

SIMULTANEOUS DIOPHANTINE APPROXIMATIONS AND HERMITE'S METHOD

ALAIN DURAND

In this paper we generalize a result of Mahler on rational approximations of the exponential function at rational points by proving the following theorem: let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be distinct non-zero rational numbers; there exists a constant $c = c(n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) > 0$ such that

$$q^{n+(c/\log\log q)} \left| q_0 + q_1 e^{\alpha_1} + \dots + q_n e^{\alpha_n} \right| \geq 1$$

for every non-zero integer point (q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n) and $q = \max\{|q_1|, \dots, |q_n|, 3\}$.

1.

In 1873, Hermite gave his famous proof of the transcendence of e . Since then many improvements were introduced into Hermite's method which led to the deep results of Siegel. (For this development we refer to the survey paper by Fel'dman and Shidlovskii [5] and to the appendix of Mahler's book [10].) This method enabled Mahler [8] (see also [4]) to obtain a measure of irrationality of e . In fact, Mahler dealt with the problem of finding an effective lower bound for $|e^\alpha - \beta|$ with α and β rational numbers and thus determining explicitly the constants which appeared in the earlier results of Mahler [6], [7] and Popken [11]. In

Received 9 January 1980.

this paper, we apply the same method to the more general problem of determining an effective lower bound for $\left| \beta_0 + \beta_1 e^{\alpha_1} + \dots + \beta_n e^{\alpha_n} \right|$ where $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are rational numbers. More precisely, we obtain

THEOREM. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be distinct non-zero rational numbers. There exists a constant (easily computable) $c = c(n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) > 0$ such that*

$$q^{n+(c/\log\log q)} \left| q_0 + q_1 e^{\alpha_1} + \dots + q_n e^{\alpha_n} \right| \geq 1$$

for every non-zero integer point (q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n) and $q = \max\{|q_1|, \dots, |q_n|, 3\}$.

By means of a transference principle (see for example Cassels [3]), it is easy to derive from this theorem the following result:

COROLLARY. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be distinct non-zero rational numbers. There exists a constant $c_1 = c_1(n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) > 0$ such that*

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|q e^{\alpha_j}\| \geq q^{-(1/n) - (c_1/\log\log q)},$$

for any integer $q \geq 3$. (Here $\|x\|$ denotes the distance from a real number x to the nearest integer.)

REMARK. This result is to be compared with the following theorem of Baker ([1] and [2], Theorem 10.1): let $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be distinct non-zero rational numbers; there exist two constants $c = c(n, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) > 0$ and $\delta = \delta(n, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) > 0$ such that for any non-zero integer point (q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n) with

$$q = \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} (|q_j|) \geq c$$

one has

$$q_0^* q_1^* \dots q_n^* \left| q_0 e^{\alpha_0} + q_1 e^{\alpha_1} + \dots + q_n e^{\alpha_n} \right| \geq q^{1 - (\delta / (\log \log q))^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

where $q_j^* = \max(1, |q_j|)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

Baker dealt with Siegel's method. (This method was likewise used by Mahler [9] for determining explicitly the constants c and δ .) This enabled him to obtain a lower bound which depends on the size of all the coefficients of the linear form, but with the exponent $(\log \log q)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in place of $(\log \log q)^{-1}$. It remains to find a method which succeeds in combining the two results.

2. Proof of the theorem

1. Denote by $v \geq 1$ an integer such that $v\alpha_j = u_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and put $u_0 = 0$. Let q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n be integers, not all zero, and $q = \max\{|q_1|, \dots, |q_n|, 3\}$. It will be shown that

$$q^{n + (c / \log \log q)} \left| q_0 e^{u_0/v} + q_1 e^{u_1/v} + \dots + q_n e^{u_n/v} \right| \geq 1,$$

where $c > 0$ denotes a constant that does not depend on q .

