

THE CONTRAGREDIENT ISOTYPIC COMPONENT  
OF THE REGULAR REPRESENTATION  
OF PSEUDOREFLECTION GROUPS

*To Louis Solomon on his 65th birthday*

F. DESTREMPES AND A. PIANZOLA

**ABSTRACT.** For the regular representation of a pseudoreflection group  $G$  we characterize the occurrences of the contragredient representation as the gradient spaces of a set of Chevalley generators of the invariants of  $G$ .

**1. The statements.** In what follows  $V$  will be a finite dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $G \subset \text{GL}(V)$  will be a group. We shall denote the symmetric algebra of  $V$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  by  $S$  and uniquely extend the elements of  $G$  to automorphisms of  $S$ . We then define the fixed point algebra

$$R := \{x \in S \mid gx = x \ \forall g \in G\}.$$

We shall view  $S$  as an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded algebra and  $G$ -module

$$S = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}} S^d$$

in the natural way and in what follows, any reference to “graded” or “homogeneous” objects will be with respect to this grading.

We denote by  $V^*$  the dual space of  $V$  and view this as a  $G$ -module via the contragredient action. Each  $\omega \in V^*$  extends uniquely to a derivation  $\partial_\omega$  of  $S$ . One easily verifies the following three properties:

- (1)  $g\partial_\omega(s) = \partial_{g\omega}gs$  for all  $g \in G$ ,  $\omega \in V^*$  and  $s \in S$ .
- (2) If  $\{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\}$  is a basis of  $V$  and  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\ell\}$  is the corresponding dual basis of  $V^*$ , then for  $s \in S^d$  where  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  we have (Euler’s identity)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} v_i \partial_{\omega_i} s = ds.$$

- (3) The map  $\omega \mapsto \partial_\omega$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear map from  $V^*$  to the  $\mathbb{K}$ -space of derivations of  $S$ . If  $s \in S$  we define its *gradient space*  $\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(s)$  by

$$(4) \quad \nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(s) := \{\partial_\omega(s) \mid \omega \in V^*\}.$$

---

Both authors acknowledge the help of NSERC Canada.

Received by the editors January 14, 1994.

AMS subject classification: 17B20, 20C.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1995.

It follows from (3) that thus defined  $\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(s)$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -subspace of  $S$ .

Next we assume that  $G$  is finite, generated by pseudoreflections (recall that a *pseudoreflection* is a non trivial element of  $GL(V)$  which pointwise fixes a hyperplane), and that  $|G|$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{K}$ . (We refer to this as the ‘‘Chevalley conditions.’’) We recall some basic properties of these groups. Our running reference for this will be [Bbk, Chapter 5, number 5]. The ring  $R$  is a polynomial ring on a set of homogeneous generators  $f_1, \dots, f_\ell$ . That is

$$R = \mathbb{K}[f_1, \dots, f_\ell].$$

If we set  $d_i := \deg f_i$  (the degrees), then  $|G| = \prod_{i=1}^\ell d_i$ . The set of degrees is independent of the choice of Chevalley generators  $f_1, \dots, f_\ell$ .

Finally if  $R_+$  denotes the augmentation ideal of  $R$  there exist  $G$ -stable graded supplements to  $R_+S$  in  $S$  and any such supplement is isomorphic to the regular representation of  $G$ . Fix once and for all such a supplement  $U$ . Write

$$(5) \quad S = R_+S \oplus U$$

and let

$$\bar{\cdot} : S \rightarrow U$$

be the corresponding projection.

It is natural to ask where in  $U$  do the different irreducible representations of  $G$  appear. We give a definite answer to this question as far as the occurrence of the contragredient module  $V^*$  is concerned.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $G \subset GL(V)$  be a group satisfying Chevalley conditions. Assume  $V$  is an irreducible  $G$ -module. If  $f_1, \dots, f_\ell$  is a set of Chevalley generators of  $R$  and  $\bar{\cdot} : S \rightarrow U$  is given by (5) above, then*

- (i) *Each  $\overline{\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(f_i)}$  is a  $G$ -module isomorphic to  $V^*$ .*
- (ii) *The sum  $\sum_{i=1}^\ell \overline{\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(f_i)}$  is direct and this  $G$ -space is the isotypic component of  $V^*$  in  $U$ .*

For Weyl groups the theorem is due to Solomon ([SIm]). It can also be found in [BL] (where the occurrence of all irreducible representations in  $U$  is studied). Note that for Weyl groups  $V \simeq V^*$ .

