www.cambridge.org/jhl

Research Paper

Cite this article: Jin X, Li M, Zhang D, Zou H, Cai J, Amoah K, Wang GT and Li WX (2024). Description of three new species of Gyrodactylus von Nordmann, 1832 (Monopisthocotylea: Gyrodactylidae) on Triplophysa species (Nemacheilidae) from Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Journal of Helminthology, 98, e70, 1–12 [https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X24000439.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X24000439)

Received: 02 February 2024 Revised: 09 May 2024 Accepted: 04 July 2024

Keywords:

Triplophysa; Qinghai-Tibet Plateau; Gyrodactylus; nemachili group

Corresponding author: Wenxiang Li; Email: liwx@ihb.ac.cn

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press.

Description of three new species of Gyrodactylus von Nordmann, 1832 (Monopisthocotylea: Gyrodactylidae) on Triplophysa species (Nemacheilidae) from Qinghai-Tibet Plateau

X. Jin 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 $\textcircled{\tiny{\bullet}}$ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2521-6591) M. Li 2,3 2,3 2,3 2,3 , D. Zhang 4 4 , H. Zou 2 2 , J. Cai 1 , K. Amoah 1 , G.T. Wang 2,3 2,3 2,3 and W.X. $Li^{2,3}$ $Li^{2,3}$ $Li^{2,3}$ \bullet

1 Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Aquatic Animal Disease Control and Healthy Culture, College of Fisheries, Guangdong Ocean University, Zhanjiang 524088, P. R. China; ²Key Laboratory of Breeding Biotechnology and Sustainable Aquaculture (CAS), Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430072, P. R. China; ³University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China and ⁴Key Laboratory of Biodiversity and Environment on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, Ministry of Education and College of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Tibet University, 850000, Lhasa, P. R. China.

Abstract

Three new species of Gyrodactylus were identified from the body surface of the Triplophysa species from the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, Gyrodactylus triplorienchili n. sp. on Triplophysa orientalis in northern Tibet, G. yellochili n. sp. on T. sellaefer and T. scleroptera and G. triplsellachili n. sp. on T. sellaefer and T. robusta in Lanzhou Reach of the Yellow River. The three newly identified species share the nemachili group species' characteristic of having inturning hamulus roots. Gyrodactylus triplorienchili n. sp. shared a quadrate sickle heel and a thin marginal hook sickle, two morpho-In phortentia, it. sp. shared a quadrate stead ited and a timi marginal nook stead, two morphological traits that set them apart from *G. yellochili* n. sp. However, they may be identified by the distinct shapes of the sic distinct shapes of the sickle base and marginal hook sickle point. Gyrodactylus triplsellachili n. sp. had much larger opisthaptoral hard part size than the other two species. The three new species sequences. Phylogenetic analysis indicated that the three new species formed a well-supported monophyletic group ($bp = 99$) with the other nemachili group species.

Introduction

The monopisthocotylean ectoparasite Gyrodactylus von Nordmann, 1832 (Gyrodactylidae) is a species-diverse genus containing > 500 species found on 20 bony fish orders (Harris et al. [2004;](#page-10-0) Kritsky et al. [2013](#page-10-1); Rahmouni et al. [2023\)](#page-11-0). Owing to a direct life cycle and a relatively high hostspecificity, infection and transmission of *Gyrodactylus* species are highly host-dependent (Bakke et al. [2007\)](#page-10-2). Some species, such as G. salaris Malmberg, 1957 and G. cichlidarum Paperna, 1968, are harmful and are noted to cause substantial economic losses in aquaculture (Abdel-Latif and Khafaga [2020](#page-9-0); Harris et al. [2011](#page-10-3)).

The Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP) is widely recognized as the "roof of the world" because of its average altitude exceeding 4,000 m (Peng et al. [2006](#page-11-1)). Schizothoracinae (Cypriniformes: Cyprinidae) and Triplophysa species (Cypriniformes: Nemacheilidae) are the dominant species of fish fauna in this region (Wu and Tan [1991](#page-11-1)). The fish genus Triplophysa Rendahl, 1933 is one of the largest groups (with approximately 160 species) in the family Nemacheilidae, and 60% of the species are distributed in the rivers and lakes on the QTP and its adjacent regions of China (Sheraliev and Peng [2021;](#page-11-2) Zheng et al. [2009\)](#page-11-3).

In neighboring Central Asian countries bordering the QTP, 12 species of Gyrodactylus have been described thus far on Triplophysa species (Ergens and Allamuratov [1972;](#page-10-4) Ergens and Karabekova [1980](#page-10-5); Ergens and Kartunova [1991;](#page-10-6) Přikrylová et al. [2008](#page-11-4); Pugachev et al. [2009](#page-11-5)), including: G. parvus Bychowsky, 1936, G. kessleri Gvosdev & Martechov, 1953, G. luckyi Ergens, 1970 and G. incognitus Ergens & Gusev, 1980 collected from T. strauchi Kessler, 1874; G. afghanensis Ergens, 1979 and G. moraveci Ergens, 1979 from T. griffithi Günther, 1868; G. karatagensis Ergens & Allamaturov, 1972 and G. tibetanus Dzhalilov, 1980 collected from T. stoliczkae Steindachner, 1866; G. nemachili Bychowsky, 1936, G. paranemachili Ergens & Bykhovsky, [1967](#page-10-7), G. pseudonemachili Ergens & Bykhovsky, [1967](#page-10-7) and G. gvosdevi Ergens & Kartunova, [1991](#page-10-6) from T. dorsalis Kessler, 1872.

Species identification of Gyrodactylus in earlier times is mainly based on the morphological features of the haptoral hard parts, especially the shape of the marginal hooks, which has proven to be a valuable character to distinguish closely related Gyrodactylus species (Malmberg [1970](#page-10-8); Zietara and Lumme [2004\)](#page-11-6). Gyrodactylus species on related hosts usually share marginal hooks resemblance (Huyse et al. [2003\)](#page-10-9), such as rugiensis group species on marine gobies (Huyse and

Volckaert [2002](#page-10-10)). For well over 25 years, Gyrodactylus has been identified using the molecular markers nuclear internal transcribed spacer region of ribosomal DNA (ITS rDNA), which encompasses ITS1-5.8S-ITS2. This indicates that ITS rDNA is an excellent tool for taxonomy and interspecific relationship inference (Cunningham [1997;](#page-10-11) Lumme et al. [2017;](#page-10-12) Pinacho-Pinacho et al. [2021\)](#page-11-7). Currently, there is no molecular data available for the description of Gyrodactylus species in Triplophysa hosts. In view of this, we applied morphometric and molecular methods to describe three new Gyrodactylus species on Triplophysa fish in Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, China.

Materials and Methods

Parasites collection and fixation

The fish Triplophysa orientalis Herzenstein, 1888 (one specimen) was collected from a wetland nearby Cuona Lake (Coordinates 32.14479N, 91.43786E; altitude 4553 m; temperature 3 °C), Anduo County, Naqu Prefecture, China on May 10, 2021. The first sampling site was in northern Tibet in the subcold monsoon climate was conected from a wettand nearby Cuona Lake (Coordinates 32.14479N, 91.43786E; altitude 4553 m; temperature 3 °C), Anduo County, Naqu Prefecture, China on May 10, 2021. The first sampling site was in northern Tibet in th rainfall range of 100 to 200 mm ([http://www.naqu.gov.cn/nqsrmzf/](http://www.naqu.gov.cn/nqsrmzf/c100074/list_tt.shtml) [c100074/list_tt.shtml\)](http://www.naqu.gov.cn/nqsrmzf/c100074/list_tt.shtml). Triplophysa sellaefer Fang, 1941 (10 specimens), T. scleroptera Herzenstein, 1888 (24 specimens) and T. robusta Kessler, 1876 (15 specimens) were collected from Lanzhou Reach of the Yellow River (Coordinates 36.42335N, 103.39658E; altitude about 2000 m; temperature 8 °C), Yongdeng County, Lanzhou City, China on October 14, 2021. The second sampling site was in the northeastern margin of QTP in the temperate continental climate zone, which has an annual average temperature of 10.9 °C and a rainfall of 300 mm [\(https://www.](https://www.lanzhou.gov.cn/col/col5/index.html) [lanzhou.gov.cn/col/col5/index.html](https://www.lanzhou.gov.cn/col/col5/index.html)). The fish, upon collection, were anaesthetized with 0.02% MS-222, and their body surface were examined for gyrodactylids using a stereoscopic microscope Stemi SV6/AxioCam MRc5 (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany); worms collected using fine-pointed forceps. Gyrodactylids were fixed in 75% alcohol, haptors and bodies of some fixed specimens were cut using a sharp scalpel for morphological and molecular identification.

Morphological analyses

Specimens were fixed in glycerine and ammonium picrate (GAP) (Ergens [1969](#page-10-13)) and photographed using Axioplan 2 imaging and Axiophot 2 (Zeiss). The body and dorsal bar were measured according to Christison et al. [\(2005](#page-10-14)); the hamulus, ventral bar, and marginal sickle were measured according to Shinn et al. ([2004](#page-11-8)); and the marginal hook filament loop was measured according to Malmberg ([1970](#page-10-8)). All the measurements were given in micrometers (μm). The type-material was remounted in Canada balsam and deposited in the Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan City, Hubei province, China.

Principal component analysis was used to assess the morphological differences between the three new species using 11 haptoral sclerite morphological traits (hamulus total length, hamulus shaft length, hamulus point length, hamulus root length, hamulus aperture distance, marginal hook total length, marginal hook shaft length, marginal hook sickle length, marginal hook sickle proximal width, marginal hook sickle distal width and marginal hook aperture) (Shinn et al. [1996](#page-11-6)). The analyses were based on the covariance matrix and performed in the R package "vegan" using logtransformed data (R Core Team [2017\)](#page-11-9).

DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from the worm body using a Tissue Cell Genome Kit (TIANGEN, Beijing, China) following the manufacturer's instructions. A partial sequence of the 18S rDNA gene was amplified using the forward primer PBS18SF (5'-CGC GCA ACT TAC CCA CTC TC-3') and reverse primer PBS1863R (5'-CAA AGG GCA GGG ACG TAT TCA GCA CA-3′) (Gilmore *et al.* 2012). The region of rDNA spanning the $5'$ end of partial sequences of the internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1), 5.8S rDNA, ITS2, and the 5' end of partial sequence of the 28S rDNA gene was targeted using primers BD1 (5'-GTC GTA ACA AGG TTT CCG TA-3') and BD2 (5'-TAT GCT TAARTT CAG CGG GT-3') (Luton *et al.* [1992\)](#page-10-15). The cox1 was amplified with cox1F (5'-TAG CNG CDG GNA THA CHA TG-3') and cox1R (5'-GGD TTA CCD CGH CGW GTW TG-3') primers (Jin *et al.* [2022](#page-10-2)). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification was conducted using LA Taq polymerase (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China) as follows: 5 min at 94 °C as the initial step; then 35 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 50 °C, 1 min at 72 °C, and the final step being 7 min at 72 °C. After purification by SanPrep Column PCR Product Purification Kit (Sangon Biotech), PCR products were sequenced with the primers described previously that were produced by Sangon Biotech and assembled manually with the help of DNAStar's SeqMan software (DNASTAR, Madison, WI).

