selecting the *Send Results to Excel File* (Output.xlsx) option from the pull-down menu of *Excel*. This file is then opened by Excel by clicking the *Open and Edit Excel File* (Output. xlsx) icon or selecting the *Open Excel File (Output. xlsx)* option from the pull-down menu of *Excel*. WinClbclas is a compiled program that consists of a self-extracting setup file containing all the necessary support files (i.e. ".dll" and ".ocx") for the 32-bit system. By clicking the setup file, the program and its associated files (i.e. support files, help file, data files with the extension of ".csg", ".xls", ".xlsx", and plot files with the extension of ".grf") are installed into the personal computer (i.e. the directory of C:\Program Files\WinClbclas or C:\Program Files (x86)\WinClbclas) with Windows XP and later operating systems. An installation of the program into a personal computer with the 64-bit operating system may require the msflexgrd adjustment (see explanations in the Supplementary Material). The self-extracting setup file is approximately 14 Mb and can be obtained from the journals Depository of Unpublished Data (i.e. the WinClbclas setup.exe file).

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Marco Pasero for his constructive comments, contributions and suggestions on an earlier draft, which improved the overall quality and clarity of the manuscript. I wish to thank Daniel Atecio and anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments on the earlier version of the manuscript.

References

Alekseev V.I. (2023) Wodginite as an indicator mineral of tantalum-bearing pegmatites and granites. *Journal of Mining Institute*, **262**, 1–14. DOI: 10.31897/PMI.2023.19.

Anthony J.W., Bideaux R.A., Bladh K.W. and Nichols M.C. (2001–2005) Handbook of Mineralogy. Mineralogical Society of America, Chantilly, Virginia 20151–1110, USA. Available from, http://www.handbookofmineralogy.org. [Date accessed: February 25, 2024].

Aurisicchio C., De Vito C., Ferrini V. and Orlandi P. (2002) Nb and ta oxide minerals in the Fonte del Prete Granitic Pegmatite Dike, island of Elba, Italy. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, **40**, 799–814.

Baumgartner R., Romer R.L., Moritz R., Sallet R. and Chiaradia M. (2006) Columbite–tantalite-bearing granitic pegmatites from the Seridó Belt, Northeastern Brazil: genetic constraints from U–Pb dating and Pb isotopes. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, **44**, 69–86.

Bergstøl S. and Juve G. (1988) Scandian ixiolite, pyrochlore and bazzite in granite pegmatite in Tordal, Telemark, Norway. A contribution to the mineralogy and geochemistry of scandium and tin. *Mineralogy and Petrology*, **38**, 229–243.

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Beurlen H., Da Silva M.R.R., Thomas R., Soares D.R. and Oliver P. (2008) Nb–Ta–(Ti–Sn) oxide mineral chemistry as tracer of rare-element granitic pegmatite fractionation in the Borborema Province, Northeastern Brazil. *Mineralium Deposita*, **43**, 207–228.

Černý P. and Ercit T.S. (1985) Some recent advances in the mineralogy and geochemistry of Nb and Ta in rare-element granitic pegmatites. *Bulletin de Minéralogie*, **108**, 499–532.

Černý P. and Ercit T.S. (1989) Mineralogy of Niobium and Tantalum: crystal chemical relationships, paragenetic aspects and their implications. Pp. 27–79 in: *Lantanides, Tantalum, Niobium* (P. Moeller, P. Černý and F. Saupé editors). Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York.

Černý P., Ercit T.S. and Wise M.A. (1992) The Tantalite-Tapiolite gap: natural assemblages versus experimental data. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, **30**, 587–596.

Černý P., Goad B.E., Hawthorne F.C. and Chapman R. (1986) Fractionation trends of the Nb- and Ta-bearing oxide minerals in the Greer Lake pegmatitic granite and its pegmatite aureole, southeastern Manitoba. *American Mineralogist*, **71**, 501–517.

Chukanov N.V., Pasero M., Aksenov, S.M., Britvin, S.N., Zubkova, N.V., Yike, L. and Witzke T. (2023a) Columbite supergroup minerals: nomenclature and classification. *Mineralogical Magazine*, **87**, 18–33.

Chukanov N.V., Pekov I.V., Zubkova N.V., Yapaskurt V.O., Shelukhina Y.S., Britvin S.N. and Pushcharovsky D.Y. (2023b) Nioboixiolite-(Mn^{2+}), $(Nb_{2/3}Mn^{2+}{}_{1/3})O_2$, a new ixiolite-group mineral from the Malkhan pegmatite field, Transbaikal region, Russia. *Zapiski RMQ* (*Proceedings of the Russian Mineralogical Society*), **152**, 8–17.

d'Aquin Tumukunde T. and Piestrzynski A. (2018) Vein-type tungsten deposits in Rwanda, Rutsiro area of the Karagwe-Ankole Belt, Central Africa. *Ore Geology Reviews*, **102**, 505–518.

Dias C.H. and Chavez M.L.S.C. (2016) Uncommon Nb-tantalate from the Cachoeira mine, Araçuaí pegmatite district (Minas Gerais). *Geosciences*, **68**, 401–408.

Droop G.T.R. (1987) A general equation for estimating Fe^{3+} concentrations in ferromagnesian silicates and oxides from microprobe analyses using stoichiometric criteria. *Mineralogical Magazine*, **51**, 431–435.

Ercit T.S. (1994) The geochemistry and crystal chemistry of columbite-group minerals from granitic pegmatites, southwestern Grenville Province, Canadian Shield. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, **32**, 421–438.

Ercit T.S., Wise M.A. and Černý P. (1995) Compositional and structural systematics of the columbite group. *American Mineralogist*, **80**, 613–619.

