*Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 100 (2019), 419–427 doi:10.1017/S0004972719000583

# DIAMETER OF COMMUTING GRAPHS OF SYMPLECTIC ALGEBRAS

#### [XIANYA](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4261-8506) GENG, [LITING](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7650-7436) FAN and [XIAOBIN](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3370-3004) MA

(Received 24 February 2019; accepted 28 March 2019; first published online 4 June 2019)

#### Abstract

Let F be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and let sp(2*l*, F) be the rank *l* symplectic algebra<br>of all 2*l* × 2*l* matrices  $x = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^t \end{pmatrix}$  over F, where A<sup>t</sup> is the transpose of A and B, C ar order *<sup>l</sup>*. The commuting graph <sup>Γ</sup>(sp(2*l*, *<sup>F</sup>*)) of sp(2*l*, *<sup>F</sup>*) is a graph whose vertex set consists of all nonzero elements in  $sp(2l, F)$  and two distinct vertices *x* and *y* are adjacent if and only if  $xy = yx$ . We prove that the diameter of  $\Gamma(\text{sp}(2l, F))$  is 4 when  $l > 2$ .

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 05C50; secondary 15A27, 15A30. *Keywords and phrases*: commuting graphs, diameter, Lie algebras.

### 1. Introduction

The diameters of commuting graphs over groups, semigroups, rings and associative algebras and the isomorphisms between commuting graphs are well studied (see, for example,  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$ ). In particular, let *R* be a noncommutative ring or an associative algebra and  $Z(R)$  be its centre. The commuting graph of R was defined in [\[3\]](#page-8-1) to be the graph  $\Gamma(R)$  whose vertex set is  $R \setminus Z(R)$ , and two distinct vertices *x*, *y* are joined by an edge whenever  $xy = yx$ , or equivalently, the bracket product  $[x, y] = xy - yx$ of *x* and *y* is 0. Denote by  $M_n(R)$  the full matrix ring of all  $n \times n$  matrices over a ring *R*. Akbari *et al.* [\[4\]](#page-8-2) proved that if  $n \ge 3$  and *F* is an algebraically closed field, then the diameter of  $\Gamma(M_n(F))$  is always 4 and, if *F* is not algebraically closed, then either the commuting graph is disconnected or the diameter is between 4 and 6. They conjectured that the diameter of  $\Gamma(M_n(F))$  is at most 5. When  $n = 2$ , [\[5,](#page-8-3) Remark 8] shows that the commuting graph of  $M_n(F)$  is always disconnected. Miguel [\[12\]](#page-8-4) confirmed the conjecture proposed in [\[4\]](#page-8-2) by proving that the diameter of the commuting graph of the full matrix ring over the real numbers is at most 5. Dolžan *et al.* [\[8\]](#page-8-5) determined the diameters of the commuting graphs of the set of all nilpotent matrices over a semiring, the group of all invertible matrices over a semiring and the

Financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11671164, 11271149).

c 2019 Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

full matrix semiring. Dolžan *et al.* [\[7\]](#page-8-6) obtained the diameters of commuting graphs of matrices over the binary Boolean semiring, the tropical semiring and an arbitrary nonentire commutative semiring, and found a lower bound for the diameter of the commuting graph of the semigroup of matrices over an arbitrary commutative entire antinegative semiring. For any composite *m*, Giudici and Pope [\[9\]](#page-8-7) proved that the diameter of  $\Gamma(M_n(Z_m))$  is 3.<br>Let F be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and let sp(2*l*, F) be the

Let *F* be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and let sp(2*l*, *F*) be the symplectic algebra of rank *l* consisting of all  $2l \times 2l$  matrices  $x = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^l \end{pmatrix}$  over *F*, where *A*<sup>t</sup> is the transpose of *A* and *B*, *C* are symmetric matrices of order *l*. The commuting oranh  $\Gamma(\text{sn}(2l, F))$  of sp(2*l F*) is a graph whose vertex set is the set of all nonzero graph  $\Gamma(\text{sp}(2l, F))$  of  $\text{sp}(2l, F)$  is a graph whose vertex set is the set of all nonzero elements in  $sp(2l, F)$ , and two distinct vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if  $xy = yx$  (or equivalently, the bracket product  $[x, y] = xy - yx$  of *x* and *y* is zero). The symplectic algebra  $sp(2l, F)$  is important because as a Lie algebra (with respect to the bracket product  $[x, y] = xy - yx$ , it is one of the nine simple Lie algebras over *F*. To reveal the commuting relations of elements in sp(2*l*, *<sup>F</sup>*), we determine the diameter of the commuting graph  $\Gamma(\text{sp}(2l, F))$  of sp $(2l, F)$ .

<span id="page-1-0"></span><sup>T</sup>heorem 1.1. *Let F be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. If l* > <sup>2</sup>*, then the diameter of the commuting graph* <sup>Γ</sup>(sp(2*l*, *<sup>F</sup>*)) *of the symplectic algebra* sp(2*l*, *<sup>F</sup>*) *is* 4*.*

REMARK 1.2. When  $l = 1$ , sp(2*l*, *F*) is the Lie algebra of type  $A_1$  consisting of all  $2 \times 2$ matrices of trace 0. By [\[5,](#page-8-3) Remark 8], we easily find that the commuting graph of sp(2, *F*) is disconnected. However, the diameter of  $\Gamma(\text{sp}(2l, F))$  with  $l = 2$  seems quite different from the cases where  $l > 2$ . We conjecture that the diameter of  $\Gamma(\text{sp}(4, F))$ is 5.

