

## APPROXIMATION OF $L_p$ -CONTRACTIONS BY ISOMETRIES

BY

M. A. AKCOGLU\* AND D. BOIVIN

**ABSTRACT.** We construct a positive linear contraction  $T$  of all  $L_p(X, \mu)$ -spaces,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $\mu(X) = 1$  such that  $T1 = 1$ ,  $T^*1 = 1$  and also  $Tf > 0$  a.e. for all  $f \geq 0$  a.e.,  $f \neq 0$  but for which there is an  $f \in L_\infty$  such that  $(T^n f - \int f d\mu)$  does not converge in  $L_1$ -norm. We also show that if  $T$  is a contraction of a Hilbert space  $H$ , there exists an isometry  $Q$  and a contraction  $R$  such that  $\|T^n x - Q^n R x\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x$  in  $H$ .

**0. Introduction.** Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a measure space and  $\mu(X) = 1$ . Let  $T$  be a positive linear transformation acting on the (equivalent classes of) measurable functions on  $X$  such that  $T1 = 1$  and  $T$  is contraction of all  $L_p$ -spaces with  $\mu$  an invariant measure; i.e.  $\int |Tf|^p d\mu \leq \int |f|^p d\mu$  for all  $p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and for all measurable  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ . It is known [2], [3] that there is a sub  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma_d \subset \Sigma$  such that if  $1 < p < \infty$ , then

$$L_p(\Sigma_d) = \{f \text{ in } L_p : \|T^n f\|_p = \|f\|_p \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}$$

and  $T^n f$  converges weakly to  $E(f|\Sigma_d)$  in  $L_2(\mu)$  for all  $f$  in  $L_2$ , where  $E(\cdot|\Sigma_d)$  is the conditional expectation with respect to  $\Sigma_d$ .

If, moreover,  $T$  is recurrent in the sense of Harris and aperiodic (see [2]), then  $T^n f$  converges to  $\int f d\mu$  in  $L_1$ -norm. In [5, pp. 113–115], Rosenblatt gave an example where  $\Sigma_d$  is trivial but  $T^n f$  does not converge to  $\int f d\mu$  in norm for some indicator functions,  $I_A$ . However, in section 1, we show that for any contraction  $T$  of a Hilbert space  $H$ , there is an isometry  $Q$  and a contraction  $R$  such that for all  $f$  in  $H$ ,  $(T^n f - Q^n R f)$  converges in norm to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

If  $T$ , as in the beginning, is also Harris recurrent then it has the following property [4, p. 160]: there is a set  $C$ ,  $\mu(C) \neq 0$  and an integer  $N > 0$  such that if  $f \geq 0$ ,  $f|_C \neq 0$  then  $T^n f > 0$  on  $C$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Then one might ask whether  $T^n f$  converges to  $\int f d\mu$  in  $L_1$ -norm for all  $f$  in  $L_1$  for a contraction  $T$  with the property:  $Tf > 0$  for all  $f \geq 0$ ,  $f \neq 0$ . In section 2, we show that this is not so. Rosenblatt's example does not satisfy this property. Note that if  $T$  is Harris recurrent with this property then it must be aperiodic.

---

Received by the editors January 19, 1988 and, in revised form, October 4, 1988.

\*The research of this author is supported in part by NSERC Grant A 3974.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 60J05 Secondary: 47A37.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1988.

**1. Contractions on Hilbert Spaces.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. Recall that a linear operator  $S : H \rightarrow H$  is called a contraction if  $\|S\| \leq 1$ , an isometry if  $\|Sf\| = \|f\|$  for all  $f \in H$ , self-adjoint if  $S = S^*$  and positive definite if  $(Sf, f) \geq 0$  for all  $f \in H$ . Here, as usual,  $S^* : H \rightarrow H$  denotes adjoint operator of  $S$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the inner product in  $H$ . Hence, by definition,  $(Sf, g) = (f, S^*g)$  for all  $f, g \in H$ . Our purpose in this section is to obtain the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Given a contraction  $T : H \rightarrow H$  there is an isometry  $Q : H \rightarrow H$  and another contraction  $R : H \rightarrow H$  such that  $\lim_n \|T^n f - Q^n Rf\| = 0$  for all  $f \in H$ .*