Molecular analysis

The obtained sequences of 18S, ITS (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2), and cox1 were deposited in GenBank and compared using BLAST on the NCBI database [\(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)).

ITS sequences ([Table 1\)](#page-2-0) for molecular analysis were chosen based on similarity and hamulus resemblance, then imported into PhyloSuite (Xiang et al. [2023](#page-11-10); Zhang et al. [2020\)](#page-11-11) and aligned with other available Gyrodactylus spp. in GenBank by MAFFT 7.149 (Nakamura et al. [2018\)](#page-11-12) using the "G-INS-I" strategy and normal alignment mode. Poorly aligned segments were trimmed using Gblocks (Talavera and Castresana [2007\)](#page-11-13); parameters were set according to the previous research (Jin et al. [2022](#page-10-2)). The uncorrected p-distance among the three new species was calculated based on the aligned ITS sequences using Mega X (Kumar et al. [2018\)](#page-10-16). Gyrodactylus rugiensis Gläser, 1974 and G. rugiensoides Huyse & Volckaert, [2002](#page-10-10) from subgenus G. (Paranephrotus) were used as outgroups. Phylogenetic analyses based on the ITS sequences were performed using Maximum Likelihood methods. Based on the Akaike's information criterion, as implemented in ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. [2017](#page-10-17)), TVM+F+G4 was chosen as the best-fitting model for nucleotide evolution. Phylogenies were inferred using IQ-TREE (Minh et al. [2020\)](#page-10-9).

Results

Taxonomic summary

Family Gyrodactylidae van Beneden and Hesse, 1864. Genus Gyrodactylus von Nordmann, 1832. Subgenus G. (Limnonephrotus) Malmberg, [1970](#page-10-8). Species group G. nemachili Prikrylova et al. 2008.

Gyrodactylus triplorienchili n. sp. ([Fig. 1](#page-4-0), [Table 2\)](#page-3-0)

Description: Morphological measurements were conducted Subgents G. (*Limnonephrotus*) Malliberg, 1970.

Species group G. nemachili Prikrylova et al. 2008.
 Gyrodactylus triplorienchili n. sp. (Fig. 1, Table 2)
 Description: Morphological measurements were conducted

on 22 Species group G. Hemachin Prikrylova et al. 2006.
 Gyrodactylus triplorienchili n. sp. (Fig. 1, Table 2)
 Description: Morphological measurements were conducted

on 22 specimens. Body length 357.0 (260.0–471.4; $n = 15$

spike sensilla. Pharynx campaniform with anterior (smaller) and posterior pharyngeal bulb, total length 34.4 (32.2–35.5, $n = 4$), spike sensilla. Pharynx campaniform with anterior (smaller) and
posterior pharyngeal bulb, total length 34.4 (32.2–35.5, $n = 4$),
width 28.0 (23.7–33.3, $n = 4$). Male copulatory organ posterior to spike sensilla. Pharynx campaniform with anterior (smaller) and
posterior pharyngeal bulb, total length 34.4 (32.2–35.5, $n = 4$),
width 28.0 (23.7–33.3, $n = 4$). Male copulatory organ posterior to
pharynx 18.1 (16.0–19.7, large central spine with two medium spines on each side and rarge central spine with two mealum spines on each side and
eight smaller spines opposite to the central spine. Hamulus
total length 40.5 (38.9–43.3; $n = 18$); hamulus shaft length 30.0
(25.0–32.2; $n = 15$), proximal shaf width 26.0 (25.7–55.5, $n = 4$). Male copulatory organ posterior to
pharynx 18.1 (16.0–19.7, $n = 5$) in diameter, armed with a single
large central spine with two medium spines on each side and
eight smaller spines opposit pharyix 18.1 (16.0–19.7, $n = 3$) in diameter, armed with a single
large central spine with two medium spines on each side and
eight smaller spines opposite to the central spine. Hamulus
total length 40.5 (38.9–43.3; $n = 1$ total length 40.5 (38.9–43.3; $n = 18$); hamulus shaft length 30.0 (25.0–32.2; $n = 15$), proximal shaft width 6.2 (5.5–6.9; $n = 17$), distal shaft width 3.8 (3.1–4.3; $n = 16$); hamulus point length 24.5 $(25.0-32.2; n = 15)$, proximal shaft width 6.2 (5.5–6.9; $n = 17$), (25.0–52.2; $n = 15$), proximal shart width 6.2 (5.5–6.9; $n = 17$), distal shaft width 3.8 (3.1–4.3; $n = 16$); hamulus point length 24.5 (22.1–26.6; $n = 16$); hamulus root heavily bent, length 11.0 (10.3–12.7; $n = 16$); ha distal shalt width 3.8 (3.1–4.3; $n = 16$); hamilities point length 24.3
(22.1–26.6; $n = 16$); hamilies root heavily bent, length 11.0
(10.3–12.7; $n = 16$); hamilies aperture distance 14.1 (13.0–16.1;
 $n = 18$). Ventral bar (10.3–12.7; *n* = 16); hamulus aperture distance 14.1 (13.0–16.1; *n* = 18). Ventral bar width 26.5 (24.2–28.8; *n* = 14), total length 21.8 (19.2–23.6; *n* = 4); ventral bar process broad and triangular, length 2.8 (2.6– 21.8 (19.2–23.6; $n = 4$); ventral bar process broad and triangular, length 2.8 (2.6–3.4; $n = 8$); process-to-mid length 2.7 (1.9–3.8; $n = 6$), median length 5.1 (4.0–5.9; $n = 18$); ventral bar membrane *n* = 18). Ventral bar width 26.5 (24.2–28.8; *n* = 14), total length 21.8 (19.2–23.6; *n* = 4); ventral bar process broad and triangular, length 2.8 (2.6–3.4; *n* = 8); process-to-mid length 2.7 (1.9–3.8; *n* = 6), media 21.8 (19.2–25.6; $n = 4$); ventrai bar process broad and triangular,
length 2.8 (2.6–3.4; $n = 8$); process-to-mid length 2.7 (1.9–3.8;
 $n = 6$), median length 5.1 (4.0–5.9; $n = 18$); ventral bar membrane
extended "V" shape,

 $n = 13$), width 2.6 (2.0–3.1; $n = 17$). Marginal hook total
length 25.3 (24.3–26.7; $n = 11$); shaft length 19.5 (18.3–20.5; *n* = 13), width 2.6 (2.0–3.1; *n* = 17). Marginal hook total
length 25.3 (24.3–26.7; *n* = 11); shaft length 19.5 (18.3–20.5;
n = 11); sickle length 6.3 (5.7–6.8; *n* = 16); sickle shaft slender, $n = 11$; sickle length 6.5 (5.7–6.8; $n = 16$); sickle shart siender, steeply sloping; sickle point exceeded the toe, slightly curved downward; sickle proximal width 4.3 (4.0–4.7; $n = 17$); distal width 3.7 (2.9–4.6; $n = 1$ $n = 13$, width 2.6 (2.0–5.1; $n = 17$). Marginal nook total
length 25.3 (24.3–26.7; $n = 11$); shaft length 19.5 (18.3–20.5;
 $n = 11$); sickle length 6.3 (5.7–6.8; $n = 16$); sickle shaft slender,
steeply sloping; sickle poin length 25.5 (24.5–26.7; $n = 11$); sinal length 19.5 (18.5–20.5; $n = 11$); sickle length 6.3 (5.7–6.8; $n = 16$); sickle shaft slender, steeply sloping; sickle point exceeded the toe, slightly curved downward; sickle proxim downward; sickle proximal width 4.3 (4.0–4.7; $n = 17$); distal width 3.7 (2.9–4.6; $n = 16$); sickle base flat with quadrate heel and long triangular toe, toe length 2.0 (1.9–2.3; $n = 15$), with spike on top; marginal hook aperture 5.4 (4.6–6.1; $n = 16$); filament loop length 10.7 (9.3–12.2; $n = 10$).

Type host: Triplophysa orientalis Herzenstein, 1888.

Type locality: A wetland near Cuona Lake (32.14479N, 91.43786E), Salween River, Anduo County, NaQu Prefecture at northern Tibet, China.

Infection site: Caudal fin.

Type material: The type materials were fixed in GAP, dehydrated in graded alcohol, and mounted in Canada balsam. Holotype (TO-GA 202101) and five paratypes (TO-GA 202102-202106) are

Table 2. Morphometric parameters of Gyrodactylus triplorienchili n. sp., G. yellochili n. sp. and G. triplsellachili n. sp

