

# A note on zero-sets in the Stone-Čech compactification

D. Rudd

The ring  $C(X)$  is the ring of all continuous real-valued functions on a completely regular Hausdorff space  $X$ , and  $\beta X$  is the Stone-Čech compactification of  $X$ .

The author proves a theorem which leads to a characterization of those zero-sets in  $X$  whose closures (in  $\beta X$ ) are zero-sets in  $\beta X$ , and relates this characterization to the ideals in the ring  $C(X)$ .

## Introduction

If  $X$  is a space in which  $C(X)$  only has bounded members, that is,  $X$  is *pseudocompact*, then

- (1) the uniform closure of any ideal in  $C(X)$  is the same as its  $m$ -closure, and
- (2) for any function  $f \in C(X)$ ,

$$\text{cl}_{\beta X} \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0\} = \{p \in \beta X \mid f^*(p) = 0\},$$

where  $f^*$  denotes the extension of  $f$  to  $\beta X$ . (See [1, 7Q].)

Indeed, both (1) and (2) are each equivalent to pseudocompactness of  $X$ .

In this note we consider the case in which  $X$  is not pseudocompact. We then characterize those functions for which (2) does not hold and show that these are precisely the functions which "cause" (1) not to hold. We

---

Received 18 November 1974.

also obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the closure (in  $\beta X$ ) of a zero-set in  $X$  to be a zero-set in  $\beta X$ . (See [1, 6E.2].)

### Preliminaries

The reader is referred to [1] and [2, §2] for background information and notations.

We shall let  $X$  denote an arbitrary completely regular Hausdorff space. (Of course, if  $X$  is pseudocompact, the assertions in this paper are vacuous.)

We point out that for  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $Z(f)$  denotes  $\{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0\}$ , and  $Z(f^*)$  denotes  $\{p \in \beta X \mid f^*(p) = 0\}$ . If  $f \in C^*(X)$ , that is, if  $f$  is bounded, then  $f^*$  is denoted by  $\hat{f}$ .

For a subset  $S$  of  $\beta X$ , we denote  $\text{cl}_{\beta X}(S)$  by  $S^\beta$ .

For an ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$ ,  $I^\mu$  denotes the uniform closure of  $I$ , and  $I^m$  denotes the  $m$ -closure. (See [2, 2.4].)

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Let  $f \in C(X)$ . Then a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be a near-zero set for  $f$ , if for any  $\delta > 0$ , there is an  $a \in A$  with  $|f(a)| < \delta$ .

**THEOREM 1.2.** Let  $f \in C(X)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $Z(f^*) \neq Z(f)^\beta$ ;
- (ii) there is a near-zero set for  $f$  which is completely separated from  $Z(f)$ ;
- (iii) there is a maximal ideal  $M$  in  $C(X)$  so that  $f \in M^\mu \setminus M$ ;
- (iv) there is an ideal  $I$  in  $C(X)$  so that  $f \in I^\mu \setminus I^m$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). Since  $Z(f^*) \supseteq Z(f)^\beta$  ([1, 7.11]), the hypothesis implies that there is a  $p \in Z(f^*) \setminus Z(f)^\beta$ . Hence there is a neighborhood  $W$  of  $p$  so that  $W^\beta \cap Z(f)^\beta = \emptyset$ . Let  $A = W \cap X$ , and consider  $\delta > 0$ . Since  $\{p \in \beta X \mid -\delta < f^*(p) < \delta\} \cap W$  is an open (in  $\beta X$ ) neighborhood of  $p$ , it follows that  $(f^*)^{-1}(-\delta, \delta) \cap (W \cap X) \neq \emptyset$ , and hence  $A$  is a near-

zero set for  $f$ . Also, since  $W^\beta$  and  $Z(f)^\beta$  are completely separated in  $\beta X$ ,  $A$  and  $Z(f)$  are completely separated in  $X$ .