The proof will be given after several lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.2.** *If  $T : H \rightarrow H$  is a contraction then*

$$\|T^{*k}T^{n+k}f - T^n f\|^2 \leq \|T^n f\|^2 - \|T^{n+k}f\|^2$$

for any  $f \in H$  and for any integers  $n, k \geq 0$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $T^*$  is also a contraction,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{*k}T^{n+k}f - T^n f\|^2 &= \|T^{*k}T^{n+k}f\|^2 + \|T^n f\|^2 - 2(T^{*k}T^{n+k}f, T^n f) \\ &\leq \|T^{n+k}f\|^2 + \|T^n f\|^2 - 2\|T^{n+k}f\|^2 \\ &= \|T^n f\|^2 - \|T^{n+k}f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

**LEMMA 1.3.** *Given a contraction  $T : H \rightarrow H$  there is a self adjoint and positive definite  $P : H \rightarrow H$  such that  $\lim_n \|T^{*n}R^n f - Pf\| = 0$  for any  $f \in H$ .*

**PROOF.** Lemma 3.2 shows that

$$\|T^{*n+k}T^{n+k}f - T^{*n}T^n f\|^2 \leq \|T^{*k}T^{n+k}f - T^n f\|^2 \leq \|T^n f\|^2 - \|T^{n+k}f\|^2.$$

Since  $\|T^n f\|^2$  is a non increasing sequence of non negative numbers, we see that  $T^{*n}T^n f$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $H$ . Define  $Pf$  as the norm limit if  $T^{*n}T^n f$ . Then it is clear that  $P : H \rightarrow H$  is linear, self adjoint and positive definite.

**REMARKS 1.4.** A similar but a much better known result is the convergence of  $(T^*T)^n f$ . Also, Lemma 1.3 is a special case of a result in [1], obtained later.

**LEMMA 1.5.** *Let  $T$  and  $P$  be as in Lemma 1.3. Then  $\lim_n \|PT^n f - T^n f\| = 0$  for each  $f \in H$ .*

**PROOF.** Lemmas 1.3 and 1.2 show that

$$\begin{aligned} \|PT^n f - T^n f\|^2 &= \lim_k \|T^{*k}T^k T^n f - T^n f\|^2 \\ &\leq \|T^n f\|^2 - \lim_k \|T^{n+k}f\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

from which the proof follows.

LEMMA 1.6. *If  $R : H \rightarrow H$  is positive definite then  $\|Rf - f\| \leq \|R^2f - f\|$  for any  $f \in H$ .*

PROOF. Since  $R$  is positive definite,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (R(Rf - f), (Rf - f)) \\ &= ((R^2f - f) - (Rf - f), (Rf - f)) \\ &= (R^2f - f, Rf - f) - \|Rf - f\|^2 \\ &\leq \|Rf - f\|(\|R^2f - f\| - \|Rf - f\|), \end{aligned}$$

which gives the proof. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Let  $T : H \rightarrow H$  be a contraction and let  $P$  be as in lemma 1.3. Since  $P$  is positive definite and self adjoint, it is known that there is a positive definite and self adjoint operator  $R : H \rightarrow H$  such that  $P = R^2$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Rf\|^2 &= (Rf, Rf) = (R^2f, f) \\ &= (Pf, f) = \lim_n (T^{*n}T^n f, f) \\ &= \lim_n (T^n f, T^n f) = \lim_n \|T^n f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $H_0 = \{f \mid f \in H, \lim_n \|T^n f\| = 0\}$ , which is a closed linear subspace of  $H$ . Let  $H_1 = H_0^\perp$  be the orthogonal complement of  $H_0$ . Hence  $Rf = 0$  whenever  $f \in H_0$  and  $Rf \neq 0$  if  $f \in H_1 - \{0\}$ . Finally let  $M = RH (= RH_1)$  be the range of  $R$ , which is a linear subspace of  $H$ .

