

CHARACTERIZATION OF RELATIVE DOMINATION PRINCIPLE

ISAO HIGUCHI AND MASAYUKI ITÔ

1. Introduction

Let X be a locally compact and σ -compact Abelian group and ξ be the Haar measure of X . A positive Radon measure N on X is called a convolution kernel when we regard it as a kernel of potentials of convolution type. M. Itô [4], [6] characterized the convolution kernel which satisfies the domination principle. The purpose of this paper is to characterize the relative domination principle for the convolution kernels. We call $x \in X$ a period of a real Radon measure μ on X if $\mu * \varepsilon_x = \mu$ holds, where ε_x is the unit mass at x , and denote by $p(\mu)$ the set of all periods of μ . We shall prove the following result:

Let N_1 be a convolution kernel of Hunt on X and $N_2 (\neq 0)$ be a bounded convolution kernel on X . Then N_1 satisfies the relative domination principle with respect to N_2 if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied.

(1) There exist a positive measure $\mu (\neq 0)$ and a positive measure H on X such that

$$N_2 = N_1 * \mu + H$$

and $p(H)$ contains the support S_{N_1} of N_1 .

(2) N_1 is bounded and $p(N_2)$ contains S_{N_1} .

By virtue of this theorem, we shall obtain that the relative domination principle defines an order on the totality of bounded convolution kernels of Hunt on X .

2. Preliminaries

We denote by L_{loc} the family of real valued locally ξ -summable functions on X , by M_K the family of bounded functions of L_{loc} with

Received June 20, 1972.

compact support and by C_K the family of continuous functions of M_K . L_{loc}^+ , M_K^+ and C_K^+ are their subfamilies constituted by non-negative functions.

For a real Radon measure μ on X , $N*\mu$ is called a N -potential of μ when the convolution has a sense. If $N*\mu$ is ξ -absolutely continuous, we denote its density by $N\mu$. Particularly we write $N*\mu = N*f$ and $N\mu = Nf$ when $\mu = f\xi$ for $f \in L_{loc}$.

DEFINITION 1. Let N_1 and N_2 be convolution kernels on X . We say that N_1 satisfies the relative domination principle with respect to N_2 and write $N_1 < N_2$, when the following statement is true. If f and g are in M_K^+ and $N_1f \leq N_2g$ ξ -a.e. on $k(f) = \{x \in X; f(x) > 0\}$, then $N_1f \leq N_2g$ ξ -a.e. on X . We say, simply, that N satisfies the domination principle when $N < N$.

Remark 1. Let N be a convolution kernel on X satisfying the domination principle. Suppose that $N(f + g)$ has a sense for f and g in L_{loc}^+ and that $Nf + cf \leq Ng + cg$ ξ -a.e. on $k(f)$ for some constant $c > 0$. Then $Nf + c'f \leq Ng + c'g$ ξ -a.e. on X for any constant c' such that $0 \leq c' \leq c$ (cf. [5]).

DEFINITION 2. A convolution kernel N is said to be bounded if $N*\varphi(x)$ is bounded on X for any $\varphi \in C_K$ and it is said to be of positive type if $N*\varphi*\check{\varphi}(0) \geq 0$ for any $\varphi \in C_K$.

DEFINITION 3. A family $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of positive measures is said to be a vaguely continuous semi-group if

- (1) $\mu_t*\mu_s = \mu_{t+s}, \forall t \geq 0, \forall s \geq 0,$
- (2) $\mu_0 = \varepsilon$ (the Dirac measure),
- (3) $t \rightarrow \mu_t$ is vaguely continuous.

A convolution kernel $N (\neq 0)$ is called a Hunt kernel if there exists a vaguely continuous semi-group $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ such that $N = \int_0^\infty \mu_t dt$.

Remark 2. For a convolution kernel of Hunt, there exists a unique system $(N_p)_{p \geq 0}$ called the resolvent of N such that $N_0 = N$ and that

$$N_p - N_q = (q - p)N_p*N_q, \quad p \geq 0, q > 0.$$

By the above resolvent equation, we have

$$N + \frac{1}{p}\varepsilon = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{n=0}^\infty (pN_p)^n$$

for any $p > 0$ and hence N satisfies the domination principle.

