

Some problems on idempotent measures on semigroups

N. A. Tserpes and A. Mukherjea

Essentially this paper does the following: In Section 2 it gives necessary and sufficient conditions in order that the support of an idempotent measure on a locally compact semigroup S , be completely simple. In Section 3 it proves that if I is an ideal of S of positive measure μ (= any probability measure), then $\mu^n(I)$ strictly increases to the limit 1. If in addition μ is idempotent, then $\mu(N^{-1}N)$ and $\mu(NN^{-1})$ are positive for any open set N . In Section 4 certain compactness conditions are proven equivalent to joint weak*-continuity of the convolution of bounded measures and a limit theorem concerning the convolution powers (Cesàro sums) of μ is proven.

1. Introduction

In what follows, S is a locally compact Hausdorff topological semigroup, \mathcal{B} its Borel σ -algebra generated by all the open subsets of S and μ is a (Borel) regular probability measure on S with support

$$F \equiv \{s \in S ; \text{ for every open } V \supset s, \mu(V) > 0\} .$$

The closed subsemigroup generated by F will be denoted by $D \equiv \overline{\bigcup_n F^n}$.

For $A, B \subset S$, $x \in S$,

$$AB^{-1} \equiv \{s \in S ; \text{ there is } b \in B \text{ such that } sb \in A\} \text{ and}$$

$$Ax^{-1} \equiv \{s \in S ; sx \in A\} . \text{ Analogously one defines } A^{-1}B \text{ and } x^{-1}A .$$

Received 16 February 1970.

We denote by $\mu_x \equiv \mu(\cdot x^{-1})$ the measure $\mu_x(B) \equiv \mu(Bx^{-1})$, for $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

(Similarly for ${}_x\mu(B) \equiv \mu(x^{-1}B)$.) μ_x is also a regular probability measure and the function of x , $\mu(Bx^{-1})$, for fixed $B \in \mathcal{B}$, is (Borel) measurable. In fact, for fixed open $V \subset S$,

$$V_\alpha \equiv \left\{ s \in S ; \mu(Vs^{-1}) > \alpha \right\}$$

is open so that the function $\mu(Vx^{-1})$ is lower semicontinuous [5, p. 179]. Let $C_c(S)$, $C_\infty(S)$ be the space of all real valued continuous functions on S which have compact supports and vanish at ∞ respectively. If μ, ν are regular probability measures their convolution $\mu * \nu$ is defined as the regular probability measure on S generated by the linear functional on $C_c(S)$

$$L(f) \int_S \left[\int_S f(xy) \mu(dx) \right] \nu(dy) = \int_S \left[\int_S f(xy) \nu(dy) \right] \mu(dx)$$

for $f \in C_c(S)$. [13, p. 19]. μ is called idempotent if $\mu * \mu = \mu$. It can be shown that for $B \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$(1) \quad \mu * \nu(B) = \int_S \mu(Bx^{-1}) \nu(dx) = \int_S \mu(dx) \nu(x^{-1}B).$$

(See [5, p. 179] for the proof of (1); their proof applies to the locally compact case.) If μ is idempotent, $\overline{FF} = F$ and hence F is a (closed) subsemigroup. [7, p. 686].

It has been conjectured [9] that if μ is idempotent, then F is a completely simple subsemigroup. If F is completely simple, then for any $e \in E(F) \equiv$ the set of all idempotents of F , $X \equiv E(Fe)$ and $Y \equiv E(eF)$ are (closed) left-zero and right-zero semigroups in F respectively; $G \equiv eFe$ is a closed subgroup and $YX \subset G$; the product space $X \times G \times Y$ (product topology) is a locally compact semigroup and is isomorphic (both algebraically and topologically) to F . Multiplication on $X \times G \times Y$ is defined by

$$(2) \quad (x_1, g_1, y_1)(x_2, g_2, y_2) = (x_1, g_1(y_1x_2)g_2, y_2).$$

The isomorphism $\eta : X \times G \times Y \rightarrow F$ is given by

$$\eta(x, g, y) = xgy$$

$$\eta^{-1}(s) = (s(ese)^{-1}, ese, (ese)^{-1}s), \quad s \in F.$$

[2, p. 49, 61, 62].