Measurement	N_1	G. triplorienchili n. sp.	N_2	G. yellochili n. sp.	N_3	G. triplsellachili n. sp.
Body length	15	357.0 ± 53.2 (260.0-471.4)	3	412.0 ± 116.3 (317.5-541.9)	6	438.2 ± 33.6 (390.5-480.4)
Body width	15	89.5 ± 17.2 (65.1-134.7)	3	108.6 ± 25.0 (82.4-132.1)	6	131.5 ± 19.1 (108.6-155.4)
Dorsal bar total length	13	24.3 ± 2.1 (20.2-27.5)	21	22.8 ± 0.9 (20.8-23.7)	12	36.4 ± 1.7 (34.1-38.8)
Dorsal bar width	17	2.6 ± 0.3 (2-3.1)	23	3.0 ± 0.3 (2.6-3.6)	17	4.4 ± 0.5 (3.7-5.6)
Ventral bar length	$\overline{4}$	21.8 ± 2.0 (19.2–23.6)	8	23.9 ± 2.2 (20.8–26.7)	$\overline{4}$	26.9 ± 2.9 (24.0-30.7)
Ventral bar width	14	26.5 ± 1.7 (24.2–28.8)	13	24.8 ± 1.2 (22.8–26.7)	5	37.9 ± 3.2 (33.5-40.8)
Ventral bar process length	8	2.8 ± 0.3 (2.6-3.4)	6	1.7 ± 0.3 (1.4–2.1)	$\overline{4}$	3.4 ± 0.6 (2.7–4.0)
Ventral bar membrane length	4	15.2 ± 0.8 (14.3-16.0)	$\overline{7}$	16.0 ± 1.2 (14.7–17.6)	3	19.3 ± 4.3 (15.6v24.0)
Ventral bar median length	18	5.1 ± 0.6 (4.0-5.9)	13	5.9 ± 1.2 (4.2-7.8)	$\overline{4}$	10.2 ± 2.0 (8.2-12.9)
Ventral bar process to mid-length	6	2.7 ± 0.8 (1.9-3.8)	$\overline{4}$	2.2 ± 0.9 (1.4-3.5)	$\mathbf{3}$	1.5 ± 0.6 (1.0-2.2)
Hamulus total length	18	40.5 ± 1.3 (38.9–43.3)	29	40.5 ± 0.9 (38.2-42.6)	19	57.0 ± 1.6 (54.8-61.7)
Hamulus shaft length	15	30.0 ± 1.6 (25.0–32.2)	19	31.1 ± 0.9 (29.5-32.7)	13	41.3 ± 1.6 (38.5-44.2)
Hamulus point length	16	24.5 ± 1.1 (22.1–26.6)	19	25.4 ± 0.6 (23.8–26.4)	13	29.4 ± 0.7 (27.8-30.7)
Hamulus root length	16	11.0 ± 0.6 (10.3-12.7)	19	8.3 ± 0.5 (7.4-9.1)	15	14.2 ± 0.8 (13.1-15.7)
Hamulus aperture distance	18	14.1 ± 1.4 (13.0-16.1)	23	14.5 ± 1.0 (12.8-16.5)	13	26.0 ± 1.9 (22.8-30.0)
Hamulus proximal shaft width	17	6.2 ± 0.5 (5.5–6.9)	26	6.6 ± 0.3 (5.9–7.1)	17	9.1 ± 0.5 (8.0-10.0)
Hamulus distal shaft width	16	3.8 ± 0.4 (3.1-4.3)	19	4.2 ± 0.4 (3.7-4.8)	8	5.9 ± 0.4 (5.4–6.5)
Marginal hook total length	11	25.3 ± 0.7 (24.3-26.7)	24	24.8 ± 0.6 (23.3–26.4)	17	39.5 ± 1.7 (37.0-43.2)
Marginal hook shaft length	$11\,$	19.5 ± 0.7 (18.3-20.5)	24	19.1 ± 0.6 (18.0-21.0)	17	32.5 ± 1.5 (30.2-35.7)
Marginal hook sickle length	16	6.3 ± 0.3 (5.7–6.8)	24	5.5 ± 0.2 (5.1-5.8)	20	6.8 ± 0.3 (6.3-7.1)
Marginal hook sickle distal width	16	3.7 ± 0.5 (2.9–4.6)	24	3.4 ± 0.3 (3.0-4.0)	20	5.5 ± 0.4 (4.8–6.0)
Marginal hook sickle proximal width	17	4.3 ± 0.2 (4.0-4.7)	24	3.9 ± 0.3 (3.2-4.4)	20	5.4 ± 0.2 (4.9–5.7)
Marginal hook aperture	16	5.4 ± 0.4 (4.6-6.1)	24	5.3 ± 0.2 (4.9–5.7)	20	6.3 ± 0.3 (5.6-7.0)
Marginal hook toe length	15	2.0 ± 0.1 (1.9–2.3)	24	1.8 ± 0.1 (1.6-2.1)	20	2.0 ± 0.2 (1.8-2.4)
Marginal hook filament loop length	10	10.7 ± 0.9 (9.3-12.2)	20	10.7 ± 1.0 (9.4-12.5)	18	14.6 ± 1.1 (13.0-16.7)

N, the number of gyrodactylid specimens measured.

deposited in the Museum of the Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China.

Zoobank registration: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:DFF5B644-C63E-47A7-B9D5-D4F59CE4165D

Molecular marker: ITS rDNA (OP793877) comprises ITS1 (548 bp), 5.8S (157 bp) and ITS2 (390 bp). The partial 18S (OP793865) length 1200 bp. The partial cox1 (OP787151) length 1257 bp, 5.88 (157 bp) and ITS2 (390 bp). The partial 188 (OP793865) length 1200 bp. The partial cox1 (OP787151) length 1257 bp, with 99.6–100% sequence identity. A BLASTn search of the 5.8S sequence in GenBank revealed 100% identity with G. papernai Ergens & Bychowsky, [1967](#page-10-7) (AF484533), G. nemachili (OQ641770) and G. tayshirensis Lebedeva, Ziętara, Mendsaikhan, Ermolenko & Lumme, [2023](#page-10-18) (OQ641774) from Nemacheilidae fish, G. mongolicus Ergens & Dulmaa, 1970 (OQ641768), G. cf. lagowskii (OQ672253) and G. cf. mantshuricusi (OQ672248) from Cyprinidae fish, G. zavkhanensis Lebedeva, Ziętara, Mendsaikhan, Ermolenko & Lumme, [2023](#page-10-18) (OQ641773) from salmonids, and G. gymnodiptychi Zhang, Hao, Arken, Rong, Tian, Kadir & Yue, [2023](#page-11-16) (MH445968) from Schizothoracinae fish. The ITS rDNA sequence exhibited 94.7% identity with G. papernai, and cox1 showed 85.1% identity with G. kobayashii Hukuda, 1940 (NC_030050).

Etymology: The specific epithet was derived from the first five letters of the generic name and the first five letters of the species name of the type host, "Triplophysa orientalis", which ended with "chili" indicating the hamulus root inturning feature. The combined name was "triplorienchili".

Remarks: Gyrodactylus triplorienchili n. sp. was the first Gyrodactylus species reported on Triplophysa species in Tibet. The most distinctive feature of this species was hamulus root inturning, which was typically appeared on nemachili group species (Přikrylová et al. [2008\)](#page-11-4) such as G. incognitus, G. paranemachili, G. pseudonemachili, G. karatagensis, G. gvosdevi and G. nemacheili that were collected from Triplophysa fish at high-altitude areas in central Asia (Ergens and Kartunova [1991](#page-10-6)), or G. tayshirensis, G. mongolicus, and G. pavlovskyi Ergens & Bychowsky, 1967 collected from Barbatula spp. in Mongolia; G. papernai Ergens & Bychowsky, [1967](#page-10-7) and G. jiroveci Ergens & Bychowsky, [1967](#page-10-7) collected from Barbatula spp. in Europe (Lebedeva et al. [2023;](#page-10-18) Přikrylová et al. [2008\)](#page-11-4). The middle of the marginal hook sickles of G. nemacheili, G. jiroveci, G. incognitus, and G. pavlovskyi had a severe curvature, and the sickle point was comparatively longer than the shaft section. Whereas in G. triplorienchili n. sp., sickles were slender, which made it similar to G. nemacheili, G. paranemachili, G. karatagensis and G. pseudonemachili. However, G. triplorienchili n. sp. could be distinguished from those

shape, length 16.0 (14.7–17.6; $n = 7$). Dorsal bar straight without any
shape, length 16.0 (14.7–17.6; $n = 7$). Dorsal bar straight without any Figure 1. Light micrographs (A-E) and line drawings (F-L) of Gyrodactylus triplorienchili n. sp. A, central hook complex; B, ventral bar; C, dorsal bar; D-E, marginal hook; F, hamulus-bar complex; G, hamulus; H, dorsal bar; I, ventral bar; J-K, marginal hook; L, male copulatory organ. Scale bars: A-D, F-L 10 μm; E 5 μm.

species listed previously by different sickle base and toe shape. species listed previously by different sickle base and toe shape.
Hamulus total length of *G. triplorienchili* n. sp. (38.9–43.3) was species listed previously by different sickle base and toe shape.
Hamulus total length of *G. triplorienchili* n. sp. (38.9–43.3) was
longer than *G. nemacheili* (36–38) and *G. karatagensis* (32–34), species listed previously by different sickle base and toe shape.
Hamulus total length of *G. triplorienchili* n. sp. (38.9–43.3) was
longer than *G. nemacheili* (36–38) and *G. karatagensis* (32–34),
similar with *G. para* Hamulus total length of *G. triplorienchili* n. sp. $(38.9-43.3)$ was longer than *G. nemacheili* $(36-38)$ and *G. karatagensis* $(32-34)$, similar with *G. paranemachili* $(36-42)$. The marginal hook total length of *G.* riamuus total length of G. *triporientmit* if sp. (58.9–45.5) was
longer than G. *nemacheili* (36–38) and G. *karatagensis* (32–34),
similar with G. *paranemachili* (36–42). The marginal hook total
length of G. *triplorien* similar with *G. paranemachili* (36–38) and *G. karatagensis* (32–34), similar with *G. paranemachili* (36–42). The marginal hook total length of *G. triplorienchili* n. sp. (24.3–26.7) was longer than that of the *G. nema* and Allamuratov [1972;](#page-10-4) Přikrylová et al. [2008;](#page-11-4) Pugachev et al. [2009\)](#page-11-5).

Gyrodactylus yellochili n. sp. ([Fig. 2,](#page-5-0) [Table 2\)](#page-3-0)

Description: Morphological measurements were conducted on 32 shed Allamuratov 1972; Prikrylova *et al.* 2008; Pugachev *et al.* 2009).
 Gyrodactylus yellochili n. sp. (Fig. 2, Table 2)
 Description: Morphological measurements were conducted on 32

specimens. Body length 412.0 (*Gyrodactylus yellochili* n. sp. (Fig. 2, Table 2)
 Description: Morphological measurements were conducted on 32

specimens. Body length 412.0 (317.5–541.9; $n = 3$), width 108.6

(82.4–132.1; $n = 3$). Male copulatory or in diameter and armed with a single large central spine, two medium in diameter and armed with a single large central spine, two medium
spines on each side, and six smaller spines opposite the central spine.
Hamulus total length 40.5 (38.2–42.6; $n = 29$); shaft length 31.1
(29.5–32.7; $n =$ Specimens. Body length 412.0 (317.5–341.9; $n = 3$), width 108.6 (82.4–132.1; $n = 3$). Male copulatory organ 17.5 (15.8–19.2, $n = 2$) in diameter and armed with a single large central spine, two medium spines on each side, (82.4–132.1; $n = 3$). Male copulatory organ 17.5 (13.8–19.2, $n = 2$) in diameter and armed with a single large central spine, two medium spines on each side, and six smaller spines opposite the central spine. Hamulus tota spines on each side, and six smaller spines opposite the central spine.

