

## HOMEOMORPHISM AND ISOMORPHISM OF ABELIAN GROUPS

STEPHEN SCHEINBERG

An abelian topological group can be considered simply as an abelian group or as a topological space. The question considered in this article is whether the topological group structure is determined by these weaker structures. Denote homeomorphism, isomorphism, and homeomorphic isomorphism by  $\approx$ ,  $\cong$ , and  $=$ , respectively. The principal results are these.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are locally compact and connected, then  $G_1 \approx G_2$  implies  $G_1 = G_2$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** (a) *There are compact connected  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with  $G_1 \cong G_2$  and  $G_1 \neq G_2$ .*

(b) *There are connected  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with  $G_1 \approx G_2$ ,  $G_1 \cong G_2$ , and  $G_1 \neq G_2$ .*

(c) *There are compact  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with  $G_1 \approx G_2$ ,  $G_1 \cong G_2$ , and  $G_1 \neq G_2$ .*

**1. Proof of Theorem 1.** The structure theorem for locally compact abelian groups (Chapter 2 of [5]) gives  $G_i = \mathbf{R}^{n_i} \times K_i$ , where  $n_i$  are non-negative integers and  $K_i$  are compact connected abelian groups. It is known that  $H^1(G_i) \cong H^1(K_i) \cong \hat{K}_i$ , where  $\hat{K}_i$  is the Pontryagin dual group of  $K_i$  and the cohomology is Čech cohomology. The isomorphism  $H^1(G_i) \cong H^1(K_i)$  is clear, since  $K_i$  is a deformation retract of  $G_i$ . The isomorphism  $H^1(K_i) \cong \hat{K}_i$  is obtained in this manner (see [1, Chapters VIII–X]).  $\hat{K}_i$  is discrete and torsion free, since  $K_i$  is compact and connected. Write  $\hat{K}_i$  as a direct limit of its finitely generated subgroups, each of which is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}^n$ , for some  $n$ . Then  $K_i = (\hat{K}_i)^\wedge =$  the inverse limit of various tori  $T^n (= \widehat{\mathbf{Z}^n} = (\mathbf{Z}^n)^\wedge)$ . Since Čech cohomology is continuous on inverse limits and since  $H^1(T)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}$ , it follows that  $H^1(K_i) \cong \hat{K}_i$ . Therefore,  $G_1 \approx G_2$  implies  $\hat{K}_1 \cong \hat{K}_2$ , which implies  $K_1 = K_2$ . The proof will be completed by a proof that  $n_1 = n_2$ , which is an immediate consequence of the next proposition.

**PROPOSITION.** *If  $K$  is a non-empty compact space and  $P = \mathbf{R}^n \times K$ , then the integer  $n$  is a topological invariant of  $P$ .*

*Proof.* R. J. Milgram kindly provided me with a proof (oral) that  $n$  is the smallest dimension for which the homology of  $\bar{P} = P \cup (\infty)$  is non-vanishing. The proof below is based on showing this to be true for singular homology, but by a different method.

There is a projection  $\pi$  of  $\bar{P}$  onto  $S^n = \mathbf{R}^n \cup (\infty) : \pi(\infty) = \infty, \pi(x, k) = x$ .

---

Received June 21, 1973.

Fix  $k \in K$  and define  $i : S^n \rightarrow \bar{P}$  by  $i(\infty) = \infty$ ,  $i(x) = (x, k)$  for  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Since  $\pi i$  is the identity on  $S^n$ ,  $\pi_* i_*$  is the identity on  $H_n(S^n) = \mathbf{Z}$ ; in particular,  $H_n(\bar{P}) \neq 0$ .

To show that  $H_m(\bar{P}) = 0$  for  $m < n$ , it is sufficient to consider  $n \geq 1$  and to show that every  $f : S^m \rightarrow \bar{P}$ ,  $m < n$ , is homotopic to the constant map  $\infty$ . Define  $C = f^{-1}(\infty) \subseteq S^m$  and  $g = \pi f$ . There is a continuous  $h : S^m - C \rightarrow K$  such that  $f(x) = (g(x), h(x))$  for  $x \notin C$ . It is sufficient to show that  $g \simeq \infty$  relative to  $C$ , that is, that there is a continuous  $G(x, t)$  for which  $G(x, 0) = g(x)$ ,  $G(x, 1) = \infty$ , and  $G(x, t) = \infty$  when  $g(x) = \infty$ . For then a homotopy  $f \simeq \infty$  is given by  $F(x, t) = (G(x, t), h(x))$  for  $x \notin C$  and  $F(x, t) = \infty$  for  $x \in C$ . Reducing the problem further, it is enough to find a homotopy of  $g$ , relative to  $C$ , to a map  $g' : S^m \rightarrow S^n$  whose range omits a point of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , since  $\infty$  is a deformation retract of  $S^n - x$ , for any  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .

Triangulate  $S^m$  and define  $L$  to be the subcomplex consisting of all simplices  $\sigma$  which meet  $C$ , together with all faces of such  $\sigma$ . Let  $M$  be the subcomplex of all simplices which do not meet  $C$ , together with all their faces. Then  $S^m = |L| \cup |M|$  and  $C$  is contained in the interior of  $|L|$ . We can assume the triangulation is so fine that  $x \in |L| \Rightarrow |g(x)| > 2$ , where  $|\infty| = \infty$ . Since  $g(|M|)$  is compact in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , we can subdivide  $M$  sufficiently so that if  $\sigma$  is any simplex of the subdivision  $N$ ,  $g(|\sigma|)$  has diameter less than 1.

Define  $g' : S^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$  as follows. Let  $g'(x) = g(x)$  for every  $x \in |L|$  and every vertex  $x$  of  $N$ . For any other  $x$  choose a simplex  $\sigma$  of  $N$  containing  $x$ ; let  $\rho$  be the largest face of  $\sigma$  contained in  $|L|$  and  $\tau$  be the complementary face. By appropriately numbering the vertices of  $\sigma$ , we can write

$$\sigma = \langle v_0, \dots, v_p \rangle, \quad \tau = \langle v_0, \dots, v_q \rangle, \quad \rho = \langle v_{q+1}, \dots, v_p \rangle.$$

Let  $(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_p)$  be the barycentric coordinates of  $x$ . If  $\rho$  is empty, put  $g'(x) = \lambda_0 g(v_0) + \dots + \lambda_p g(v_p)$ . Otherwise, let  $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \dots + \lambda_q < 1$  and let  $y$  be the point of  $\rho$  with coordinates  $(\lambda_{q+1}/(1 - \lambda), \dots, \lambda_p/(1 - \lambda))$ . Define

$$g'(x) = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} g(v_0) + \dots + \frac{\lambda_q}{\lambda} g(v_q) + (1 - \lambda)g(y).$$

It is easy to see that  $g'$  is continuous. A homotopy  $g \simeq g'$ , relative to  $C$ , is given by  $H(x, t) = \infty$  for  $x \in C$ ,  $H(x, t) = tg'(x) + (1 - t)g(x)$  for  $x \notin C$ .

If  $x \in |L|$ ,  $|g'(x)| = |g(x)| > 2$ . If  $\sigma$  is a simplex of  $N$ , then  $g'(\sigma)$  has diameter less than 1, being contained in the convex hull of  $g(|\sigma|)$ . If  $|\sigma|$  meets  $|L|$ , this means  $|g'(x)| > 1$  for all  $x \in |\sigma|$ . On the rest of  $S^m$ , which is the rest of  $N$ ,  $g'$  is piecewise linear; so its range is contained in a finite number of  $m$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Therefore,  $g'(S^m)$  omits a point of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  of norm less than 1.

**2. Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $\mathbf{Q}$  be the rational numbers with the discrete topology.  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$ , the dual of  $\mathbf{Q}$ , is compact, connected, and torsion free, since  $\mathbf{Q}$  is

discrete, torsion free, and has no subgroup of finite index. Since  $\mathbf{Q}$  is the direct limit of groups isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$  is the inverse limit of groups isomorphic to the circle  $T$ . Since  $T$  is divisible,  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$  is divisible. Thus,  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$  is a torsion-free divisible group containing  $c(=2^{\aleph_0})$  elements. Hence,  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$  is isomorphic to the  $c$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . It immediately follows that  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}} \cong \hat{\mathbf{Q}} \oplus \hat{\mathbf{Q}}$ . By Theorem 1  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}} \not\cong \hat{\mathbf{Q}} \oplus \hat{\mathbf{Q}}$  since the dual groups  $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $\mathbf{Q} \oplus \mathbf{Q}$  are not isomorphic. This proves 2(a).

For 2(b) consider  $G_1 = L^1(0, 1)$  and  $G_2 = L^2(0, 1)$ . If  $G_1$  were equal to  $G_2$  as topological groups, then they would be equal as rational vector spaces, since they are torsion free. Continuity would then yield  $G_1 = G_2$  as real topological vector spaces, which is obviously false. However,  $G_1 \cong G_2$  as real vector spaces, hence as groups. And  $G_1 \approx G_2$  by a theorem of Mazur [4].