The above conjecture is important because if it is true, then μ decomposes on $X \times G \times Y$ as a product measure $\mu = \mu_X \times \mu_G \times \mu_Y$, where μ_X, μ_Y are regular probability measures on X and Y respectively and μ_G is the normed Haar measure on G which turns out to be a compact group. (See the proof of the factorization of μ in [5, p. 183]; the argument applies to the locally compact case; a minor correction of the proof is given in [9].) Consider the following two compactness conditions (in increasing order of strength):

(K) there is compact C_0 , $\mu(C_0) > 0$ such that $\emptyset \neq C_0 C_0^{-1}$ is compact;

(L) AB^{-1} is compact for every pair of compact $A, B \subset S$.

A condition weaker than (L) is

(M) for every $f \in C_c(F)$, $g(x) \equiv \int f(xy)\mu(dy)$ vanishes at ∞ .

It was proved in [9] that if (L) holds and μ is idempotent, then $F = X \times G \times Y$ is completely simple with the last two factors compact. In this paper we prove the same result under condition (M), which turns out to be also necessary. In fact, if μ is idempotent, then (L) and (M) are equivalent conditions on F . These results given, in Section 2, might prove useful for attacking the general conjecture. In Section 3 we give some results about the two random walks induced on D by μ . If μ is idempotent, then Harris' recurrence condition [4] holds iff F is a compact group. Also if I is an ideal of D , $0 < \mu(I) < 1$, then $\{\mu^n(I)\}$ is strictly increasing and $\mu^n(I) \rightarrow 1$. In Section 4 we give some results about the convergence of probability measures and convolution powers of μ .

2.

The following example shows that for general μ condition (M) is weaker than (L). Let S be the Reals under multiplication and let

$$\mu_n(B) = (1/2^{1-n})\mu_0(B \cap [n, n+1]) \quad \text{or} \quad (1/2^{n+2})\mu_0(B \cap [n, n+1])$$

as $n < 0$ or $n \geq 0$, where μ_0 = the Lebesgue measure; then for any B

in \mathcal{B} let $\mu(B) = \sum_n \mu_n(B \cap [n, n+1])$. It follows easily that μ is

a regular probability measure on S . Let $f \in C_c(S)$ and suppose

$f(x) = 0$ for $x \notin [-m, m] = K$; then for $x \notin [-nm, nm]$, $x^{-1}K \subset \left[-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right]$

and

$$|g(x)| \leq \int_{x^{-1}K} |f(xy)| \mu(dy) \leq \|f\|_n^2.$$

Hence $g(x)$ vanishes at infinity; on the other hand $S = 00^{-1} = 0^{-1}0$ is not compact.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let μ be right semi-invariant, i.e., $\mu(Cx) \geq \mu(C)$ for every compact C and $x \in S$, and let S satisfy (K). Then S is compact and has exactly one minimal left ideal.*

Proof. The compactness of S follows easily by contradiction modifying slightly the argument of [6, p. 538]. Since F is a right ideal and also $\mu(F-Fx) = 0$, $K \equiv \bigcap_x Sx \supset F$ and $K =$ the unique minimal

left ideal of S .

THEOREM 2.2. *Let F (or S) satisfy*

(m) *there is an upper semicontinuous function f such that*

$$g(x) \equiv \int f(xy)\mu(dy) \not\equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) \text{ vanishes at infinity.}$$

Let μ be idempotent on S . Then F has a closed completely simple kernel (= minimal two-sided ideal) $K \equiv X \times G \times Y$ with the last two factors compact. For every $x \in K$, x^μ and μ_x are also idempotent measures on F . [In fact, μ_x is r^ -invariant [1] on its support which*

equals $Fx = Kx$.] If in addition $\mu(K) > 0$, then $K = F$.

Proof. Let $a \in F$ such that $g(a) = \sup g(x)$; then $g(a) - g(ax) \geq 0$ for all $x \in F$ and by idempotence

$$\int_F [g(a) - g(ax)]\mu(dx) = 0.$$

Now $\{x \in F; g(a) - g(ax) > 0\}$ is open in F and hence empty. (Note that $g(x)$ is also upper semicontinuous on F .) Hence

$$\overline{aF} \subset M \equiv \left\{x \in F; g(x) = \sup_{y \in F} g(y)\right\}.$$

Now \overline{aF} as a compact right ideal of F contains a compact minimal right ideal R of itself and hence of the whole F . We may take $R \equiv eF$ where e is an idempotent in R . It follows that K (= the union of all minimal right ideals of F) is non-empty. Next, e is a primitive idempotent in eF (eF is compact and simple) and it turns out that e is primitive in K . For if $fe = ef = f$ for some idempotent $f \in K$, then $f = ef \in eF$ and $e = f$. Hence K is completely simple [2, p. 46, 49, 67]. It follows easily that $Ke = Fe$ is closed and $eK = eF$ and $eKe = eFe$ are compact. Also $K \cong E(Fe) \times eFe \times E(eF)$ both algebraically and topologically by [2, p. 62], and hence K is closed. (Note that $K = E(Fe) \times eFe \times E(eF)$ is locally compact.)

