

A PURELY ANALYTIC CRITERION FOR A DECOMPOSABLE OPERATOR

by RIDGLEY LANGE

(Received 8 November, 1978)

In [3] E. Bishop introduced the notion of an operator with a “duality theory of type 3” and gave a certain sufficient condition for an operator to have a duality theory of type 3. In this note we show that in fact Bishop’s sufficient condition implies that a given operator is decomposable [4]. Moreover, this condition characterizes a decomposable operator.

Throughout this paper X denotes a reflexive complex Banach space, and T denotes a bounded linear operator on X . According to Bishop [3], Definition 5, T has a duality theory of type 3 if for each open cover $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$ of the complex plane \mathbf{C} there are invariant subspaces M_1, \dots, M_n which span X such that $\sigma(T| M_i) \subset \bar{G}_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). The above mentioned sufficient condition that T have a duality theory of type 3 is that T and its adjoint T' both have the following property β ([3], p. 394).

β . If $f_n : D \rightarrow X$ is a sequence of analytic functions such that $(\lambda - T)f_n(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on D , then $\{f_n\}$ is uniformly bounded on compact subsets of D .

Decomposable operators are due to Foias [4] and may be defined as follows. First, the operator T is said to have the single-valued extension property (SVEP) if zero is the only analytic function $f : D \rightarrow X$ for which $(\lambda - T)f(\lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in D$. In this case the spectral manifold $X_T(F)$ is defined for $F \subset \mathbf{C}$ as the set of $x \in X$ such that $x = (\lambda - T)f(\lambda)$ for f analytic on $\mathbf{C} \setminus F$. Now T is said to be decomposable if it has the SVEP and for each cover $\{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$ of \mathbf{C} the manifolds $X_T(\bar{G}_i)$ are closed ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and $X = X_T(\bar{G}_1) + \dots + X_T(\bar{G}_n)$. Moreover, $\sigma(T| X_T(\bar{G}_i)) \subset \bar{G}_i$ for each i . Thus a decomposable operator has a duality theory of type 3, but the converse is false [1] (at least on nonreflexive spaces).

To prove the desired result we require two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If T has property β , then T has the SVEP.*

Proof. Let $f : D \rightarrow X$ be analytic such that $(\lambda - T)f(\lambda) = 0$ for $\lambda \in D$. Put $f_n(\lambda) = nf(\lambda)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and note that for $\lambda \in D$ fixed $\|nf(\lambda)\| \leq R$ for $R > 0$ by β . Hence $f(\lambda) = 0$ and T has the SVEP.

By Lemma 1 the conclusion of the next lemma makes sense.

LEMMA 2. *Let X' be the dual space of X , and let T' denote the adjoint of T . If T and T' both have property β , then $X_T(G)^\perp = X_{T'}(\mathbf{C} \setminus G)$ for each open set G . In particular, $X_T(F)$ (dually, $X_T(F)$) is closed for F closed.*

Proof. First let H, K be arbitrary disjoint sets in \mathbf{C} . For $x \in X_T(H)$ and $u \in X_{T'}(K)$ it follows by a straightforward application of Liouville’s theorem that $\langle x, u \rangle$ (evaluation of u at x) is 0. Hence for any $G \subset \mathbf{C}$, we obtain $X_T(G)^\perp \supset X_{T'}(\mathbf{C} \setminus G)$.

Glasgow Math. J. 21 (1980) 69–70.

We next prove the reverse inclusion. Let G be open, and let H and K be two open sets such that $\bar{H} \subset G$ and such that $\{G, K\}$ and $\{H, K\}$ separately cover \mathbf{C} . By [3], Theorems 3 and 4, p. 394, and Definition 3, p. 381, and the evident fact that $X_T(\bar{H}) \subset X_T(G)$, we obtain the inclusions $X_T(G)^+ \subset X_T(\bar{H})^+ \subset X'_T(\bar{K})$. Now let $\{K_j\}$ be a sequence of open sets such that $\{G, K_j\}$ covers \mathbf{C} for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\mathbf{C} \setminus G = \bigcap \bar{K}_j$. By the last inclusion $X_T(G)^+ \subset \bigcap X'_T(\bar{K}_j) = X'_T(\bigcap \bar{K}_j) = X'_T(\mathbf{C} \setminus G)$, since $X_T(\)$ preserves intersections. Thus $X_T(G)^+ = X'_T(\mathbf{C} \setminus G)$.

THEOREM. *Let X be reflexive with dual X' , and let T be an operator on X with adjoint T' . Then T is decomposable if and only if T and T' both have property β .*

Proof. Suppose T and T' both have property β . By a recent result of Radjabalipour [7], it is enough to prove that T is 2-decomposable, i.e. $X = X_T(\bar{G}_1) + X_T(\bar{G}_2)$ and $X_T(\bar{G}_i)$ are closed whenever G_1, G_2 cover \mathbf{C} . Let $\{G_1, G_2\}$ be such a cover, so that $H_i = \mathbf{C} \setminus \bar{G}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) have disjoint closures. By [2], Lemma 2.3, and Lemma 2, $X'_T(\bar{H}_1) + X'_T(\bar{H}_2)$ is a direct sum, hence $X'_T(H_1)^- + X'_T(H_2)^-$ is also direct. It is not hard to prove that $X'_T(H_1)^+ + X'_T(H_2)^+ = X$ (see [6, p. 1057]). By Lemma 2 (applied to T') $X = X_T(\bar{G}_1) + X_T(\bar{G}_2)$ and the latter are closed. Hence T is decomposable.

Conversely, let T be decomposable. Then T has property β by [5], and T' is 2-decomposable by [6], Theorem 2; hence T' also has property β by [5], final remark. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. E. Albrecht, An example of a weakly decomposable operator which is not decomposable *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **20** (1975), 855–861.
2. C. Apostol, Roots of decomposable operator-valued analytic function, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **13** (1968), 147–150.
3. E. Bishop, A duality theory for an arbitrary operator, *Pacific J. Math.* **9** (1959), 379–397.
4. C. Foias, Spectral maximal spaces and decomposable operators in Banach spaces, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **14** (1963), 341–349.
5. C. Foias, On the maximal spectral spaces of a decomposable operator, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **15** (1970), 1599–1606.
6. S. Frunza, A duality theorem for decomposable operators, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pure Appl.* **16** (1971), 1055–1058.
7. M. Radjabalipour, Equivalence of decomposable and 2-decomposable operators, *Pacific J. Math.*, **28** (1978), 243–247

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF NEW ORLEANS
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70122

Present address:
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY
YOUNGSTOWN, OHIO 44555