

A MEAN VALUE THEOREM FOR EXPONENTIAL SUMS

M. N. HUXLEY

(Received 27 July 1992; revised 5 November 1993)

Communicated by J. H. Loxton

Abstract

The exponential sum $S(x) = \sum e(f(m+x))$ has mean square size $O(M)$, when m runs through M consecutive integers, $f(x)$ satisfies bounds on the second and third derivatives, and x runs from 0 to 1.

1991 *Mathematics subject classification* (Amer. Math. Soc.): 11L07.

Let $f(x)$ be a real function satisfying

$$(1) \quad |f^{(r)}(x)| \leq B^r T/M^r$$

in the range $M \leq x \leq 2M$, for suitable parameters B and $T (\geq M)$. The exponential sum problem (see Graham and Kolesnik [2]) is to find sufficient conditions on $f(x)$ to ensure that the exponential sum

$$S = \sum_{m=M}^{2M-1} e(f(m))$$

(where $e(x) = \exp 2\pi i x$) has order of magnitude $S = O(M^{1/2} T^\epsilon)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$; the order of magnitude constant may depend on B and on ϵ . It is well-known that S has root mean square size \sqrt{M} , in the sense that for $a \geq 1$,

$$(2) \quad \int_a^{a+1} \left| \sum_M^{2M-1} e(tf(m)) \right|^2 dt = M + O\left(\frac{BM^2 \log M}{aT}\right),$$

provided that $|f'(x)| \geq T/BM$; the error term may be improved to $O(M^2/aT)$ using a theorem of Montgomery and Vaughan [1]. In this note we prove another mean value

theorem. We write $S(x) = \sum_M^{2M-1} e(f(m+x))$. This sum is approximately periodic, since if we write more generally

$$S(a, N, x) = \sum_{m=a}^{a+N-1} e(f(m+x)),$$

then there is a ‘Weyl shift’ identity for integers a and b :

$$(3) S(a, N, x + b) = S(a + b, N, x) = S(a, N, x) + S(a + N, b, x) - S(a, b, x).$$

In particular, $S(x + 1) = S(x) + O(1)$. The Fourier expansion of the periodic function which equals $S(x)$ for $0 < x < 1$ gives the Poisson summation formula for the original sum S .

THEOREM. *Let $f(x)$ satisfy (1) for $r = 2$ and 3 , and suppose that $f''(x)$ and $f^{(3)}(x)$ do not change sign, and that $|f''(x)| \geq T/B^2M^2$, for $M \leq x \leq 2M$. Then*

$$\int_0^1 |S(x)|^2 dx = M + O\left(\frac{B^2M^2 \log M}{T} + \frac{B^9M^4}{T^2}\right).$$

PROOF. We expand and integrate term by term. We have

$$\int_0^1 |S(x)|^2 dx = \int_0^1 \sum_m \sum_n e(f(m+x) - f(n+x)) dx.$$

The terms with $m = n$ each give 1. For $m \neq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \int_0^1 e(f(m+x) - f(n+x)) dx &= \left[\frac{e(f(m+x) - f(n+x))}{2\pi i (f'(m+x) - f'(n+x))} \right]_0^1 \\ &+ \int_0^1 \frac{e(f(m+x) - f(n+x))(f''(m+x) - f''(n+x))}{2\pi i (f'(m+x) - f'(n+x))^2} dx \\ &= \frac{e(f(m+1) - f(n+1))}{2\pi i (f'(m+1) - f'(n+1))} - \frac{e(f(m) - f(n))}{2\pi i (f'(m) - f'(n))} \\ &+ \left[\frac{e(f(m+x) - f(n+x))(f''(m+x) - f''(n+x))}{(2\pi i)^2 (f'(m+x) - f'(n+x))^3} \right]_0^1 \\ &+ \int_0^1 \frac{e(f(m+x) - f(n+x)) \left(3(f''(m+x) - f''(n+x))^2 \frac{f^{(3)}(m+x) - f^{(3)}(n+x)}{(f'(m+x) - f'(n+x))^4} \right)}{(2\pi i)^2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$f^{(r)}(m+x) - f^{(r)}(n+x) = (m-n)f^{(r+1)}(\xi)$$