Galliski M.Á., Černý P., Marquez-Zavalía M.F. and Chapman R. (1999) Ferrotitanowodginite, $Fe^{2+}TiTa_2O_8$, a new mineral of the wodginite group from the San Elías pegmatite, San Luis, Argentina. *American Mineralogist,* **84**, 773–777.

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Galliski M.Á., Márquez-Zavalía M.F., Černý P., Lira R., Colombo F., Roberts A.C. and Bernhardt H-J. (2016) Achalaite, $Fe^{2+}TiNb_2O_8$, a new member of the wodginite group from the La Calandria granitic pegmatıte, Córdoba, Argentina. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, **54**, 1043–1052.

Guastoni A., Secco L., Škoda R., Nestola, F., Schiazza, M., Novák M. and Pennacchioni G. (2019) Non-metamict aeschynite-(Y), polycrase-(Y), and samarskite-(Y) in NYF Pegmatites from Arvogno, Vigezzo Valley (Central Alps, Italy). *Minerals*, **9**, 313.

Hanson S.L., Falster A.U., Simmons W.B., Sprague R., Vignola P., Rotiroti N., Andó S. and Hatert F. (2018) Tantalowodginite ($Mn_{0.5}\square_{0.5}TaTa_2O_8$. A new mineral from the Emmons pegmatite, Uncle Tom Mountain, Maine, USA. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, **56**, 543–553.

Li Y., Ke C., Wang D., Chen Z., Li G., Wang A., Li R., Hu L., Yu H. and Zhao Y. (2023) Nioboixiolite-(□), IMA 2021-002a. CNMNC Newsletter 71; *Mineralogical Magazine*, **87**, 332–335.

Lima-de-Faria J. (2012) The close packing in the classification of minerals. *European Journal of Mineralogy*, **24**, 163–169.

Llorens T. and Moro M.C. (2012) Oxide minerals in the granitic cupola of the Jálama Batholith, Salamanca, Spain. Part II: Sn, W and Ti minerals in intra-granitic quartz veins. *Journal of Geosciences*, **57**, 155–171.

López de Azarevich V., Fulignati P., Gioncada A. and Azarevich M. (2021 Rare element minerals' assemblage in El Quemado pegmatites (Argentina): insights for pegmatite melt evolution from gahnite, columbite-group minerals and tourmaline chemistry and implications for minerogenesis. *Mineralogy and Petrology*, **115**, 497–518.

Lykova I., Rowe R., Poirier G., Mcdonald A.M. and Giester G. (2021) Nioboheftetjernite, $ScNbO_4$, a new mıneral from the Befanamo pegmatıte, Madagascar. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, **59**, 445–452.

Melcher F., Graupner T., Göbler H-E., Sitnikova M., Oberthür T., Gerdes A., Badanina E. and Chudy T. (2017) Mineralogical and chemical evolution of tantalum–(niobium–tin) mineralisation in pegmatites and granites. Part 2: Worldwide examples (excluding Africa) and an overview of global metallogenetic patterns. *Ore Geology Reviews*, **89**, 946–987.

Michaud J.A-S. and Pichavant M. (2019) The H/F ratio as an indicator of contrasted wolframite deposition mechanisms. *Ore Geology Reviews*, **104**, 266–272.

Miyawaki R., Yokoyama K., Matsubar S., Fruta H., Gomi A. and Murakami R. (2010) Huanzalaite, $MgWO_4$, a new mineral species from the Huanzala Mine, Peru. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, **48**, 105–112.

Monnier L., Salvi S., Melleton J., Bailly L., Béziat D., de Parseval, P., Gouy S. and Lach P. (2019) Multiple generations of wolframite mineralization in the Echassieres District (Massif Central, France). *Minerals*, **9**, 637.

Novák M., Chládek S., Uher P. and Gadas P. (2018) Complex magmatic and subsolidus compositional trends of columbite–tantalite in the beryl–columbite Šejby granitic pegmatite, Czech Republic: role of crystal-structural constraints and associated minerals. *Journal of Geosciences*, **63**, 253–263.

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Novák M., Johan Z., Škoda, R., Černý P., Šrein V. and Veselovský F. (2008) Primary oxide minerals in the system WO_3–Nb_2O_5–TiO_2–Fe_2O_3–FeO and their breakdown products from the pegmatite No. 3 at Dolní Bory-Hatě, Czech Republic. *European Journal of Mineralogy*, **20**, 487–499.

Pieczka A., Szuszkiewicz A., Szełęg E., Ilnickki S., Nrjbert K. and Turinak K. (2014) Samarskite-group minerals and alteration products: an example from the Julianna pegmatitic system, Piława Górna, SW Poland. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, **52**, 303–319.

Raslan M.F. (2008) Occurrence of ishikawaite (uranium-rich samarskite) in the mineralized Abu Rushied Gneiss, Southeastern Desert, Egypt. *International Geology Review*, **50**, 1132–1140.

Ryznar J., Pršek J., Wlodek A. and Uher P. (2023) Mineralogy and chemistry of columbite-tantalite from Bugarura-Kuluti area, Karagwe-Ankole Belt, Rwanda: Indicators of pegmatite and granite evolution. *Ore Geology Reviews*, **159**, 105574.

Sanchez-Segado S., Monti T., Katrib J., Kingman S., Dodds C. and Jha A. (2017) Towards sustainable processing of columbite group minerals: elucidating the relation between dielectric properties and physico-chemical transformations in the mineral phase. *Scintific Reports*, **7**, 18016.

Simandl G.J., Burt, R.O., Trueman D.L. and Paradis S. (2018) Economic Geology Models 2. Tantalum and Niobium: Deposits, Resources, Exploration Methods and Market - A Primer for Geoscientists. *Geoscience Canada*, **45**, 85–96.

Tindle A.G. and Breaks F.W. (2000) Tantalum mineralogy of rare-element granitic pegmatites from the Separation Lake area, northwestern Ontario; *Ontario Geological Survey*, Open File Report, 6022, 378.