The support of ${}_x\mu$, $x \in K$, is $xK = xF$, which is closed. (Note that $x \in XK$.) As in [5, p. 181] for $B \subset xF$, $s \in xF$, $\mu(s^{-1}B)$ is an idempotent Markov transition measure on xF . By the method of [5], $\mu(s^{-1}B)$, for $s \in xF$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(xF)$, is constant independent of $s \in xF$. (Note that every invariant set of xF [5] is dense because xF is right simple.) Hence ${}_x\mu(s^{-1}B) = \mu((sx)^{-1}B) = {}_x\mu(B)$ and ${}_x\mu$ is idempotent on its support xF .

In the case $\mu(K) > 0$, by Theorem 3.1 in Section 3, $\mu(K) = 1$.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let μ be idempotent. Then a necessary and sufficient condition that F be completely simple is that xF is a closed minimal right ideal of F for every $x \in F$.*

Proof. By [2, p. 46] necessity is trivial. To prove sufficiency, we observe that $K = FF$ and since $\overline{FF} = F$, $\overline{K} = F$. In view of the proof of Theorem 2.2, it suffices to show that K has a primitive idempotent, i.e., that K is completely simple. Hence we only need to show that x^F , for some $x \in F$, is a right group. By the second part of the Proof of Theorem 2.2, $y\mu \equiv \nu$ for fixed $y \in x^F$ has the property that

$\nu(B) = \nu(s^{-1}B)$ on its support $y^F = x^F$. By right simplicity of x^F , $y = yz$ for some $z \in x^F$. By [2, p. 96], $zs = s$ for every $s \in x^F$ and in particular z is idempotent and $z^F = x^F$.

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let μ be idempotent on S . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) F satisfies (L);
- (ii) F satisfies (M);
- (iii) $F \cong X \times G \times Y$ is completely simple with the last two factors compact.

Also the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) F satisfies (L) and its "dual" condition (R) (defined analogously using $A^{-1}B$);
- (2) F satisfies (M) and its dual right condition (defined by using $g(x) \equiv \int f(yx)\mu(dy)$);
- (3) F is compact completely simple;
- (4) F satisfies (L) and $x^{-1}x$ is compact for some $x \in F$.

Proof. (ii) \rightarrow (iii). In view of Theorem 2.2, it suffices to show $\overline{K} = K = F$. Suppose V is a compact neighborhood such that $V \cap K = \emptyset$. There is $f \in C_c(F)$ such that $f \equiv 1$ on V and $f \equiv 0$ on K , by complete regularity. Now $g(x) = \int f(xy)\mu(dy)$ vanishes at ∞ and since $\mu(V) > 0$, $\text{supp}(g) = g(e) \not\equiv 0$ where $e \in e^F \subset K$. (See Proof of Theorem 2.2.) But this is a contradiction to $g(e) = \int f(ey)\mu(dy) \equiv 0$.

(iii) \rightarrow (ii). By using projections it suffices to prove (L) for

compact rectangles. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A, B, C)(A', B', C')^{-1} &= \{(x, g, y); x \in A, g(yx') \in BG^{-1} \cap G\} \\
 &= \{(x, g, y); x \in A, gy \in GA'^{-1} \cap eF\} \\
 &= A \times \{(g, y); gy \in GA'^{-1} \cap eF\} \\
 &= A \times \{\text{a compact subset of } G \times Y\},
 \end{aligned}$$

which is compact in $X \times G \times Y$. (Note that AB^{-1} is closed when A, B are compact and (L) holds on groups.) Now (L) implies (M). For if $f \in C_c(F)$ with support C and K is compact such that $\mu(K) > 1 - \epsilon$, then for $x \in CK^{-1}$, $g(x) < \|f\|\epsilon$.

