

TOPOLOGICAL LOCALIZATION, CATEGORY AND COCATEGORY

GRAHAM HILTON TOOMER

It is easy to see that a localization (in the sense of [9]) of a simply connected co H -space (equivalently a simply connected space of Liusternik-Schnirelman category one) is again a co H -space. (All spaces in this paper will be pointed and have the based homotopy type of a connected CW complex; and all maps will preserve base-points.) We show that the category of a simply connected space does not increase on localizing. We give an example to show that the hypothesis simple-connectivity is crucial. In strong contrast, the dual result only requires connectivity.

I would like to thank Professor I. Bernstein for suggesting the problem and for statements 5 and 6 below; and the referee for some helpful comments.

1. We first recall some properties of topological localization. Let l be a (possibly empty) set of primes contained in \mathbf{Z} , the integers; and let \mathbf{Z}_l denote the integers localized at the primes in l . (Thus $\mathbf{Z}_{\{0\}}$ is the rational numbers.)

Definition 1 [9]. A space Y is *local* if $\pi_*(Y)$ is local, i.e., $\pi_*(Y)$ is a \mathbf{Z}_l -module. A map $l: X \rightarrow X_l$ into a local space X_l is a *localization* of X if given any local space Y and a map

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y,$$

there is a *unique* map $f_l: X_l \rightarrow Y$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{l} & X_l \\ & \searrow f & \swarrow f_l \\ & & Y \end{array}$$

commute. We refer the reader to [9] for the construction and properties of $l: X \rightarrow X_l$. In particular, localizations always exist for simply connected spaces.

There are three well-known equivalent definitions of category for connected CW complexes: one in terms of open coverings, one in terms of closed coverings and one in terms of the “fat wedge”. Since it is not clear how localization behaves with respect to coverings or fat wedges we adopt another approach.

Received September 7, 1973 and in revised form, February 12, 1974. This research was partially supported by NSF Grant GP-33960X.

LEMMA 2 [3]. *There is a sequence of fibrations*

$$F_n(X) \hookrightarrow E_n(X) \xrightarrow{\gamma_n(X)} X,$$

defined for any space X , such that

- (i) $\gamma_0(X)$ is the standard path fibration over X ;
- (ii) if X is a path-connected CW complex, $\gamma_n(X)$ has a cross section if, and only if $\text{cat } X \leq n$; (We take $\text{cat } * = 0$.)
- (iii) for any space X , $\text{Cat } E_n(X) \leq n$ where Cat denotes the strong Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of a space [3];
- (iv) $F_n(X) \simeq \Omega X * \Omega X * \dots * \Omega X$ ($n + 1$ fold join), and hence is $(k(n + 1) - 2)$ -connected if X is $(k - 1)$ -connected, $k \geq 2$. (In fact $\gamma_n(X)$ is obtained from $\gamma_{n-1}(X)$ as follows: Let $E_n(X)$ result from $E_{n-1}(X)$ by erecting a reduced cone over $F_{n-1}(X)$, and $\gamma_{n-1}(X)$ is extended by mapping the cone to a point. Converting this map into a homotopy equivalent fibration, we get $\gamma_n(X)$.)

We will exhibit a map f_l so that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_n(X)_l & \xrightarrow{f_l} & E_n(X_l) \\ & \searrow \gamma_n(X)_l & \swarrow \gamma_n(X_l) \\ & & X_l \end{array}$$

homotopy commutes. Then if $\text{cat } X \leq n$, $\gamma_n(X)$ and hence $\gamma_n(X_l)$ has a section. Thus $E_n(X_l)$ dominates X_l and so by [1, 2.4], $\text{cat } X_l \leq \text{cat } E_n(X_l)$. Ganea [3] has shown that for any space Y , $\text{cat } Y \leq \text{Cat } Y$, and hence by (iii) above

$$\text{cat } X_l \leq \text{Cat } E_n(X_l) \leq n.$$

PROPOSITION 3. *Suppose X is a simply connected space.*

- (i) $l: X \rightarrow X_l$ induces a map $E_n(l): E_n(X) \rightarrow E_n(X_l)$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_n(X) & \xrightarrow{E_n(l)} & E_n(X_l) \\ \gamma_n(X) \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_n(X_l) \\ X & \xrightarrow{l} & X_l \end{array}$$

commutes.

- (ii) $E_n(X)$ is simply connected for each $n \geq 0$; and $E_n(X_l)$ is a local space.
- (iii) There is a map $f_l: E_n(X)_l \rightarrow E_n(X_l)$ such that diagram (A) above commutes.

Proof. (i) This is a routine check.

- (ii) The first part is true since we have a fibration $F_n(X) \hookrightarrow E_n(X) \rightarrow X$ with $F_n(X)$ at least 2-connected by Lemma 2 (iv).

To see that $E_n(X_l)$ is a local space, we need only show that the fibre $F_n(X_l)$ is local [9, Chapter 2]; and this follows inductively from the following short exact sequence of Švarc [10]:

$$0 \rightarrow \sum_{i+j=r-1} \tilde{H}_i(A) \otimes \tilde{H}_j(B) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_r(A * B) \rightarrow \sum_{i+j=r-2} \tilde{H}_i(A) \otimes \tilde{H}_j(B) \rightarrow 0$$

(for if any two terms are local, so is the third by [9, Chapter 1].) The induction begins at $n = 0$ since X_l is local and ΩX_l is the fibre of $P(X_l, *) \rightarrow X_l$ and hence is local by [9, Chapter 2].

