

## COMPACT WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON $H^p$ -SPACES

CHING-ON LO<sup>✉</sup> and ANTHONY WAI-KEUNG LOH

(Received 13 June 2018; accepted 7 January 2019; first published online 26 February 2019)

### Abstract

Let  $u$  and  $\varphi$  be two analytic functions on the unit disc  $D$  such that  $\varphi(D) \subset D$ . A weighted composition operator  $uC_\varphi$  induced by  $u$  and  $\varphi$  is defined by  $uC_\varphi f := u \cdot f \circ \varphi$  for every  $f$  in  $H^p$ , the Hardy space of  $D$ . We investigate compactness of  $uC_\varphi$  on  $H^p$  in terms of function-theoretic properties of  $u$  and  $\varphi$ .

2010 Mathematics subject classification: primary 47B33; secondary 30H10.

Keywords and phrases: weighted composition operators, Hardy spaces, compact operators.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $u$  and  $\varphi$  be two analytic functions on the unit disc  $D$  such that  $\varphi(D) \subset D$ . They induce a *weighted composition operator*  $uC_\varphi$  from the Hardy space  $H^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) into the linear space of all analytic functions on  $D$  by

$$uC_\varphi(f)(z) := u(z)f(\varphi(z)) \quad \text{for every } f \in H^p \text{ and } z \in D.$$

When  $u \equiv 1$  (respectively  $\varphi(z) = z$  for all  $z \in D$ ), the corresponding operator, denoted by  $C_\varphi$  (respectively  $M_u$ ), is known as a *composition operator* (respectively a *multiplication operator*). It is well-known that  $C_\varphi$  is always bounded on  $H^p$ . However, this is not necessarily true for the weighted operator. If  $uC_\varphi$  maps  $H^p$  into itself, an appeal to the closed graph theorem yields its boundedness. In this case, we say  $uC_\varphi$  is a weighted composition operator on  $H^p$ .

There has been an extensive study of weighted composition operators on  $H^p$  (and on other analytic function spaces) in the last two decades. In this paper, we investigate compact weighted composition operators on  $H^p$ . The problem of characterising these operators has been considered via different approaches in the literature. It was shown in [5, Theorem 2.1] that  $uC_\varphi$  is compact on  $H^\infty$  if and only if the closure of the set  $\varphi(\{z \in D : |u(z)| \geq \varepsilon\})$  is contained in  $D$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . When  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $u \in H^p$ , Contreras and Hernández-Díaz [1, Theorems 3.4 and 3.5] characterised the compactness of  $uC_\varphi$  with the condition

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{\zeta \in T} \frac{m_p(S(\zeta, r))}{r} = 0,$$

where  $S(\zeta, r) := \{z \in \overline{D} : |z - \zeta| \leq r\}$  and  $m_p$  is the measure given by

$$m_p(E) := \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E) \cap T} |u|^p dm$$

for all measurable subsets  $E$  of  $\overline{D}$ . Others, such as [2, Theorem 5], studied this problem using a generalised Berezin transform

$$B(z) := \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}\varphi(e^{i\theta})|^2} |u(e^{i\theta})|^p dm \quad \text{for all } z \in D.$$

These characterisations, however, are rather implicit and somewhat intractable. Motivated by the work in [3] and [4], we obtain necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for the compactness of  $uC_\varphi$  in terms of function-theoretic properties of  $u$  and  $\varphi$ . These results are also illustrated with examples.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $D$  be the unit disc  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $T$  be the unit circle  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ . The Hardy space  $H^p$  of  $D$ , where  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , consists of all analytic functions  $f$  on  $D$  such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta < \infty.$$

We define  $H^\infty$  to be the set of all functions  $f$  which are analytic and bounded on  $D$ .

Let  $m$  be the normalised Lebesgue measure on  $T$ , that is,  $dm := d\theta/2\pi$ , and write  $L^p = L^p(m)$ . Norms of  $H^p$  and  $L^p$  are both denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . If  $f \in H^p$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , its radial limit

$$\hat{f}(e^{i\theta}) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} f(re^{i\theta})$$

exists  $m$ -a.e. on  $T$  and  $\hat{f} \in L^p$  with  $\|\hat{f}\|_p = \|f\|_p$ . In addition, when  $f \not\equiv 0$ , we have  $\hat{f} \neq 0$   $m$ -a.e. on  $T$ . It is often useful to consider the extension of  $f$  to  $\overline{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ , also denoted by  $f$ , such that  $f|_T = \hat{f}$ .

