

## MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS FOR $p(x)$ -LAPLACIAN EQUATIONS WITH NONLINEARITY SUBLINEAR AT ZERO

SHIBO LIU 

(Received 21 November 2023; accepted 6 December 2023; first published online 29 January 2024)

### Abstract

We consider the Dirichlet problem for  $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations of the form

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}u + b(x)|u|^{p(x)-2}u = f(x, u), \quad u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega).$$

The odd nonlinearity  $f(x, u)$  is  $p(x)$ -sublinear at  $u = 0$  but the related limit need not be uniform for  $x \in \Omega$ . Except being subcritical, no additional assumption is imposed on  $f(x, u)$  for  $|u|$  large. By applying Clark's theorem and a truncation method, we obtain a sequence of solutions with negative energy and approaching the zero function  $u = 0$ .

2020 Mathematics subject classification: primary 35J60; secondary 35D05.

Keywords and phrases:  $p(x)$ -Laplacian, Clark's theorem, truncation,  $(PS)_c$  condition.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded smooth domain,  $p : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be Lipschitz continuous and

$$1 < p_- := \inf_{x \in \Omega} p(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega} p(x) =: p_+ < N. \quad (1.1)$$

We consider the Dirichlet problem for the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u + b(x)|u|^{p(x)-2}u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\Delta_{p(x)}u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u)$  is the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian of  $u$  and  $b \in L^{N/p(x)}(\Omega)$ . The definition of the space  $L^{N/p(x)}(\Omega)$  is given in the next section. Note that  $b$  can be sign-changing. Let

$$p^*(x) = \frac{Np(x)}{N - p(x)}.$$



We assume the following conditions on the nonlinearity  $f(x, u)$ :

( $f_1$ )  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the Carathéodory condition and

$$|f(x, t)| \leq C_1 + C_2|t|^{q(x)-1} \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R},$$

where  $q \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $1 < q(x) < p^*(x)$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ ;

( $f_2$ ) there is a ball  $B_r(a) \subset \Omega$  such that

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x, t)}{|t|^{p_-}} = +\infty \quad \text{for almost every (a.e.) } x \in B_r(a), \text{ where } F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, \cdot). \quad (1.3)$$

When  $p(x) \equiv 2$  (thus  $p_- = 2$ ) and  $f(x, \cdot)$  is sublinear at zero, then (1.3) holds with  $p_- = 2$ . For this reason, we say that our problem (1.2) is  $p(x)$ -sublinear at zero. We emphasise that the limit (1.3) is a pointwise limit, while condition ( $f_1$ ) means that the nonlinearity  $f(x, u)$  is subcritical. Under these mild conditions, we shall prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Suppose that the conditions ( $f_1$ ) and ( $f_2$ ) hold. If  $f(x, \cdot)$  is odd for all  $x \in \Omega$ , then (1.2) has a sequence of solutions  $u_n$  such that  $\Phi(u_n) \leq 0$ ,  $\Phi(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ ; where  $\Phi$  is the energy functional given in (3.1).*

This theorem generalises a recent result of He and Wu [5], where the semilinear case  $p(x) \equiv 2$ , namely

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + b(x)u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

is considered assuming  $b \in L^{N/2}(\Omega)$  and  $f(x, u)$  is subcritical. In particular, He and Wu assumed the pointwise limit

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x, t)}{|t|^2} = +\infty \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega. \quad (1.5)$$

However, in their argument, to verify the condition (1.6) in Proposition 1.2 below, they need the inequality

$$F(x, t) \geq c_k^{-2}|t|^2 \quad \text{for } |t| \leq r \text{ and a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

This could not be true unless the limit (1.5) holds *uniformly*. In the proof of our Theorem 1.1, we fill this gap (see Lemma 3.4) and generalise their result to the quasilinear variable exponent case. Moreover, the verification of the  $(PS)_c$  condition, which is crucial for applying variational methods, has been greatly simplified (see Remark 3.3).

Both [5] and our result are based on a new version of Clark's theorem recently proved by Liu and Wang [8]. Our Theorem 1.1 is motivated by [5].

