

ON A PERIODIC NEUTRAL LOGISTIC EQUATION

by K. GOPALSAMY, XUE-ZHONG HE and LIZHI WEN

(Received 8 March, 1990)

1. Introduction. The oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of the positive solutions of the autonomous neutral delay logistic equation

$$\dot{N}(t) = rN(t) \left[1 - \left(\frac{N(t-\tau) + c\dot{N}(t-\tau)}{K} \right) \right] \quad \text{where} \quad \dot{N}(t) = \frac{dN(t)}{dt} \quad (1.1)$$

with $r, c, \tau, K \in (0, \infty)$ has been recently investigated in [2]. More recently the dynamics of the periodic delay logistic equation

$$\frac{dN(t)}{dt} = r(t)N(t) \left[1 - \frac{N(t-m\tau)}{K(t)} \right] \quad (1.2)$$

in which r, K are periodic functions of period τ and m is a positive integer is considered in [6]. The purpose of the following analysis is to obtain sufficient conditions for the existence and linear asymptotic stability of a positive periodic solution of a periodic neutral delay logistic equation

$$\dot{N}(t) = r(t)N(t) \left[1 - \left(\frac{N(t-m\tau) + c(t)\dot{N}(t-m\tau)}{K(t)} \right) \right] \quad (1.3)$$

in which \dot{N} denotes $\frac{dN}{dt}$ and r, K, c are positive continuous periodic functions of period τ and m is a positive integer. For the origin and biological relevance of (1.3) we refer to [2].

2. Existence of a periodic solution. We define positive constants $r_0, c_0, K_0, r^0, c^0, K^0$ as follows:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 0 < r_0 = \inf_{t \geq 0} r(t) \leq r(t) \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} r(t) = r^0, \\ 0 < K_0 = \inf_{t \geq 0} K(t) \leq K(t) \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} K(t) = K^0, \\ 0 < c_0 = \inf_{t \geq 0} c(t) \leq c(t) \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} c(t) = c^0. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

The literature concerned with the existence of periodic solutions of nonlinear neutral differential equations with periodic coefficients is scarce (see for instance the books by El'sgol'ts and Norkin [1] and Kolmanovskii and Nosov [4]).

We shall first consider the periodic ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{u}(t) = r(t)u(t) \left[1 - \left(\frac{u(t) + c(t)\dot{u}(t)}{K(t)} \right) \right], \quad (2.2)$$

which can be written as

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = r(t)u(t) \left[\frac{K(t) - u(t)}{K(t) + c(t)r(t)} \right]. \quad (2.3)$$

Glasgow Math. J. **33** (1991) 281–286.

One can see from (2.3) that positive solutions of (2.3) satisfy

$$u(t) \left[\frac{r_0 K_0}{K^0 + c^0 r^0} - \frac{r^0}{K_0 + c_0 r_0} u(t) \right] \leq \frac{du}{dt} \leq u(t) \left[\frac{r^0 K^0}{K_0 + c_0 r_0} - \frac{r_0}{K^0 + c^0 r^0} u(t) \right] \quad (2.4)$$

and hence

$$\frac{r^0}{K_0 + c_0 r_0} [\alpha_0 - u(t)] u(t) \leq \frac{du}{dt} \leq \frac{r_0}{K^0 + c^0 r^0} [\alpha^0 - u(t)] u(t), \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\alpha_0 = \left(\frac{r_0}{r^0} \right) \frac{K_0 + c_0 r_0}{K^0 + c^0 r^0}, \quad \alpha^0 = \left(\frac{r^0}{r_0} \right) \frac{K^0 + c^0 r^0}{K_0 + c_0 r_0}. \quad (2.6)$$

We can conclude from (2.5) that

$$\alpha_0 < u(0) < \alpha^0 \Rightarrow \alpha_0 \leq u(t) \leq \alpha^0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (2.7)$$

A positive solution $p(t)$ of (2.3) is said to be globally asymptotically stable if every other positive solution $x(t)$ satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t) - p(t)| = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

LEMMA. *Suppose r, K, c are strictly positive continuous periodic functions of period τ . Then (2.3) has a globally asymptotically stable periodic solution of period τ .*

Proof. The existence of at least one positive periodic solution of (2.3) is a consequence of (2.7) and Theorem 15.3 on p. 164 of Yoshizawa [5]. We shall show that if u and v are any two positive solutions of (2.3) then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |u(t) - v(t)| = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

The uniqueness and the global asymptotic stability of the periodic solution will then follow from (2.9). We define w such that

$$w(t) = \ln[u(t)] - \ln[v(t)] \quad (2.10)$$

and derive

$$\frac{dw(t)}{dt} = - \left(\frac{r(t)}{K(t) + c(t)r(t)} \right) [u(t) - v(t)]. \quad (2.11)$$

