



the columns

correspondence

In defence of the long case

Benning & Broadhurst (*Psychiatric Bulletin*, December 2007, **31**, 441–442) argued that the abandonment of the long case from the Member of the Royal College of Psychiatrists (MRCPsych) exam threatens the holistic approach in psychiatry and ignores the importance of the subjective dimension of the experience/behaviour and the role of the patient's biography in aiding understanding. We share their concern.

An online survey of trainee psychiatrists working in the North Trent Rotation Scheme with a response rate of 46% ($n=43$; ST1–3 and trust grade doctors $n=26$, ST4 and specialist registrars $n=17$) showed that the majority of trainees (62.8%) did not agree with abandoning the long case. Those who have passed the MRCPsych (i.e. ST4 grade and specialist registrars) opposed it more strongly than junior trainees (94% v. 42%, $P=0.01$). Similarly, senior trainees were more likely to disagree that Observed Standardised Clinical Examination (OSCE) is a fair alternative than junior trainees (76.5% v. 34.6%, $P=0.01$), but is not capable of testing from the bio-psychosocial perspective (82% v. 50%, $P=0.05$). Unsurprisingly, more senior trainees (58.8%) than junior trainees (30.8%) felt that the exam would be easier.

The majority of responders were concerned that passing the long case depends largely on one encounter. This could be addressed by incorporating one or two long cases per year as part of workplace-based assessments, which would ensure the appropriate choice of patients and possibly more time allocated for each case, as it has been shown to increase reliability from 0.60 to 0.90 (Waas & Jolly, 2001).

Finally, although we agree that OSCE could test different specific competencies, we should not forget that 'the whole is more than the sum of its parts' as one of our responders commented.

WASS, V. & JOLLY, B. (2001) Does observation add to the validity of the long case? *Medical Education*, **35**, 729–734.

Lekshmi Premkumar ST1 Doctor in Psychiatry, Sheffield CareTrust, ***Mohammed Abbas** Specialist Registrar in Psychiatry, Rotherham General

Hospital, Mental Health Unit, Moorgate Road, Rotherham S60 2UD, email: mohdgum@hotmail.com

doi: 10.1192/pb.32.4.151

How and why the long case should be kept: a view from the antipodes

The commentary by Tyrer (*Psychiatric Bulletin*, December 2007, **31**, 447–449) summarises the reasons why the Royal College of Psychiatrists has decided to abandon the long case as a summative assessment in the MRCPsych examination. The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists, however, continues to have a long case in their exams for Fellowship as well as OSCEs. The form of the long case is a 50-minute interview by the candidate who is observed by two examiners. After the interview the candidate has 20 minutes to produce a formulation and management plan, which they then discuss with the examiners.

We have persisted with the long case because it is a valid test of important skills. The most important skill it tests is the ability to prioritise information and 'make sense of a case' – the time limits force the candidates to work out what are the key issues for the patient. The long case gives trainees and supervisors an important message that interviewing and formulation are skills fundamental to the practice of psychiatry and it also provides an incentive for supervisors to observe their trainees' interview.

We ensure the reliability of the long case through a number of measures. Each candidate is examined by a senior and experienced examination committee member and an invited co-examiner. Prior to the exam all examiners have a 3-hour training workshop to standardise their marking. During the viva part, examiners may only ask candidates questions from a limited menu of clarification probes. Examiners initially mark the candidate independently and then agree on a consensus mark on five domains using a 5-point scale – half of the marks

awarded are identical, a further 40% are discrepant by only one grade, and less than 10% are discrepant by more than one grade. The discrepant marks are resolved by consensus between the examining pair and if this is not possible, each discrepant mark can be discussed at an examiners' meeting at the end of the examination. While the patients may be different, what the examiners look to mark in candidate performance is generalised and standardised.

There are also important negative reasons why we have decided to keep the long case as a summative assessment in the Fellow of the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists (FRANZCP) examination. We agree with Tyrer that the main question is not whether the skills tested in a long case are important and need to be assessed, but whether they need to be assessed using a summative examination. A major value of a summative assessment is that the examiners have no possible conflict of interest or even awareness of the prior training and examination history of the candidate. Making the long case part of training as a formative assessment does not get around any problems of reliability and may make the reliability worse as assessors do not have the same degree of examination training. There may also be a significant conflict of interest with local supervisors keen to get their trainees through training.

Finally, there is the wider issue of the change in culture in medicine. Increasingly there are moves to reduce medicine to a set of procedures which are laid out by guidelines, encouraged by incentive payments and evaluated by audit or other performance measures. Relying solely on OSCEs encourages this tick-box procedural approach to healthcare. We believe that what patients need when they visit a specialist is someone who can make sense of complexity, knows what procedures to use and what to do when they do not work. Dropping the long case in the examination is not good for consumers and risks reducing psychiatry to a set of simplistic procedures.

***Simon Hatcher** Senior Lecturer in Psychiatry, University of Auckland, Auckland Hospital Support Building, Level 12, Private Bag 92019, Auckland, New