#### 2. Proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0)

Let  $M_{2l}(F)$  be the set of all  $2l \times 2l$  matrices over *F* and let  $e_{ij} \in M_{2l}(F)$  be the matrix with 1 at the (*i*, *<sup>j</sup>*)th position and 0 elsewhere. Put

$$
E_{ij} = e_{ij} - e_{j+l,i+l}, \quad 1 \le i, j \le l,
$$
  
\n
$$
E_{p,-q} = e_{p,q+l} + e_{q,p+l}, \quad 1 \le p < q \le l,
$$
  
\n
$$
E_{-r,s} = e_{r+l,s} + e_{s+l,r}, \quad 1 \le r < s \le l,
$$

and put

$$
E_{p,-p} = e_{p,p+l}, \quad \text{for } l \le p \le l,
$$
  

$$
E_{-r,r} = e_{r+l,r}, \quad \text{for } l \le r \le l.
$$

The set

$$
\Sigma = \{E_{ij} : 1 \le i, j \le l\} \cup \{E_{p,-q} : 1 \le p \le q \le l\} \cup \{E_{-r,s} : 1 \le r \le s \le l\}
$$

forms a basis of  $sp(2l, F)$  and the dimension of  $sp(2l, F)$  is  $2l^2 + l$ .

Let

$$
J = \sum_{i=1}^{2l-1} e_{i,i+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>The lemma below follows from the proof of [\[4,](#page-8-2) Theorem 3].

 $L$ **EMMA** 2.1. *If l* ≥ 2*, the distance between <i>J* and *J<sup>t</sup> in* Γ(*M*<sub>2*l*</sub>(*F*)) *is* 4*, where* Γ(*M*<sub>2*l*</sub>(*F*)) *denotes the commuting graph of*  $M_{2}(F)$ *.* 

Let 
$$
x_0 = (\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} E_{i,i+1}) + E_{l,-l}
$$
 and let  $y_0 = x_0^t = (\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} E_{i+1,i}) + E_{-l,l}$ .

<span id="page-2-1"></span>LEMMA 2.2. *If*  $l \geq 2$ *, the distance between*  $x_0$  *and*  $y_0$  *in*  $\Gamma(\text{sp}(2l, F))$  *is* 4*.* 

PROOF. Let

$$
z = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} e_{ii}\right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} (-1)^{l-i} e_{l+i,2l-i+1}\right).
$$

By direct calculation, one can verify that

$$
z^{-1}x_0z = J
$$
,  $z^{-1}y_0z = J^t$ .

Since the distance between *J* and  $J^t$  in  $\Gamma(M_{2l}(F))$  is 4, the distance between  $x_0$  and  $y_0$ in  $\Gamma(\text{sp}(2l, F))$  is at least 4. Indeed, if  $x_0 \sim u \sim v \sim y_0$  is a path in  $\Gamma(\text{sp}(2l, F))$ , then  $J \sim z^{-1}uz \sim z^{-1}vz \sim J^t$  is a path in  $\Gamma(M_{2l}(F))$ , in contradiction to Lemma [2.1.](#page-2-0) It is easy to verify that

$$
x_0 \sim E_{1,-1} \sim E_{22} \sim E_{-1,1} \sim y_0
$$

is a path of length 4 between  $x_0$  and  $y_0$ . Consequently,  $d(x_0, y_0) = 4$ .

In view of Lemma [2.2,](#page-2-1) the diameter of  $\Gamma(\text{sp}(2l, F))$  is at least 4 when  $l \geq 2$ . In what follows, we will prove that the distance between any distinct vertices *x* and *y* in  $\Gamma(\text{sp}(2l, F))$  is at most 4 when  $l > 2$ .

For  $x \in \text{sp}(2l, F)$ , denote by  $C(x)$  the centraliser of x in sp(2*l*, *F*). That is,

$$
C(x) = \{ y \in \text{sp}(2l, F) : [x, y] = 0 \}.
$$

We investigate the dimensions of  $C(E_{11})$ ,  $C(E_{1,-1})$  and  $C(E_{1,-2})$ .

Lemma 2.3. *Let l* ≥ 2*:*

- (i) *the dimension of*  $C(E_{11})$  *is*  $2l^2 3l + 2$ *;*
- (ii) *the dimension of*  $C(E_{1,-1})$  *is*  $2l^2 l$ ;<br>(iii)  $d = l$ ;  $C(F_{1,-1})$   $\in \mathbb{R}^2$
- (iii) *the dimension of*  $C(E_{1,-2})$  *is*  $2l^2 3l + 2$ *.*

Proof. Write any  $y \in sp(2l, F)$  as a linear combination of the basis  $\Sigma$  of  $sp(2l, F)$ :

$$
y = \left(\sum_{1 \le i,j \le l} a_{ij} E_{ij}\right) + \left(\sum_{1 \le p \le q \le l} b_{p,-q} E_{p,-q}\right) + \left(\sum_{1 \le r \le s \le l} c_{-r,s} E_{-r,s}\right)
$$

with  $a_{ij}, b_{p,-q}, c_{-r,s} \in F$ . One easily verifies that *y* commutes with  $E_{11}$  if and only if  $a_{1j} = a_{j1} = 0$  for  $j = 2, 3, \ldots, l$  and  $b_{1,-j} = c_{-j,1} = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, l$ . As a linear space,  $C(E_{11})$  is spanned by a basis

$$
\{E_{11}\}\cup\{E_{ij}:2\leq i,j\leq l\}\cup\{E_{p,-q}:2\leq p\leq q\leq l\}\cup\{E_{-r,s}:2\leq r\leq s\leq l\},\
$$

which altogether has  $2l^2 - 3l + 2$  elements.