Put

$$\theta = \sum_{j=0}^n q_j e^{u_j/v}.$$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We define polynomials $f_j(x, z)$ ($0 \leq j \leq n$), $P_{ij}(z)$ ($0 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j$) and $Q_j(z)$ ($0 \leq j \leq n$) by

$$f_j(x, z) = \frac{v^{1-N}}{(N-1)!} (vx - u_j z)^{N-1} \prod_{k=0}^n (vx - u_k z)^N,$$

$$P_{ij}(z) = \sum_{m=N}^{(n+1)N-1} \frac{m!}{(N-1)!} v^{m-N+1}$$

$$\cdot \left[\sum_{\substack{v_0 + \dots + v_n = m \\ (v_i = N)}} \binom{N-1}{v_j} (u_i - u_j)^{N-1-v_j} \prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq i, j}}^n \binom{N}{v_k} (u_i - u_k)^{N-v_k} \right] z^{(n+1)N-m-1},$$

$$Q_j(z) = \sum_{m=N-1}^{(n+1)N-1} \frac{m!}{(N-1)!} v^{m-N+1} \cdot \left[\sum_{\substack{v_0 + \dots + v_n = m \\ (v_j = N-1)}} \prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq j}}^N \binom{N}{v_k} (u_j - u_k)^{N-v_k} \right] z^{(n+1)m-N-1} .$$

Furthermore put

$$T_{ij}(z) = (1 - \delta_{ij})P_{ij}(z) + \delta_{ij}Q_j(z) , \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq n ,$$

where $\delta_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\delta_{jj} = 1$.

The coefficients of T_{ij} are rational integers and T_{ij} is of degree exactly $nN - 1$ if $i \neq j$ and of degree exactly nN if $i = j$.

It follows from the definition of T_{ij} that

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} f_j((u_i/v)z, z) = T_{ij}(z) , \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq n .$$

Then, by Hermite's identity, we obtain for $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq i, j \leq n$,

$$(1) \quad e^{u_i z/v} T_{0j}(z) - T_{ij}(z) = e^{u_i z/v} \int_0^{u_i z/v} e^{-t} f_j(t, z) dt = z^{(n+1)N} e^{u_i z/v} \int_0^{u_i/v} e^{-zt} \tilde{f}_j(t) dt$$

where \tilde{f}_j is the polynomial defined by

$$\tilde{f}_j(t) = \frac{v^{1-N}}{(N-1)!} (vt - u_j)^{N-1} \prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq j}}^n (vt - u_k)^N .$$

Therefore, the determinant

$$\Delta(z) = \det_{0 \leq i, j \leq n} (T_{ij}(z))$$

is a polynomial in z of the exact degree $n(n+1)N$ which has at $z = 0$ a zero of order $n(n+1)N$. Then $\Delta(z) \neq 0$ if $z \neq 0$ and thus

$$\Delta(1) \neq 0 .$$

Now, we easily obtain from (1),

$$\theta T_{0j}^{(1)} - \sum_{i=0}^n q_i T_{ij}^{(1)} = \sum_{i=0}^n q_i e^{u_i/v} \int_0^{u_i/v} e^{-t} f_j(t, 1) dt, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Since $\Delta(1) \neq 0$, at least one of the integers

$$\sum_{i=0}^n q_i T_{ij}^{(1)}, \quad j = 0, \dots, n,$$

is distinct from zero. It follows that

$$(2) \quad 1 \leq |\theta| |T_{0j_0}^{(1)}| + \left| \sum_{i=0}^n q_i e^{u_i/v} \int_0^{u_i/v} e^{-t} f_{j_0}(t, 1) dt \right|$$

for a suitable $j_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.

2. Let $u = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{|u_j|\}$. For $j \neq 0$ we have

$$|T_{0j}^{(1)}| \leq v \cdot \sum_{m=N}^{(n+1)N-1} \frac{m!}{(N-1)!} \binom{nN-1}{m-N} v^{m-N} u^{(n+1)N-1-m} < \frac{[(n+1)N]!}{N!} (u+v)^{nN},$$

and, for $j = 0$,

$$|T_{00}^{(1)}| \leq \sum_{m=N-1}^{(n+1)N-1} \frac{m!}{(N-1)!} \binom{nN}{m-N+1} v^{m-N+1} u^{(n+1)N-m-1} < \frac{[(n+1)N]!}{N!} (u+v)^{nN}.$$