(4) \rightarrow (3). Since F is completely simple, $x^{-1}x$ contains an idempotent e and $e^{-1}e \supset E(Fe)$. (Note that $x^{-1}x \neq \emptyset$.) Hence all the three factors of F are compact.

3.

The elementary right (respectively left) random walk induced by μ on D is defined as the sequence

$$Z_n = X_1 X_2 \dots X_n, \quad (\text{resp. } Z_n = X_n X_{n-1} \dots X_1), \quad n \geq 1$$

where $\{X_i\}$ is a sequence of independent random variables with values in D , identically distributed according to μ . [One uses the sequence space $\left(\Omega = \prod D_i, \times \mathcal{B}(D_i)\right)$ to construct such a sequence $\{X_i\}$ as coordinate projections, where $D_i = D$ for all i .] The proper right (respectively left) random walk on D induced by μ is defined as the Markov process $\{W_n\}$ with values in D corresponding to the transition probability functions

$$P^n(x, B) \equiv \mu^n(x^{-1}B), \quad [\text{resp. } P^n(x, B) \equiv \mu^n(Bx^{-1})].$$

(See [3] and [4].)

It can be verified that the Z_n process has also the same

transition probability functions.

THEOREM 3.1. *If I is an ideal of D such that $0 < \mu(I) < 1$, then $\{\mu^n(I)\}$ is strictly increasing and $\mu^n(I) \rightarrow 1$.*

Proof. We have

$$\mu^2(I) = \int_I \mu(Ix^{-1})\mu(dx) + \int_{D-I} \mu(Ix^{-1})\mu(dx).$$

Since $\int_I \mu(Ix^{-1})\mu(dx) = \mu(I)$ we have $\mu^2(I) \geq \mu(I)$ and by induction we

have $\mu^{n+1}(I) \geq \mu^n(I)$ so that if $\mu(I) = 1$, $\mu^n(I) = 1$ for all n . By [11, p. 155] $\mu^{n+1}(I^c) \leq \mu^n(I^c)\mu(I^c)$. Hence if $0 < \mu(I) < 1$, we have $\mu^{n+1}(I^c) < \mu^n(I^c)$ and $\mu^{n+1}(I) > \mu^n(I)$.

Now $\sum P[X_n \in I] = \sum \mu(I) = \infty$. By the well known Borel-Cantelli Lemma, given $\epsilon > 0$ there is N such that

$$P\left\{ \bigcup_{m=1}^N [X_m \in I] \right\} \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

For $n \in N$, we have $\bigcup_{m=1}^N [X_m \in I] \subset [Z_n \in I]$. Hence

$$\mu^n(I) = P[Z_n \in I] > 1 - \epsilon \text{ for } n \geq N.$$

THEOREM 3.2. *Let μ be idempotent on $D (= F)$. Then for every open set $N \subset F$, $N^{-1}N \neq \emptyset$ and $NN^{-1} \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. We prove that $N^{-1}N \neq \emptyset$ using the right elementary chain Z_n . Suppose $N^{-1}N = \emptyset$. For $n > k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P[Z_n \in N/Z_k \in N] &= P[Z_k X_{k+1} \dots X_n \in N/Z_k \in N] \\
 &\leq P[X_{k+1} \dots X_n \in N^{-1}N/Z_k \in N] \\
 &= P[X_{k+1} X_{k+2} \dots X_n \in N^{-1}N] \\
 &= P[X_1 X_2 \dots X_{n-k} \in N^{-1}N] \\
 &= \mu^{(n-k)}(N^{-1}N) = 0 .
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $P[Z_n \in N/Z_k \in N] = 0$ for every $n > k$, for all k . Now $P[Z_1 \in N] = \mu(N) = \delta > 0$. Since $P[Z_2 \in N/Z_1 \in N] = 0$ we have $P[Z_1 \in N, Z_2 \in N] = 0$. Hence

$$P[Z_2 \in N, Z_1 \notin N] = P[Z_2 \in N] = \mu^2(N) = \delta .$$

Similarly

$$P[Z_3 \in N, Z_1 \notin N, Z_2 \notin N] = P[Z_3 \in N] = \mu^3(N) = \delta .$$

But

$$\Omega \supset [Z_1 \in N] \cup [Z_2 \in N, Z_1 \notin N] \cup [Z_3 \in N, Z_1 \notin N, Z_2 \notin N] \cup \dots .$$

Hence $P(\Omega) = \infty$ which is a contradiction. Similarly using the elementary left random walk we prove $NN^{-1} \neq \emptyset$.