**PROPOSITION 1.2** [8, Theorem 1.1]. *Let  $W$  be a Banach space and  $\Phi \in C^1(W, \mathbb{R})$  be an even coercive functional satisfying the  $(PS)_c$  condition for  $c \leq 0$  and  $\Phi(0) = 0$ . If for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there are a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $W_k$  and  $\delta_k > 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{W_k \cap S_{\delta_k}} \Phi < 0, \tag{1.6}$$

where  $S_r = \{u \in W : \|u\| = r\}$  for  $r > 0$ , then  $\Phi$  has a sequence of critical points  $u_k \neq 0$  such that  $\Phi(u_k) \leq 0, u_k \rightarrow 0$ .

Variable exponent variational problems appear in many applications (see [2, 6, 9]). In particular, there has been great interest in elliptic boundary value problems involving the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian in the last two decades. In [7], a sequence of negative energy solutions of the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian equation in (1.2) subject to a nonlinear boundary condition is obtained; in addition to  $(f_1)$  and  $(f_2)$ , it is assumed that (1.3) holds uniformly for  $x \in \Omega$  and that the nonlinearity is  $p(x)$ -sublinear at infinity. In [10], the existence of positive solutions of (1.2) with concave and convex nonlinearities is studied via Nehari’s method. For other recent results, we refer to [11] for  $p(x)$ -Laplacian systems and to [1] for  $(p(x), q(x))$ -Laplacian problems.

### 2. Variable exponent spaces

To study the problem (1.2), we recall the variable exponent Lebesgue space and Sobolev space (see [4] for more details). For a Lipschitz continuous function  $p : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying (1.1), let

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : u \text{ is measurable and } \int |u|^{p(x)} < \infty \right\}.$$

Here and below, all integrals are taken over  $\Omega$ . Equipped with the Luxemburg norm,

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int \left| \frac{u}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} \leq 1 \right\},$$

$L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  becomes a separable uniformly convex Banach space.

The variable exponent Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$  is the completion of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  under the norm

$$\|u\| = \|\nabla u\|_{p(x)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int \left| \frac{\nabla u}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} \leq 1 \right\},$$

which is also a separable uniformly convex Banach space.

From now on, we denote  $W = W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ . The functional  $\rho : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\rho(u) = \int \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)}$$

is crucial for investigating  $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations like (1.2).

**LEMMA 2.1** [3, Theorem 3.1]. *The functional  $\rho$  is of class  $C^1$ . Moreover, the functional  $\rho' : W \rightarrow W^*$  is of type  $(S_+)$ . Thus, if  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $W$  and*

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \rho'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0,$$

*then  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $W$ .*

From the definition of the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , it is easy to see that:

(1) if  $\|u\| \geq 1$ , then

$$\|u\|^{p_-} \leq \int |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \leq \|u\|^{p_+};$$

(2) if  $\|u\| \leq 1$ , then

$$\|u\|^{p_+} \leq \int |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \leq \|u\|^{p_-}.$$

The following lemma is an easy consequence because  $p_- \leq p(x) \leq p_+$ .

**LEMMA 2.2**

(1) If  $\|u\| \geq 1$ , then

$$\frac{1}{p_+} \|u\|^{p_-} \leq \rho(u) \leq \frac{1}{p_-} \|u\|^{p_+};$$

(2) if  $\|u\| \leq 1$ , then

$$\frac{1}{p_+} \|u\|^{p_+} \leq \rho(u) \leq \frac{1}{p_-} \|u\|^{p_-}.$$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For the variable exponent Sobolev space  $W = W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ , it is well known that weak solutions of (1.2) are precisely critical points of the  $C^1$ -functional  $\Phi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\Phi(u) = \int \left( \frac{1}{p(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + b(x)|u|^{p(x)}) \right) - \int F(x, u). \quad (3.1)$$

At first glance, because  $b$  may be sign-changing, the principle part (the first term) of  $\Phi$  appears to be indefinite. We observe that if we set

$$\tilde{f}(x, t) = f(x, t) - b(x)|t|^{p(x)-2}t,$$

then the problem (1.2) becomes

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)} u = \tilde{f}(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

in which the new nonlinearity  $\tilde{f}(x, u)$  satisfies the *same* conditions  $(f_1)$  and  $(f_2)$ , and

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{F}(x, t)}{|t|^{p_-}} = \lim_{|t| \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{F(x, t)}{|t|^{p_-}} - \frac{b(x)}{p(x)} \frac{|t|^{p(x)}}{|t|^{p_-}} \right) = \lim_{|t| \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x, t)}{|t|^{p_-}} = +\infty$$

for almost every  $x \in B_r(a)$ , because  $p(x) \geq p_-$ . Here,  $\tilde{F}(x, t) = \int_0^t \tilde{f}(x, \cdot)$ .