Tindle A.G., Breaks F.W. and Selway J.B. (2002) Tourmaline in petalite-subtype granitic pegmatites: evidence of fractionation and contamination from the Pakegama lake and separation lake areas of northwestern Ontario, Canada. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, **40**, 753–788.

Udoratina O.V., Panikorovskii T.L., Chukanov N.V., Voronin M.V., Luteov V.P., Agakhanov A.A. and Isaenko S.I. (in press) Dmitryvarlamovite, $Ti_2(Fe^{3+}Nb)O_8$, a new columbite-supergroup mineral related to the wolframite group. *Mineralogical Magazine*.

Wise M.A., Černý P. and Falster A.U. (1998) Scandium substitution in columbite-group minerals and ixiolite. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, **36**, 673–680.

Wenger M., Armbruster T. and Geiger C.A. (1991) Cation distribution in partially ordered columbite from the Kings Mountain pegmatite, North Carolina. *American Mineralogist*, **76**, 1897–1904.

Yavuz F. (1999) A revised program for microprobe-derived amphibole analyses using the IMA rules. *Computers & Geosciences*, **25**, 909–927.

Yavuz F. (2001a) PYROX: A computer program for the IMA pyroxene classification and calculation scheme. *Computers & Geosciences*, **27**, 97–107.

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Yavuz F. (2001b) NBTA: A program for columbite-group minerals in rare-element granites and granitic pegmatites. *Computers & Geosciences*, **27**, 241–248.

Yavuz F. (2003) Evaluating micas in petrologic and metallogenic aspect: I-definitions and structure of the computer program MICA^{+}. *Computers & Geosciences*, **29**, 1203–1213.

Yavuz F. (2007) WinAmphcal: A windows program for the IMA-04 amphibole classification. *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems*, **8**, Q01004, doi:10.1029/2006GC001391.

Yavuz F. (2013) WinPyrox: A Windows program for pyroxene calculation classification and thermobarometry. *American Mineralogist*, 98, 1338–1359.

Yavuz F., Karakaya N., Yıldırım D.K., Karakaya M.Ç. and Kumral M. (2014) A Windows program for calculation and classification of tourmaline-supergroup (IMA-2011). *Computers & Geosciences*, **63**, 70–87.

Yavuz F., Kumral M., Karakaya N., Karakaya M.Ç. and Yıldırım, D.K. (2015) A Windows program for chlorite calculation and classification. *Computers & Geosciences*, **81**, 101–113.

Yavuz F. and Yıldırım D.K. (2020) WinGrt, a Windows program for garnet supergroup minerals. *Journal of Geosciences*, **65**, 71–95.

Yavuz F. and Yavuz, V. (2023a) WinApclas, A Windows program for apatite supergroup minerals. *Periodico di Mineralogia*, **92**, 307–333.

Yavuz F. and Yavuz V. (2023b) WinSpingc, a Windows program for spinel supergroup minerals. Journal of Geosciences 68, 95–110.

Yavuz F. and Yavuz, V. (2024) WinPclclasc, A Windows program for pyroclore supergroup minerals. *The Canadian Journal of Mineralogy and Petrology*, 62, 165–185.

Zhou Q., Qin K. and Tang D. (2021) Mineralogy of columbite-group minerals from the rare-element pegmatite dykes in the East-Qinling orogen, central China: Implications for formation times and ore genesis. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, **218**, 104879.

Zwaan J.C., Falster A.U. and Simmons W.B. (2016) Tantalite-(Mn) from Grangal, Nuristan, Afghanistan. *The Journal of Gemmology*, **35**, 111–114.

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Figure Captions



https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press



Row No	Sample No [Clb-Wol-Wdg-Smk Groups]	[SiO2	TiO2	ZrO2	UO2	ThO2	HfO2	SnO2	Al2O3	Cr2O3	V2O3	Sb2O3	Fe2O3	As2O3	Bi2O3	Y2O3	Sc2O3	La2O3	Ce2O3	Pr2O3	Nd2O3	Sm2O3	Eu2O3	Gd2O3	Tb2O3	Dy2O3
1	Samarskite-(Y)	0.12	0.68	1.03	11.23	1.73		0.79	0.19				2.13			7.83		0.37	0.25							1.56
2	Ekebergite					48.88																				
3	Shakhdaraite-(Y)		0.08		3.30	1.90		1.54								12.00	11.35		0.21	0.04	0.27	0.32	0.07	0.86	0.22	2.07
4	Samarskite-(Yb)		0.65	0.98	10.39	10.11		0.54					2.55			1.92	0.26	0.03	0.22	0.05	0.64	0.42		0.55	0.25	3.06
5	Ishikawaite	1.05	0.42		24.84	0.75										1.87										
6	Calciosamarskite	0.21	1.17		17.9	3.75		0.10	0.15				7.40			6.90	1.09		0.05	0.03	0.50	0.29		0.97	0.11	1.38
7	Wodginite		1.44					13.00					0.79													
8	Ferrowodginite		4.3					10.1					1.9													
9	Titanowodginite		9.2					7.4					0.1													
10	Ferrotitanowodginite		7.10		0.02	0.01		1.25	0.03			0.02	2.18		0.03											
11	Tantalowodginite							8.45																		
12	Lithiowodginite							1.66																		
13	Achalaite		7.13	0.95	0.33			4.25					4.34													
14	Ferberite																									
15	Hübnerite																									
16	Huanzalaite																									

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press



Figure 1. (**a**) A screenshot of the WinClbclas Start-up window with various pull-down menus and equivalent shortcuts. (**b**) A screenshot of the WinClbclas *Data Entry* window with a total of 47 analytes (wt.%). (**c**) A screenshot of the WinClbclas *Calculation Screen* with plot options from the pull-down menu of the *Graph*.