Similarly, *y* commutes with  $E_{1,-1}$  if and only if the first column and the  $(l + 1)$ th row of *y* are zero vectors. As a linear space,  $C(E_{1,-1})$  is spanned by a basis

$$
\{E_{i,j}: 1 \le i \le l, 2 \le j \le l\} \cup \{E_{p,-q}: 1 \le p \le q \le l\} \cup \{E_{-r,s}: 2 \le r \le s \le l\},\
$$

which altogether has  $2l^2 - l$  elements.

By calculation, we find that *y* commutes with  $E_{1,-2}$  if and only if

$$
a_{11} = -a_{22},
$$
  
\n
$$
a_{j1} = a_{k2} = 0, \text{ for } j = 2, 3, ..., l, k = 1, 3, 4, ..., l,
$$
  
\n
$$
c_{-1,j} = c_{-2,k} = 0, \text{ for } j = 1, 2, ..., l, k = 2, 3, ..., l.
$$

Thus  $C(E_{1,-2})$  is a space with basis

$$
\{E_{11} - E_{22}\} \cup \{E_{i,j} : 1 \le i \le l, 3 \le j \le l\} \cup \{E_{p,-q} : 1 \le p \le q \le l\} \cup \{E_{-r,s} : 3 \le r \le s \le l\},\
$$

which altogether has  $2l^2 - 3l + 2$  elements.  $□$ 

The automorphism group of  $sp(2l, F)$  is denoted by Aut( $sp(2l, F)$ ). We now study the action of Aut(sp(2*l*, *F*)) on the basis of sp(2*l*, *F*). Let  $\alpha \in M_{2l}(F)$  be invertible. If  $^{-1}$ *xα* ∈ sp(2*l*, *F*) for any *x* ∈ sp(2*l*, *F*), then the mapping  $\overline{\alpha}$  on sp(2*l*, *F*) defined by

$$
\overline{\alpha}(x) = \alpha^{-1} x \alpha
$$
, for all  $x \in \text{sp}(2n, F)$ ,

is an automorphism of  $sp(2l, F)$  (see [\[6\]](#page-8-8)).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Lemma 2.4.

(i) If 
$$
1 \le i < j \le l
$$
, there is an invertible  $\alpha \in M_{2l}(F)$  such that  $\overline{\alpha}(E_{ij}) = E_{1l}$ .  
(ii) Thus is an invertible  $0 \in M_{2l}(F)$  such that  $\overline{\alpha}(F) = \alpha$ , then  $1 \le i \le m$ .

(ii) *There is an invertible*  $\beta \in M_{2l}(F)$  *such that*  $\beta(E_{p,-p}) = E_{1,-1}$ *, where*  $1 \le p \le l$ .<br>(iii) *If*  $1 \le p \le a \le l$  *there is an invertible*  $\alpha \in M_{2l}(F)$  *such that*  $\overline{\alpha}(F) = F$ .

(iii) *If*  $1 \leq p < q \leq l$ , there is an invertible  $\gamma \in M_{2l}(F)$  such that  $\overline{\gamma}(E_{p,-q}) = E_{1,-2}$ *.* 

(iv) *There is an invertible*  $\theta \in M_{2l}(F)$  *such that*  $\theta(E_{1l}) = E_{1,-l}$ .<br>(v) *If*  $1 \le i \le l$  *there is an invertible*  $5 \subset M_{2l}(F)$  *such that* 

(v) If 
$$
1 \le i < j \le l
$$
, there is an invertible  $\xi \in M_{2l}(F)$  such that  $\xi(E_{ij}) = E_{1,-2}$ .

Proof. For  $1 \le i \ne j \le l$ , let  $P_{ij}$  be the permutation matrix obtained by permuting the *i*th and *j*th rows of the identity matrix of order *l*, and put

$$
\alpha_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} P_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & P_{ij} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Since  $\alpha_{ij}^{-1} x \alpha_{ij} \in sp(2l, F)$  whenever  $x \in sp(2l, F)$ , the mapping

$$
\overline{\alpha_{ij}}: x \mapsto \alpha_{ij}^{-1} x \alpha_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{sp}(2l, F),
$$

is an automorphism of sp(2*l*, *<sup>F</sup>*).