DEFINITION 4. A convolution kernel N is said to be associated with the fundamental family Σ if there exists a fundamental system $V(0)$ of compact neighbourhoods of 0 such that with every $v \in V(0)$, we can associate a positive measure $\sigma_v \in \Sigma$ satisfying

- (1) $N \geq N * \sigma_v$ and $N \neq N * \sigma_v$,
- (2) $N = N * \sigma_v$ as a measure on Cv ,
- (3) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N * (\sigma_v)^n = 0$.

Remark 3. Let N be a convolution kernel of Hunt and $V(0)$ be the family of all compact neighbourhoods of 0 . J. Deny proved in [3] that for any $v \in V(0)$, there exists a balayaged measure σ_{cv} of ε on Cv with respect to N and that if we put $\Sigma = \{\sigma_{cv}; v \in V(0)\}$, then N is associated with the fundamental family Σ .

3. Relative balayaged measure

LEMMA 1. Let N_1 and $N_2 (\neq 0)$ be convolution kernels on X such that $N_1 < N_2$. Suppose that N_2 is bounded on X . Then N_1 is bounded on X .

Proof. For any $\varphi \in C_K^+$, $N_1 * \varphi(x)$ is bounded on S_φ and hence there exists $\psi \in C_K^+$ such that $N_1 * \varphi \leq N_2 * \psi$ on S_φ . The assumption $N_1 < N_2$ implies that $N_1 * \varphi \leq N_2 * \psi$ on X . This means that N_1 is bounded if N_2 is bounded.

Remark 4. Let N be a convolution kernel satisfying the domination principle. M. Itô [5] proved that the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) N is bounded.
- (2) N is of positive type.
- (3) For any positive measure ν with compact support and for any relatively compact open set ω , we denote by ν'_ω a balayaged measure of ν on ω with respect to N . Then $\int d\nu'_\omega \leq \int d\nu$.

Remark 5. To construct a relative balayaged measure, we use here the following existence theorem of M. Itô (see [6]).

Let N be a convolution kernel of positive type and u be a locally bounded ξ -measurable function on X . Then, for any compact set K and for any $c > 0$, there exists a unique element f_u of M_K^+ supported by K

such that

- (1) $Nf_u + cf_u \geq u$ ξ -a.e. on K ,
- (2) $Nf_u + cf_u = u$ ξ -a.e. on $k(f_u) = \{x \in X; f_u(x) > 0\}$.

LEMMA 2. *Let N_1 and N_2 be convolution kernels such that $N_1 < N_2$ and that N_1 is of positive type. Then, for any positive measure μ with compact support and for any relatively compact open set ω , there exists a positive measure μ'' supported by $\bar{\omega}$ such that*

- (1) $N_1 * \mu'' = N_2 * \mu$ as a measure in ω ,
- (2) $N_1 * \mu'' \leq N_2 * \mu$ as a measure in X ,
- (3) *If ν is a positive measure supported by $\bar{\omega}$ such that $N_1 * \nu \geq N_2 * \mu$ in ω , then $N_1 * \nu \geq N_1 * \mu''$ in X .*

Proof. If $f \in M_K^+$, $N_2 f$ is locally bounded and ξ -measurable and hence, by the above existence theorem, there exists $f'' \in M_K^+$ supported by $\bar{\omega}$ such that

- (1) $N_1 f'' + cf'' \geq N_2 f$ ξ -a.e. on $\bar{\omega}$,
- (2) $N_1 f'' + cf'' = N_2 f$ ξ -a.e. on $k(f'')$.

It is known that $N_1 < N_2$ if and only if $N_1 + c\varepsilon < N_2$ for any $c > 0$ (see [5]).

Therefore (1) and (2) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 f'' + cf'' &\leq N_2 f \quad \xi\text{-a.e. on } X, \\ N_1 f'' + cf'' &= N_2 f \quad \xi\text{-a.e. on } \bar{\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

By the ordinary limit process, we obtain a positive measure μ'' for μ having the desired properties (cf. [5]).

DEFINITION 5. In the above lemma, $N_1 * \mu''$ is uniquely determined but μ'' is not always uniquely determined. We call μ'' a relative balayaged measure of μ on ω with respect to (N_1, N_2) .