In view of the above Theorem one may conjecture that for every pair of open $U, V \subset F$, $U^{-1}V \neq \emptyset$ and $VU^{-1} \neq \emptyset$. The following Theorem indicates that in general this is not true.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let μ be idempotent. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) for every pair of open $U, V \subset F$, $U^{-1}V \neq \emptyset$ and $VU^{-1} \neq \emptyset$;
- (ii) $x^{-1}V \neq \emptyset$ and $Vx^{-1} \neq \emptyset$ for every open $V \subset F$ and almost all $x \in F$;
- (iii) $x \in \overline{yF}$ and $x \in \overline{Fy}$ for every pair $x, y \in F$;
- (iv) F is a compact group.

Proof. (i) \rightarrow (iv). For any open $V \subset F$ let

$$V_\alpha = \left\{ x \in F ; \mu(Vx^{-1}) > \alpha \right\}, \quad \alpha > 0 .$$

By [5, p. 183] there is a set $E \subset F$ of zero μ_s -measure for all $s \in F$ such that $\mu\left((V_\alpha - E)s^{-1}\right) = \mu(V_\alpha s^{-1}) = 1$ for all $s \in V_\alpha - E$. We show first that if $V_\alpha \neq \emptyset$, then $\mu(\overline{Vx^{-1}}) > \alpha$ for every $x \in F$. Since $\mu(\overline{Vx^{-1}})$ is upper semicontinuous, it suffices to prove that $\overline{V}_\alpha = F$. To see this let U be open; since $UV_\alpha^{-1} \neq \emptyset$ there exists $x_0 \in V_\alpha$, such that $Ux_0^{-1} \neq \emptyset$. Since $\mu(Ux^{-1})$ is lower semicontinuous there exists an open subset D of V_α such that $\mu(Ux^{-1}) > 0$ for every $x \in D$. It follows that for some $y \in D$ we have $\mu(V_\alpha y^{-1}) = 1$ and hence $V_\alpha \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Next let $V_\alpha \neq \emptyset$, $\epsilon > 0$. By local compactness and regularity of μ_x , $x \in V_\alpha$, we can find an open $U_\epsilon \subset V$ such that \overline{U}_ϵ is compact, $\overline{U}_\epsilon \subset V$ and $\mu(V - U_\epsilon x^{-1}) < \epsilon$. Then $W_{\alpha, \epsilon} = \left\{ x ; \mu(U_\epsilon x^{-1}) > \alpha - \epsilon \right\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mu(\overline{U}_\epsilon x^{-1}) > \alpha - \epsilon$ for all $x \in F$ by the first part of the proof. Hence $\mu(Vx^{-1}) > \alpha - \epsilon$ for all x . Since ϵ is arbitrary $\mu(Vx^{-1}) \geq \alpha$ for all x . Since α is arbitrary $\mu(Vx^{-1}) = \text{constant} = \mu(V)$ (by idempotence) for every x . By regularity $\mu(Cx^{-1}) = \mu(C)$ for every compact $C \subset F$ and every $x \in F$. One shows similarly that $\mu(x^{-1}C) = \mu(C)$. By [12] F is a compact group.

It is easy to see that $(iii) \rightarrow (ii) \rightarrow (i) \rightarrow (iv) \rightarrow (iii)$

REMARK. Conditions (i) and (iii) may be used to define communication of states in the elementary random walks. Then these conditions state that every two states in D communicate.

We consider the following recurrence condition introduced by Harris [4].

$$Q(x, E) = P[\overline{W}_n \in E \text{ infinitely often} / W_1 = x] = 1 \text{ for every}$$

E such that $\mu(E) > 0$ and every $x \in F$.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let μ be idempotent. Then Harris' recurrence condition holds for both proper random walks on F iff F is a compact group.*

Proof. Let $L(x, E) = P[W_n \in E \text{ for some } n/W_1 = x]$. Suppose F is a compact group. By [3] for E such that $\mu(E) > 0$,

$$0 < Q(x, E) = 1 - \sum_n \int_E (1 - L(y, E)) P^n(x, dy).$$

But the integral above equals the constant $\int_E (1 - L(y, E)) \mu(dy)$ (since μ is the Haar measure on F) and this constant must be zero. Hence $Q(x, E) = 1$. Conversely, since $\mu_x(B) = \int \mu(By^{-1}) \mu_x(dy)$ and $\mu(B) = \int \mu(By^{-1}) \mu(dy)$, both μ and μ_x are invariant σ -finite measures for $P(x, B) \equiv \mu(Bx^{-1})$. By Harris' uniqueness Theorem [4, pp. 120-121], μ_x and μ differ by a constant and the same is true for μ, μ_x, μ_y . Hence Theorem 3.3 (ii) applies. (Note that Harris' uniqueness proof goes through even when B is not separable.)