If  $1 < j < l$ , then the automorphism  $\overline{\alpha_{jl}}$  sends  $E_{1j}$  to  $E_{1l}$ . If  $1 < i < j$ , then the comorphism  $\overline{\alpha_{jl}}$ ,  $\overline{\alpha_{kl}}$  and  $\overline{\alpha_{jl}}$ ,  $\overline{\alpha_{kl}}$ automorphism  $\overline{\alpha_{1i}}$  sends  $E_{ij}$  to  $E_{1j}$ . If  $1 < i < j < l$ , then the automorphism  $\overline{\alpha_{jl}} \cdot \overline{\alpha_{1i}} = \overline{\alpha_{1i} \cdot \alpha_{il}}$  sends  $E_{ij}$  to  $E_{ij}$  which proves (i)  $\overline{\alpha_{1i} \cdot \alpha_{jl}}$  sends  $E_{ij}$  to  $E_{1l}$ , which proves (i).<br>If  $n \neq 1$  then the automorphism  $\overline{\alpha_{1n}}$  se

If  $p \neq 1$ , then the automorphism  $\overline{\alpha_{1p}}$  sends  $E_{p,-p}$  to  $E_{1,-1}$ , which proves (ii).

For  $3 \le j \le l$ , the automorphism  $\overline{\alpha_{2j}}$  sends  $E_{1,-j}$  to  $E_{1,-2}$ . For  $2 \le i \le j \le l$ , the comorphism  $\overline{\alpha_{2i}}$ :  $\overline{\alpha_{1i}} = \overline{\alpha_{1i} \cdot \alpha_{2i}}$  sends  $E_{i,j}$  to  $E_{1,-2}$  which proves (iii) automorphism  $\overline{\alpha_{2j}} \cdot \overline{\alpha_{1i}} = \overline{\alpha_{1i} \cdot \alpha_{2j}}$  sends  $E_{i,-j}$  to  $E_{1,-2}$ , which proves (iii).

Let  $\theta = I_{2l} - e_{ll} - e_{2l,2l} + e_{l,2l} - e_{2l,l}$ . One easily verifies that the mapping  $\theta$  defined by

$$
\overline{\theta}: x \mapsto \theta^{-1}x\theta, \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{sp}(2l, F),
$$

stabilises sp(2*l*, *F*), thus is an automorphism of sp(2*l*, *F*). The proof of (iv) is completed<br>by  $\overline{\theta}(F_{11}) = F_{11}$ by  $\theta(E_{1l}) = E_{1,-l}$ .<br>Finally (y) fol

Finally, (v) follows immediately from (i), (iii) and (iv).  $\Box$ 

Four particular subalgebras of sp(2*l*, *<sup>F</sup>*) are defined as follows:

$$
H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A^t \end{pmatrix} : A \in M_l(F) \text{ is diagonal} \right\},
$$
  
\n
$$
V = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : B \in M_l(F) \text{ is symmetric} \right\},
$$
  
\n
$$
U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A^t \end{pmatrix} : A \in M_l(F) \text{ is strictly upper triangular} \right\},
$$
  
\n
$$
T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & -A^t \end{pmatrix} : A \in M_l(F) \text{ is upper triangular, } B \in M_l(F) \text{ is symmetric} \right\}.
$$

Then *H*, *U*, *V*, *T* are all subalgebras of  $sp(2l, F)$ . The following assertions are well known,

- *T* is a Borel subalgebra (that is, a maximal solvable subalgebra) of  $\text{sp}(2l, F)$  (see  $[10]$  or  $[11]$ ),
- The dimension of *H* is *l* and the  $E_{ii}$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, l$ , form a basis of *H*,
- The dimension of *U* is  $\frac{1}{2}l(l-1)$  and the  $E_{i,j}$ , for  $1 \le i < j \le l$ , form a basis of *U*,<br>The dimension of *V* is  $\frac{1}{l}(l+1)$  and the set  $\{F_{i-1} : 1 \le j \le n \le a \le l\}$  forms a basis
- The dimension of *V* is  $\frac{1}{2}l(l+1)$  and the set  $\{E_{p,-q}: 1 \le p \le q \le l\}$  forms a basis of *V* of *V*.

Since  $T = H \oplus U \oplus V$ , any given  $t \in T$  has a unique decomposition in the form

$$
t = h + u + v, \quad h \in H, u \in U, v \in V,
$$

where  $h, u, v$  will respectively be called the *H*-term, the *U*-term and the *V*-term of  $t$ . We write the *V*-term *v* of *t* as the linear combination of  $\{E_{p,-q} : 1 \le p \le q \le l\}$ ,

$$
v = \sum_{1 \le p \le q} c_{p,-q} E_{p,-q},
$$

and put

$$
\Delta(t) = \{ (p, -q) : [h, c_{p,-q}E_{p,-q}] \neq 0 \}.
$$

If  $\Delta(t) \neq \emptyset$ , we set

$$
d(t) = \max\{p + q : (p, -q) \in \Delta(t)\},\
$$

and call it the degree of *t*.

Let

$$
\Psi = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_l & C \\ 0 & I_l \end{pmatrix} : C^t = C \right\}.
$$

If  $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} I_0 & C \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \in \Psi$ , then the mapping  $\overline{\alpha} : x \mapsto \alpha^{-1}x\alpha$  for  $x \in sp(2l, F)$  is an automorphism<br>of sp(2*l*, *F*) The set  $\{\overline{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Psi\}$  forms a subgroup of Aut(sp(2*l*, *F*)) which will be of sp( $2l$ , *F*). The set  $\{\overline{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Psi\}$  forms a subgroup of Aut(sp( $2l$ , *F*)), which will be denoted by *G*. Direct calculation shows that *G* stabilises *T*. In addition, if  $\alpha \in \Psi$ , then  $\overline{\alpha}(t)$  and  $t \in T$  have the same *H*-term and the same *U*-term. Now we consider how to simplify the *V*-term of *t* by applying  $\overline{\alpha} \in G$ .