We observe

$$w(t) = \ln[u(t)] - \ln[v(t)] = [u(t) - v(t)] \left(\frac{1}{\theta(t)} \right), \quad (2.12)$$

where $\theta(t)$ lies between $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ and hence $\theta(t)$ is strictly positive and is bounded away from zero. Together, (2.11) and (2.12) imply

$$\frac{d}{dt} [w^2(t)] = -2 \left[\frac{r(t)\theta(t)}{K(t) + c(t)r(t)} \right] w^2(t),$$

from which one can derive

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) = 0,$$

and so (2.9) follows. This completes the proof.

3. Periodic neutral logistic equation. Let $p(t)$ denote the unique positive periodic solution of (2.3). It can be verified that p satisfies

$$\frac{dp(t)}{dt} = r(t)p(t) \left[1 - \left(\frac{p(t) + c(t)\dot{p}(t)}{K(t)} \right) \right] \tag{3.1}$$

and hence p also satisfies

$$\dot{p}(t) = r(t)p(t) \left[1 - \left(\frac{p(t - m\tau) + c(t)\dot{p}(t - m\tau)}{K(t)} \right) \right]. \tag{3.2}$$

Thus the existence of a periodic solution of (1.3) is resolved easily. Furthermore we note that

$$K_0 \leq p(t) \leq K^0 \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$-d^0 \leq \dot{p}(t) \leq d^0, \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$d^0 = \frac{r^0 K^0}{K_0 + c_0 r_0} K^0 (K^0 - K_0). \tag{3.5}$$

We let

$$x(t) = \ln[N(t)] - \ln[p(t)], \tag{3.6}$$

so that

$$N(t) = p(t)e^{x(t)}. \tag{3.7}$$

We derive from (1.3) that x is governed by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= \frac{\dot{N}(t)}{N(t)} - \frac{\dot{p}(t)}{p(t)} \\ &= -a(t)[e^{x(t-m\tau)} - 1] - b(t)e^{x(t-m\tau)}\dot{x}(t - m\tau), \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \alpha(t) &= \frac{r(t)}{K(t)} [p(t - m\tau) + c(t)\dot{p}(t - m\tau)] \\ b(t) &= \frac{r(t)}{K(t)} c(t)p(t - m\tau). \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{3.9}$$

The linear variational system corresponding to the solution p of (1.3) can be obtained from (3.8) by neglecting the nonlinear terms in (3.8). This leads to the linear variational equation

$$\dot{y}(t) = -a(t)y(t - m\tau) - b(t)\dot{y}(t - m\tau). \tag{3.10}$$

DEFINITION. The positive periodic solution p of (1.3) is said to be locally asymptotically stable in the C^1 metric if every solution of (3.10) satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \dot{y}(t) = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

If the convergence in (3.11) is exponential, then the solution p of (1.3) is said to be exponentially asymptotically stable in the C^1 metric.

We remark that there are no routine techniques developed in the literature for the verification of asymptotic stability in the C^1 metric for neutral differential equations.

THEOREM. Suppose the delay $m\tau$ and the neutral coefficient $c(t)$ are small enough to satisfy

$$\left. \begin{aligned} c^0 d^0 < K_0, \quad a^0 m\tau + b^0 < 1, \\ \frac{a^0(a^0 m\tau + b^0)}{1 - (a^0 m\tau + b^0)} < a_0, \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a^0 &= \frac{r^0}{K_0} [K^0 + c^0 d^0], & a_0 &= \frac{r_0}{K_0^0} [K_0 - c^0 d^0], \\ b_0 &= \frac{r_0}{K_0^0} c_0 K_0, & b^0 &= \frac{r^0}{K_0} c^0 K^0. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{3.13}$$

Then the positive periodic solution p of (1.3) is (locally) exponentially asymptotically stable in the C^1 metric.