In other words, to prove Theorem 1.1, it suffices to consider the case  $b(x) = 0$ . The reason that we state our problem (1.2) with the term  $b(x)|u|^{p(x)-2}u$  is to allow comparison with the results of [5, 7, 10].

Therefore, in what follows, we assume  $b(x) = 0$  so that the functional given in (3.1) becomes  $\Phi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\Phi(u) = \rho(u) - \int F(x, u) = \int \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} - \int F(x, u),$$

whose critical points are solutions of (1.2) with  $b(x) = 0$ . To prove Theorem 1.1, we shall apply Proposition 1.2 to find a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  of critical points for  $\Phi$ .

Since we have not assumed any conditions on the nonlinearity  $f(x, t)$  for  $|t|$  large (except the subcritical growth condition  $(f_1)$ ), it is not possible to verify the  $(PS)_c$  condition for  $\Phi$ . To overcome this difficulty, we adopt the truncation method of He and Wu [5].

Let  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a decreasing  $C^\infty$ -function such that  $|\phi'(t)| \leq 2$ ,

$$\phi(t) = 1 \text{ for } t \in [0, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(t) = 0 \text{ for } t \in [2, \infty).$$

We consider the truncated functional  $\Psi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\Psi(u) = \rho(u) - \phi(\rho(u)) \int F(x, u).$$

The derivative of  $\Psi$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi'(u), v \rangle &= \langle \rho'(u), v \rangle - \phi(\rho(u)) \int f(x, u)v - \left( \int F(x, u) \right) \phi'(\rho(u)) \langle \rho'(u), v \rangle \\ &= \left( 1 - \left( \int F(x, u) \right) \phi'(\rho(u)) \right) \langle \rho'(u), v \rangle - \phi(\rho(u)) \int f(x, u)v \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

for  $u, v \in W$ .

**LEMMA 3.1.** *The functional  $\Psi$  is coercive.*

**PROOF.** We note that by Lemma 2.2, for  $\|u\| \geq 1 + (2p_+)^{1/p_-}$ ,

$$\rho(u) \geq \frac{1}{p_+} \|u\|^{p_-} \geq 2.$$

Hence,  $\phi(\rho(u)) = 0$  and

$$\Psi(u) = \rho(u) \geq \frac{1}{p_+} \|u\|^{p_-}.$$

This implies that  $\Psi$  is coercive. □

**LEMMA 3.2.** *The functional  $\Psi$  satisfies  $(PS)_c$  for  $c \leq 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a  $(PS)_c$  sequence of  $\Psi$  with  $c \leq 0$ , that is,  $\Psi(u_n) \rightarrow c$ ,  $\Psi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Then for  $n$  large,

$$-\phi(\rho(u_n)) \int F(x, u_n) = \Psi(u_n) - \rho(u_n) \leq \frac{1}{2} - \rho(u_n). \quad (3.3)$$

We claim that

$$1 - \left( \int F(x, u_n) \right) \phi'(\rho(u_n)) \geq 1. \quad (3.4)$$

For this purpose, we consider two cases. If  $\rho(u_n) < 1$ , then  $\phi'(\rho(u_n)) = 0$  and (3.4) is an equality. If  $\rho(u_n) \geq 1$ , then the right-hand side of (3.3) is negative. Noting  $\phi(\rho(u_n)) \geq 0$ , we have

$$\int F(x, u_n) \geq 0. \quad (3.5)$$

So we also have (3.4) because  $\phi'(\rho(u_n)) \leq 0$ .