4.

We shall denote by $B(S)$ the space of all regular measures on S bounded in norm by 1 (the unit ball). The subspace of all regular probability measures on S will be denoted by $P(S)$. Both spaces are semigroups under convolution. By Alaoglu's Theorem $B(S)$ (as the dual of $C_\infty(S)$) is compact in the weak* topology. The net $\{\mu_\beta\} \in P(S)$

converges to μ in the weak* topology ($\mu_\beta \xrightarrow{*} \mu$) if

$$\int f(x) \mu_\beta(dx) \rightarrow \int f(x) \mu(dx) \text{ for every } f \in C_c(S).$$

The net μ_β converges to μ in the weak topology ($\mu_\beta \xrightarrow{w} \mu$) if $\int f(x) \mu_\beta(dx) \rightarrow \int f(x) \mu(dx)$ for every bounded continuous function f on S . Since S is locally

compact if $\mu_\beta \xrightarrow{*} \mu$ then we have also $\int f(x) \mu_\beta(dx) \rightarrow \int f(x) \mu(dx)$ for

every $f \in C_\infty(S)$. Convolution may fail to be even separately continuous in $B(S)$ with the weak* topology. (See [13, p. 20] for such an example.) However, as we prove below, convolution is jointly (weak*) continuous if S satisfies condition (L) and

(r) $x^{-1}C$ is compact for every compact $C \subset S$ and every $x \in S$.

(Similarly one defines the weaker version (l) of condition (L) using Cx^{-1} .)

THEOREM 4.1. (a) *Joint weak* continuity of the convolution $\mu * \nu$ implies conditions (L) and (R).*

(b) *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *the operation $\mu * \nu$ is jointly weak* continuous in $P(S)$;*
- (ii) *conditions (L) and (r) hold;*
- (iii) *conditions (R) and (l) hold;*
- (iv) *conditions (L) and (R) hold. (See Corollary 2.4 for definition.)*

Proof. (a) Suppose that $\emptyset \neq C = AB^{-1}$ is not compact for some compact sets A, B . Then there is a net $x_\alpha \in C$ with no convergent subnet. There is $b_\alpha \in B$ such that $x_\alpha b_\alpha = a_\alpha \in A$. Let μ_α be the point-mass at x_α and let $f \in C_c(S)$ with support K . If the x_α were frequently in K , then x_α would have a convergent subnet. Hence x_α is eventually in K^c so that $\int f(x)\mu_\alpha(dx) \rightarrow 0$ for every such f and $\mu_\alpha \xrightarrow{*} 0$. Since A, B are compact we can find subnets $a_{\alpha_\beta}, b_{\alpha_\beta}$ converging to some $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ respectively. Let ν_{α_β} be the point mass at b_{α_β} . Then $\nu_{\alpha_\beta} \rightarrow \mu_b =$ the point-mass at b . By continuity we have $\mu_{\alpha_\beta} * \nu_{\alpha_\beta} \rightarrow 0 * \mu_b = 0$. Since $x_{\alpha_\beta} b_{\alpha_\beta} = a_{\alpha_\beta}$, we obtain also $\mu_{\alpha_\beta} * \nu_{\alpha_\beta} \rightarrow \mu_a =$ the point mass at a , which is a

contradiction.

(b) Let us assume that (L) and (r) hold. Let $\mu_\alpha \xrightarrow{*} \mu$ and $\nu_\alpha \xrightarrow{*} \nu$, μ_α, ν_α being nets in $P(S)$. Let $f(x) \in C_c(S)$ with support A . By (r), $h(y) = f(xy)$ is also in $C_c(S)$. Hence $\int h(y)\nu_\alpha(dy) \rightarrow \int h(y)\nu(dy)$ so that

$$(1) \quad \left| \iint f(xy)\nu_\alpha(dy)\mu_\alpha(dx) - \iint f(xy)\nu(dy)\mu(dx) \right| < \epsilon/2$$

if $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ for some suitable α_0 . Let $g(x) = \int f(xy)\nu(dy)$. It is easy to see that by (L), $g(x) \in C_\infty(S)$. Hence if $\alpha \geq \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_0$ (for suitable α_1)

$$(2) \quad \left| \iint f(xy)\nu(dy)\mu_\alpha(dx) - \iint f(xy)\nu(dy)\mu(dx) \right| < \epsilon/2.$$