<span id="page-5-0"></span>LEMMA 2.5. *For any given*  $t \in T$ *, there exists*  $\alpha \in \Psi$  *such that*  $\Delta(\overline{\alpha}(t)) = \emptyset$ *.* 

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that  $\Delta(\overline{\alpha}(t)) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $\alpha \in \Psi$ . Choose  $\overline{\beta} \in G$  with  $\beta \in \Psi$  which minimises  $d(\overline{\beta}(t))$  and suppose that  $d(\overline{\beta}(t)) = k$ . Assume that

$$
\beta(t) = h + u + v, \quad \text{where } h \in H, u \in U, v \in V,
$$

and represent *<sup>h</sup>*, *<sup>u</sup>*, *<sup>v</sup>* as linear combinations of the bases of *<sup>H</sup>*, *<sup>U</sup>*, *<sup>V</sup>*, respectively:

$$
h = \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_{ii} E_{ii}, \quad u = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le l} b_{ij} E_{ij}, \quad v = \sum_{1 \le p \le q \le l} c_{p,-q} E_{p,-q}.
$$

Thus  $[h, c_{p,-q}E_{p,-q}] = 0$  when  $p + q > k$ , and there is  $(p', -q')$  such that  $p' + q' = k$  and

$$
[h,c_{p',-q'}E_{p',-q'}]=(a_{p',p'}+a_{q',q'})c_{p',-q'}E_{p',-q'}\neq 0.
$$

Put

$$
\gamma = I_{2l} - \sum_{p+q=k, a_{pp}+a_{qq}\neq 0} c_{p,-q} (a_{pp} + a_{qq})^{-1} E_{p,-q}.
$$

Then  $\gamma \in \Psi$ . By calculation,

$$
\overline{\gamma}(h) = \gamma^{-1} h \gamma = h - \sum_{p+q=k, \, a_{pp}+a_{qq}\neq 0} c_{p,-q} E_{p,-q},
$$

$$
\overline{\gamma}(v) = \gamma^{-1} v \gamma = v,
$$

and

$$
\overline{\gamma}(u) = \gamma^{-1} u \gamma = u + v', \quad \text{with } v' \in V_{k-1},
$$

where *V*<sub>*k*−1</sub> denotes the subalgebra of *V* spanned by  ${E_{p,-q} : p+q \leq k-1}$ . Since

$$
\overline{\gamma}(\overline{\beta}(t)) = h + u + \left(v - \sum_{p+q=k, a_{pp}+a_{qq}\neq 0} c_{p,-q} E_{p,-q} + v'\right)
$$

with  $v' \in V_{k-1}$ , we have  $d(\bar{\gamma}(\bar{\beta}(t)) \leq k-1$ , a contradiction to the assumption for  $\bar{\beta}$ .  $\Box$ 

We need a known result about the Borel subalgebras of an arbitrary Lie algebra to simplify elements in sp(2*l*, *<sup>F</sup>*).

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Lemma 2.6 [\[10,](#page-8-9) Theorem 16.4]. *The Borel subalgebras of an arbitrary Lie algebra L are conjugate under* E(*L*)*, a subgroup of the automorphism group of L.*

<span id="page-6-1"></span><sup>L</sup>emma 2.7. *For a given x* <sup>∈</sup> sp(2*l*, *<sup>F</sup>*)*, there exists an automorphism* σ *of* sp(2*l*, *<sup>F</sup>*)*, such that*  $\sigma(x) \in T$  *and the H-term of*  $\sigma(x)$  *commutes with both the U-term and the V-term*  $\sigma$ *f* $\sigma$ *(x)*.

Proof. Since x lies in a Borel subalgebra of  $sp(2l, F)$  and T is a standard Borel subalgebra of sp(2*l*, *F*), by Lemma [2.6,](#page-6-0) there is an automorphism  $\tau$  of sp(2*l*, *F*) such that  $\tau(x) \in T$ . For convenience, we assume  $x \in T$  and that

$$
x = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & -A^t \end{pmatrix},
$$

where  $A \in M_l(F)$  is upper triangular and C is symmetric. By Jordan's theorem, there is an invertible matrix  $X \in M_l(F)$  such that  $X^{-1}AX = D + W$  and  $[D, W] = 0$ , where *D* is diagonal and *W* is strictly upper triangular. Let  $\alpha = \text{diag}(X | (X^{l})^{-1})$ . Then the manning diagonal and *W* is strictly upper triangular. Let  $\alpha = \text{diag}(X, (X^t)^{-1})$ . Then the mapping  $\overline{\alpha} : \overline{z} \mapsto \alpha^{-1} z \alpha$  on sp(2*l F*) is an automorphism of sp(2*l F*). Denote  $\overline{\alpha}(x)$  by y. The  $\overline{\alpha}$  :  $z \mapsto \alpha^{-1} z \alpha$  on sp(2*l*, *F*) is an automorphism of sp(2*l*, *F*). Denote  $\overline{\alpha}(x)$  by *y*. The *H*-term and the *I*-term of *y* are respectively diag(*D* – *D*<sup>t</sup>) and diag(*W* – *W*<sup>t</sup>) and *H*-term and the *U*-term of *y* are respectively diag(*D*,  $-D<sup>t</sup>$ ) and diag(*W*,  $-W<sup>t</sup>$ ), and

 $[\text{diag}(D, -D^t), \text{diag}(W, -W^t)] = 0.$ 

By Lemma [2.5,](#page-5-0) there exists  $\beta \in \Psi$  such that  $\overline{\beta}(y)$  has the same *H*-term (respectively, *U*-term) as *y* and such that  $\Delta(\overline{\beta}(y)) = \emptyset$ . The condition  $\Delta(\overline{\beta}(y)) = \emptyset$  implies that the *H*-term of  $\overline{\beta}(y)$  commutes with the *V*-term of  $\overline{\beta}(y)$ . *H*-term of  $\overline{\beta}(y)$  commutes with the *V*-term of  $\overline{\beta}(y)$ .