Hamulus total length 40.5 (38.2–42.6; $n = 29$); shaft length 31.1

(29.5–32.7; $n = 19$), proximal shaft width 6.6 (5.9–7.1; $n = 26$), distal

shaft riamuus totai lengti 40.5 (58.2–42.6; $n = 29$); shatt lengti 51.1 (29.5–32.7; $n = 19$), proximal shaft width 6.6 (5.9–7.1; $n = 26$), distal shaft width 4.2 (3.7–4.8; $n = 19$); hamulus point length 25.4 (23.8–26.4; $n = 19$ shaft width 4.2 (3.7–4.8; $n = 19$); hamulus point length 25.4 (23.8–26.4; $n = 19$); hamulus root heavily bent, length 8.3 (7.4–9.1; $n = 19$); hamulus aperture distance 14.5 (12.8–16.5; $n = 23$). Ventral bar width 24.8 (22 $n = 8$); ventral bar process broad and triangular, length 1.7 (25.8–26.4; $n = 19$); hamulus root neavily bent, length 8.5 (7.4–9.1; $n = 19$); hamulus aperture distance 14.5 (12.8–16.5; $n = 23$). Ventral bar width 24.8 (22.8–26.7; $n = 13$), total length 23.9 (20.8–26.7; $n = 8$); vent *n* = 19); namulus aperture ulstance 14.5 (12.8–16.5; *n* = 25). Ventral bar width 24.8 (22.8–26.7; *n* = 13), total length 23.9 (20.8–26.7; *n* = 8); ventral bar process broad and triangular, length 1.7 (1.4–2.1; *n* = 6

shape, length 16.0 (14.7–17.6; $n = 7$). Dorsal bar straight without any decoration, total length of 22.8 (20.8–23.7; $n = 21$), width 3.0 shape, length 16.0 (14.7–17.6; $n = 7$). Dorsal bar straight without any decoration, total length of 22.8 (20.8–23.7; $n = 21$), width 3.0 (2.6–3.6; $n = 23$). Marginal hook total length 24.8 (23.3–26.4; shape, length 16.0 (14.7–17.6; $n = 7$). Dorsal bar straight without any decoration, total length of 22.8 (20.8–23.7; $n = 21$), width 3.0 (2.6–3.6; $n = 23$). Marginal hook total length 24.8 (23.3–26.4; $n = 24$); shaft leng decoration, total length of 22.8 (20.8–23.7; $n = 21$), width 3.0 (2.6–3.6; $n = 23$). Marginal hook total length 24.8 (23.3–26.4; $n = 24$); shaft length 19.1 (18.0–21.0; $n = 24$); sickle length 5.5 (5.1–5.8; $n = 24$); sick exceeded the toe, slightly curved downward; sickle proximal width (2.6–5.6; $n = 23$). Marginar nook total length 24.8 (25.5–26.4; $n = 24$); shaft length 19.1 (18.0–21.0; $n = 24$); sickle length 5.5 (5.1–5.8; $n = 24$); sickle shaft slender, steeply sloping; sickle point exceeded the toe, $n = 24$); snart length 19.1 (18.0–21.0; $n = 24$); sickle length 3.5 (5.1–5.8; $n = 24$); sickle shaft slender, steeply sloping; sickle point exceeded the toe, slightly curved downward; sickle proximal width 3.9 (3.2–4.4; (5.1–5.8; $n = 24$); sickie snait siender, steeply sloping; sickie pontinexceeded the toe, slightly curved downward; sickle proximal width 3.9 (3.2–4.4; $n = 24$); distal width 3.4 (3.0–4.0; $n = 24$); sickle base flat with 3.9 (3.2–4.4; $n = 24$); distal width 3.4 (3.0–4.0; $n = 24$); sickle base flat with quadrate heel and long triangular toe, toe length 1.8 (1.6–2.1; $n = 24$); instep with a spike; marginal hook aperture 5.3 (4.9–5.7; $n = 24$

Type host: Triplophysa scleroptera Herzenstein, 1888.

Other hosts: Triplophysa sellaefer Fang, 1941.

Type locality: Lanzhou Reach of the Yellow River (36.42335N, 103.39658E), Lanzhou City, China.

Infection site: Fins and skin.

Type material: Type materials were fixed in GAP, dehydrated in graded alcohol, and mounted in Canada balsam. Holotype (GQ-GH-202201) and five paratypes (GQ-GH-202202-202206) are deposited in the Museum of the Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China.

Zoobank registration: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:41077872-68FE-462E-AEFF-D7785EE56516

Molecular marker: ITS rDNA (OP793878) comprises ITS1 (570 bp), 5.8S (157 bp) and ITS2 (413 bp). The partial 18S

Figure 2. Light micrographs (A-E) and line drawings (F-L) of Gyrodactylus yellochili n. sp. A, central hook complex; B, ventral bar; C, dorsal bar; D-E, marginal hook; F, hamulus-bar complex; G, hamulus; H, dorsal bar; I, ventral bar; J-K, marginal hook; L, male copulatory organ. Scale bars: A-C, E-I, K-L 10 μm; D, J 5 μm.

(OP793867) length 1242 bp. The partial cox1 (OP787152) length 1171 bp. The 5.8S sequence was identical to G. triplorienchili n. sp. and G. triplsellachili n. sp. A BLASTn search of the ITS rDNA and 18S sequence in GenBank revealed 96.9% and 99.9% identity with G. triplorienchili n. sp., respectively.

Etymology: The specific epithet was derived from the sampling location "Yellow river", then ended with "chili", which indicates the inturning hamulus root feature. Thus, the combined names were "yellochili".

Remarks: Gyrodactylus yellochili n. sp. was the second Gyrodactylus species reported on Triplophysa fish in China. The sampling location of G. yellochili n. sp. was 1200 km distant away from G. triplorienchili n. sp. The two species could be easily confused because both have a slender marginal hook sickle, quadrate sickle heel, and long triangular sickle toe. The hamulus and marginal hook size in G. yellochili n. sp. was also similar to G. triplorienchili nook size in G. *yellochtu* ii. sp. was also similar to G. *triplorienchili* n. sp. (hamulus total length, 38.2-42.6 vs. 38.9-43.3; marginal hook total length, 23.3–26.4 vs. 24.3–26.7). However, *G. yellochili* n. sp. was because both have a siender marginal hook sickle, quadrate sickle
heel, and long triangular sickle toe. The hamulus and marginal
hook size in *G. yellochili* n. sp. was also similar to *G. triplorienchili*
n. sp. (hamulus heel, and long triangular sickle toe. The hamulus and marginal
hook size in *G. yellochili* n. sp. was also similar to *G. triplorienchili*
n. sp. (hamulus total length, 38.2-42.6 vs. 38.9-43.3; marginal hook
total length, total length, 23.3–26.4 vs. 24.3–26.7). However, *G. yellochili* n. sp. was shorter than *G. triplorienchili* n. sp. in hamulus root $(7.4–9.1$ vs. 10.3–12.7), marginal hook sickle $(5.1–5.8 \text{ vs. } 5.7–6.8)$ and ventral ba point in G. yellochili n. sp. was blunt and not exceeding the sickle base toe. In G. triplorienchili n. sp., sickle point was sharp and exceeded the sickle base toe. Middle part of the ventral bar was smooth in G. yellochili n. sp., but it was pleated in G. triplorienchili n. sp.

Gyrodactylus triplsellachili n. sp. ([Fig. 3](#page-6-0), [Table 2\)](#page-3-0)

Description: Morphological measurements were conducted smooth in G. *yellochtlt* n. sp., but it was pleated in G. *triplorienchtli*
n. sp.
Gyrodactylus triplsellachili n. sp. (Fig. 3, Table 2)
Description: Morphological measurements were conducted
on 22 specimens. Body len 11. sp. **Gyrodactylus triplsellachili n. sp.** (Fig. 3, Table 2)
 Description: Morphological measurements were conducted

on 22 specimens. Body length 438.2 (390.5–480.4; $n = 6$), width

131.5 (108.6–155.4; $n = 6$). Male $(n = 1)$, armed with a single large central spine, two medium spines on each side, and six smaller spines opposite the central spine. on 22 specimens. Body length 458.2 (590.5–480.4; $n = 6$), Width 131.5 (108.6–155.4; $n = 6$). Male copulatory organ diameter 26.6 ($n = 1$), armed with a single large central spine, two medium spines on each side, and six s 151.5 (108.6–155.4; $n = 6$). Male copulatory organ diameter 26.6 ($n = 1$), armed with a single large central spine, two medium spines on each side, and six smaller spines opposite the central spine. Hamulus total length 5 $(n = 1)$, affilied with a single large central spine, two medium spines
on each side, and six smaller spines opposite the central spine.
Hamulus total length 57.0 (54.8–61.7; $n = 19$); shaft length 41.3
(38.5–44.2; $n = 13$ (27.8–30.7; n = 13); hamulus root heavily inturning, inturning part (27.8–30.7; n = 13); hamulus point length 41.3 (38.5–44.2; n = 13), proximal shaft width 9.1 (8.0–10.0; n = 17), distal shaft width 5.9 (5.4–6.5; n = 17) riamulus total length 37.0 (34.8–61.7; $n = 19$); shall length 41.3 (38.5–44.2; $n = 13$), proximal shaft width 9.1 (8.0–10.0; $n = 17$), distal shaft width 5.9 (5.4–6.5; $n = 17$); hamulus point length 29.4 (27.8–30.7; $n = 1$ (38.5–44.2; $n = 13$), proximal shalt width 9.1 (8.0–10.0; $n = 17$), distal shaft width 5.9 (5.4–6.5; $n = 17$); hamulus point length 29.4 (27.8–30.7; $n = 13$); hamulus root heavily inturning, inturning part warping on each distal shart width 3.9 (3.4–6.3; $n = 17$); hamilities point length 29.4
(27.8–30.7; $n = 13$); hamilities root heavily inturning, inturning part
warping on each side, length 14.2 (13.1–15.7; $n = 15$); hamilities
aperture d (27.6–50.7; $n = 15$); haminus root neavily inturning, inturning part
warping on each side, length 14.2 (13.1–15.7; $n = 15$); haminus
aperture distance 26.0 (22.8–30.0; $n = 13$). Ventral bar dumpy,
width 37.9 (33.5–40.8; warping on each side, length 14.2 (15.1–15.7; $n = 15$); hamilitis aperture distance 26.0 (22.8–30.0; $n = 13$). Ventral bar dumpy, width 37.9 (33.5–40.8; $n = 5$), total length 26.9 (24.0–30.7; $n = 4$); ventral bar process 12.9; $n = 4$); ventral bar membrane extended in a "V" shape, length width $3/3$ (35.5–40.8; $n = 3$), total length 26.9 (24.0–50.7; $n = 4$);
ventral bar process broad and triangular, length 3.4 (2.7–4.0; $n = 4$);
process-to-mid length 1.5 (1.0–2.2; $n = 3$), median length 10.2 (8.2–
12. ventral bar process broad and triangular, length 3.4 (2.7–4.0; $n = 4$);
process-to-mid length 1.5 (1.0–2.2; $n = 3$), median length 10.2 (8.2–
12.9; $n = 4$); ventral bar membrane extended in a "V" shape, length
19.3 (15.6– process-to-find length 1.5 (1.0–2.2; $n = 3$), inedial length 10.2 (8.2–12.9; $n = 4$); ventral bar membrane extended in a "V" shape, length 19.3 (15.6–24.0; $n = 3$). Dorsal bar slightly curved in the middle part, without a 19.3 (15.6–24.0; *n* = 3). Dorsal bar slightly curved in the middle part, without any decoration, total length 36.4 (34.1–38.8; *n* = 12), width 4.4 (3.7–5.6; *n* = 17). Marginal hook huge, total length 39.5 (37.0–43.2; (6.3–7.1; $n = 20$); sickle shaft thick, proximal part approximately perpendicular to the base and middle part rapidly curved downward; sickle point far exceeding the sickle base toe; sickle proximal