4. Characterization of relative domination principle

LEMMA 3. *Let N be a bounded convolution kernel of Hunt and σ_{cv} be a balayaged measure of ε on Cv for $v \in V(0)$ with respect to N . Then $\int dN < +\infty$ (resp. $\int dN = +\infty$) if and only if $\int d\sigma_{cv} < 1$ (resp. $\int d\sigma_{cv} = 1$) for every $v \in V(0)$.*

Proof. By Remark 3, N is associated with the fundamental family $\Sigma = \{\sigma_{cv}; v \in V(0)\}$. The boundedness of N means, by virtue of Remark 4,

that $\int d\sigma_{cv} \leq 1$ for every $v \in V(0)$. On the other hand, J. Deny [2] proved this lemma for the associated kernel with a fundamental family under the hypothesis that $\int d\sigma_{cv} \leq 1$ for every $v \in V(0)$. Therefore our assertion is true.

LEMMA 4. *Let N be a convolution kernel of Hunt. Then we have*

$$S_N = \overline{\bigcup \{S_{(\sigma_{cv})^n}; v \in V(0), n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}}$$

Proof. By the definition of σ_{cv} , we have

$$N \geq N * \sigma_{cv} \geq N * (\sigma_{cv})^2 \geq \dots \geq N * (\sigma_{cv})^n .$$

On the other hand, the fact that N satisfies the domination principle asserts that $0 \in S_N$. Accordingly, $S_N \supset S_{(\sigma_{cv})^n}$ for any v and for any integer $n > 0$ and hence

$$S_N \supset \overline{\bigcup \{S_{(\sigma_{cv})^n}\}} .$$

Next, we shall prove the inverse inclusion. Let $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ be a decreasing net of compact neighbourhoods of 0 such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} v_\alpha = \{0\}$. For any positive integer n , we have

$$N * (\varepsilon - \sigma_{cv_\alpha}) * \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} (\sigma_{cv_\alpha})^p = N - N * (\sigma_{cv_\alpha})^n .$$

By Remark 3 and by the property of fundamental family, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N * (\sigma_{cv_\alpha})^n = 0$$

and hence

$$N = N * (\varepsilon - \sigma_{cv_\alpha}) * \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (\sigma_{cv_\alpha})^p .$$

This means that

$$S_N \subset v_\alpha + \bigcup_n \{S_{(\sigma_{cv_\alpha})^n}\} \subset v_\alpha + \overline{\bigcup_{n,v} \{S_{(\sigma_{cv})^n}\}}$$

and hence

$$S_N \subset \overline{\bigcup_{n,v} \{S_{(\sigma_{cv})^n}\}} ,$$

because $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} v_\alpha = \{0\}$.

Consequently the equality holds.

THEOREM. *Let N_1 be a convolution kernel of Hunt on X and $N_2 (\neq 0)$ be a bounded convolution kernel on X . Then N_1 satisfies the relative domination principle with respect to N_2 if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied.*

(1) *There exist a positive measure $\mu (\neq 0)$ and a positive measure H on X such that*

$$N_2 = N_1 * \mu + H$$

and that $p(H)$ contains the support S_{N_1} of N_1 .

(2) *N_1 is bounded and $p(N_2)$ contains S_{N_1} .*

Proof. Necessity. For any relatively compact open set ω , we write μ_ω a relative balayaged measure of ε on ω with respect to (N_1, N_2) . The inequality $N_1 * \mu_\omega \leq N_2$ for any ω implies that $\{\mu_\omega\}$ is vaguely bounded as $\omega \uparrow X$ and hence there exists a positive measure μ such that $\mu_\omega \rightarrow \mu$ vaguely as $\omega \uparrow X$. If we put

$$H = N_2 - N_1 * \mu = \lim_{\omega \uparrow X} N_1 * \mu_\omega - N_1 * \mu,$$

then H is a positive measure on X . Therefore it is sufficient to prove the periodicity of H .

For any $v \in V(0)$, we denote by σ_{cv} a balayaged measure of ε on Cv with respect to the kernel N_1 (cf. Remark 3). Then we have

$$H * (\varepsilon - \sigma_{cv}) = \lim_{\omega \uparrow X} N_1 * (\mu_\omega - \mu) * (\varepsilon - \sigma_{cv}) = \lim_{\omega \uparrow X} N_1 * (\varepsilon - \sigma_{cv}) * (\mu_\omega - \mu) = 0,$$

and hence $H = H * \sigma_{cv} = H * (\sigma_{cv})^n$ for every $v \in V(0)$ and for every integer $n > 0$.