<span id="page-6-2"></span>LEMMA 2.8. Let  $x \in sp(2l, F)$ ,  $x \ne 0$ . If  $l > 2$ , there is  $y \in C(x)$ ,  $y \ne 0$ , such that the *dimension of*  $C(y)$  *is greater than half the dimension of*  $sp(2l, F)$ *.* 

Proof. By Lemma [2.7,](#page-6-1) there is an automorphism  $\sigma$  of sp(2*l*, *F*), with  $\sigma(x) \in T$  and such that the *H*-term of  $\sigma(x)$  commutes with both the *U*-term and the *V*-term of  $\sigma(x)$ . Assume  $\sigma(x) = h + u + v$ , where  $h \in H$  commutes with  $u \in U$  and  $v \in V$ .

*Case 1:*  $[h, E_{p,-q}] = 0$  *for some p, q with*  $1 \le p \le q \le l$ .

Suppose that  $E_{p',-q'}$  belongs to  $\{E_{p,-q} : [h, E_{p,-q}] = 0, 1 \le p \le q \le l\}$  and minimises  $\vdash a$ . We claim that  $\sigma(x)$  commutes with  $F_{\vdash a}$ . For if  $\lceil \sigma(x) \rceil F_{\vdash a}$ .  $1 \ne 0$ , then *p* + *q*. We claim that  $\sigma(x)$  commutes with  $E_{p',-q'}$ . For if  $[\sigma(x), E_{p',-q'}] \neq 0$ , then  $[u, E_{p',q'}] \neq 0$ . Write  $u = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le l} a_{ij} E_{ij}$ . Since  $[u, E_{p',q'}] \neq 0$ , there are *i*, *j* with  $1 \le i' \le l$  such that  $[a_{ij}, F_{ij}, F_{ij}] \neq 0$ . The condition  $[b, u] = 0$  implies that  $1 \leq i' \leq j' \leq l$  such that  $[a_{i'j'}E_{i'j'}, E_{p',-q'}] \neq 0$ . The condition  $[h, u] = 0$  implies that  $[k_{x,i}] = 0$ . Note that  $[k_{x,i}]E_{i',k'}E_{i',-l}$  (when  $i' = p'$ ) or  $F_{i',-l}$  (when  $i' = p'$ ) or  $F_{i',-l}$  (when  $i' = p'$ )  $[h, E_{i'j'}] = 0$ . Note that  $[E_{i'j'}, E_{p', -q'}]$  is either  $E_{i', -q'}$  (when  $j' = p'$ ) or  $E_{i', -p'}$  (when  $j' = a'$ ).<br>From  $[h, F_{i',-1}] = [h, F_{i',-1}] = 0$  we have  $[h, [F_{i',-1}] = 0$ . Thus  $[h, F_{i',-1}] = 0$ q'). From  $[h, E_{i'j'}] = [h, E_{p',-q'}] = 0$  we have  $[h, [E_{i'j'}, E_{p',-q'}]] = 0$ . Thus  $[h, E_{i',-q'}] = 0$ <br>or  $[h, E_{i',-q'}] = 0$ . In either case, we have a contradiction since  $i' + a' < p' + a'$ . or  $[h, E_{i',-p'}] = 0$ . In either case, we have a contradiction, since  $i' + q' < p' + q'$ <br>(when  $i' = n'$ ) and  $i' + p' < n' + q'$  (when  $i' = q'$ ) which completes the proof of (when  $j' = p'$ ) and  $i' + p' < p' + q'$  (when  $j' = q'$ ), which completes the proof of the claim. If  $p' = q'$  then  $F_{\text{max}}$  is conjugate to  $F_{\text{max}}$  under an automorphism the claim. If  $p' = q'$ , then  $E_{p', -q'}$  is conjugate to  $E_{1,-1}$  under an automorphism<br>of  $\mathcal{O}(2L)$  by Lamma 2.4(ii)), thus  $C(E)$  has the same dimension  $2l^2 - L \ge 0$ of sp(2*l*, *F*) (by Lemma [2.4\(](#page-3-0)ii)), thus  $C(E_{p',q'})$  has the same dimension  $2l^2 - l$  as  $C(F_{p',q'})$  which is greater than  $\frac{1}{2}(2l^2 + l)$  if  $p' \neq q'$  then  $F_{p',q'}$  is conjugate to  $C(E_{1,-1})$ , which is greater than  $\frac{1}{2}(2l^2 + l)$ . If  $p' \neq q'$ , then  $E_{p',-q'}$  is conjugate to