Figure 3. Light micrographs (A-E) and line drawings (F-L) of Gyrodactylus triplsellachili n. sp. A, central hook complex; B, ventral bar; C, dorsal bar; D-E, marginal hook; F, hamulus-bar complex; G, hamulus; H, dorsal bar; I, ventral bar; J-K, marginal hook; L, male copulatory organ. Scale bars: A-C, E-L 10 μm; D 5 μm.

width 5.4 (4.9–5.7; $n = 20$); distal width 5.5 (4.8–6.0; $n = 20$); sickle base flat with quadrate heel and trapeziform toe, toe length 2.0 $(1.8-2.4; n = 20)$; marginal hook aperture 6.3 (5.6–7.0; $n = 20$); filament loop length 14.6 (13.0–16.7; $n = 20$).

Type host: Triplophysa sellaefer Fang, 1941.

Other hosts: Triplophysa robusta Kessler, 1876.

Type locality: Lanzhou Reach of the Yellow River (36.42335N, 103.39658E), Lanzhou City, China.

Infection site: Fins and skin.

Type material: Type materials were fixed in GAP, dehydrated in graded alcohol, and mounted in Canada balsam. Holotype (GQ-GC-202201) and five paratypes (GQ-GC-202202-202206) are deposited in the Museum of the Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China.

Zoobank registration: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:FCE32B1F-BB26- 4C00-946B-114F66BB7E7D

Molecular marker: ITS rDNA (OP793876) comprises ITS1 (571 bp), 5.8S (157) and ITS2 (409 bp). The partial 18S (OP793865) length was 1241 bp. The partial cox1 (OP787150) length was 1165 bp. The 5.8S sequence was identical to G. triplorienchili n. sp. and G. yellochili n. sp. The ITS rDNA and 18S sequence exhibited 95.4% and 99.8% identity with G. yellochili n. sp., respectively.

Etymology: The specific epithet was derived from the first five letters of the generic name and the first five letters of the species

name of the type host"Triplophysa sellaefer", then end with "chili", which indicates the bent hamulus root feature. The combined names became "triplsellachili".

Remarks: Gyrodactylus triplsellachili n. sp. was the third Gyrodactylus species collected from Triplophysa fish in China, the same location as G. yellochili n. sp. It could be easily distinguished from G. yellochili n. sp. by different opisthaptor size (hamulus total length, 54.8–61.7 vs. 38.2–42.6; marginal hook total length, 37.0–43.2 vs. 23.3–26.4). Both G. triplsellachili n. sp. and G. papernai had thick sickle shafts and elongated sickle point. Whereas in G. triplsellachili n. sp., sickle point smoothly curved and sickle base with quadrate heel and blunt toe. In G. papernai, sickle point sharply curved and sickle base with round heel and triangular toe [\(Fig. 4](#page-7-0)). Compared to other large opisthaptor size species in nemachili group such as G. papernai, G. triplsellachili n. sp. showed equal hamulus shaft leer and biunt toe. In G. *pupernat*, sickle point snarply curved and
sickle base with round heel and triangular toe (Fig. 4). Compared to
other large opisthaptor size species in nemachili group such as
G. *papernai*, G. sickie base with found heer and triangular toe (Fig. 4). Cother large opisthaptor size species in nemachili group.
G. *papernai*, G. *triplsellachili* n. sp. showed equal han length (38.5–44.2 vs. 38.0–43.0), but longer in

Morphometric analysis

Data for 11 morphometric features from 11 specimens of G. triplorienchili n. sp., 14 specimens of G. yellochili n. sp. and 10 specimens of G. triplsellachili n. sp. were included in the principal components analysis. The first principal component

Figure 4. Comparison of marginal hook sickle and hamulus-bar complex between Gyrodactylus triplorienchili n. sp., G. yellochili n. sp. and G. triplsellachili n. sp. and the morphologically similar species; G. nemacheili, G. pseudonemachili, G. pavlovskyi, G. paranemachili and G. karatagensis from Ergens and Kartunova ([1991](#page-10-6)); G. jiroveci and G. papernai and from Přikrylová et al. [\(2008\)](#page-11-4). Scale bars: 10 μm.

Figure 5. Principal component analysis plot of the 11 measurements on the opisthaptoral hard parts among G. triplorienchili n. sp., G. yellochili n. sp. and G. triplsellachili n. sp.

Figure 6. Molecular analysis of the nemachili group species, i.e., phylogenetic position inferred using the ITS rDNA sequences (A); and genetic distance inferred using the ITS rDNA sequences (lower left) and cox1 (upper right) (B). Node numbers represent the bootstrap values. China-QTP and China-XJ represent the location Qinghai-Tibet Plateau and Xinjiang Region in China, respectively. Cox1 data for Gyrodactylus mongolicus (OQ661870) and G. pseudonemachili (OQ661866) sourced from Lebedeva et al. ([2023\)](#page-10-18).

explained 87% of the data variability, to which the second component contributed 1.4%. The first component was associated with the total length of the hamulus root, marginal hook sickle, and marginal hook shaft length and marginal hook aperture. Symbols in the principal component analysis diagram indicated that the three new species could be well distinguished [\(Fig. 5](#page-7-1)).

Molecular analysis

The three new species formed a monophyletic group (99 of bootstrap) with G. papernai, G. jiroveci and G. pseudonemachili* (the asterisk represents that the name was previous attached to an ITS rDNA barcode AJ567674 but proved to be different from the type species G. pseudonemachili) from European Barbatula barbatula; G. pseudonemachili, G. nemachili, G. tayshirensis, G. mongolicus and G. zavkhanensis from Mongolian Oreoleuciscus spp. and Barbatula spp.; G. gymnodiptychi from Xinjiang region Gymnodiptychus dybowskii, all belonging to the nemachili group. G. triplorienchili n. sp. formed a sister clade (100 of bootstrap) with G. yellochili n. sp. and exhibited a relatively far phylogenetic relationship with G. triplsellachili n. sp. [\(Fig. 6A\)](#page-8-0). The genetic distances among Gyrodactylus triplorienchili n. sp., G. yellochili n. sp. and G. triplsellachili

n. sp. varied from 2.9 to 5.3% for ITS rDNA and 14.4 to 16.7% for cox1 ([Fig. 6B](#page-8-0)).

Discussion

Three new Gyrodactylus species, including G. triplorienchili n. sp., G. yellochili n. sp., and G. triplsellachili n. sp. have been described on Triplophysa fish in the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, China. These new species possessed the notable feature of"hamulus root inturning", a typical characteristic in nemachili group species (Ergens and Bykhovsky [1967;](#page-10-7) Přikrylová et al. [2008](#page-11-4)). Although G. yellochili n. sp. and G. triplsellachili n. sp. were collected from the same location (Yellow River), nevertheless, the latter had smaller opisthaptoral hard parts. Interestingly, G. yellochili n. sp. morphologically resembled G. triplorienchili n. sp., which was collected at a considerably higher altitude: i.e., both had long straight hamulus points, thin marginal hook sickle, and long triangular sickle toe. Multivariate analysis based on hamulus and marginal hooks suggested that the three new species could be effectively separated. The hamulus root and marginal hook sickle in G. triplorienchili n. sp. were longer than that of G. yellochili n. sp. Previous studies on specific Gyrodactylus species (Geets et al. [1999;](#page-10-21) Mo [1991;](#page-10-10) [1993](#page-11-13)) had documented a tendency for longer hamulus roots in colder water, whereas the marginal hook sickle was stable. For the two similar species described, the former feature variation might reflect difference in geographical and climatic conditions of their hosts. The varied features of the latter indicated that they belong to two distinct species. Morphological similarities among the three new species were further confirmed by molecular data, with an almost identical 18S sequence (99.8–99.9% similarity) among them. Genetic distance (p-distance for ITS rDNA) between G. triplorienchili n. sp. and G. yellochili n. sp. (2.9%) is closer than that between G. triplorienchili n. sp. and G. triplsellachili n. sp. (5.3%) but exceeding the 1% ITS rDNA sequence difference, which was sugexceeding the 1% 11S IDNA sequence difference, which was sug-
gested as a threshold for *Gyrodactylus* species delimitation (Ziętara
and Lumme 2002).
The three new species showed relatively low interspecific dif-
ferences and Lumme [2002](#page-11-18)).

The three new species showed relatively low interspecific difthe difference among other nemachili group members found in ferences of 2.9–5.3% (p-distance for ITS rDNA), which is below
the difference among other nemachili group members found in
Europe on *Barbatula barbatula* L. 6.5–8.2%, or higher than the difference between G. pseudonemachili and G. nemachili found in Mongolia on Barbatula sp. 2.3% [\(Fig 6B](#page-8-0)). In other Gyrodactylus species, sequence variation (Kimura 2P distances) within the parasite genus ranged from 2.7 to 56% and 1.5 to 38.7% for ITS1 and ITS2, respectively (Matejusová et al. [2001](#page-10-22)), and species differences (HKY distances for ITS1-ITS2) of the Gyrodactylus within host genus Pomatoschistus varied from 2.5 to 16.5% (Huyse and Volckaert [2002\)](#page-10-10). The low interspecific genetic differences reflect the tight phylogenetic relationship among nemachili group species, and their host stone loaches (including Triplophysa and Barbatula species) show a similar pattern, which include numerous morphologically and molecular-related species, with of the majority of them being concentrated in a plateau in Central Asia and adjacent regions (Li et al. [2017](#page-10-23); Tang et al. [2006](#page-11-19); Wang et al. [2016](#page-11-20), [2020](#page-11-14)).