**2. The proofs.** Before turning into the main proof we point out a (probably well known) general fact (Proposition 1 below) from which part (i) of the theorem will follow.

The group  $G$  is now allowed to be arbitrary. If  $H$  is a subset of  $S$  we define its *gradient space* by

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(H) := \sum_{s \in H} \nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(s)$$

where  $\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(s)$  is as in (4).

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{K}$ -space and let  $G \subset GL(V)$  be a group. If  $H \subset S$  is a  $G$ -module, then  $\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(H) \subset S$  is a  $G$ -module which is a homomorphic image of the  $G$ -module  $H \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V^*$ .*

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1. That  $\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(H)$  is a  $G$ -module follows from (1). To see that  $\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(H)$  is a homomorphic image of  $H \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V^*$  we consider the unique  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear surjection  $\psi: H \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V^* \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(H)$  satisfying

$$\psi: h \otimes \omega \mapsto \partial_{\omega} h \quad \text{for all } h \in H \text{ and } \omega \in V^*.$$

If we denote by  $\cdot$  the action of  $G$  on  $H \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V^*$ , then for all  $g \in G, h \in H$ , and  $\omega \in V^*$  we have

$$\psi(g \cdot (h \otimes \omega)) := \psi(gh \otimes g\omega) := \partial_{g\omega}(gh) = g\partial_{\omega}(h) = g\psi(h \otimes \omega)$$

(this penultimate equality by (1)) showing that  $\psi$  is a  $G$ -module homomorphism. ■

REMARK 1. Let  $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{K}r$  is a trivial one dimensional  $G$ -module. Proposition 1 shows that  $\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(r)$  is a homomorphic image of  $V^*$ .

REMARK 2. Let  $r \in R^d := R \cap S^d$  be nonzero and assume that  $d$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{K}$ . Euler’s identity (2) shows that  $\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(r) \neq (0)$ .

REMARK 3. Having fixed a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{\ell}\}$  of  $V$  we can for each  $g \in G$  write

$$gv_j = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} a_{kj}(g)v_k$$

where  $a_{kj}(g) \in \mathbb{K}$ .

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume a copy of  $V^*$  appears in  $S^{d-1}$ . One can ask if this is of the form  $\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(r)$  for some  $r \in R^d$ . Though the answer in this generality is no, one can still perform the following suggestive calculation. Let  $h_1, \dots, h_{\ell}$  be a basis of such copy of  $V^*$  chosen so that

$$gh_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} a_{ik}(g^{-1})h_k.$$

Let

$$r := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} v_i h_i.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that  $gr = r$  so that  $r$  is invariant. However we do not know if  $r = 0$  or, even if  $r \neq 0$ , whether  $\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(r) \neq (0)$ . If  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{\ell}\} \subset V^*$  is the basis dual to  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{\ell}\}$ , then  $h_i$  need not be a multiple of  $\partial_{\omega_i} r$  in general but, remarkably enough, this is the case for irreducible pseudoreflection groups. (See the proof of Theorem 1(ii) below.)

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Since  $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} d_i = |G|$  it follows that each  $d_i$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{K}$ . Remarks 1 and 2 above, together with the assumption that  $V$  (hence  $V^*$ ) is irreducible, gives

$$(6) \quad \nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(f_i) \simeq V^*.$$

Thus if  $\overline{\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(f_i)}$  is not isomorphic to  $V^*$ , then  $\overline{\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(f_i)} = (0)$ . Now if  $\overline{\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(f_i)} = (0)$ , then for any fixed basis  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\ell\}$  of  $V^*$  we have  $\partial_{\omega_j} f_i \in R_+S$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ . We show this is not possible.