Next, for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$,

$$\sup_{|x| \leq u/v} |f_j(x, 1)| \leq \frac{v^{1-N}}{(N-1)!} (2u)^{(n+1)N-1} < \frac{(2u)^{(n+1)N-1}}{(N-1)!}.$$

Then, by (2), we can write

$$(3) \quad 1 \leq |\theta| \frac{[(n+1)N]!}{N!} (u+v)^{nN} + q_n e^u \frac{(2u)^{(n+1)N-1}}{(N-1)!}.$$

3. Denote by m_0 the smallest integer which satisfies

$$(4) \quad q_n e^u (2u)^{(n+1)(m_0+1)} \leq m_0!.$$

From the definition of m_0 , it follows that

$$(5) \quad (m_0 - 1)! < qne^u (2u)^{(n+1)m_0}.$$

Since

$$(Np)! \leq N^{Np} (p!)^N \text{ for } p \geq 1 \text{ and } N \geq 1,$$

we have by (4), (5) and (3), with $N = m_0 + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq 2|\theta| (n+1)^{(n+1)(m_0+1)} [(m_0+1)!]^{n(u+v)} n^{m_0+1} \\ &\leq 2|\theta| (n+1)^{(n+1)(m_0+1)} [(m_0+1)m_0]^n \cdot q^n n^n e^{nu} (2u)^{n(n+1)m_0} \cdot (u+v)^{n(m_0+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(6) \quad 1 \leq |\theta| q^n c_1^{m_0}$$

with some constant $c_1 > 0$ which does not depend on q and m_0 .

We now require an upper estimate for m_0 . By (5) we have

$$\frac{m_0^{m_0+1/2}}{m_0} e^{-m_0} < m_0! < qne^u (2u)^{(n+1)m_0} m_0$$

and thus

$$\frac{m_0}{m_0} < qc_2^{m_0}$$

with

$$c_2 = ne^{u+1} (2u)^{n+2}.$$

From this it follows that

$$m_0 \leq c_2 \frac{\log q}{\log \log q}$$

provided $\log \log q > 0$; that is, $q \geq 3$.

Finally we obtain, by (6),

$$1 \leq |\theta| q^{n+(c/\log \log q)}$$

with $c = c_2 \log c_1$.

REMARK. The estimates occurring in the above proof are mostly quite trivial and it is clear that the constant c can be greatly improved.

References

- [1] A. Baker, "On some Diophantine inequalities involving the exponential function", *Canad. J. Math.* 17 (1965), 616-626.
- [2] Alan Baker, *Transcendental number theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1975).
- [3] J.W.S. Cassels, *An introduction to Diophantine approximation* (Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, 45. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1957).
- [4] Alain Durand, "Note on rational approximations of the exponential function at rational points", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 14 (1976), 449-455.
- [5] Н.И. Фельдман, А.Б. Шидловский [N.I. Fel'dman and A.B. Shidlovskii], "Развитие и современное состояние теории трансцендентных чисел" [The development and present state of the theory of transcendental numbers], *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* 22 (1967), No. 3, 3-81; *Russian Math. Surveys* 22 (1967), No. 3, 1-79.
- [6] Kurt Mahler, "Zur Approximation der Exponentialfunktion und des Logarithmus. I", *J. reine angew. Math.* 166 (1932), 118-136.
- [7] Kurt Mahler, "Zur Approximation der Exponentialfunktion und des Logarithmus. II", *J. reine angew. Math.* 166 (1932), 137-150.
- [8] Kurt Mahler, "On rational approximations of the exponential function at rational points", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 10 (1974), 325-335.
- [9] Kurt Mahler, "On a paper by A. Baker on the approximation of rational powers of e ", *Acta Arith.* 27 (1975), 61-87.
- [10] Kurt Mahler, *Lectures on transcendental numbers* (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 546. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1976).

[11] J. Popken, "Zur Transzendenz von e ", *Math. Z.* 29 (1929), 525-541.

UER des Sciences de Limoges,
Département de Mathématiques,
123 rue Albert Thomas,
87100 Limoges,
France.