Compared to other species groups, such as wageneri group and rugiensis group (Huyse and Volckaert [2002](#page-10-10); Malmberg [1970\)](#page-10-8) defined mainly based on the shape of the marginal hook sickle, nemachili group species showed higher shape consistency in hamulus, but less shape consistency in marginal hooks ([Fig. 4\)](#page-7-0). Sickle distal was smoothly curved in G. triplorienchili n. sp., G. yellochili n. sp., G. triplsellachili n. sp., G. nemachili, G. mongolicus, G. pseudonemachili, G. paranemachili and G. kataragensis. This sickles pattern can also be found in G. tokobaevi Ergens & Karabekova, [1980](#page-10-5), G. aksuensis Ergens & Karabekova, [1980](#page-10-5), G. llewellyni Ergens & Dulmaa, 1967, G. osoblahensis Ergens, 1963 and G. gracilihamatus Malmberg, 1964 parasitic on Cyprinidae fish (Pugachev et al. [2009\)](#page-11-5). Contrarily, the sickle point was sharply curved in G. jiroveci, G. zavkhanensis, G. papernai and G. pavlovskyi. Similarly, sickle pattern can also be found in the following eight species, parasitic on Cobitidae, Nemacheilidae, Cyprinidae, and Salmonidae: G. ajime Nitta, [2021](#page-11-14), G. asiaticus Ergens, 1978, G. cobitis Bychowsky, 1933, G. dzhalilovi Ergens & Ashurova, 1984, G. mantschuricus Ergens & Yukhimenko, 1977, G. pewzowi Ergens, 1980 and G. tincae Malmberg, 1957 (Nitta [2021\)](#page-11-14). Also, species within the nemachili group did not cluster based on their sickle shape; rather, they were scattered across the phylogenetic tree. Those molecularly they were scattered across the phytogenetic tree. I hose molecularly
closely related members such as *G. triplorienchili* n. sp. and
G. yellochili n. sp., or *G. nemachili*, *G. tayshirensis*, *G. mongolicus*
and *G. zav* G. yellochili n. sp., or G. nemachili, G. tayshirensis, G. mongolicus and G. zavkhanensis [\(fig. 6B](#page-8-0)) show greater morphology differences 4.3% p-distance for ITS1-ITS2 rDNA) (Razo-Mendivil et al. [2016\)](#page-11-21), and G. sphinx and G. gerasevi (1.3% p-distance for ITS rDNA) (Dmitrieva et al. [2022\)](#page-10-16).

Most species within the nemachili group were found on stone loach (Přikrylová et al. [2008](#page-11-4)). Although some members, such as G. mongolicus and G. pseudonemachili were collected from Oreoleuciscus humilis Warpachowski, 1889 (Cyprinidae), G. zavkhanensis was collected from Thymallus brevirostris Kessler, 1879 (Salmonidae) in Mongolia (Lebedeva et al. [2023\)](#page-10-18). G. gymnodiptychi was found on Gymnodiptychus dybowskii Kessler, 1874 (Cyprinidae) in Xinjiang, China (Zhang et al. [2023](#page-11-16)). Considering that there are geographical distribution overlaps between the aforementioned host species and stone loach, the appearance of nemachili group species on non-stone loach host is likely due to host switching (Ziętara and Lumme [2002\)](#page-11-18). Genetically, G. gymnodiptychi is relatively far from other members (see [Fig 6B](#page-8-0)), suggesting that host switching is an important trigger for diversification in Gyrodactylus (Boeger et al. [2003](#page-10-24)). In addition, the "inturning hamulus root" feature can also be found in many Gyrodactylus under saline conditions, such as G. orecchiae Paladini, Cable, Fioravanti, Faria, Di Cave & Shinn, [2009](#page-11-20) on Sparus aurata Linnaeus, 1758 (Sparidae) (Paladini et al. [2009](#page-11-20)), G. chileani Ziętara, Lebedeva, Muñoz & Lumme, [2012](#page-11-22) on Helcogrammoides chilensis Cancino, 1960 (Tripterygiidae) (Zietara et al. [2012](#page-11-22)), and G. amphibious Lebedeva, Muñoz & Lumme, [2021](#page-10-25), G. scartichthi Lebedeva, Muñoz & Lumme, [2021,](#page-10-25) G. viridae Lebedeva, Muñoz & Lumme, [2021](#page-10-25) and G. zietarae Lebedeva, Muñoz & Lumme, [2021](#page-10-25) on clingfsh Sicyases spp. (Gobiesocidae) (Lebedeva et al. [2021\)](#page-10-25). They are not closely related to nemachili group species; therefore, it is possible that hamulus root inturning is a result of morphology convergence under extreme conditions.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Mr. Fanglin Chen, Mr. Guangran Hu, and Mr. Hongpeng Lei for their assistance in collecting parasite samples.

Availability of data and material. Data are available from the authors upon reasonable request.

Author contribution. X.J.: fish examination, parasite collection, parasitologic analysis, manuscript writing; W.L., M.L., Z.D., and H.Z.: fish examination, parasite collection; W.L. and G.W.: designed the study; W.L., J.C. and K.A.: manuscript writing, review and editing. All authors have reviewed and approved the manuscript for publication.

Funding. This study was supported by the program for scientific research startup funds of Guangdong Ocean University (060302022309) and the Earmarked Fund for CARS (CARS-45).

Competing interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval. The authors assert that the animal studies were conducted in accordance with the ethical standards and approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Declarations

Consent for participation. All authors have approved the final version of the manuscript and consent to participate in publishing this manuscript in this journal.

Consent for publication. The authors of this manuscript unequivocally state that this manuscript is original research that has not been published previously and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, in whole or in part, and that all the authors consent to publishing this manuscript.

References

Abdel-Latif, H.M.R., and Khafaga, A.F. (2020) Natural co-infection of cultured Nile tilapia Oreochromis niloticus with Aeromonas hydrophila and Gyrodactylus cichlidarum experiencing high mortality during summer.
Aquacultur tured Nile tilapia Oreochromis niloticus with Aeromonas hydrophila and Gyrodactylus cichlidarum experiencing high mortality during summer.

- Bakke, T.A., Cable, J., and Harris, P.D. (2007) The biology of gyrodactylid monogeneans: the "Russian-Doll Killers". *Advances in Parasitology* **64**, **kke, T.A., Cable, J., and Harris, P.D.** (2007) The biolog
monogeneans: the "Russian-Doll Killers". *Advances in*
161–460. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-308X\(06\)64003-7.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-308X(06)64003-7)
- Boeger, W.A., Kritsky, D.C., and Pie, M.R. (2003). Context of diversification of the viviparous Gyrodactylidae (Platyhelminthes, Monogenoidea). Zoologica 161–460. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-308X(06)64003-7.
 eger, W.A., Kritsky, D.C., and Pie, M.R. (2003). Context of diversification

the viviparous Gyrodactylidae (Platyhelminthes, Monogenoidea). Zool.

Scripta 32, 437
- Christison, K.W., Shinn, A.P., and Van As, J.G. (2005) Gyrodactylus thlapin. sp. (Monogenea) from *Pseudocrenilabrus philander philander* (Weber) (Cichlidae) in the Okavango Delta, Botswana. Systematic Parasitology 60(3), n. sp. (Monogenea) from Pseudocrenilabrus philander philander (Weber) (Cichlidae) in the Okavango Delta, Botswana. Systematic Parasitology 60(3),
- Cunningham, C.O. (1997) Species variation within the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of G*yrodactylus* (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) ribosomal 165–173. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.2307/3284442)org/10.1007/s11230-004-6342-x.
 nningham, C.O. (1997) Species variation within the internal transcribed

spacer (ITS) region of *Gyrodactylus* (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) ribosomal

RNA genes. *The J* [org/10.2307/3284442.](https://doi.org/10.2307/3284442)
- Dmitrieva, E., Sanna, D., Vodiasova, E., Prokhorova, D., Casu, M., Burreddu, C., Piras, M.C., Garippa, G., and Merella, P. (2022) Morphological and genetic variability of the cryptic Gyrodactylus sphinx and Gyrodactylus gerasevi n. sp. (Platyhelminthes: Monogenea) from the Mediterranean Sea and Black Sea: Two new members of the cross-ocean distributed Gyrodactylus orecchiae species group. Journal of Helminthology 96, e9. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X21000778) [org/10.1017/S0022149X21000778.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X21000778)
- Ergens, R. (1969) The suitability of ammonium picrate-glycerin in preparing slides of lower Monogenoidea. Folia Parasitologica 16, 320.
- Ergens, R., and Allamuratov, A.B. (1972) Gyrodactylus karatagensis sp. n. (Monogenoidea) from the fins of Noemacheilus stoliczkai. Folia Parasitologica slides of lower
 1968 Supplementary