We now define an operator  $Q : M \rightarrow M$  as follows. If  $f \in M$  then there is a unique  $g \in H_1$  such that  $f = Rg$ . We then let  $Qf = RTg$ . It is clear that  $Q$  is a linear operator. Also,  $\|Qf\| = \|RTg\| = \lim_n \|T^n(Tg)\| = \lim_n \|T^n g\| = \|Rg\| = \|f\|$  for any  $f \in M$ . This shows that  $Q$  is an isometry on  $M$ . Hence it can be extended to an isometry on  $\bar{M}$ , the closure of  $M$ . We can also extend  $Q$  to an isometry on the whole space  $H$  by defining it, for example, as the identity operator on the orthogonal complement of  $\bar{M}$ . Let  $Q : H \rightarrow H$  denote this extended operator. Now it is easy to see that  $Q : H \rightarrow H$  is an isometry such that  $QRf = RTf$  for all  $f \in H$ . Hence, by induction,  $Q^n Rf = RT^n f$  for each  $f \in H$  and for each integer  $n \geq 0$ .

We now claim that  $\lim_n \|T^n f - Q^n Rf\| = 0$  for all  $f \in H$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^n f - Q^n Rf\| &= \|T^n f - RT^n f\| \\ &\leq \|T^n f - R^2 T^n f\| = \|T^n f - PT^n f\| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Here the inequality follows from Lemma 1.3 and the convergence of  $\|T^n f - PT^n f\|$  to zero follows from Lemma 1.3.

**2. An example.** We give in this section, an example of a positive linear contraction of all  $L_p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , such that  $T1 = 1$  and such that the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma_d$  defined in 1.1 is trivial but the limit distribution  $\nu$  of  $T^n f$  is not a point mass for some function  $f$ .

*Construction of  $T$ .* Let  $(Z, \mathcal{H}) = (X \times Y, \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G})$ ,  $X = Y = [0, 1]$  with the Lebesgue product measure  $\mu$ .  $Q : Z \rightarrow Z$  is the well-known Baker's transformation:  $Q(x, y) = (x/2, 2y) \pmod{1}$  if  $2y < 1$  and  $Q(x, y) = ((x+1)/2, 2y) \pmod{1}$  if  $2y > 1$ . Put  $P_0 = \{(x, y) : 0 < x < 1/2\}$  and  $P_1 = P_0^c$ .

$$\mathcal{P} = \{P_0, P_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_n = \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} Q^i \mathcal{P}.$$

A set of the form  $\{(x, y) : a < y < b\}$  will be called a strip and a set of the form  $\{(x, y) : a < x < b\}$  will be called a column. The intersection of a strip and a column will be called a section. The members of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  are the columns

$$C_k^n = \left\{ (x, y) : \frac{k-1}{2^n} < x < \frac{k}{2^n} \right\}, 1 \leq k \leq 2^n.$$

A column of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is called even if  $k$  is even and odd if  $k$  is odd.

For  $n \geq 1$ , the transformation  $\tau_n$  defined on a strip  $B_n, \tau_n : B_n \rightarrow B_n$  permutes two by two, by translating the sections of  $\mathcal{P}_n \cap B_n$ , that is  $\tau_n x = x + (-1)^{k+1}/2^n$  if  $x \in C_k^n \cap B_n$ . The operator  $T$  will be constructed inductively. Fix  $\rho, 0 < \rho < 1/4$ .  $B_1 = B_{1,1}$  is any strip such that  $\mu(B_{1,1}) = \rho$ .  $R_1$  is  $\tau_1$  on  $B_1$  and is identity elsewhere. Suppose  $B_{n,i}, i = 1, \dots, n, B_n$  and  $R_n$  defined, then  $B_{n+1,i}, i = 1, \dots, n+1$  are strips such that  $\mu(B_{n+1,i}) = \rho^{n+1}, B_{n+1,i} \subset B_{n,i}, i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $B_{n+1,n+1} \subset B_n^c, B_{n+1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} B_{n+1,i}, R_{n+1}$  is  $\tau_{n+1}$  on each  $B_{n+1,i}, i = 1, \dots, n+1$  and is identity elsewhere. Put  $R = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n R_{n-1} \dots R_2 R_1$ . This is well defined for almost all  $(x, y) \in Z$  since  $\sum \mu(B_n) < \sum n \rho^n < \infty$ . Finally,  $E$  is the conditional expectation with respect to  $\mathcal{G}$ , that is for  $f \in L_p(Z), (Ef)(x) = \int_y f(x, y) dy$ . By identifying  $L_p(X, \mathcal{F})$  with  $L_p(Z, \mathcal{F} \times \{\phi Y\})$ , we define  $T$  by  $T = E(RQ)^{-1}$  where  $(RQ)^{-1} f(x, y) = f((RQ)^{-1}(x, y))$ .