If $\int dN < +\infty$, then $\int d\sigma_{cv} < 1$ for every v (cf. Lemma 3) and hence $H = 0$, because $H = H * (\sigma_{cv})^n$ for every n .

If $\int dN = +\infty$, then $\int d\sigma_{cv} = 1$. Therefore, by virtue of the theorem of G. Choquet and J. Deny (see [1]), $p(H)$, the set of all periods of H , contains the support $S_{\sigma_{cv}}$ of σ_{cv} for every v . On the other hand, we have, by Lemma 4,

$$S_{N_1} = \overline{\bigcup \{S_{(\sigma_{cv})^n}; v \in V(0), n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}}.$$

consequently $p(H)$ contains S_{N_1} .

Sufficiency. If the condition (1) holds, N_1 and H are bounded, because N_2 is bounded and hence it is sufficient to prove that $N_1 < N_2$ under

the following hypothesis:

N_1 is bounded and there exist positive measures μ and H such that

$$N_2 = N_1 * \mu + H$$

and that $p(H)$ contains S_{N_1} .

N_1 being bounded, there exists a system $(N_p^{(1)})_{p \geq 0}$ of resolvent satisfying

$$\int p dN_p^{(1)} \leq 1 \quad (\forall p > 0), \quad N_0^{(1)} = N_1$$

and

$$N_p^{(1)} - N_q^{(1)} = (q - p)N_p^{(1)} * N_q^{(1)} \quad (\forall p \geq 0, \forall q > 0).$$

By the resolvent equation, we have

$$N_p^{(1)} + \frac{1}{q - p} \varepsilon = \frac{1}{q - p} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((q - p)N_q^{(1)})^n.$$

Accordingly, for any $p > 0$ and for $c > 0$, there exists a positive measure $\sigma_{p,c}$ such that

$$\int d\sigma_{p,c} < 1, \quad S_{\sigma_{p,c}} = S_{(N_p^{(1)} + c\varepsilon)} = S_{N_1}$$

and that

$$N_p^{(1)} + c\varepsilon = c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\sigma_{p,c})^n.$$

By the periodicity of H , we have

$$\frac{1}{c}(\varepsilon - \sigma_{p,c}) * H = \frac{1}{c} \left(1 - \int d\sigma_{p,c} \right) H \geq 0$$

and hence there exists a positive measure α satisfying

$$H = (N_p^{(1)} + c\varepsilon) * \alpha.$$

By the resolvent equation, there exists a positive measure β satisfying

$$N_1 = (N_p^{(1)} + c\varepsilon) * \beta.$$

Therefore, for some positive measure ν , N_2 can be written in the following form

$$N_2 = (N_p^{(1)} + c\varepsilon) * \nu.$$

We suppose, for f and g in M_K^+ , that

$$(N_p^{(1)} + c\varepsilon)f \leq N_2g = (N_p^{(1)} + c\varepsilon)(\nu * g) \quad \xi\text{-a.e.} \quad \text{on } k(f) .^{1)}$$

Then we have

$$(N_p^{(1)} + c\varepsilon)f \leq N_2g \quad \xi\text{-a.e.} \quad \text{on } X ,$$

because $(N_p^{(1)} + c\varepsilon)$ satisfies the domination principle (cf. Remark 1).
Therefore

$$(N_p^{(1)} + c\varepsilon) < N_2 .$$

p and c being arbitrary, we may conclude that $N_1 < N_2$ by the ordinary limit process.

Consequently the theorem is proved.

Let \mathcal{H}_b be the totality of bounded convolution kernels of Hunt on X . We denote $N_1 \sim N_2$ when N_1 is proportional to N_2 and $\mathcal{H}_b = \mathcal{H}_b / \sim$.