for some ξ between M and $2M$, the absolute value of the integrand on the right of (4) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{|m-n|}{4\pi^2} (\max |f^{(3)}|) \frac{3|f''(m+x) - f''(n+x)|}{|f'(m+x) - f'(n+x)|^4} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \max |f^{(3)}| \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \frac{1}{|f'(m+x) - f'(n+x)|^3} \\ &\leq \frac{B^3 T}{4\pi^2 M^3} \left(|m-n| \left| \frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{|f'(m+x) - f'(n+x)|^3} \right| + \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \frac{1}{|f'(m+x) - f'(n+x)|^3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since f'' and $f^{(3)}(x)$ do not change sign, we see that $|f'(m+x) - f'(n+x)|^{-3}$ is monotone in x , and we may integrate over x to

$$\leq \frac{B^3 T}{2\pi^2 M^3} \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \frac{|m-n|}{|f'(m+x) - f'(n+x)|^3} \leq \frac{B^9 M^3}{2\pi^2 (m-n)^2 T^2}.$$

The sum over distinct m and n of $1/(m-n)^2$ is $O(M)$. The second set of integrated terms on the right of (4) has the same order of magnitude.

The first set of integrated terms cancels when summed over m and n , except for terms with $m = M, n = M, m + 1 = 2M$ or $n + 1 = 2M$. The uncanceled terms are

$$\begin{aligned} &O \left(\sum_{n=M+1}^{2M-1} \left(\frac{1}{|f'(n) - f'(M)|} + \frac{1}{|f'(2M) - f'(n)|} \right) \right) \\ &= O \left(\frac{B^2 M^2}{T} \sum_{n=M+1}^{2M-1} \left(\frac{1}{n-M} + \frac{1}{2M-n} \right) \right) = O \left(\frac{B^2 M^2 \log M}{T} \right). \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

For M close to T , the bound becomes trivial. However, for $M = O(T^{1-\epsilon})$ we can deduce $\int_0^1 |S(x)|^2 dx = (1 + o(1))M$ by an inductive method, which actually establishes, for b and N positive integers less than M ,

$$(5) \quad \int_0^b |S(a, N, x)|^2 dx = (1 + o(1))bN + O \left(\frac{bM^2 \log M}{T} \right).$$

The orders of magnitude of the error terms depend on B and on ϵ . The method of the theorem gives (5) for large integers b . For smaller integers b we take a multiple d of the integer b , so large that (5) is true with d in place of b . We use the Weyl shift (3) to relate the integral from 0 to b to the integral from cb to $(c+1)b$, for each c from 0 to $d/b - 1$. The two short correction sums in (3) are themselves estimated in mean square using the theorem or its generalisation (5). We find that (5) holds for a shorter

range of b . This process of taking integer multiples of the length b can be iterated until we extend the validity of (5) to all integers $b \geq 1$.

A different way to extend the theorem is to assume further differentiability, and integrate by parts several times. This reduces the error term $O(M^4/T^2)$.

Although (2) holds with arbitrary bounded coefficients in the terms of the sum, our theorem can be generalised only to coefficients $g(m)$ which are values of a differentiable function satisfying conditions analogous to (1), like the weight functions $g(x)$ which are of practical use in the Poisson summation formula.

It seems difficult to replace the range of integration 0 to 1 by a shorter range. The method above only gives a non-trivial estimate for the Fourier coefficient $\int_0^1 |S(x)|^2 e(hx) dx$ when the integer h is small.

It is a pleasure to thank the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research for the invitation to lecture on exponential sums which led me to consider this mean value.

References

- [1] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, 'Hilbert's inequality', *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **8** (1974), 73–82.
- [2] S. W. Graham and G. Kolesnik, *Van der Corput's method of exponential sums*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 126 (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991).

School of Mathematics
University of Wales College of Cardiff
23 Senghenydd Road
Cardiff, Wales CF2 4YH
UK