*E*<sub>1,−2</sub> under an automorphism of sp(2*l*, *F*) (by Lemma 2.4(iii)), thus  $C(E_{p',-q'})$  has dimension  $2l^2 - 3l + 2$  which is greater than  $\frac{1}{2}(2l^2 + l)$  (recalling that  $l > 2$ ). Choose  $\mu_{1,-2}$  under an automorphism or sp(z*l*, *F*) (by Lemma 2.4(m)), thus  $C(E_{p',q'})$  has<br>dimension  $2l^2 - 3l + 2$ , which is greater than  $\frac{1}{2}(2l^2 + l)$  (recalling that  $l > 2$ ). Choose<br> $y = \sigma^{-1}(E_{\ell} \cup \ell)$  so that  $[x, y] = 0$ .  $y = \sigma^{-1}(E_{p',-q'})$  so that  $[x, y] = 0$ . As  $C(y)$  and  $C(E_{p',-q'})$  have the same dimension, the dimension of  $C(y)$  is greater than  $\frac{1}{2}(2l^2 + l)$  that is half the dimension of  $S(2l, F)$  $y = \sigma^{-1}(E_{p',-q'})$  so that  $[x, y] = 0$ . As  $C(y)$  and  $C(E_{p',-q'})$  have the same dimension, dimension of  $C(y)$  is greater than  $\frac{1}{2}(2l^2 + l)$ , that is, half the dimension of sp(2*l*, *F*).

*Case 2:*  $[h, E_{p,-q}] ≠ 0$  *for all p, q with*  $1 ≤ p ≤ q ≤ l$  *and*  $[h, E_{ij}] = 0$  *for some i, j with*  $1 \le i < j \le l$ .

In this case, the condition  $[h, v] = 0$  forces  $v = 0$ . Thus  $\sigma(x) = h + u$ . Suppose that *E*<sub>*i*</sub> *j* lies in  $\{E_{ij} : [h, E_{ij}] = 0, 1 \le i < j \le l\}$  and maximises *j* − *i*. We claim that  $\sigma(x)$  commutes with  $F_{i,x}$ . Indeed if  $[\sigma(x) \ F_{i,x}] \ne 0$  then  $[u, F_{i,x}] \ne 0$  and there are *i<sub>0</sub> in* commutes with  $E_{i'j'}$ . Indeed, if  $[\sigma(x), E_{i'j'}] \neq 0$ , then  $[u, E_{i'j'}] \neq 0$  and there are  $i_0, j_0$  with  $1 \le i_0 \le i_1 \le l_1$  such that  $[a_{i+1}, E_{i+1}, E_{i+1}] \neq 0$ . The condition  $[h, u] = 0$  implies with  $1 \le i_0 < j_0 \le l$  such that  $[a_{i_0,j_0}, E_{i_0,j_0}, E_{i'j'}] \ne 0$ . The condition  $[h, u] = 0$  implies that  $[k, j] = 0$  Note that  $[k, j] = k_i$ , *s* (when  $i_0 = i'$ ) or  $-k_i$ . (when that  $[h, E_{i_0, j_0}] = 0$ . Note that  $[E_{i_0, j_0}, E_{i'j'}]$  is either  $E_{i_0, j'}$  (when  $j_0 = i'$ ) or  $-E_{i', j_0}$  (when  $i' = i_0$ ). From  $[h, F_{i+1}] = [h, F_{i+1}] = 0$ , we have  $[h, [F_{i+1}, F_{i+1}]] = 0$ . Thus either *j'* = *i*<sub>0</sub>). From  $[h, E_{i_0, j_0}] = [h, E_{i'j'}] = 0$ , we have  $[h, [E_{i_0, j_0}, E_{i'j'}]] = 0$ . Thus either  $[h, E_{i_0, j_0}] = [h, E_{i'j'}] = 0$  (when  $i' = i_0$ ) In either case we have a  $[h, E_{i_0, j'}] = 0$  (when  $j_0 = i'$ ) or  $[h, E_{i', j_0}] = 0$  (when  $j' = i_0$ ). In either case, we have a contradiction since  $i' = i_0 > i' - i'$  (when  $i_0 = i'$ ) and  $i_0 = i' > i' - i'$  (when  $i' = i_0$ )  $\left[ \frac{n}{b_0}, \frac{v_1}{v_1} \right] = 0$  (when  $j_0 = i$ ) or  $\left[ \frac{n}{b_0}, \frac{v_1}{v_0} \right] = 0$  (when  $j = i_0$ ), in either case, we have a contradiction, since  $j' - i_0 > j' - i'$  (when  $j_0 = i'$ ) and  $j_0 - i' > j' - i'$  (when  $j' = i_0$ ), which compl which completes the proof of the claim. By Lemma [2.4,](#page-3-0)  $E_{i'j'}$  is conjugate to  $E_{1,-2}$ <br>under an automorphism of an(2*UE*), so  $C(E)$  begates the same dimension  $2l^2 - 3l + 2$  as under an automorphism of sp(2*l*, *F*), so  $C(E_{i'j'})$  has the same dimension  $2l^2 - 3l + 2$  as<br> $C(F_{i-2})$  which is greater than  $\frac{1}{2}(2l^2 + l)$  (recalling that  $l > 2$ ). Choose  $y = \sigma^{-1}(F_{i-2})$  $C(E_{1,-2})$ , which is greater than  $\frac{1}{2}(2l^2 + l)$  (recalling that *l* > 2). Choose  $y = \sigma^{-1}(E_{i'j'})$ .<br>Then  $[x, y] = 0$ , As  $C(y)$  and  $C(E_{i'j'})$  have the same dimension, the dimension of  $C(y)$ . Then  $[x, y] = 0$ . As  $C(y)$  and  $C(E_{i'j'})$  have the same dimension, the dimension of  $C(y)$ <br>is greater than  $\frac{1}{2}(2l^2 + l)$ is greater than  $\frac{1}{2}(2l^2 + l)$ .