Now (1) and (2) imply that $\mu_\alpha * \nu_\alpha \xrightarrow{*} \mu * \nu$. [We note that we have used the fact that $f(xy)$ is measurable in the product space and hence Fubini's Theorem applies. Also we observe that (R) and (l) similarly imply joint w^* -continuity.]

REMARK. From the above Theorem a purely topological Theorem that conditions (ii), (iii) and (iv) are equivalent, is obtained (which is not, by any means, obvious otherwise).

LEMMA 4.1. Let $\{\mu_\alpha\} \xrightarrow{*} \mu$ where $\mu_\alpha \in P(S)$ and $\mu \in P(S)$. Then we have also $\mu_\alpha \xrightarrow{w} \mu$ (in the weak topology).

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a compact set A such that $\mu(A) > 1 - \epsilon/4$. We can find an open set U such that $A \subset U$ and \bar{U} is compact. Also we can choose a compact set B such that $\mu(B) > 1 - \epsilon/4$ and $C = U^c \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Let $C \subset V \subset \bar{V}$ where V is open, \bar{V} is compact and $\mu(V-C) < \epsilon/4$. Let $f(x) \in C_c(S)$, $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$, such that

$f(C) = 1$ and $f(V^c) = 0$. Now for $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ (for suitable α_0)

$$\left| \int f(x)\mu_\alpha(dx) - \int f(x)\mu(dx) \right| < \epsilon/4 \text{ so that } \mu_\alpha(C) < 3\epsilon/4. \text{ Therefore for}$$

all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$, $\mu_\alpha(U \cap B^c) > 1 - 3\epsilon/4$ and hence $\mu_\alpha(\bar{U}) > 1 - \epsilon$. Now let $g(x)$ be any bounded continuous function ($|g(x)| \leq M$). Then there is $h(x) \in C_c(S)$ such that $h(x) = g(x)$ whenever $x \in \bar{U}$. Let $\alpha_1 (\geq \alpha_0)$ be such that

$$\left| \int f(x)\mu_\alpha(dx) - \int h(x)\mu(dx) \right| < \epsilon.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int g(x)\mu_\alpha(dx) - \int g(x)\mu(dx) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{\bar{U}^c} g(x)\mu_\alpha(dx) - \int_{\bar{U}^c} g(x)\mu(dx) \right| + \left| \int_{\bar{U}} h(x)\mu_\alpha(dx) - \int_{\bar{U}} h(x)\mu(dx) \right| \\ & < 2\epsilon M + 2\epsilon M + \epsilon = (4M+1)\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the Lemma.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let $\mu^* \nu$ be separately continuous¹ (weak* topology) in μ and ν , in $B(S)$. Then for any $\mu \in P(S)$, $\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^k$ converges in the weak* topology to μ_0 , where $\mu_0 = 0$ or $\mu_0(S) = 1$. In the latter case, also $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu_0$ (in the weak topology).*

Proof. Since $B(S)$ is compact, $\{\mu_n\}$ has a cluster point μ_0 . Since $B(S)$ is Hausdorff there is an (infinite) net $\{\mu_\alpha\} \xrightarrow{*} \mu_0$. We shall prove that $\mu^* \mu_0 = \mu_0^* \mu = \mu_0$. We claim that $\mu^* \mu_\alpha$ also converges to μ_0 . Let $f(x)$ be any continuous function with compact support and

let $M = \max|f(x)|$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Let $f_0(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \chi_{A_i}(x)$ be a simple function such that $|f(x) - f_0(x)| < \epsilon/3$ for every x . Let N be such that $n \geq N$ implies that $\|\mu^* \mu_n - \mu_n\| < \epsilon/3Mn$; then with no loss of generality, we can assume that $\|\mu^* \mu_\alpha - \mu_\alpha\| < \epsilon/3Mn$, where $\|\mu\|$ equals $\sup\{\mu(B); B \in \mathcal{B}\}$. It follows that

¹ It suffices that S satisfy (L) and (R).