19(2), 178–178.
- Ergens, R., and Bykhovsky, B.E. (1967) Revision of the species Gyrodactylus nemachili Bychowsky, 1936 (Monogenoidea). Folia Parasitologica 14(3), $19(2)$, 17.
gens, R.,
nemachil
225–238.
- Ergens, R., and Karabekova, D.U. (1980) Two new species of Gyrodactylus (Monogenea) from Kirghizian Diptychus dybowskii (Cypriniformes). Folia 225–238.
gens, R., and Karabeko
(Monogenea) from Kirg
Parasitologica 27, 89–91.
- Ergens, R., and Kartunova, E.V. (1991) Gyrodactylus gvozdevi n. sp. (Gyrodactylidae: Monogenea) from Noemacheilus dorsalis (Kessler) in Kazakhstan. Parasitologica 27, 89–91.
gens, R., and Kartunova, E.V. (1991) Gyrodactylus *gvozdevi* n. sp. (Gyrodac
tylidae: Monogenea) from *Noemacheilus dorsalis* (Kessler) in Kazakhstan
Systematic Parasitology 18(2), 117–120. http
- García-Vásquez, A., Hansen, H., Christison, K.W., Bron, J.E., and Shinn, A.P. (2011) Description of three new species of Gyrodactylus von Nordmann, 1832 (Monogenea) parasitising Oreochromis niloticus niloticus (L.) and **rcía-Vásquez, A., Hansen, H., Christison, K.W., Bron, J.E., and Shinn, A.P.**
(2011) Description of three new species of *Gyrodactylus* von Nordmann, 1832
(Monogenea) parasitising *Oreochromis niloticus niloticus* (L.) and [https://doi.org/10.2478/s11686-011-0005-2.](https://doi.org/10.2478/s11686-011-0005-2)
- Geets, A., Appleby, C., and Ollevier, F. (1999) Host-dependent and seasonal variation in opisthaptoral hard parts of Gyrodactylus cf. arcuatus from three Pomatoschistus spp. and G. arcuatus from Gasterosteus aculeatus: a multi**ets, A., Appleby, C., and Ollevier, F.** (1999) Host-dependent and seasonal variation in opisthaptoral hard parts of *Gyrodactylus* cf. arcuatus from three *Pomatoschistus* spp. and *G. arcuatus* from *Gasterosteus aculeat* [S0031182099004400](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182099004400).
- Gilmore, S.R., Cone, D.K., Lowe, G., King, S.K., Jones, S.R.M., and Abbott, C.L. (2012) Molecular phylogeny of *Gyrodactylus* (Monogenea) parasitizing fishes in fresh water, estuarine, and marine habitats in Canada. *Canad* (2012) Molecular phylogeny of Gyrodactylus (Monogenea) parasitizing fishes in fresh water, estuarine, and marine habitats in Canada. Canadian Journal of
- Harris, P.D., Bachmann, L., and Bakke, T.A. (2011) The parasites and pathogens of the Atlantic salmon: lessons from *Gyrodactylus salaris*. In Aas Ø, Klemetsen A, Einum S and Skurdal J (eds), *Atlantic Salmon Ecology*. Oxf gens of the Atlantic salmon: lessons from Gyrodactylus salaris. In Aas Ø, Klemetsen A, Einum S and Skurdal J (eds), Atlantic Salmon Ecology. Oxford,
- Harris, P.D., Shinn, A.P., Cable, J., and Bakke, T.A. (2004) Nominal species of the genus *Gyrodactylus* von Nordmann 1832 (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae), UK.: Blackwell Publishing Ltd., 221–252.
uris, P.D., Shinn, A.P., Cable, J., and Bakke, T.A. (2004) Nominal species of
the genus *Gyrodactylus* von Nordmann 1832 (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae),
with a list of principal host [https://doi.org/10.1023/B:SYPA.0000038447.52015.](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:SYPA.0000038447.52015) e4. with a list of principal host species. Systematic Parasitology 59(1), 1–27.
https://doi.org/10.1023/B:SYPA.0000038447.52015. e4.
Huyse, T., Audenaert, V., and Volckaert, F.A.M. (2003) Speciation and host–
- parasite relationships in the parasite genus Gyrodactylus (Monogenea, Platyhelminthes) infecting gobies of the genus Pomatoschistus (Gobiidae, **yyse, T., Audenaert, V., and Volckaert, F.A.M.** (2003) Speciation and host-
parasite relationships in the parasite genus *Gyrodactylus* (Monogenea,
Platyhelminthes) infecting gobies of the genus *Pomatoschistus* (Gobiidae [doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519\(03\)00253-4.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(03)00253-4)
- Huyse, T., and Volckaert, F.A.M. (2002) Identification of a host-associated species complex using molecular and morphometric analyses, with the description of Gyrodactylus rugiensoides n. sp. (Gyrodactylidae, Monogenea). **Iyse, T., and Volckaert, F.A.M.** (2002) Identification of a host-associated species complex using molecular and morphometric analyses, with the description of *Gyrodactylus rugiensoides* n. sp. (*Gyrodactylidae, Monogenea* [S0020-7519\(02\)00026-7.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(02)00026-7)
- Jin, X., Li, W., Cheng, Y., Li, M., Wu, S., Zou, H., and Wang, G. (2022) Description of Gyrodactylus banmae n. sp. (Monogenea, Gyrodactylidae) parasitic on zebrafish, Danio rerio. Parasitology International 87, 102531. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2021.102531.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2021.102531)
- Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B.Q., Wong, T.K.F., von Haeseler, A., and Jermiin, L.S. (2017) ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nature Methods ¹⁴(6), 587–589. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4285) [nmeth.4285](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4285).
- Kritsky, C.D., Ali, H.A., and Khamees, R.N. (2013) Gyrodactylus aff. mugili Zhukov, 1970 (Monogenoidea: Gyrodactylidae) from the gills of mullets (Mugiliformes: Mugilidae) collected from the inland waters of southern Iraq, with an evaluation of previous records of Gyrodactylus spp. on mullets in Zhukov, 1970 (Monogenoidea: Gyrodactylidae) from the gills of mullets
(Mugiliformes: Mugilidae) collected from the inland waters of southern Iraq
with an evaluation of previous records of *Gyrodactylus* spp. on mullets in
 [13.047](https://doi.org/10.14411/fp.2013.047).
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., and Tamura, K. (2018) MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. 13.047.
 Imar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., and Tamura, K. (2018) MEGA X.

molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms.
 Molecular Biology and Evolution 35(6), 1547–1549. https://doi.org/ [molbev/msy096.](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096)
- Lebedeva, D., Muñoz, G., and Lumme, J.(2021) New salinity tolerant species of Gyrodactylus (Platyhelminthes, Monogenea) on intertidal and supratidal fish molbev/msy096.
bedeva, D., Muñoz, G., and Lumme, J. (2021) New salinity tolerant species of
Gyrodactylus (Platyhelminthes, Monogenea) on intertidal and supratidal fish
species from the Chilean coast. A*cta Parasitologi* [doi.org/10.1007/s11686-021-00347-x.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11686-021-00347-x)
- Lebedeva, D., Zietara, M., Mendsaikhan, B., Ermolenko, A., and Lumme, J. (2023) Survivors from a Pliocene climatic catastrophe: Gyrodactylus (Platyhelminthes, Monogenea) parasites of the relict fishes in the Central Asian Internal drainage basin of Mongolia. Diversity 15(7), 860. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/d15070860) [org/10.3390/d15070860.](https://doi.org/10.3390/d15070860)
- Li, J., Wang, Y.,Jin, H., Li, W., Yan, C., Yan, P., Zhang, X., He, S., and Song, Z. (2017) Identification of Triplophysa species from the Qinghai-Tibetan Platorg/10.3390/d15070860.
 J., Wang, Y., Jin, H., Li, W., Yan, C., Yan, P., Zhang, X., He, S., and Song, Z.

(2017) Identification of *Triplophysa* species from the Qinghai-Tibetan Plat-

eau (QTP) and its adjacent regions [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2016.11.045.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2016.11.045)
- Li, R., Li, W., Wu, X., and Wang, G. (2014) Identification of Gyrodactylus species in goldfish (Carassius auratus) through morphological study and the https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2016.11.045.
 R., Li, W., Wu, X., and Wang, G. (2014) Identification of *Gyrodactylus*

species in goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) through morphological study and the

analysis of the rDNA I <https://doi.org/10.7541/2014.135>
- Lumme, J., Ziętara, M.S., and Lebedeva, D. (2017) Ancient and modern genome shuffling: Reticulate mito-nuclear phylogeny of four related allopatric species of Gyrodactylus von Nordmann, 1832 (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae), ectoparasites on the Eurasian minnow Phoxinus phoxinus (L.) genome shuffling: Reticulate mito-nuclear phylogeny of four related allopat-
ric species of *Gyrodactylus* von Nordmann, 1832 (Monogenea: Gyrodactyli-
dae), ectoparasites on the Eurasian minnow *Phoxinus phoxinus* (L.)
(Cy [07/s11230-016-9696-y.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-016-9696-y)
- Luton, K., Walker, D., and Blair, D. (1992) Comparisons of ribosomal internal transcribed spacers from two congeneric species of flukes (Platyhelminthes: Trematoda: Digenea). Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 56(2), ton, K., Walker, D., and Blair, D. (1992) Comparisons of transcribed spacers from two congeneric species of fluke
Trematoda: Digenea). *Molecular and Biochemical* 1
323–327. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-6851\(92\)90181-I.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-6851(92)90181-I)
- Malmberg, G. (1970) The excretory systems and the marginal hooks as a basis for the systematics of Gyrodactylus (Trematoda, Monogenea). Arkiv for 323–327. https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-6851(92)90181-I.
almberg, G. (1970) The excretory systems and the margin
for the systematics of *Gyrodactylus* (Trematoda, Mon
Zoologie **23**, 1–237.
- Matejusová, I., Gelnar, M., McBeath, A.J.A., Collins, C.M., and Cunningham,
C.O. (2001) Molecular markers for gyrodactylids (Gyrodactylidae: Monogenea) from five fish families (Teleostei). *International Journal for Parasi* C.O. (2001) Molecular markers for gyrodactylids (Gyrodactylidae: Monogenea) from five fish families (Teleostei). International Journal for Parasitology
- Matejusová, I., Gelnar, M., Verneau, O., Fraser, C., and Littlewood, D.T.J. (2003) Molecular phylogenetic analysis of the genus *Gyrodactylus* (Platyhelminthes: Monogenea) inferred from rDNA ITS region: subgenera versus sp (2003) Molecular phylogenetic analysis of the genus Gyrodactylus (Platyhelminthes: Monogenea) inferred from rDNA ITS region: subgenera [S0031182003004098](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182003004098).
- Minh, B.Q., Schmidt, H.A.,Chernomor, O., Schrempf, D., Woodhams, M.D., Von Haeseler, A., and Lanfear, R. (2020) IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. Molecular inh, B.Q., Schmidt, H.A., Chernomor, O., Schrempf, D., Woodhams, M.D.
Von Haeseler, A., and Lanfear, R. (2020) IQ-TREE 2: new models and
efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. *Molecular*
Biology [msaa015](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa015).
- Mo, T.A. (1991) Variations of opisthaptoral hard parts of Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg, 1957 (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) on rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss (Walbaum, 1792) in a fish farm, with comments on the spreading of the parasite in south-eastern Norway. Systematic Parasitology Malmberg, 1957 (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae)
hynchus mykiss (Walbaum, 1792) in a fish far
spreading of the parasite in south-eastern Norv
20(1), 1–9. [https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00009706.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00009706)
- Mo, T.A. (1993) Seasonal variations of the opisthaptoral hard parts of Gyrodactylus derjavini Mikailov, 1975 (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) on brown trout Salmo trutta L. parr and Atlantic salmon S. salar L. parr in the River **S. T.A.** (1993) Seasonal variations of the opisthaptoral hard parts of *Gyrodactylus derjavini* Mikailov, 1975 (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) on brown trout *Salmo trutta* L. parr and Atlantic salmon *S. salar* L. parr in th [org/10.1007/BF00009730](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00009730).
- Nakamura, T., Yamada, K.D., Tomii, K., and Katoh, K. (2018) Parallelization of MAFFT for large-scale multiple sequence alignments. Bioinformatics 34 org/10.1007/BF00009730.
kamura, T., Yamada, K.D., Tomii, K., and Katoh, K. (2018) Pa
of MAFFT for large-scale multiple sequence alignments. *Bioinf*
(14), 2490–2492. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty121.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty121)
- Nitta, M. (2021) A new monogenean species, Gyrodactylus ajime n. sp. (Gyrodactylidae), parasitic on Niwaella delicata (Niwa), an endemic loach (14), 2490–2492. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty121.

itta, M. (2021) A new monogenean species, *Gyrodactylus ajime* n. sp.