COROLLARY. *The relation $<$ is an order on \mathcal{H}_b .*

Proof. The reflexive law follows by the domination principle. Assume that $N_1 < N_2$ and $N_2 < N_1$ for $N_1, N_2 \in \mathcal{H}_b$. By our theorem, N_1 and N_2 can be written in the following forms

$$\begin{aligned} N_2 &= N_1 * \mu + H_1 , \\ N_1 &= N_2 * \nu + H_2 , \end{aligned}$$

where μ, ν, H_1 and H_2 are positive measures on X and $p(H_1) \supset S_{N_1}$, $p(H_2) \supset S_{N_2}$. If $\int dN_1 < +\infty$, then we may clearly choose a non-zero measure as μ . If $\int dN_1 = +\infty$ and $\mu = 0$, then $N_2 = N_2 * \varepsilon'_{1,cv}$ for any $v \in V(0)$, where $\varepsilon'_{1,cv}$ is a balayaged measure of ε on Cv with respect to N_1 . This contradicts to the unicity principle for N_2 .²⁾ Similarly, we may suppose $\nu \neq 0$. Therefore

$$N_1 = (N_1 * \mu) * \nu + H_1 * \nu + H_2 .$$

It is known that $\lim_{v \uparrow X} N_1 * \varepsilon'_{1,cv} = 0$ (cf. [6]) and hence

$$\lim_{v \uparrow X} H_1 * \nu * \varepsilon'_{1,cv} = 0 .$$

By $p(H_1) \supset S_{N_1}$, $H_1 * \nu = 0$ and hence $H_1 = 0$. Similarly $H_2 = 0$. Consequently

1) In this case $\nu * g$ means the density of $\nu * (g\xi)$.
2) This means that $\mu = \nu$ whenever $N * \mu = N * \nu$.

$$N_1 = (N_1 * \mu) * \nu .$$

For a compact set K in X , we denote by μ_K and ν_K the restrictions of μ and ν to K , respectively. Then

$$N_1 \geq (N_1 * \mu_K) * \nu_K = N_1 * (\mu_K * \nu_K)$$

and hence $\int d(\mu_K * \nu_K) \leq 1$, that is, $\int d\mu_K \int d\nu_K \leq 1$. K being arbitrary, $\int d\mu < +\infty$ and $\int d\nu < +\infty$. Consequently

$$N_1 = (N_1 * \mu) * \nu = N_1 * (\mu * \nu) .$$

By the unicity principle for N_1 , $\mu * \nu = \varepsilon$ and hence $\mu = c\varepsilon$ and $\nu = (1/c)\varepsilon$, where c is a positive constant. This means $N_1 \sim N_2$ (asymmetric law).

Let $N_1, N_2, N_3 \in \mathcal{H}_b$ and suppose that $N_1 < N_2$, $N_2 < N_3$ and that for $f, g \in M_K^+$,

$$N_1 f \leq N_3 g \quad \xi\text{-a.e.} \quad \text{on } S_{(f\varepsilon)} .$$

By Lemma 2, there exists $g'_n \in M_K^+$ satisfying

$$N_2 g'_n + \frac{1}{n} g'_n = N_3 g \quad \xi\text{-a.e.} \quad \text{on } S_{(f\varepsilon)} ,$$

$$N_2 g'_n + \frac{1}{n} g'_n \leq N_3 g \quad \xi\text{-a.e.} \quad \text{on } X .$$

Put

$$F_n = \{x \in S_{(f\varepsilon)} ; N_1 f(x) \leq N_2 g'_n(x)\}$$

and let f_n be the restriction of f to F_n . Then

$$N_1 f_n \leq N_2 g'_n \quad \xi\text{-a.e.} \quad \text{on } k(f_n)$$

and hence the same inequality holds ξ -a.e. on X , that is,

$$N_1 f_n \leq N_3 g \quad \xi\text{-a.e.} \quad \text{on } X .$$

$\{(1/n)g'_n\}$ converging to 0 ξ -a.e. on X as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $f_n \rightarrow f$ ξ -a.e. on X . Consequently $N_1 f \leq N_3 g$ ξ -a.e. on X , that is, $N_1 < N_3$ (transitive law). This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Choquet and J. Deny: Sur l'équation de convolution $\mu = \mu * \sigma$, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, **250**, 1960, p. 799–801.
- [2] J. Deny: Familles fondamentales: noyaux associés, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **3**, 1951, p. 76–101.
- [3] —: Noyaux de convolution de Hunt et noyaux associés à une famille fondamentale, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **12**, 1962, p. 643–667.
- [4] M. Itô: Noyaux de convolution réguliers et noyaux de convolution singuliers, Nagoya Math. J., **44**, 1971, p. 61–77.
- [5] —: Sur le principe de domination pour les noyaux de convolution (to appear).
- [6] —: Une caractérisation du principe de domination pour les noyaux de convolution (to appear).

*Suzuka College of Technology
Nagoya University*