Recall that a nonzero element  $z \in S$  is called *antiinvariant* if

$$gz = (\det g)^{-1}z.$$

An example of such an element is the Jacobian

$$J = \det(\partial_{\omega_j} f_i), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq \ell.$$

Furthermore any antiinvariant is of the form  $rJ$  for some  $r \in R$ . Now if  $\partial_{\omega_j} f_i \in R_+S$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ , then  $J \in R_+S$  and therefore *all* nonzero antiinvariants would belong to  $R_+S$ . This however cannot be the case, for  $U$  contains a copy of the one dimensional  $G$ -module affording the character  $\det^{-1}$ ; and hence nonzero antiinvariant elements. The proof of (i) is now complete.

As for (ii) it will suffice to show that the sum

$$(7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \overline{\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(f_i)}$$

is direct (the statement about the isotypic component then follows from (i)).

To simplify the notation we will write  $M_i$  instead of  $\overline{\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(f_i)}$ . If the sum (7) is not direct after rearranging the  $f_i$ 's if necessary, we can find  $1 \leq p < q \leq \ell$  so that  $d_p = d_{p+1} = \dots = d_q$  and

$$(8) \quad \text{the sum } \sum_{k=p+1}^q M_k \text{ is direct}$$

$$(9) \quad M_p \cap \bigoplus_{k=p+1}^q M_k \neq (0).$$

From (9) it follows that  $M_p \subset \bigoplus_{k=p+1}^q M_k := M$  and we can therefore for each  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$  write

$$\overline{\partial_{\omega_i} f_p} = v_{i,p+1} + \dots + v_{i,q}$$

where  $v_{i,k} \in M_k$ .

We claim that for each  $p < k \leq q$

$$(10) \quad \text{there exists } \chi_k \in \mathbb{K} \text{ such that } v_{i,k} = \chi_k \overline{\partial_{\omega_i} f_k} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq \ell.$$

Indeed for  $p < k \leq q$  let  $\psi_k: M \rightarrow M_k$  be the projection map. By irreducibility  $\psi_k(M_p) = (0)$  or  $\psi_k(M_p) = M_k$ . If  $\psi_k(M_p) = (0)$  we simply set  $\chi_k = 0$ . If  $\psi_k(M_p) = M_k$  consider the unique linear map  $\varphi_k: M_k \rightarrow M_p$  satisfying  $\varphi_k: \overline{\partial_{\omega_i} f_k} \rightarrow \overline{\partial_{\omega_i} f_p}$ . By explicitly looking at the proof of part (i) of the theorem we conclude that  $\varphi_k$  is a  $G$ -module isomorphism. Thus  $\psi_k \circ \varphi_k$  is an automorphism of  $M_k$  and hence a homothety (Schur's lemma). But  $\psi_k \circ \varphi_k(\overline{\partial_{\omega_i} f_k}) = v_{i,k}$  and hence there exists a  $\chi_k \in \mathbb{K}^\times$  so that  $v_{i,k} = \chi_k \overline{\partial_{\omega_i} f_k}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ . Now (10) is established.

Finally consider  $f := f_p - \chi_{p+1} f_{p+1} - \dots - \chi_q f_q$ . It is clear that  $f_1, \dots, f_{p-1}, f, f_{p+1}, \dots, f_\ell$  is a set of Chevalley generators and hence that, as we have already proved,  $\overline{\nabla_{\mathbb{K}}(f)} \simeq V^*$ . By (10) however  $\overline{\partial_{\omega_i} f} = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ . This contradiction finishes the proof of (ii). ■

## REFERENCES

- [Bbk] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie, Chapters 4, 5 et 6*, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [BL] W. M. Beynon and G. Lusztig, *Some numerical results on the characters of exceptional Weyl groups*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **84**(1978), 417–426.
- [Chv] C. Chevalley, *Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections*, Amer. J. Math. **77**(1955), 778–782.
- [Slm] L. Solomon, *Invariants of euclidean reflection groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (2) **113**(1964), 274–286.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*University of Toronto*  
*Toronto, Ontario*  
*M5S 1A1*

*Department of Mathematical Sciences*  
*University of Alberta*  
*Edmonton, Alberta*  
*T6G 2G1*