For 2(c) it will be convenient to have a summary of the basic theory of  $p$ -adic groups. Details can be found in [3], especially § 16. A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is *pure* if and only if for every  $n > 0$  every element of  $H$  which can be divided by  $n$  in  $G$  can already be divided by  $n$  in  $H$ . A subset is pure if and only if the subgroup generated by it is pure. A subset  $S$  of  $G$  is *independent* if and only if for every  $T \subseteq S$  the subgroups generated by  $T$  and by  $S - T$  have only 0 in common.

Fix a prime number  $p$ . The  $p$ -adic topology on  $G$  is obtained by letting  $\{p^n G : n \geq 0\}$  be the system of basic neighborhoods of 0. This topology is metrizable in the following manner. Define

$$h(x) = \sup\{n : x \text{ can be divided by } p^n \text{ in } G\},$$

called the *height* of  $x$  in  $G$ ;  $p^n G$  is the set of all elements of height at least  $n$ . The distance function  $d(x, y) = [1 + h(x - y)]^{-1}$  induces the  $p$ -adic topology. From now on assume  $G$  has no elements of infinite height; then  $d$  is a genuine metric. In fact,  $d$  is non-Archimedean:  $d(x, y) \leq \max\{d(x, z), d(y, z)\}$ ; so  $g_n$  is Cauchy if and only if  $d(g_n, g_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$ . If  $H$  is pure in  $G$ , then  $p^n H = H \cap p^n G$ ; so the inclusion  $H \subseteq G$  is a homeomorphic isomorphism.

Let  $G^*$  be the abstract completion of  $G$  as a metric group.  $G^*$  has no elements of infinite height, and  $G$  is pure in  $G^*$ . Thus, the  $p$ -adic metric on  $G^*$  coincides with the natural extension of the metric on  $G$ , and the inclusion  $G \subseteq G^*$  is an isometric isomorphism. More generally, if  $G$  is a pure subgroup of a complete group  $C$ , then the inclusion  $G \subseteq C$  extends to a (unique) homeomorphic isomorphism of  $G^*$  onto  $\bar{G}$ , the closure of  $G$  in  $C$ . Since  $d$  is non-Archimedean, every series  $\sum_0^\infty p^j x_j$  converges in  $C$ . The closure of  $G$  consists of all sums  $\sum_0^\infty p^j g_j, g_j \in G$ .

The  $p$ -adic integers  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  is obtained as the completion of  $\mathbf{Z}$  and is a ring since  $\mathbf{Z}$  is a ring.  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  is homeomorphic to Cantor's middle-third set (see [2, § 2-15]), and  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_p$  is  $\mathbf{Z}(p^\infty)$ , the subgroup of the circle consisting of all the  $(p^n)$ th roots of unity, for all  $n$ . It is often convenient to express  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  as the set of all formal sums  $\sum_0^\infty a_j p^j, 0 \leq a_j < p$ , with addition and multiplication as for the integers (finite sums) in base  $p$ . A  $p$ -adically complete group is natural  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -module, via

$(\sum a_j p^j)x = \sum a_j (p^j x)$ . Note that

$$-1 = (p - 1)(1 - p)^{-1} = (p - 1)(1 + p + p^2 + \dots).$$

Let  $G$  be complete and  $S$  be a maximal pure independent subset; that is, no subset of  $G$  properly containing  $S$  is both pure and independent. Then the subgroup  $H$  generated by  $S$  is dense in  $G$ ; so  $G \cong H^*$ . Furthermore, if we partition  $S$  as  $S_1 \cup S_2$  and let  $G_i$  be the closed subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $S_i$ , then  $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$ , an internal direct sum. That is, disjoint pure subgroups have disjoint closures.

LEMMA. Let  $G = \prod_1^\infty G_j$ , where each  $G_j$  is either  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  or  $\mathbf{Z}(p^n)$ , the cyclic group of order  $p^n$ , for some  $n$ ; assume that the order of  $G_j$  tends to  $\infty$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Define  $e_n \in G$  by  $e_n(j) = 1$  for  $j = n$  and  $0$  for  $j \neq n$ . Then  $S_0 = \{e_n : n \geq 1\}$  is pure and independent. Let  $S$  be a maximal pure independent set containing  $S_0$ ; let  $H$  and  $K$  be the subgroups generated by  $S_0$  and  $S - S_0$ , respectively. Then

- (1)  $\bar{H}$ , the  $p$ -adic closure of  $H$ , contains the torsion subgroup of  $G$ ;
- (2)  $K \cong \sum_c \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ , the (weak) direct sum of  $c$  copies of  $\mathbf{Z}$ ;
- (3)  $G = \bar{H} \oplus \bar{K}$ , an internal direct sum;
- (4)  $\bar{K} \cong K^* \cong \mathbf{Z}_p^{\aleph_0}$ .