The coerciveness of  $\Psi$  implies that the  $(PS)_c$  sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $W$ . We may assume that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $W$ . Since  $f$  is subcritical (condition  $(f_1)$ ), by the compact embedding  $W \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ , Hölder's inequality and the boundedness of the Nemytsky operator

$$\mathcal{N}_f : L^{q(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{q(x)/(q(x)-1)}(\Omega), \quad (\mathcal{N}_f u)(x) = f(x, u(x)),$$

(as shown in [4]), it is well known that up to a subsequence,

$$\left| \int f(x, u_n)(u_n - u) \right| \leq 2 \|f(x, u_n)\|_{q(x)/(q(x)-1)} \|u_n - u\|_{q(x)} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Setting  $v = u_n - u$  in (3.2), from  $\langle \Psi'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0$ , (3.6) and the boundedness of  $\phi(\rho(u_n))$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( 1 - \left( \int F(x, u_n) \right) \phi'(\rho(u_n)) \right) \langle \rho'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \\ & = \langle \Psi'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle + \phi(\rho(u_n)) \int f(x, u_n)(u_n - u) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

We deduce from this and (3.4) that

$$\langle \rho'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $W$ . □

**REMARK 3.3.** Although our problem (1.2) is much more general than the problem (1.4) considered in [5], our verification of the  $(PS)_c$  condition is much simpler than in [5], where the convergence of  $\{u_n\}$  is deduced by estimating  $\|u_n - u\|^2$  by the sum of  $\langle \Psi'(u_n) - \Psi'(u), u_n - u \rangle$  and four additional complicated terms (see [5, (2.20)]). The key points in our proof are the  $(S_+)$  property of  $\rho'$  and the observation (3.4).

We should also point out that the verification of  $(PS)_c$  for  $c = 0$  in [5] contains a gap. For the  $(PS)_0$  sequence  $\{u_n\}$ , [5, (2.19)] is derived from  $2\Psi(u_n) - \|u_n\|^2 \leq 0$ . However, this may be false because  $\Psi(u_n)$  may be positive, even though  $\Psi(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

**LEMMA 3.4.** *For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $W_k$  of  $W$  and  $\delta_k > 0$ , such that*

$$\sup_{W_k \cap S_{\delta_k}} \Psi < 0.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $X = \{u \in W : \text{supp } u \subset B_r(a)\}$ ,  $W_k$  be a  $k$ -dimensional subspace of  $X$ . If the result is not true then, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sup_{W_k \cap S_{1/n}} \Psi \geq 0.$$

This implies that there is a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset W_k \cap S_{1/n}$ , such that

$$\|u_n\| = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0, \quad \Psi(u_n) \geq -\frac{1}{n^{p_-}}. \tag{3.8}$$

Since all norms on  $W_k$  are equivalent, from  $\|u_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , we deduce  $|u_n|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ .

Let  $\eta : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  be defined by

$$\eta(x) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, u_n(x))}{\|u_n\|^{p_-}}.$$

Then  $\eta$  is measurable. For  $x \in B_r(a)$ , from the *pointwise* limit (1.3) in  $(f_2)$ , there is  $r_x > 0$  such that  $F(x, t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [-r_x, r_x]$ . Hence, if  $n \gg 1$ , then  $|u_n|_\infty \leq r_x$  and  $F(x, u_n(x)) \geq 0$ , and so  $\eta(x) \geq 0$  for a.e.  $x \in B_r(a)$ . Consequently,  $\eta(x) \geq 0$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , because  $\text{supp } u_n \subset B_r(a)$ .

Let  $v_n = \|u_n\|^{-1}u_n$ . Since  $\dim W_k < \infty$ , we have  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $W_k$  for some  $v \in W_k$ , note that  $\|v\| = 1$ . For  $x \in \{v \neq 0\}$ , using (1.3) again,

$$\eta(x) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, u_n(x))}{\|u_n\|^{p_-}} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, u_n(x))}{|u_n(x)|^{p_-}} |v_n(x)|^{p_-} = +\infty.$$

By Fatou’s lemma, since  $\{v \neq 0\}$  has positive Lebesgue measure,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{F(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^{p_-}} \geq \int \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^{p_-}} = \int \eta \geq \int_{v \neq 0} \eta = +\infty. \tag{3.9}$$