Consider  $f = 1_{P_0}$ . On  $Q^n P_0, n \geq 1$ ,

$$T^n 1_{P_0} > 1 - \sum_1^n k \rho^k = 1 - \frac{\rho}{(1-\rho)^2} > \frac{5}{9}.$$

Thus,  $T^n f$  does not converge to a constant function.

LEMMA 2.1. For all  $n \geq 1$  and for all pair of columns,  $C_k^n, C_l^n \in \mathcal{P}_n, 1 \leq k, l \leq 2^n, \mu((RQ)C_k^n \cap C_l^n) > \rho^n/2^n$ .

PROOF. For  $n = 1$ , by construction of  $R_1$ ,

$$\mu((R, Q)C_k^1 \cap C_l^1) = \begin{cases} \rho & \text{if } k \neq l \\ 1 - \rho & \text{if } k = l \end{cases}.$$

Suppose that  $\mu((R_{n-1}Q)C_k^{n-1} \cap C_l^{n-1}) > \rho^{n-1}/2^{n-1}$ . Let  $C_k^n, C_l^n \in \mathcal{P}_n$  where  $k$  and  $l$  are odd,  $k \neq l$ , then  $C_k^n \cup C_{k+1}^n = D_k \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$  and  $C_l^n \cup C_{l+1}^n = D_l \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ .

Hence  $(R_{n-1} \dots R_1 Q) D_k \cap D_l$  contains a section of a strip  $B_{n-1}$  of measure  $> \rho^{n-1}/2^{n-1}$ . Since  $B_{n,i} \subset B_{n-1,i}$  and since  $R_n$  permutes the sections of  $B_{n,i} \cap \mathcal{P}_n$ ,  $(R_n \dots R_1) C_k \cap C_l$ , where  $k' = k$  or  $k+1$  and  $l' = l$  or  $l+1$  contains a section of a strip  $B_n$  of measure  $(\rho/2)^n$ . And since at least  $(\rho/2)^{n-1} - (\rho/2)^n > \rho^n/2^n$  is invariant for all  $R_m$ ,  $m > n$ , the lemma is true for  $n$ .

If  $l = k+1$  or  $l = k-1$ , the lemma is true because of the action of  $R_n$  on the strip  $B_{n,n}$ .

Then if  $\|Tf\|_p = \|f\|_p$  for some  $p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  and some  $f \in \mathbf{L}_p(X)$  then from the preceding lemma,  $f(x + 1/2^n) = f(x)$  a.e. for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence  $E(f|\mathcal{P}_n) = c$  but  $E(f|\mathcal{P}_n) \rightarrow f$  a.e. since  $\mathcal{P}_n \uparrow \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $f = c$ .

Notice also that for all  $f \geq 0$  a.e. then  $Tf > 0$  a.e. Let  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mu(B) > 0$ . Put  $E = \{k/2^n : -2^n < k < 2^n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and let  $A = B + E \pmod{1}$ . Then  $T1_A < 1_A$ , which implies that  $T1_A = 1_A$  and thus  $1_A = c1$ . Then  $T1_B > 0$  a.e.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. M. A. Akcoglu and L. Sucheston. *An alternating procedure for operators in  $L_p$ -spaces*. To appear.
2. S. R. Foguel. *Ergodic theory of Markov processes*. Van Nostrand Math. Studies 21.
3. C. H. Kan. *On norming vectors and norm structures of linear operators between  $L_p$  spaces, I and II*. To appear.
4. D. Revuz. *Markov Chains*. North Holland Math. Library 11, North Holland American Elsevier (1975).
5. M. Rosenblatt. *Markov processes. Structure and asymptotic behavior*. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1971.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*University of Toronto*  
*Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A1*  
*Canada*

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Ohio State University*  
*Columbus, Ohio 43210*  
*U.S.A.*