(*Gyrodactylidae*), *parasitic on* Niwaella delicata (*Niwa*), *an endemic loach*
 o [s11230-021-09979-z.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-021-09979-z)
- Paladini, G., Cable, J., Fioravanti, L.M., Faria, J.P., Di Cave, D., and Shinn, P.A. (2009) *Gyrodactylus orecchiae* sp. n. (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) from farmed populations of gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) in the (2009) Gyrodactylus orecchiae sp. n. (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) from farmed populations of gilthead seabream (Sparus aurata) in the Adriatic Sea. Folia
- Peng, Z., Ho, S.Y.W., Zhang, Y., and He, S. (2006) Uplift of the Tibetan plateau: Evidence from divergence times of glyptosternoid catfishes. Molecu-Parasitologica 56(1), 21–28. https://doi.org/10.14411/fp.2009.004.
ng, Z., Ho, S.Y.W., Zhang, Y., and He, S. (2006) Uplift of the Tibetan
plateau: Evidence from divergence times of glyptosternoid catfishes. Molecu-
lar P [ympev.2005.10.016.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2005.10.016)
- Pinacho-Pinacho, C.D., Calixto-Rojas, M., García-Vásquez, A., Guzmán-Valdivieso, I., Barrios-Gutiérrez, J.J., and Rubio-Godoy, M. (2021) Species delimitation of Gyrodactylus(Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) infecting the southernmost cyprinids (Actinopterygii: Cyprinidae) in the New World. Parasitology Valdivieso, I., Barrios-Gutiérrez, J.J., and Rubio-Godoy, M. (2021) is delimitation of *Gyrodactylus* (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) infecting the ernmost cyprinids (Actinopterygii: Cyprinidae) in the New World. *Paras Resear*
- Přikrylová, I., Matějusová, I., Jarkovský, J., and Gelnar, M. (2008) Morphometric comparison of three members of the Gyrodactylus nemachili-like species group (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) on Barbatula barbatula L. . in the Czech Republic, with a reinstatement of G. papernai Ergens & Bychowsky, metric comparison of three members of the *Gyrodactylus nemachili-like*
species group (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) on *Barbatula barbatula* L. . *in*
the Czech Republic, with a reinstatement of G. papernai Ergens & Bychowsk [007-9106-6.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-007-9106-6)
- Pugachev, O.N., Gerasev, P.I., Gussev, A.V., Ergens, R., and Khotenowsky, I. (2009) Guide to Monogenoidea of freshwater fish of Palaeartic and Amur regions. Milan: Ledizione-Ledi Publishing.
- R Core, Team (2017) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Rahmouni, C., Seifertová, M., and Šimková, A. (2023) Revealing the hidden diversity of Gyrodactylus communities (Monogenea, Gyrodactylidae) from Nearctic Catostomidae and Leuciscidae fish hosts (Teleostei, Cypriniformes), with descriptions of ten new species. Parasite 30, 40. [https://doi.org/10.1051/](https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2023035) [parasite/2023035.](https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2023035)
- Razo-Mendivil, U., García-Vásquez, A., and Rubio-Godoy, M. (2016) Spot the difference: Two cryptic species of *Gyrodactylus* von Nordmann, 1832 (Platyhelminthes: Monogenea) infecting *Astyanax aeneus* (Actinopterygii, Char the difference: Two cryptic species of Gyrodactylus von Nordmann, 1832 (Platyhelminthes: Monogenea) infecting Astyanax aeneus (Actinopterygii, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2016.05.009.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2016.05.009)
- Sheraliev, B., and Peng, Z. (2021) Triplophysa ferganaensis, a new loach species from Fergana Valley in Central Asia (Teleostei: Nemacheilidae). Journal of https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2016.05.009.
eraliev, B., and Peng, Z. (2021) *Triplophysa ferganaensis*, an
from Fergana Valley in Central Asia (Teleostei: Nemacheil
Fish Biology **99**, 807–817. https://doi.org/10.111
- Shinn, A.P., des Clers, S., Gibson, D.I., and Sommerville, C.(1996) Multivariate analyses of morphometrical features from Gyrodactylus spp. (Monogenea) parasitising British salmonids: Light microscope based studies. Systematic **inn, A.P., des Clers, S., Gibson, D.I., and Sommerville, C.** (1996) lanalyses of morphometrical features from *Gyrodactylus* spp. (Narasitising British salmonids: Light microscope based studies. Parasitology 33(2), 115–12
- Shinn, A.P., Hansen, H., Olstad, K., Bachmann, L., and Bakke, T.A. (2004) The use of morphometric characters to discriminate specimens of laboratoryreared and wild populations of Gyrodactylus salaris and G. thymalli (Monogenea). Parasitology 33(2), 115–125. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00009427.
 inn, A.P., Hansen, H., Olstad, K., Bachmann, L., and Bakke, T.A.

The use of morphometric characters to discriminate specimens of labo

reared and wild po
- Talavera, G., and Castresana, J. (2007) Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. **lavera, G., and Castresana, J.** (2007) Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments.
Syste*matic Biology* **56(4)**, 564–577. https://doi.org/10.1080/10
- Tang, Q., Liu, H., Mayden, R., and Xiong, B. (2006) Comparison of evolutionary rates in the mitochondrial DNA cytochrome b gene and control region and their implications for phylogeny of the Cobitoidea (Teleostei: ng, Q., Liu, H., Mayden, R., and Xiong, B. (2006) Comparison of evolutionary rates in the mitochondrial DNA cytochrome b gene and control
region and their implications for phylogeny of the Cobitoidea (Teleostei
Cypriniform [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2005.08.007.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2005.08.007)
- Wang, T., Zhang, Y.,Yang, Z., Liu, Z., and Du, Y.(2020) DNA barcoding reveals cryptic diversity in the underestimated genus Triplophysa (Cypriniformes: Cobitidae, Nemacheilinae) from the northeastern Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. BMC Evolutionary Biology 20(1), 151. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-020-01718-0>.
- Wang, Y., Shen, Y., Feng, C., Zhao, K., Song, Z., Zhang, Y., Yang, L., and He, S. (2016) Mitogenomic perspectives on the origin of Tibetan loaches and their adaptation to high altitude. Scientific Reports 6, 29690. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep29690) [org/10.1038/srep29690](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep29690).
- Wu, Y., and Tan, Q. (1991) Characteristic of the fish-fauna of the characteristic of Qinghai-Xizang Plateau and its geological distribution and formation. Acta Zoologica Sinica ³⁷(02), 135–152.
- Xiang, C., Gao, F., Ivan, J., Lei, H., He, Y., Zhang, H., Zou, H., Wang, G., and Zhang, D. (2023) Using PhyloSuite for molecular phylogeny and tree-based analyses. iMeta 2(1), e87. [https://doi.org/10.1002/imt2.87.](https://doi.org/10.1002/imt2.87)
- Zhang, D., Gao, F., Ivan, J., Zou, H., Zhang, J., Li, W., and Wang, G. (2020) PhyloSuite: An integrated and scalable desktop platform for streamlined molecular sequence data management and evolutionary phylogenetics studies. Molecular Ecology Resources ²⁰(1), 348–355. [https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13096) [0998.13096.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13096)
- Zhang, W., Hao, C., Arken, K., Rong, M., Tian, S., Kadir, M., and Yue, C. (2023) New species of *Gyrodactylus* von Nordmann, 1832 (Monogenoidea: Gyrodactylidae) from *Gymnodiptychus dybowskii* (Kessler, 1874) (Schizothoracinae) in the Kunes River (Yili River basin), China. *International Journal for Pa* tylidae) from Gymnodiptychus dybowskii (Kessler, 1874) (Schizothoracinae) in the Kunes River (Yili River basin), China. International Journal for Parasitology: [10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2023.10.002).
- Zheng, L., Du, L., Chen, X., and Yang, J. (2009) A new species of genus Triplophysa (Nemacheilinae: Balitoridae), Triplophysa longipectoralis sp. nov, 10.002.
 eng, L., Du, L., Chen, X., and Yang, J. (2009) A new species of genus
 Triplophysa (Nemacheilinae: Balitoridae), *Triplophysa longipectoralis* sp. nov.

from Guangxi, China. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 8 [org/10.1007/s10641-009-9485-4.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-009-9485-4)
- Zietara, M.S., Lebedeva, D., Muñoz, G., and Lumme, J. (2012) A monogenean
fish parasite, *Gyrodactylus chileani* n. sp., belonging to a novel marine species
lineage found in the South-Eastern Pacific and the Mediterranean fish parasite, Gyrodactylus chileani n. sp., belonging to a novel marine species lineage found in the South-Eastern Pacific and the Mediterranean and North [012-9379-2.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-012-9379-2)
- Ziętara, M.S., and Lumme, J. (2002) Speciation by host switch and adaptive radiation in a fish parasite genus Gyrodactylus (Monogenea, Gyrodactylidae). 012-9379-2.
 example 36.6 (2002) Speciation by host switch and adaptive radiation in a fish parasite genus *Gyrodactylus* (Monogenea, Gyrodactylidae).
 Evolution 56(12), 2445–2458. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.
- Ziętara, M.S., and Lumme, J. (2003) The crossroads of molecular, typological and biological species concepts: two new species of Gyrodactylus Nordmann, Evolution 56(12), 2445-2458. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb00170.x.
 stara, M.S., and Lumme, J. (2003) The crossroads of molecular, typological

and biological species concepts: two new species of *Gyrodacty* [https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023938415148.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023938415148)
- Ziętara, M.S., and Lumme, J. (2004) Comparison of molecular phylogeny and morphological systematics in fish parasite genus Gyrodactylus Nordmann, 1832 (Monogenea, Gyrodactylidae). Zoological systematic Poloniae 49, 5–28.
1832 (Monogenea, Gyrodactylidae). Zoologica Poloniae 49, 5–28.
1832 (Monogenea, Gyrodactylidae). Zoologica Poloniae 49, 5–28.
- Zietara, M.S., Kuusela, J., Veselov, A., and Lumme, J. (2008). Molecular faunistics of accidental infections of *Gyrodactylus* Nordmann, 1832 (Monogenea) parasitic on salmon *Salmo salar* L. and brown trout *Salmo trutta* faunistics of accidental infections of Gyrodactylus Nordmann, 1832 (Monogenea) parasitic on salmon Salmo salar L. and brown trout Salmo trutta L. in [s11230-007-9121-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-007-9121-7)