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii). Let  $A$  be a near-zero set for  $f$  which is completely separated from  $Z(f)$ . Then the closures  $A^\beta$  and  $Z(f)^\beta$  are disjoint in  $\beta X$ . (See [1, 6.5 III].) For each  $\delta > 0$ , let

$$F_\delta = A^\beta \cap \{p \in \beta X \mid -\delta \leq f^*(p) \leq \delta\}.$$

By the compactness of  $\beta X$ , there is a  $p \in \bigcap \{F_\delta \mid \delta > 0\}$ , and this  $p$  has the property that  $f^*(p) = 0$ . Since  $p \in A^\beta$ ,  $p \notin Z(f)^\beta$ , and we have, using [2, 2.4], that  $f \in (M^p)^\omega \setminus M^p$ .

(iii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). If  $f \in (M^p)^\omega \setminus M^p$ , then  $p \in Z(f^*) \setminus Z(f)^\beta$ . The equivalence of (iii) and (iv) follows from [2, 5.2] and [1, 7Q.2].

**COROLLARY 1.3.** *Let  $f \in C(X)$ . Then  $Z(f)^\beta$  is a zero-set in  $\beta X$  if and only if there is a  $g \in C^*(X)$  so that  $Z(f) = Z(g)$ , and no near-zero set for  $g$  is completely separated from  $Z(g)$ . In this case,  $Z(f)^\beta = Z(\hat{g})$ . Furthermore, given the zero-set  $Z(\hat{g})$  in  $\beta X$ , it is of the form  $Z(f)^\beta$  for some  $f \in C(X)$  if and only if no near-zero set for  $g$  is completely separated from  $Z(g)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $Z(f)^\beta$  is a zero-set in  $\beta X$ , say  $Z(f)^\beta = Z(\hat{g})$ . Then, intersecting with  $X$ , we have that  $Z(f) = Z(g)$ , from which it follows that  $Z(g)^\beta = Z(\hat{g})$ . By Theorem 1.2, no near-zero set for  $g$  can be completely separated from  $Z(g)$ .

Conversely, if  $g \in C^*(X)$  which satisfies the hypotheses of the corollary, then it follows by Theorem 1.2 that  $Z(g)^\beta = Z(\hat{g})$ , and hence  $Z(\hat{g}) = Z(f)^\beta$ .

The rest of the corollary follows easily.

**EXAMPLE 1.4.** Let  $X$  denote the non-negative reals and define  $f(x) = x$  on  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  and  $f(x) = 1/x$  for  $x \geq 1$ . Then  $[1, \infty)$  is a near-zero set for  $f$  which is completely separated from  $Z(f)$ , and

hence  $Z(f)^\beta \neq Z(\hat{f})$ . However  $Z(f)^\beta = \{0\}$ , a zero-set in  $\beta X$ . Thus  $Z(f)^\beta$  can be a zero-set in  $\beta X$ , even if it is not the zero-set of  $f^*$ .

**EXAMPLE 1.5.** Consider the sine function on the non-negative reals. Since  $Z(\text{sine})$  is countable and discrete, it follows that there is a near-zero set for sine which is completely separated from  $Z(\text{sine})$ , and hence  $\text{sine} \in M \setminus M$  for some maximal ideal  $M$ . Also  $Z(\text{sine})^\beta$  can not be a zero-set in  $\beta X$ , because if  $Z(\text{sine}) = Z(g)$  for any  $g \in C^*(X)$ , then there would be a near-zero set for  $g$  which is completely separated from  $Z(g)$ .

**REMARK 1.6.** It is believed that the equivalence of condition (2) in the introduction with pseudocompactness of  $X$  is well known, but the author could find no direct reference. A proof could easily be written based on Theorem 1.2.

### References

- [1] Leonard Gillman and Meyer Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions* (Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey; Toronto; London; New York; 1960).
- [2] David Rudd, "On isomorphisms between ideals in rings of continuous functions", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **159** (1971), 335-353.

Department of Mathematics,  
Old Dominion University,  
Norfolk,  
Virginia,  
USA.