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press



https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press



https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press



https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

d



https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press



https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

f



https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press



https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press



Figure 2. Selected plots of the CSM classification and compositional diagrams from the pull-down menu of *Graph* in the *Calculation Screen* of WinClbclas program using the selected mineral analyses from the literature. (**a**) Compositions of the CGM in the columbite-tantalite quadrilateral (from Černý and Ercit, 1985; 1989; samples from Tindle and Breaks, 2000) with the empirically derived tantalite-tapiolite miscibility gap (from Černý *et al.*, 1992). (**b**) Compositional plot of the CGM in ternary Fe-Mn-Mg diagram (filled diamonds from Sosa et al., 2002; filled circles from Baumgartner *et al.*, 2006; filled squares from Aurisicchio *et al.*, 2002; filled triangles from Mackay and Simandl, 2015). (**c**) Compositional plot of the WGM in Mn/Total A site versus Ti/Total B site diagram (revised from Tindle *et al.*, 1998; filled diamonds from Tindle and Breaks, 2000; filled circles from Alekseev, 2023). (**d**) Compositional plot of the WGM in ternary Ti-Ta/4-Sn diagram (filled diamonds from Tindle and Breaks, 2000; filled circles from Hanson *et al.*, 2018). (**e**) Environments and mechanisms of W deposition in W versus H/F ratio diagram (from Michaud and Pichavant, 2019; samples from Monnier *et al.*, 2019). (**f**) Compositional plot of the WGM in ternary Mg-Fe-Mn diagram (from Miyawaki et al., 2010; filled diamonds from Moore and Howie, 1978; filled circles from Michaud and Pichavant, 2019; filled squares from d'Aquin Tumukunde and Piestrzynski, 2018; filled triangles from Llorens and Moro, 2012; filled stars from Novák *et al.*, 2008). (**g**) Compositional plot of the SGM in ternary (REE+Y)-Ca-(U+Th) diagram (from Černý and Ercit, 1989; filled diamond from

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Pieczka *et al.*, 2014; filled circles from Guastoni *et al.*, 2019; filled triangles from Raslan, 2008). (**h**) Compositional plot of the IGM in Mn/(Mn+Fe) versus Ta/(Ta+Nb) diagram (filled diamonds from René, 2019; filled circles from Bergstøl and Juve, 1988; filled squares from Wise *et al.*, 1998; filled triangles from Tindle and Breaks, 2000).

Table 1. A list of the IMA-approved, currently questionable and insufficiently studied species in the columbite supergroup (from Chukanov *et al.*, 2023a).

	Ixiolite Group	[MO_2 Orthorhombic *Pbcn*]		
Row	**Species**	**Symbol**	**Formula**	**IMA status**
1	Ixiolite-(Mn^{2+})	Ix-Mn	$(Ta_{2/3}Mn^{2+}_{1/3})O_2$	A
2	Ixiolite-(Fe^{2+})	Ix-Fe	$(Ta_{2/3}Fe^{2+}_{1/3})O_2$	A
3	†Nioboixiolite-(Mn^{2+})	Nbix-Mn	$(Nb_{2/3}Mn^{2+}_{1/3})O_2$	A
4	†Nioboixiolite-(□)	Nbix-□	$(Nb_{0.8}□_{0.2})^{4+}O_2$	A
5	Scrutinyite	Sny	α-PbO_2	A
6	Seifertite	Sft	SiO_2	A
7	Srilankite	Sri	TiO_2	A
	Wolframite Group	[$M1M2O_4$ Monoclinic *P2/c*]		
8	Ferberite	Feb	$Fe^{2+}WO_4$	A
9	Hübnerite	Hbr	$Mn^{2+}WO_4$	A
10	Huanzalaite	Hza	$MgWO_4$	A
11	Sanmartinite	Sma	$ZnWO_4$	A
12	Heftetjernite	Hef	$ScTaO_4$	A
13	Nioboheftetjernite	Nhef	$ScNbO_4$	A
14	Rossovskyite	Rvy	$Fe^{3+}NbO_4$	A
15	Riesite	Rie	$TiTiO_4$	A
16	†Dmitryvalamovite	Dmv	$Ti_2(Fe^{3+}Nb)O_8$	A
	Samarskite Group	[ABM_2O_8 Monoclinic *P2/c*]		
17	Samarskite-(Y)	Smk-Y	$YFe^{3+}Nb_2O_8$	A
18	Ekebergite	Ekb	$ThFe^{2+}Nb_2O_8$	A
19	Shakhdaraite-(Y)	Skd-Y	$YScNb_2O_8$	A
20	"*Samarskite*-(*Yb*)"	Smk-Yb	$YbFe^{3+}Nb_2O_8$ (?)	A