Proof. It is enough to show that the trivial solution of (3.10) is exponentially asymptotically stable in the C^1 metric. We first rewrite (3.10) in the form

$$\dot{y}(t) = -a(t)y(t) + a(t) \int_{t-m\tau}^t \dot{y}(\xi) d\xi - b(t)\dot{y}(t - m\tau). \tag{3.14}$$

By the variation of constants formula we have from (3.14)

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= y(t_0) \exp \left[- \int_{t_0}^t a(u) du \right] \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t \left[\left(a(s) \int_{s-m\tau}^s \dot{y}(\xi) d\xi \right) - b(s)\dot{y}(s - m\tau) \right] \exp \left[- \int_{t_0}^t a(u) du \right] \exp \left[\int_{t_0}^s a(u) du \right] ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Supplying y from (3.15) in (3.14),

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y}(t) = & -a(t)y(t_0)\exp\left[-\int_{t_0}^t a(u) du\right] \\ & - a(t)\left[\int_{t_0}^t \left(a(s)\int_{s-m\tau}^s \dot{y}(\xi) d\xi - b(s)\dot{y}(s-m\tau)\right) \right. \\ & \times \exp\left[-\int_{t_0}^t a(u) du\right] \exp\left[\int_{t_0}^s a(v) dv\right] ds \\ & \left. + a(t)\int_{t-m\tau}^t \dot{y}(\xi) d\xi - b(t)\dot{y}(t-m\tau), \right. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

and estimating the terms of (3.16),

$$\begin{aligned} |\dot{y}(t)| \leq & a^0 |y(t_0)| \exp\left[-\int_{t_0}^t a(u) du\right] \\ & + a^0(a^0m\tau + b^0)\int_{t_0}^t \sup_{u \leq s} \left(|\dot{y}(u)| \exp\left[\int_{t_0}^u a(v) dv\right]\right) \exp\left[-\int_{t_0}^t a(u) du\right] ds \\ & + (a^0m\tau + b^0)\sup_{s \leq t} |\dot{y}(s)|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Rearranging the terms in (3.17),

$$\begin{aligned} [1 - (a^0m\tau + b^0)]\sup_{s \leq t} \left(|\dot{y}(s)| \exp\left[\int_{t_0}^s a(u) du\right]\right) \\ \leq a^0 |y(t_0)| + a^0(a^0m\tau + b^0)\int_{t_0}^t \left(\sup_{u \leq s} |\dot{y}(u)| \exp\left[\int_{t_0}^u a(v) dv\right]\right) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

By the Gronwall-Bellman inequality, from (3.18) we derive

$$\sup_{s \leq t} \left(|\dot{y}(s)| \exp\left[\int_{t_0}^s a(u) du\right]\right) \leq \left[\frac{a^0 |y(t_0)|}{1 - (a^0m\tau + b^0)}\right] \exp\left(\frac{a^0(a^0m\tau + b^0)}{1 - (a^0m\tau + b^0)}(t - t_0)\right). \tag{3.19}$$

It is easily seen from (3.19) that

$$|\dot{y}(t)| \leq \left[\frac{a^0 |y(t_0)|}{1 - (a^0m\tau + b^0)}\right] \exp\left[\left(\frac{a^0(a^0m\tau + b^0)}{1 - (a^0m\tau + b^0)} - a_0\right)(t - t_0)\right], \tag{3.20}$$

which implies by (3.12) that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \dot{y}(t) = 0, \tag{3.21}$$

the convergence in (3.21) being exponential. The fact that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$$

follows from (3.21) and (3.10). This completes the proof.

We conclude with the following observation. If $c(t) = 0$ and τ is sufficiently small then (1.3) becomes (1.2) and (1.2) has a globally attracting positive periodic solution (for details see [6]). The authors believe that if $|c(t)|$ is sufficiently small for all $t \geq 0$ then (1.3) will have a globally attracting periodic solution; we note that even in the autonomous case (see [2]) it has not been possible to establish the above intuitively expected global attractivity result. This aspect of our conjecture is open for further investigation.

REFERENCES

1. L. E. El'sgol'ts and S. B. Norkin, *Introduction to the theory and application of differential equations with deviating arguments* (Academic Press, 1973).
2. K. Gopalsamy and B. G. Zhang, On a neutral delay logistic equation, *Dynamic Stability Systems* **2** (1988), 183–195.
3. A. Halanay, *Differential equations; stability, oscillations and time lags* (Academic Press, 1965), 377–383.
4. V. B. Kolmanovskii and V. R. Nosov, *Stability of functional differential equations* (Academic Press, 1986).
5. T. Yoshizawa, *Stability theory and the existence of periodic solutions and almost periodic solutions*, Applied Mathematical Sciences 14 (Springer-Verlag, 1975).
6. B. G. Zhang and K. Gopalsamy, Global attractivity and oscillations in a periodic delay logistic equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **150** (1990), 274–283.

K. Gopalsamy
SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCIENCE
FLINDERS UNIVERSITY
BEDFORD PARK
S.A. 5042
AUSTRALIA

Xue-Zhong He
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
NINGXIA UNIVERSITY
YINCHUAN
P.R. CHINA

Lizhi Wen
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY
P.R. CHINA