

A MODULE IS FLAT IF AND ONLY IF
ITS CHARACTER MODULE IS INJECTIVE

J. Lambek

(received October 9, 1963)

The purpose of this expository note is to establish the fact mentioned in the title. While this is not difficult and requires no new ideas, it seems worth doing, as such a simple characterization does not appear explicitly in a recent treatise on the subject of flat modules [Bourbaki XXVII, Chapter 1].

Let us begin by defining the technical terms appearing in the title. Let R be an associative ring with 1, ${}_R M$ a left R -module. (All modules are understood to be unitary.) To say that ${}_R M$ is flat is to assert that, whenever A_R and B_R are right R -modules,

$$A \subset B \Rightarrow A \otimes_R M \subset B \otimes_R M,$$

or more precisely, when A_R is a submodule of B_R , the canonical mapping of $A \otimes_R M$ into $B \otimes_R M$ is a monomorphism.

By the character module of ${}_R M$ we mean the additive group

$$M^* = \text{Hom}_Z(M, D),$$

where D is the additive group of rationals modulo 1 and Z is the ring of integers, turned into a right R -module M^*_R by defining

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 7, no. 2, April 1964

$$(hr)m = h(rm)$$

for all $h \in M^*$, $r \in R$ and $m \in M$.

A right R -module N_R is called injective if, whenever A_R and B_R are right R -modules such that A_R is a submodule of B_R , any $f \in \text{Hom}_R(A, N)$ can be extended to some $f' \in \text{Hom}_R(B, N)$. It is well-known that an additive abelian group, regarded as a Z -module, is injective if and only if it is divisible [e. g., Northcott, page 269]. In particular, D_Z is injective.

We shall not define here the tensor product $A \otimes_R M$, but merely state its main property (which actually does define it in a sense). Let $G: A \times M \rightarrow C$ be any bilinear mapping of the pair of modules $(A_R, R M)$ into an additive group C . By this we mean that $G(a, m)$ is linear in each variable and that

$$G(ar, m) = G(a, rm)$$

for all $a \in A$, $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. Then there exists a (unique) homomorphism

$$g \in \text{Hom}_Z(A \otimes_R M, C)$$

such that

$$g(a \otimes m) = G(a, m)$$

for all $a \in A$ and $m \in M$.

We shall require a well-known property of character modules:

LEMMA 1. If $0 \neq m \in M$, there exists a character $h \in M^*$ such that $hm \neq 0$.

Proof. Since D is injective as a Z -module, it suffices to find $k \in \text{Hom}_Z(mZ, D)$ such that $km \neq 0$, which k may then

be extended to the required h .

If $mz = 0$ for some $0 \neq z \in Z$, let z_0 be the smallest positive integer such that $mz_0 = 0$, and define $kmz = z_0^{-1}z$ modulo 1. If $mz = 0$ implies $z = 0$, define $kmz = \frac{1}{17}z$ modulo 1.

Here is our main result.

THEOREM. ${}_R M$ is flat if and only if M^*_R is injective.

Proof. Assume that ${}_R M$ is flat and that A_R is a submodule of B_R . Then also $A \otimes_R M$ is a subgroup of $B \otimes_R M$. Now consider any $f \in \text{Hom}_R(A, M^*)$. The mapping $(a, m) \rightarrow (fa)m$ is a bilinear mapping from $(A_R, {}_R M)$ to D ; hence there exists

$$g \in \text{Hom}_Z(A \otimes_R M, D)$$

such that

$$g(a \otimes m) = (fa)m$$

for all $a \in A$ and $m \in M$. Since D_Z is injective, g may be extended to

$$g' \in \text{Hom}_Z(B \otimes_R M, D).$$

Now define

$$f' \in \text{Hom}_R(B, M^*)$$

by

$$(f'b)m = g'(b \otimes m)$$

for all $b \in B$ and $m \in M$. For any $a \in A$ and $m \in M$ we thus have

$$(f'a)m = g'(a \otimes m) = g(a \otimes m) = (fa)m ;$$

hence f' extends f . Thus M^*_R is injective.

Conversely, assume that M^*_R is injective and that A_R is a submodule of B_R . We wish to infer that the canonical mapping of $A \otimes_R M$ into $B \otimes_R M$ is a monomorphism, whence it will follow that ${}_R M$ is flat.

Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes m_i = 0$ in $B \otimes_R M$, where $m_i \in M$ and $a_i \in A$. We will show that it is also 0 in $A \otimes_R M$.

Indeed, if it is not, then by the lemma there exists a character $g \in (A \otimes_R M)^*$ such that

$$g(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes m_i) \neq 0 .$$

Define

$$f \in \text{Hom}_R(A, M^*)$$

by

$$(fa)m = g(a \otimes m)$$

for all $a \in A$ and $m \in M$. Since M^*_R is injective, we may extend f to

$$f' \in \text{Hom}_R(B, M^*) .$$

The mapping $(b, m) \rightarrow (f'b)m$ is bilinear from $(B_R, {}_R M)$ to D , hence there exists $g' \in (B \otimes_R M)^*$ such that

$$g'(b \otimes m) = (f'b)m$$

for all $b \in B$ and $m \in M$. Then, in $A \otimes_R M$,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= g'(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes m_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n (f' a_i) m_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (f a_i) m_i = g(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes m_i) \neq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, and our proof is complete.

Actually we have proved a little more than what the theorem asserts. We have in fact shown the following:

PROPOSITION 1. Let A_R be a submodule of B_R , ${}_R M$ a given left R -module. Then the canonical mapping of $A \otimes_R M$ into $B \otimes_R M$ is a monomorphism if and only if the canonical mapping of $\text{Hom}_R(B, M^*)$ into $\text{Hom}_R(A, M^*)$ is an epimorphism.

The first part of the proof of the above theorem is well-known. Essentially the same kind of argument establishes the formula

$$\text{Ext}(N, M^*) \cong (\text{Tor}(N, M))^*$$

[see Cartan and Eilenberg, p. 120, Proposition 5.1]. The present theorem may also easily be deduced from this formula with the help of Lemma 1.

The above proof has been addressed to the general reader without any knowledge of homological algebra. For those who are familiar with the language of functors and exact sequences, let us point out the natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_R(A, M^*) \cong (A \otimes_R M)^*$$

of functors in A . Our theorem follows from this and from the observation that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \otimes_R M \rightarrow B \otimes_R M$$

is exact if and only if the induced sequence

$$(B \otimes_R M)^* \rightarrow (A \otimes_R M)^* \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. This observation is readily deduced from Lemma 1.

It may be of some interest to establish more generally

PROPOSITION 2. A sequence of abelian groups

$$U \xrightarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{q} W$$

is exact if and only if the induced sequence

$$W^* \xrightarrow{q^*} V^* \xrightarrow{p^*} U^*$$

is exact.

Here q^* (and similarly p^*) is of course defined as follows: For any $h \in W^*$ and $v \in V$,

$$(q^*h)v = h(qv).$$

Proof. Let $g \in V^*$; then $g \in \text{Ker } p^*$ if and only if

$$g(\text{Im } p) = g(pU) = (p^*g)U = 0.$$

On the other hand, $g \in \text{Im } q^*$ if and only if there exists an $h \in W^*$ such that $gv = hqv$, for all $v \in V$. This is the same as saying that $g(\text{Ker } q) = 0$; for then $qv \rightarrow gv$ is a homomorphism of qV into D , which can be extended to a homomorphism $h: W \rightarrow D$, by injectivity of D .

Thus $\text{Ker } q = \text{Im } p$ clearly implies $\text{Im } q^* = \text{Ker } p^*$. Conversely, let us assume the second equality. Then, by the above, $g(\text{Ker } q) = 0$ if and only if $g(\text{Im } p) = 0$, for all $g \in V^*$. Can we deduce from this that $\text{Ker } q = \text{Im } p$? Yes, in view of the following:

LEMMA 2. Let S be any subgroup of V and v any element of V not in S . Then there exists a character of V which annihilates S but not v .

Proof. Apply Lemma 1 to V/S .

Lemma 2 may be interpreted as saying that every subgroup of an abelian group is "closed" under the obvious closure operation: The closure of a subset S of the group V consists of all elements of V which are annihilated by every character of V which annihilates S .

REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, XXVII, Algèbre Commutative, Paris 1961.
2. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, Homological Algebra, Princeton 1956.
3. D. G. Northcott, An Introduction to Homological Algebra, Cambridge 1960.

McGill University and
Canadian Mathematical Congress Summer Institute