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

21	"*Ishikawaite*"	Ikw	$U^{4+}Fe^{2+}Nb_2O_8$	A
22	"*Calciosamarskite*"	Csmk	$CaFe^{3+}Nb_2O_7(OH)$	A
	Columbite Group	[*M*1*M*2$_2$O$_6$ Orthorhombic *Pbcn*]		
23	Columbite-(Fe)	Clb-Fe	$Fe^{2+}Nb_2O_6$	A
24	Columbite-(Mn)	Clb-Mn	$Mn^{2+}Nb_2O_6$	A
25	Columbite-(Mg)	Clb-Mg	$MgNb_2O_6$	A
26	Tantalite-(Fe)	Ttl-Fe	$Fe^{2+}Ta_2O_6$	A
27	Tantalite-(Mn)	Ttl-Mn	$Mn^{2+}Ta_2O_6$	A
28	Tantalite-(Mg)	Ttl-Mg	$MgTa_2O_6$	A
29	Fersmite	Fsm	$CaNb_2O_6$	A
30	Euxenite-(Y)	Eux-Y	$Y(NbTi)O_6$	A
31	Tanteuxenite-(Y)	Ttx-Y	$Y(TaTi)O_6$	A
32	Uranopolycrase	Uplc	UTi_2O_6	A
	Wodginite Group	[*M*1*M*2*M*3$_2$O$_8$ Monoclinic *C2/c*]		
33	Wodginite	Wdg	$Mn^{2+}SnTa_2O_8$	A
34	Ferrowodginite	Fwdg	$Fe^{2+}SnTa_2O_8$	A
35	Titanowodginite	Twdg	$Mn^{2+}TiTa_2O_8$	A
36	Ferrotitanowodginite	Ftwdg	$Fe^{2+}TiTa_2O_8$	A
37	Tantalowodginite	Ttwdg	$(Mn_{0.5}\square_{0.5})TaTa_2O_8$	A
38	Lithiowodginite	Lwdg	$LiTa_3O_8$	A
39	Achalaite	Ahl	$Fe^{2+}TiNb_2O_8$	A
	Ungrouped species			
40	Lithiotantite	Ltan	$LiTa_3O_8$	A
	Other questionable, insufficiently studied minerals			
41	"*Qitianlingite*"	Qit	$Fe^{2+}{}_2Nb_2W^{6+}O_{10}$ (?)	A, QMS
42	"*Yttrocolumbite-(Y)*"	Yclb-Y	$YNbO_4$ (?)	A, QMS
43	"*Yttrotantalite-(Y)*"	Yttl-Y	$YTaO_4$ (?)	A, QMS
44	"*Yttrocrasite-(Y)*"	Ycr-Y	$YTi_2O_5(OH)$ (?)	A, QMS

A = Approved by the IMA; NA = Not approved currently by the IMA; QMS = Questionable mineral species; □ = Vacancy; (†) = Nioboixiolite-(Mn^{2+}) (Chukanov et *al*., 2023b), Nioboixiolite-(□) (Li *et al.*, 2023) and dmitryvarlamovite (Udoratina *et al*., in press) are new columbite supergroup species approved by the IMA later than the subcommittee report by Chukanov *et al*. (2023a).

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Table 2. Description of column numbers in the *Calculation Screen* window of WinClbclas program and an output Excel file.

Row	Explanations	Column Numbers
1	Major oxide wolframite, samarskite, columbite and wodginite (WSCW) group mineral analyses (wt.%)	1-49
2	Blank	50
3	Total rare earth oxide (REO) of WSCW group mineral analyses (wt.%)	51
4	Blank	52
5	Recalculated cation of WSCW group mineral analyses (apfu)	53-98
6	Blank	99
7	Recalculated F and OH contents of WSCW group mineral analyses (*apfu*)	100-101
8	Blank	102
9	Some useful cation ratio values [e.g., Mn/(Mn+Fe), Ta/(Ta+Nb), Ti/(Ti+Ta+Nb)] for WSCW group mineral analyses	103-105
10	Blank	106
11	Total mono- to hexavalent cations (i.e. M^{+}, M^{2+}, M^{3+}, M^{4+}, M^{5+}, M^{6+}) of WSCW group mineral analyses (apfu)	107-112
12	Blank	113
13	Group name and species of WSCW group mineral analyses	114-115
14	Blank	116
15	Major oxide ixiolite group mineral analyses (wt.%)	117-153
16	Blank	154
17	Recalculated cation of ixiolite group mineral analyses (apfu)	155-187
18	Blank	188
19	Recalculated F and OH contents of ixiolite group mineral analyses (apfu)	189-190
20	Blank	191
21	Some useful cation ratio values [e.g. Mn/(Mn+Fe), Ta/(Ta+Nb), Ti/(Ti+Ta+Nb)] for ixiolite group mineral analyses	192-194
22	Blank	195
23	Total mono- to hexavalent cations (i.e. M^{+}, M^{2+}, M^{3+}, M^{4+}, M^{5+}, M^{6+}) of ixiolite group mineral analyses (apfu)	196-201
24	Blank	202
25	Species of ixiolite group mineral analyses	203-204
26	Blank	205

(apfu) = Atoms per formula unit; M^{+} = Total monovalent cations, M^{2+} = Total divalent cations, M^{3+} = Total trivalent cations, M^{4+} = Total tetravalent cations, M^{5+} = Total pentavalent cations, M^{6+} = Total hexavalent cations.

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Table 3. Chemical compositions of selected ixiolite group minerals with calculations and classifications by WinClbclas.

Row		S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6
1	SiO_2	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.00	97.90	0.00
2	TiO_2	2.68	0.38	7.66	56.30	0.00	0.00
3	ZrO_2	0.20	0.60	1.74	43.97	0.00	0.00
4	UO_2	0.00	0.00	1.44	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	ThO_2	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	SnO_2	11.38	12.27	1.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	Al_2O_3	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	1.60	0.00
8	Sb_2O_2	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	Fe_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	As_2O_3	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	Bi_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	Y_2O_3	0.00	0.00	1.34	0.00	0.00	0.00
13	Sc_2O_3	0.16	0.00	1.80	0.00	0.00	0.00
14	Nb_2O_5	6.12	10.50	42.80	0.00	0.00	0.00
15	Ta_2O_5	63.79	61.47	26.77	0.00	0.00	0.00
16	WO_3	1.87	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
17	FeO	2.98	8.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
18	MnO	9.19	5.40	14.94	0.00	0.00	0.00
19	PbO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	98.20
20	MgO	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
21	CaO	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
22	Na_2O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00
23	H_2O	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.80