(iii) This is an easy consequence of the universality of Sullivan’s construction stated in Definition 1, and (i) and (ii) above. (We note that an easy induction shows that f_l induces an isomorphism of \mathbf{Z}_l -homology and since $E_n(X_l)$ is local and simply connected, f_l will be a homotopy equivalence.)

We can thus state

THEOREM 4. *If X_l is a localization of a simply connected space X then $\text{cat } X_l \leq \text{cat } X$.*

It follows from [9] that the rationalization, $X_{(0)}$, of X is a localization of X_l for any l , and so $\text{cat } X_{(0)} \leq \text{cat } X_l \leq \text{cat } X$. Peter Hilton has raised (in private communication) the question of when $\text{cat } X$ is the supremum of $\text{cat } X_p$ over all primes p . We remark that X has to be simply connected. For, Ganea has pointed out in [6] that the Eilenberg MacLane space $K(\mathbf{Q}, 1)$ has category two, and $K(\mathbf{Q}, 1)$ is clearly the rationalization of $K(\mathbf{Z}, 1) = S^1$. Thus Theorem 4 is false for non-simply connected spaces.

2. In [2], Bousfield and Kan have extended the theory of localization to apply to spaces X for which the action of $\pi_1(X)$ on each $\pi_n(X)$ is nilpotent—see [2, p. 58] for the definition; and have characterized nilpotent spaces as those spaces for which each stage of its Postnikov system can be refined to a finite composition of principal fibrations with abelian fibre [2, III. 5.3].

We refer the reader to [4] for the definition of cocategory. James [7] and Ganea [4; 5] have shown that H -spaces are precisely the spaces of cocategory one. Since the action of $\pi_1(X)$ on $\pi_*(X)$ is trivial for an H -space, it follows that $\text{cocat } X \leq 1$ implies that the action of $\pi_1(X)$ on $\pi_*(X)$ is nilpotent. More generally,

THEOREM 5 (Berstein). *If $\text{cocat } X < \infty$, then for each n the action of $\pi_1(X)$ on $\pi_n(X)$ is nilpotent.*

Proof. Ganea [5, 3.14] showed that if X_m denotes the m th stage of a Postnikov system for X , then $\text{cocat } X_m \leq \text{cocat } X$. The result therefore follows from

PROPOSITION 6 (Berstein). *The action of $\pi_1(X)$ on $\pi_*(X)$ is nilpotent if, and only if for each m $\text{cocat } X_m < \infty$, where X_m denotes the m^{th} stage of a Postnikov system for X .*

Proof. Since the action may be written in terms of Whitehead products, and the Whitehead product length is always bounded above by the cocategory [4, 3.17], the sufficiency is clear. Conversely, if the action is nilpotent, the action of $\pi_1(X_m) = \pi_1(X)$ on $\pi_*(X_m)$ is nilpotent and thus the m th stage Postnikov system may be refined into a finite tower of principal fibrations. Hence by [4, 6.3], $\text{cocat } X_m < \infty$.

We now outline the proof of the dual of Theorem 4, viz.

THEOREM 7. *If $\text{cocat } X \leq k$, and X_l denotes a localization of X , then $\text{cocat } X_l \leq k$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5, X_l makes sense. Ganea [4] has defined a sequence of cofibrations $X \hookrightarrow F_k(X) \rightarrow B_k(X)$ satisfying the duals of Lemma 2 (i), (ii) and (iii). (Warning: the dual of (iv) is false—see [4, Remark 3.5].) Nevertheless, $F_k(X_l)$ is a local space, and we can construct a map $f_l: F_k(X_l) \rightarrow F_k(X_l)$ as before; and it is easy to see that f_l induces isomorphisms of homology groups. Now $\pi_1(F_k(X)) = 0$ by [4, 6.9], and so by [9, Chapter 2], f_l is a homotopy equivalence of local spaces.

REFERENCES

1. I. Bernstein and P. J. Hilton, *Category and generalised Hopf invariants*, Illinois J. Math. **4** (1960), 437–451.
2. A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*, Springer Lectures Notes No. 304.
3. T. Ganea, *Liusternik-Schnirelmann category and strong category*, Illinois J. Math. **11** (1967), 417–427.
4. ——— *A generalization of the homology and homotopy suspension*, Comment. Math. Helv. **39** (1967), 295–322.
5. ——— *Sur quelques invariants numeriques du type d'homotopie*, Thèse, Faculté des Sciences de Paris, Mai, 1962.
6. ——— *Some problems on numerical homotopy invariants*, Springer Lecture Notes No. 249.
7. I. M. James, *Reduced product spaces*, Ann. of Math. **62** (1955), 170–197.
8. J.-P. Serre, *Homologie singulière des espaces fibres, Applications*, Ann. of Math. **54** (1951), 425–505.
9. D. Sullivan, *Geometric topology, Part I*, M.I.T., mimeographed notes, June, 1970.
10. A. S. Švarc, *The genus of a fibre space*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **55** (1966), 49–140.

*Cornell University,
Ithaca, New York;
Ohio State University,
Columbus, Ohio*