We assume  $1 \leq p < \infty$  in the remaining sections of the paper. Our goal is to relate the compactness of weighted composition operators on  $H^p$  with the function theory of analytic maps. For the class of composition operators, this property is intimately related to the notion of angular derivatives of symbol functions. We recall:

- (a) a function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to have a *nontangential limit*  $l$  at  $\omega \in T$  if  $f(z) \rightarrow l$  as  $z$  approaches  $\omega$  in any region between two straight lines of  $D$  that meet at  $\omega$  and are symmetric about the radius to  $\omega$ ;
- (b) an analytic function  $\varphi : D \rightarrow D$  has an *angular derivative* at  $\omega \in T$  if there exists some  $\eta \in T$  such that the difference quotient  $(\eta - \varphi(z))/(\omega - z)$  has a (finite) nontangential limit at  $\omega$ .

By the Julia–Carathéodory theorem, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $\liminf_{z \rightarrow \omega} (1 - |\varphi(z)|)/(1 - |z|) = \delta < \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $\varphi$  has an angular derivative at  $\omega$ ;
- (iii) both  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi'$  have nontangential limits at  $\omega$ .

If one of these conditions holds, then  $\delta > 0$  and the nontangential limit of  $\varphi$  at  $\omega$  is  $\eta$ , where  $\eta$  is defined in the definition of angular derivative. Moreover, the angular derivative of  $\varphi$  at  $\omega$  is nonzero.

Consequently,  $\varphi$  has *no* angular derivative at  $\omega$  when the radial limit of  $\varphi$  at  $\omega$  (if it exists) has a modulus less than one. We state three well-known sufficient conditions for compactness and noncompactness of composition operators [7, pages 23 and 57].

- (a) If  $\|\varphi\|_\infty < 1$ , then  $C_\varphi$  is compact on  $H^p$ .
- (b) If  $\varphi$  is univalent and has no angular derivative at any point of  $T$ , then  $C_\varphi$  is compact on  $H^p$ .
- (c) If  $\varphi$  has an angular derivative at some point of  $T$ , then  $C_\varphi$  is not compact on  $H^p$ .

In his seminal paper [6, Theorem 2.3], Shapiro showed that  $C_\varphi$  is compact on  $H^p$  if and only if

$$\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{N_\varphi(\omega)}{\log 1/|\omega|} = 0,$$

where  $N_\varphi$  is the *Nevanlinna counting function* given by

$$N_\varphi(\omega) := \begin{cases} \sum_{z \in \varphi^{-1}(\omega)} \log \frac{1}{|z|} & \text{if } \omega \in \varphi(D) \setminus \{\varphi(0)\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \notin \varphi(D), \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

and  $\varphi^{-1}\{\omega\}$  denotes the sequence of  $\varphi$ -preimages of  $\omega$  with each point occurring as many times as its multiplicity.

Recall that a bounded linear operator  $T$  from a Banach space  $B_1$  to a Banach space  $B_2$  is said to be *compact* if it maps bounded subsets of  $B_1$  into relatively compact subsets of  $B_2$ . Thus,  $T$  is compact if and only if it maps every bounded sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $B_1$  onto a sequence  $\{Tx_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $B_2$  which has a convergent subsequence.

The above results, together with the following direct generalisation of [3, Lemma 1], are crucial to the study of compact weighted composition operators.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $uC_\varphi$  be a weighted composition operator on  $H^p$ . The following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $uC_\varphi$  is compact on  $H^p$ ;
- (ii) if  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a bounded sequence in  $H^p$  and  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $D$ , then  $\|uC_\varphi f_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ .

As an application of this lemma, we prove a result of independent interest.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *The following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\|\varphi\|_\infty < 1$ ;

(ii) if  $u \in H^p$ , then  $uC_\varphi$  is compact on  $H^p$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose (i) holds, that is, there is a constant  $M$  with  $0 < M < 1$  for which  $|\varphi| \leq M$  on  $D$ . Let  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a bounded sequence in  $H^p$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $D$ . Choose any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since the set  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq M\}$  is compact, there is a natural number  $N$  such that

$$|f_n(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|u\|_p^p}$$

for all  $n > N$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Hence

$$\|uC_\varphi f_n\|_p^p = \int_0^{2\pi} |u(e^{i\theta})|^p |f_n(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p dm \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|u\|_p^p} \int_0^{2\pi} |u(e^{i\theta})|^p dm < \varepsilon.$$

This shows that  $uC_\varphi$  is compact.