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

24	∑ (wt.%)	98.44	99.55	99.96	100.27	100.00	100.00
31	Si	0.000	0.037	0.000	0.000	11.800	0.000
32	Ti	0.640	0.089	1.416	7.967	0.000	0.000
33	Zr	0.031	0.091	0.208	4.033	0.000	0.000
34	U	0.000	0.000	0.079	0.000	0.000	0.000
35	Th	0.000	0.000	0.015	0.000	0.000	0.000
36	Sn	1.441	1.529	0.099	0.000	0.000	0.000
37	Al	0.000	0.059	0.000	0.000	0.227	0.000
38	Sb^{3+}	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
39	Fe^{3+}	0.000	0.000	0.037	0.000	0.000	0.000
40	As^{3+}	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
41	Bi	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
42	Y	0.000	0.000	0.175	0.000	0.000	0.000
43	Sc	0.044	0.000	0.385	0.000	0.000	0.000
44	Nb	0.878	1.483	4.755	0.000	0.000	0.000
45	Ta	5.508	5.224	1.789	0.000	0.000	0.000
46	W	0.154	0.024	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
47	Fe^{2+}	0.791	2.112	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
48	Mn^{2+}	2.471	1.429	3.110	0.000	0.000	0.000
49	Pb	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	24.000
50	Mg	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
51	Ca	0.000	0.037	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
52	Na	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.117	0.000
54	∑ (apfu)	11.974	12.115	12.068	12.000	12.144	24.000
55	Mn/(Mn+Fe)	0.76	0.40	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
56	Ta/(Ta+Nb)	0.86	0.78	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.00
57	Ti/(Ti+Ta+Nb)	0.09	0.01	0.18	1.00	0.00	0.00
63	$\sum M^{1+}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.00
64	$\sum M^{2+}$	6.53	7.16	6.22	0.00	0.00	48.00
65	$\sum M^{3+}$	0.16	0.18	1.79	0.00	0.68	0.00
66	$\sum M^{4+}$	8.45	6.99	7.27	48.00	47.20	0.00

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

67	$\sum M^{5+}$	31.93	33.53	32.72	0.00	0.00	0.00
68	$\sum M^{6+}$	0.92	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
69	Group	IxG	IxG	IxG	IxG	IxG	IxG
70	Species	Ix-Mn	Ix-Fe	Nbix-Mn	Sri	Sft	Sny

(apfu*)* = Atoms per formula unit; Samples of S1, S2, S4, S5, S6 = from Handbook of Mineralogy (Anthony *et al*., 2001–2005), S3 = from Chukanov *et al*. (2023b), The formulae were recalculated to content of ions on the basis of 24 O and 12 cations (apfu); M^{+} = Total monovalent cations, M^{2+} = Total divalent cations, M^{3+} = Total trivalent cations, M^{4+} = Total tetravalent cations, M^{5+} = Total pentavalent cations, M^{6+} = Total hexavalent cations; IxG = Ixiolite Group; Ix-Mn = Ixiolite-(Mn^{2+}), Ix-Fe = Ixiolite-(Fe^{2+}), Nbix-Mn = Nioboixiolite-(Mn^{2+}), Sri = Srilankite, Sft = Seifertite, Sny = Scrutinyite.

Table 4. Chemical compositions of selected wolframite and samarskite group minerals with calculations and classifications by WinClbclas.

Row		S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S7	S8	S9	S10	S11	S12
1	SiO_2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.00
2	TiO_2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.61	5.94	7.69	99.25	37.72	0.68	0.00	0.08
3	ZrO_2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.03	0.00	0.00
4	UO_2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.23	0.00	3.30
5	ThO_2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.73	48.88	1.90
6	SnO_2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.93	1.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.79	0.00	1.54
7	Al_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.00
8	Cr_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	V_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	Fe_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.14	14.66	0.00	19.26	2.13	0.00	0.00
11	Y_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.83	0.00	12.00
12	Sc_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.59	11.34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.35
13	La_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.00
14	Ce_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.21
15	Pr_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04
16	Nd_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.27
17	Sm_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.32
18	Eu_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07
19	Gd_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.86
20	Tb_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.22
21	Dy_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.56	0.00	2.07
22	Ho_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.29
23	Er_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.37	0.00	1.33

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

24	Tm_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.35
25	Yb_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.80
26	Lu_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.32
27	Nb_2O_5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.25	32.23	26.59	0.00	40.08	32.02	43.88	50.70
28	Ta_2O_5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	53.58	29.93	37.51	0.00	0.00	11.18	0.00	4.42
29	WO_3	75.21	76.57	84.81	72.62	0.00	3.38	5.61	0.00	0.00	1.41	0.00	0.79
30	FeO	24.37	0.00	1.39	7.24	2.07	0.00	5.92	0.42	1.51	11.15	11.94	0.01
31	MnO	0.19	23.43	1.78	1.73	3.02	2.49	1.68	0.00	0.11	0.69	0.00	1.38
32	PbO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.24
33	ZnO	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.00
34	MgO	0.00	0.00	12.49	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.00	0.00
35	CaO	0.00	0.00	0.02	1.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.51	0.00	1.01
36	Na_2O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.00
37	K_2O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.00
38	H_2O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.22	0.00	0.00
39	∑ (wt.%)	99.77	100.00	100.49	101.25	97.05	98.96	99.66	99.70	99.85	100.68	104.70	97.87
40	Si	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.035	0.000	0.000
41	Ti	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.341	1.070	1.471	11.969	5.382	0.150	0.000	0.015
42	Zr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.148	0.000	0.000
43	U	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.735	0.000	0.184
44	Th	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.116	3.262	0.108
45	Sn	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.779	0.138	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.093	0.000	0.154
46	Al	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.066	0.000	0.000
47	Cr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.042	0.000	0.000	0.000
48	V	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.135	0.000	0.000	0.000
49	Fe^{3+}	0.630	0.000	0.000	1.840	0.322	2.188	2.805	0.056	2.749	0.471	0.039	0.000
50	Y	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.226	0.000	1.601
51	Sc	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.829	2.366	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.480
52	La	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.040	0.000	0.000
53	Ce	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.027	0.000	0.019
54	Pr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004
55	Nd	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.024
56	Sm	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.028
57	Eu	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.006
58	Gd	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.071
59	Tb	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.018
60	Dy	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.148	0.000	0.167
61	Ho	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.023
62	Er	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.235	0.000	0.105