*Proof.*  $S_0$  is clearly pure and independent. Since  $G$  is complete, we know by the general theory that  $H^* \cong \bar{H}$ ,  $K^* \cong \bar{K}$ , and  $\bar{H} \oplus \bar{K} = G$ .  $G_n$  is the closed subgroup generated by  $e_n$ ; therefore,

$$\bar{H} = \overline{\sum \oplus G_j} = \left\{ g = \sum_0^\infty p^n g_n, g_n \in \sum \oplus G_j \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that this last group is

$$\{g : h(g(j)) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

If  $mg = 0$ , then  $g(j) = 0$  when  $G_j = \mathbf{Z}_p$  and  $mg(j)$  is divisible  $p^n$  when  $G_j = \mathbf{Z}(p^n)$ . Since  $n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $g \in \bar{H}$ .

Since  $\bar{K}$  is independent of  $\bar{H}$ ,  $\bar{K}$  is torsion free. Therefore,  $K \cong \sum \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ , with the number of copies of  $\mathbf{Z}$  being the cardinal of  $S - S_0$ . Since  $S$  is infinite,  $H + K$  has the same cardinal as  $S$ . Since  $H + K$  is dense in  $G$ ,  $(H + K)/pG$  is dense in  $G/pG$ , which is discrete. Therefore  $(H + K)/pG = G/pG$ . Now it is easy to see that  $G/pG \cong \mathbf{Z}(p)^{\aleph_0} \cong \sum_c \oplus \mathbf{Z}(p)$ , the latter isomorphism being a  $\mathbf{Z}(p)$ -vector space isomorphism. Therefore there are  $c$  elements in  $H + K$ , hence in  $S$ , hence in  $S - S_0$ .

We already know  $\bar{K} \cong K^*$ , so we must finally see that  $K^* \cong \mathbf{Z}_p^{\aleph_0}$ . Apply the foregoing discussion to the case where every  $G_j = \mathbf{Z}_p$ . Then

$$H \cong \sum_{\aleph_0} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad K \cong \sum_c \oplus \mathbf{Z};$$

thus,  $K \cong K + H$ . So  $K^* \cong (K + H)^* \cong \overline{(K + H)} = \bar{K} + \bar{H} = G = \mathbf{Z}_p^{\aleph_0}$ .

The proof of Theorem 2c can now be completed. Let  $G_1 = \prod_1^\infty \mathbf{Z}(p^n)$  with the product topology and  $G_2 = G_1 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_p$ .  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are each homeomorphic to Cantor's middle-third set (see [2, § 2-15]). By the lemma  $G_1 \cong \bar{H} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_p^{\aleph_0}$ ; so

$$G_2 = G_1 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_p \cong \bar{H} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_p^{\aleph_0} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_p \cong \bar{H} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_p^{\aleph_0} \cong G_1.$$

However,  $\hat{G}_1 = \sum \oplus \mathbf{Z}(p^n)$  and  $\hat{G}_2 = \hat{G}_1 \oplus \mathbf{Z}(p^\infty)$ .  $\mathbf{Z}(p^\infty)$  is a divisible subgroup of  $\hat{G}_2$ , and  $\hat{G}_1$  has no divisible elements other than 0. Hence  $\hat{G}_1 \neq \hat{G}_2$ ; so  $G_1 \neq G_2$ .

## REFERENCES

1. S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, *Foundations of algebraic topology* (Princeton Univ. Press Princeton, 1952).
2. J. G. Hocking and G. S. Young, *Topology* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1961).
3. I. Kaplansky, *Infinite abelian groups* (U. of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1954).
4. S. Mazur, *Une remarque sur l'homéomorphie des champs fonctionnels*, *Studia Math.* 1 (1929), 83-85.
5. W. Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups* (Wiley (Interscience), New York, 1962).
6. S. Scheinberg, *Homeomorphic isomorphic abelian groups*, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* II (1964), 464.

*University of California, Irvine,  
Irvine, California*