*Case 3:* [*h*,  $E_{p,-q}$ ] ≠ 0 *for all p, q with*  $1 \le p \le q \le l$  *and* [*h*,  $E_{ij}$ ] ≠ 0 *for all i, j with*  $1 \le i \le j \le l$ .

In this case, the condition  $[h, v] = [h, u] = 0$  forces  $u = v = 0$ . Thus  $\sigma(x) = h$  is a diagonal matrix. Let  $y = \sigma^{-1}(E_{11})$ . Then  $[x, y] = 0$  and the dimension  $2l^2 - 3l + 2$  of  $C(y)$  is the same as that of  $C(E_{11})$  which is greater than  $\frac{1}{2}(2l^2 + l)$  $C(y)$  is the same as that of  $C(E_{11})$ , which is greater than  $\frac{1}{2}(2l^2 + l)$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM [1.1.](#page-1-0) We have found two distinct vertices in  $\Gamma(\text{sp}(2l, F))$  with distance 4. Now it suffices to prove that the distance between any pair of vertices *<sup>x</sup>*, *<sup>y</sup>* of <sup>Γ</sup>(sp(2*l*, *<sup>F</sup>*)) is at most 4. Let *<sup>x</sup>*, *<sup>y</sup>* be nonzero elements of sp(2*l*, *<sup>F</sup>*). By Lemma [2.8,](#page-6-2) there are nonzero elements *x*<sup>*'*</sup>, *y'* with  $x' \in C(x)$  and  $y' \in C(y)$  such that the dimensions of  $C(x')$  and  $C(y')$  are both greater than half the dimension of  $\mathfrak{so}(2l, F)$ . Thus a nonzero of  $C(x')$  and  $C(y')$  are both greater than half the dimension of  $sp(2l, F)$ . Thus a nonzero<br>element say z lies in  $C(x') \cap C(y')$ . Consequently,  $x \sim x' \approx z \sim y' \approx y$  is a nath in element, say *z*, lies in  $C(x') \cap C(y')$ . Consequently,  $x \sim x' \sim z \sim y' \sim y$  is a path in  $\Gamma(\text{sp}(2l, F))$ . Therefore,  $d(x, y) \leq 4$ .

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the referees for their insightful comments and suggestions, which led to a number of improvements to this paper.

#### **References**

<span id="page-7-0"></span>[1] A. Abdollahi, 'Commuting graphs of full matrix rings over finite fields', *Linear Algebra Appl.* 428 (2008), 2947–2954.

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[2] A. Abdollahi and H. Shahverdi, 'Characterization of the alternating group by its non-commuting graph', *J. Algebra* 357 (2012), 203–207.
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>[3] S. Akbari, M. Ghandehari, M. Hadian and A. Mohammadian, 'On commuting graphs of semisimple rings', *Linear Algebra Appl.* 390 (2004), 345–355.
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>[4] S. Akbari, A. Mohammadian, H. Radjavi and P. Raja, 'On the diameters of commuting graphs', *Linear Algebra Appl.* 418 (2006), 161–176.
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>[5] S. Akbari and P. Raja, 'Commuting graphs of some subsets in simple rings', *Linear Algebra Appl.* 416 (2006), 1038–1047.
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>[6] R. W. Carter, *Simple Groups of Lie Type* (Wiley Interscience, New York, 1972).
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>[7] D. Dolžan, D. K. Bukovšek and P. Oblak, 'Diameters of commuting graphs of matrices over semirings', *Semigroup Forum* 84 (2012), 365–373.
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>[8] D. Dolžan and P. Oblak, 'Commuting graph of matrices over semirings', *Linear Algebra Appl.* 435 (2011), 1657–1665.
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>[9] M. Giudici and A. Pope, 'The diameters of commuting graphs of linear groups and matrix rings over the integers modulo *m*', *Australas J. Combin.* 48 (2010), 221–230.
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>[10] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory* (Springer, New York, 1972).
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>[11] N. Jacobson, *Lie Algebras* (Interscience Publishers, New York, 1962).
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>[12] C. Miguel, 'A note on a conjecture about commuting graphs', *Linear Algebra Appl.* 438 (2013), 4750–4756.

# [XIANYA](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4261-8506) GENG, School of Mathematics and Big Data,

Anhui University of Science and Technology, Huainan, PR China e-mail: [gengxianya@sina.com](mailto:gengxianya@sina.com)

[LITING](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7650-7436) FAN, School of Mathematics and Big Data,

Anhui University of Science and Technology, Huainan, PR China e-mail: [1275371706@qq.com](mailto:1275371706@qq.com)

[XIAOBIN](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3370-3004) MA, School of Mathematics and Big Data,

Anhui University of Science and Technology, Huainan, PR China e-mail: [xiaobintiandi@163.com](mailto:xiaobintiandi@163.com)