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

63	Tm	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.027
64	Yb	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.214
65	Lu	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.024
66	Nb	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.816	3.489	3.056	0.000	3.436	4.258	5.818	5.747
67	Ta	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	4.107	1.949	2.593	0.000	0.000	0.894	0.000	0.301
68	W	5.920	6.000	6.046	5.718	0.000	0.210	0.370	0.000	0.000	0.107	0.000	0.051
69	Fe^{2+}	5.561	0.000	0.320	0.000	0.166	0.000	1.259	0.000	0.239	2.743	2.890	0.002
70	Mn	0.049	6.000	0.415	0.445	0.721	0.505	0.362	0.000	0.018	0.172	0.000	0.293
71	Pb	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.012	0.000	0.016
72	Zn	0.000	0.000	0.000	4.078	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.037	0.000	0.000
73	Mg	0.000	0.000	5.122	0.000	0.000	0.021	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.180	0.000	0.000
74	Ca	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.482	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.161	0.000	0.271
75	Na	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.160	0.000	0.000
76	K	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.079	0.000	0.000
77	∑ (apfu)	12.159	12.000	11.908	12.563	12.081	11.937	11.914	12.031	12.001	13.292	12.010	11.957
78	Mn/(Mn+Fe)	0.01	1.00	0.56	1.00	0.81	1.00	0.22	0.00	0.07	0.06	0.00	0.99
79	Ta/(Ta+Nb)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.69	0.36	0.46	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.05
80	Ti/(Ti+Ta++Nb)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.16	0.21	1.00	0.61	0.03	0.00	0.00
81	$\sum M^{1+}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.00
82	$\sum M^{2+}$	11.22	12.00	11.73	10.01	1.77	1.05	3.24	0.01	0.51	6.61	5.78	1.16
83	$\sum M^{3+}$	1.89	0.00	0.00	5.52	12.45	13.66	8.42	0.17	8.78	9.64	0.12	14.43
84	$\sum M^{4+}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.48	4.83	5.88	47.88	21.53	5.11	13.05	1.84
85	$\sum M^{5+}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.62	27.19	28.25	0.00	17.18	25.76	29.09	30.24
86	$\sum M^{6+}$	35.52	36.00	36.28	34.31	0.00	1.26	2.22	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.00	0.31
87	Group	WfG	WfG	WfG	WfG	WfG	WfG	WfG	WfG	WfG	SmkG	SmkG	SmkG
88	Species	Fer	Hbr	Hza	Sma	Hef	Nhef	Rvy	Rie	Dvm	Smk-Y	Ekb	Skd-Y

(apfu) = Atoms per formula unit; Samples of S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S7, S8, S10 = from Handbook of Mineralogy (Anthony *et al*., 2001–2005), S6 = from Lykova *et al*. (2021), S9 = from Udoratina *et al*. (in press), S11 = https://www.mineralienatlas.de, S12 = Pautov *et al*. (2022); The formulae were recalculated to content of ions on the basis of 24 O and 12 cations (apfu); M^{+} = Total monovalent cations, M^{2+} = Total divalent cations, M^{3+} = Total trivalent cations, M^{4+} = Total tetravalent cations, M^{5+} = Total pentavalent cations, M^{6+} = Total hexavalent cations; WfG = Wolframite Group; SmkG = Samarskite Group; Fer = Ferberite, Hbr = Hübnerite, Hza = Huanzalaite, Sma = Sanmartinite, Hef = Heftetjernite, Nhef = Nioboheftetjernite, Rvy = Rossovskyite, Rie = Riesite, Dvm = Dmitryvalamovite, Smk-Y = Samarskite-(Y), Ekb = Ekebergite, Skd-Y = Shakhdaraite-(Y).

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Table 5. Chemical compositions of selected wolframite and samarskite group minerals with calculations and classifications by WinClbclas.

Row		S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S7	S8	S9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14
1	SiO_2	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	TiO_2	0.75	1.28	4.61	1.17	0.00	0.91	16.39	27.36	1.44	4.30	9.20	7.10	0.00	7.13
3	ZrO_2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.95
4	UO_2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.67	39.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.33
5	ThO_2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.95	4.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
6	SnO_2	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.00	13.00	10.10	7.40	1.25	8.45	4.25
7	Al_2O_3	0.00	0.00	1.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00
8	Sb_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00
9	Fe_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.32	0.00	0.79	1.90	0.10	2.18	0.00	4.34
10	Bi_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00
11	Y_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.76	18.22	7.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	Ce_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
13	Nd_2O_3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14	Nb_2O_5	54.62	37.25	70.59	23.75	1.39	79.50	41.43	11.27	3.96	14.80	11.10	6.52	3.48	29.05
15	Ta_2O_5	25.89	42.84	10.45	56.98	84.47	0.00	3.84	5.98	68.64	56.30	59.90	70.68	80.72	38.22
16	WO_3	0.39	0.28	0.86	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	2.65
17	FeO	10.36	8.08	2.21	10.930	0.33	0.000	0.77	0.00	0.00	9.30	3.10	10.27	0.21	7.91
18	MnO	8.37	9.20	0.00	6.090	13.81	0.000	0.59	0.48	10.74	2.80	9.00	1.05	6.15	4.67
19	PbO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00
20	MgO	0.00	0.00	9.00	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
21	CaO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.00	15.820	4.86	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.04