$$\left| \int f(x) \mu_n^* \mu_\alpha(dx) - \int f(x) \mu_\alpha(dx) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m |c_i| \left| \mu_\alpha^* \mu(A_i) - \mu_\alpha(A_i) \right| + \frac{2\epsilon}{3} < \epsilon .$$

Hence $\mu_n^* \mu_\alpha$ also converges to μ_α so that by weak*-continuity $\mu_n^* \mu_\alpha = \mu_\alpha$. Similarly $\mu_\alpha = \mu_\alpha^* \mu_n$. It then follows that $\mu_n^* \mu_\alpha = \mu_\alpha^* \mu_n = \mu_\alpha$ for all n . We next prove that the cluster point μ_α is unique. Suppose μ_1 is another cluster point of $\{\mu_n\}$. Let $\{\mu_\beta\}$ be a subnet converging to μ_1 . Then we have by the above relations, $\mu_\alpha^* \mu_\beta = \mu_\beta^* \mu_\alpha = \mu_\alpha$. Hence $\mu_\alpha^* \mu_1 = \mu_1^* \mu_\alpha = \mu_\alpha$. If we interchange the roles of μ_α and μ_1 in the above argument, we will obtain also $\mu_1^* \mu_\alpha = \mu_\alpha^* \mu_1 = \mu_1$. Therefore $\mu_\alpha = \mu_1$. Since the sequence μ_n has a unique cluster point, the first part of the theorem follows. In case $\mu_\alpha(S) = 1$, then Lemma 4.1 applies.

REMARK. The following example shows that $\{\mu_n\}$ can indeed converge to 0 even in the presence of (L) and (R). Let $S = (0, 1/2]$ with multiplication and the relative topology as subspace of the reals. This space satisfies (L) and (R) but does not have a compact subsemigroup. Since the support of μ_α has to be a compact subsemigroup (Corollary 2.4), we must have for every μ , $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu_\alpha = 0$. [If A, B , are compact, and if k is the infimum of $A \cup B$, then $k > 0$ and the closed set $AB^{-1} \subset [k, 1/2]$ and hence (L) and (R) hold on S .]

REMARK. Using a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 (a) one can show that the assumption that $\mu^* \nu$ is weak*-continuous in the first factor μ and Ax^{-1} is not compact for some compact A , leads to a contradiction. Hence separate weak* continuity of the convolution implies conditions (l) and (r). We have not been able to show the converse. Nor were we able to find an example of a locally compact semigroup that satisfies conditions (l) and (r) but not (L) and (R).

References

- [1] L.N. Argabright, "A note on invariant integrals on locally compact semigroups", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 17 (1966), 377-382.
- [2] J.F. Berglund, K.H. Hofmann, *Compact semitopological semigroups and weakly almost periodic functions* (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 42, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1967).
- [3] Kai Lai Chung, "The general theory of Markov processes according to Doebelin", *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* 2 (1964), 230-254.
- [4] T.E. Harris, "The existence of stationary measures for certain Markov processes", *Proceedings of the Third Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability II* (1954-1955), 113-124; (University of California Press, Berkeley, Los Angeles, 1956).
- [5] M. Heble and M. Rosenblatt, "Idempotent measures on a compact topological semigroup", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 14 (1963), 177-184.
- [6] A. Kartsatos and N.A. Tserpes, "A sufficient condition for the support of a measure to be a left group", *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* (4) 1 (1968), 538-539.
- [7] J.S. Pym, "Idempotent measures on semigroups", *Pacific J. Math.* 12 (1962), 685-698.
- [8] M. Rosenblatt, "Limits of convolution sequences of measures on a compact topological semigroup", *J. Math. Mech.* 9 (1960), 293-305.
- [9] T.C. Sun and N.A. Tserpes, "Idempotent measures on locally compact semigroups", (to appear).
- [10] T.C. Sun, "Random walks on topological semigroups", (unpublished note).
- [11] A. Tortrat, "Lois tendues μ sur un demi-groupe topologique complètement simple X ", *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* 6 (1966), 145-160.

- [12] Nicolas Tserpes et Athanasius Kartsatos, "Mesures semi-invariantes sur un semi-groupe localement compact", *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* 267 (1968), A507–A509.
- [13] J.H. Williamson, "Harmonic analysis on semigroups", *J. London Math. Soc.* 42 (1967), 1–41.

University of South Florida,
Tampa.