Because  $\|u_n\| \leq 1$ , we have (see Lemma 2.2)

$$\rho(u_n) \leq \frac{1}{p_-} \|u_n\|^{p_-} \leq 1.$$

Thus,  $\phi(\rho(u_n)) = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(u_n) &= \Phi(u_n) = \rho(u_n) - \int F(x, u_n) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p_-} \|u_n\|^{p_-} - \int F(x, u_n) \\ &= \|u_n\|^{p_-} \left( \frac{1}{p_-} - \int \frac{F(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^{p_-}} \right) = \frac{1}{n^{p_-}} \left( \frac{1}{p_-} - \int \frac{F(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^{p_-}} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Now, from (3.9), we deduce  $n^{p_-} \Psi(u_n) \rightarrow -\infty$ , contradicting (3.8).  $\square$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.** Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4 permit us to apply Proposition 1.2, and deduce that  $\Psi$  has a sequence of critical points  $u_k \neq 0$  such that  $\Psi(u_k) < 0$  and  $u_k \rightarrow 0$  in  $W$ . For some  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $k \geq K$ ,

$$\rho(u_k) \leq \frac{1}{p_-} \|u_k\|^{p_-} < 1.$$

Since  $\Psi(u) = \Phi(u)$  for  $u \in \rho^{-1}[0, 1)$ , we see that  $u_k$  with  $k \geq K$  are critical points of  $\Phi$  as well, satisfying  $\Phi(u_k) < 0$  and  $u_k \rightarrow 0$  in  $W$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 3.5.** Liu and Wang [8, Theorem 3.1] treat the case in which  $p(x)$  is a constant  $p > 1$ . Assuming that  $f(x, \cdot)$  is odd *only* in  $(-\delta, \delta)$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , and

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x, t)}{|t|^p} = +\infty \quad (3.10)$$

*uniformly* on some small ball  $B_r(x_0) \subset \Omega$ , a sequence of negative energy solutions approaching zero is obtained. Liu and Wang truncated the nonlinearity  $f(x, t)$  for  $|t| > \delta/2$ , resulting in a new nonlinearity  $\hat{f}(x, t) = 0$  for  $|t| > \delta$ . Then Proposition 1.2 is applied to get a sequence of solutions  $u_n$  for the truncated problem. Since  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , a regularity argument then yields  $\|u_n\|_\infty < \delta/2$  for large  $n$ . Such  $u_n$  are then solutions of the original problem.

To the best of our knowledge, a suitable  $L^\infty$ -regularity theory is not available for the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator and, at present, we can only deal with the case in which  $f(x, \cdot)$  is globally odd and subcritical, as we have done in Theorem 1.1. Our argument in proving Lemma 3.4 can be used to slightly improve [8, Theorem 3.1], requiring only that the limit (3.10) holds pointwise in  $B_r(x_0)$ .

## References

- [1] A. Chinnì, A. Sciammetta and E. Tornatore, ‘Existence of non-zero solutions for a Dirichlet problem driven by  $(p(x), q(x))$ -Laplacian’, *Appl. Anal.* **101**(15) (2022), 5323–5333.
- [2] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö and M. Růžička, *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2017 (Springer, Heidelberg, 2011).
- [3] X. Fan and Q. Zhang, ‘Existence of solutions for  $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem’, *Nonlinear Anal.* **52**(8) (2003), 1843–1852.
- [4] X. Fan and D. Zhao, ‘On the spaces  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ ’, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **263**(2) (2001), 424–446.
- [5] W. He and Q. Wu, ‘Multiplicity results for sublinear elliptic equations with sign-changing potential and general nonlinearity’, *Bound. Value Probl.* **2020** (2020), Article no. 159, 9 pages.



- [6] V. V. Jikov, S. M. Kozlov and O. A. Oleĭnik, *Homogenization of Differential Operators and Integral Functionals* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994). Translated from the Russian by G. A. Yosifian.
- [7] S. Liang and J. Zhang, 'Infinitely many small solutions for the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator with nonlinear boundary conditions', *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **192**(1) (2013), 1–16.
- [8] Z. Liu and Z.-Q. Wang, 'On Clark's theorem and its applications to partially sublinear problems', *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **32**(5) (2015), 1015–1037.
- [9] M. Růžička, *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1748 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000).
- [10] S. Taarabti, 'Positive solutions for the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian: application of the Nehari method', *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S* **15**(1) (2022), 229–243.
- [11] L. Tavares and J. V. C. Sousa, 'Multiplicity results for a system involving the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator', *Appl. Anal.* **102**(5) (2023), 1271–1280.

SHIBO LIU, Department of Mathematics and Systems Engineering,  
Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne 32901, FL, USA  
e-mail: [sliu@fit.edu](mailto:sliu@fit.edu)