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

22	Na_2O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.070	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
23	Li_2O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.00
24	H_2O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.000	1.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	∑ (wt.%)	100.40	98.97	99.30	99.60	100.00	97.08	100.14	96.68	98.57	99.50	99.80	99.25	99.52	99.54
26	Si	0.000	0.000	0.098	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.016	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
27	Ti	0.140	0.261	0.739	0.257	0.000	0.151	2.895	5.860	0.324	0.949	1.993	1.619	0.000	1.406
28	Zr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.121
29	U	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.035	2.476	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.019
30	Th	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.264	0.268	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000
31	Sn	0.002	0.004	0.000	0.070	0.000	0.000	0.011	0.000	1.549	1.181	0.850	0.151	1.124	0.444
32	Al	0.000	0.000	0.281	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.036	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.011	0.000	0.000
33	Sb	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000
34	Fe^{3+}	0.000	0.065	0.000	0.681	0.093	0.000	0.233	0.000	0.178	0.419	0.022	0.497	0.000	0.856
35	Bi	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000
36	Y	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.089	2.277	1.179	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
37	Ce	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.373	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
38	Nd	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.038	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
39	Nb	6.139	4.627	6.799	3.137	0.213	7.920	4.398	1.451	0.535	1.963	1.445	0.893	0.525	3.442
40	Ta	1.750	3.159	0.605	4.527	7.768	0.000	0.245	0.463	5.580	4.491	4.691	5.826	7.321	2.724
41	W	0.025	0.020	0.047	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.180
42	Fe^{2+}	2.154	1.768	0.394	1.989	0.000	0.000	0.151	0.000	1.978	2.281	0.747	2.603	0.059	1.734
43	Mn	1.763	2.113	0.000	1.507	3.956	0.000	0.117	0.116	2.720	0.696	2.195	0.270	1.737	1.037
44	Pb	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.023	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000
45	Mg	0.000	0.000	2.858	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.046	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.000
46	Ca	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.735	1.223	0.067	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.011
47	Na	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.030	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
48	Li	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.684	0.000
49	∑ (apfu)	11.973	12.016	11.822	12.173	12.029	11.925	12.349	11.917	12.864	11.980	11.942	11.890	11.450	11.973
50	Mn/(Mn+Fe)	0.45	0.54	0.00	0.43	1.00	0.00	0.44	1.00	0.58	0.23	0.75	0.09	0.97	0.37

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press

51	Ta/(Ta+Nb)	0.22	0.41	0.08	0.59	0.97	0.00	0.05	0.24	0.91	0.70	0.76	0.87	0.93	0.44
52	Ti/(Ti+Ta++Nb)	0.02	0.03	0.09	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.38	0.75	0.05	0.13	0.25	0.19	0.00	0.19
53	$\sum M^{1+}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.68	0.00
54	$\sum M^{2+}$	7.83	7.76	6.50	6.99	7.91	7.47	3.12	0.37	9.40	5.95	5.88	5.77	3.59	5.56
55	$\sum M^{3+}$	0.00	0.20	0.84	2.04	0.28	0.27	8.76	3.65	0.53	1.26	0.07	1.54	0.00	2.57
56	$\sum M^{4+}$	0.57	1.06	3.35	1.33	0.00	0.60	12.90	34.42	7.49	8.52	11.37	7.09	4.50	7.96
57	$\sum M^{5+}$	39.45	38.93	37.02	38.32	39.91	39.60	23.22	9.57	30.58	32.27	30.68	33.60	39.23	30.83
58	$\sum M^{6+}$	0.15	0.12	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	1.08
59	Group	ClbG	ClbG	ClbG	ClbG	ClbG	ClbG	ClbG	ClbG	WdgG	WdgG	WdgG	WdgG	WdgG	WdgG
60	Species	Clb-Fe	Clb-Mn	Clb-Mg	Ttl-Fe	Ttl-Mn	Fsm	Eux-Y	Uplc	Wdg	Fwdg	Twdg	Ftwdg	Ttwdg	Ahl

(apfu) = Atoms per formula unit; Samples of S1, S2 = Wenger and Armbruster (1991), S3 = https://www.mineralienatlas.de, S4 = Dias *et al*. (2005), S5 = Zwaan *et al*. (2016), S6 = Simandl *et al*. (2018), S7, S9, S10, S11, S12 = Handbook of Mineralogy (Anthony *et al*., 2001–2005), S8 = Aurisicchio *et al*. (1993), S10 = from Handbook of Mineralogy (Anthony et al. 2001–2005), S6 = from Lykova et al. (2021), S9 = from Udoratina et al. (in press), S11 = https://www.mineralienatlas.de, S12 = Galliski *et al*. (1999), S13 = Hanson *et al*. (2018), S14 = Galliski *et al*. (2016); The formulae were recalculated to content of ions on the basis of 24 O and 12 cations (apfu); M^{+} = Total monovalent cations, M^{2+} = Total divalent cations, M^{3+} = Total trivalent cations, M^{4+} = Total tetravalent cations, M^{5+} = Total pentavalent cations, M^{6+} = Total hexavalent cations; ClbG = Columbite Group; WdgG = Wodginite Group; Clb-Fe = Columbite-(Fe), Clb-Mn = Columbite-(Mn), Clb-Mg = Columbite-(Mg), Ttl-Fe = Tantalite-(Fe), Ttl-Mn = Tantalite-(Mn), Fsm = Fersmite, Eux-Y = Euxenite-(Y), Uplc = Uranopolycrase, Wdg = Wodginite, Fwdg = Ferrowodginite, Twdg = Titanowodginite, Ftwdg = Ferrotitanowodginite, Ttwdg = Tantalowodginite, Ahl = Achalaite.

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2024.35 Published online by Cambridge University Press