Conversely, assume (ii) holds. In particular,  $uC_\varphi$  is an operator on  $H^p$ . By fixing any  $f \in H^p$ , we see that  $C_\varphi f \in H^\infty$ . Thus,  $C_\varphi$  maps  $H^p$  into  $H^\infty$ . This operator is also bounded, so that

$$\|C_\varphi^* \delta_\omega\| \leq \|C_\varphi^*\| \|\delta_\omega\|,$$

where  $C_\varphi^*$  is the adjoint of  $C_\varphi$  and  $\delta_\omega$  ( $\omega \in D$ ) is the evaluation functional on  $H^\infty$  at  $z = \omega$ . Note that  $C_\varphi^* \delta_\omega = \delta_{\varphi(\omega)}$ , which is in  $(H^p)^*$ , the dual space of  $H^p$ . With  $\|\delta_\omega\| = 1$  and  $\|\delta_{\varphi(\omega)}\| = 1/(1 - |\varphi(\omega)|^2)^{1/p}$ ,

$$|\varphi(\omega)|^2 \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\|C_\varphi^*\|^p} < 1.$$

Since  $\omega$  is arbitrary, we obtain (i). □

When  $\|\varphi\|_\infty < 1$  and  $u \in H^2$ , it follows from [4, Theorem 9] that  $uC_\varphi$  is even Hilbert-Schmidt.

### 3. Necessary conditions for compactness

Gunatillake [3] remarked that one could begin with a noncompact composition operator  $C_\varphi$  and then produce a compact weighted composition operator  $uC_\varphi$  by choosing a suitable weight function  $u$ . In light of this observation, we prove a criterion which is applicable in constructing examples of noncompact weighted composition operators on  $H^p$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Suppose  $\varphi$  is continuous up to  $T$  and has an angular derivative at  $z = e^{i\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi)$ . If there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that  $u$  is bounded away from zero  $m$ -a.e. on  $(\alpha - \delta, \alpha + \delta)$  and  $|\varphi(e^{i\theta})| < 1$  off  $(\alpha - \delta, \alpha + \delta)$ , then  $uC_\varphi$  is not compact on  $H^p$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $\varphi$  has an angular derivative at  $z = e^{i\alpha}$ , the operator  $C_\varphi$  is noncompact on  $H^p$ . There exists a bounded sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $H^p$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $D$  and a subsequence of it, say  $\{f_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ , satisfies

$$\|C_\varphi f_{n_k}\|_p^p \geq \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon_0 > 0.$$

Put  $I = (\alpha - \delta, \alpha + \delta)$ . As  $\varphi$  is continuous on the compact set  $\{e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \setminus I\}$ , the set  $\{\varphi(e^{i\theta}) : \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \setminus I\}$  is compact in  $D$ . Thus, there is a natural number  $N$  such that

$$|f_n(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \quad \text{for all } n > N \text{ and } \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \setminus I.$$

If  $n_k > N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_0 &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_{n_k}(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p dm \\ &= \int_I |f_{n_k}(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p dm + \int_{[0, 2\pi] \setminus I} |f_{n_k}(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p dm \\ &\leq \int_I |f_{n_k}(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p dm + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\int_I |f_{n_k}(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p dm \geq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}.$$

Let  $c > 0$  be a constant for which  $|u| \geq c$   $m$ -a.e. on  $I$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|uC_\varphi f_{n_k}\|_p^p &\geq \int_I |u(e^{i\theta})|^p |f_{n_k}(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p dm \\ &= \int_{\{\theta \in I : |u(e^{i\theta})| \geq c\}} |u(e^{i\theta})|^p |f_{n_k}(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p dm \\ &\geq c^p \int_I |f_{n_k}(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p dm \geq \frac{c^p \varepsilon_0}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $uC_\varphi$  is not compact. □

**EXAMPLE 3.2.** Let  $\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + 1)$  and  $u(z) = z + 1$ . Since  $(1 - \varphi(z))/(1 - z) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\varphi$  has an angular derivative at  $z = 1$  (in fact,  $\varphi$  does *not* have angular derivatives at other points of  $T$  because  $|\varphi(e^{i\theta})|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos \theta) < 1$  for  $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ ). By choosing  $\delta = \pi/3$ ,  $|u(e^{i\theta})|^2 = 2(1 + \cos \theta) \geq 3$  on  $(-\delta, \delta)$ . From Theorem 3.1,  $uC_\varphi$  is not compact on  $H^p$ .

We now give another necessary condition for compactness (without assuming the continuity of  $\varphi$  on  $T$ ). This result, which was obtained for the case  $p = 2$  in [4, Theorem 8], can be generalised to an arbitrary  $H^p$ -space in a similar fashion.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *If  $uC_\varphi$  is a compact weighted composition operator on  $H^p$ , then*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{|u(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

**EXAMPLE 3.4.** Let  $\varphi(z) = 1 - (1 - z)^{1/2}$  and  $u(z) = 1/(1 - z)^{1/2p}$ , where  $u \in H^p$ . Since

$$1 - [\varphi(r)]^2 = 1 - [1 - (1 - r)^{1/2}]^2 = (1 - r)^{1/2} [2 - (1 - r)^{1/2}],$$

it follows that

$$\frac{[u(r)]^p(1 - r^2)}{1 - [\varphi(r)]^2} = \frac{1 + r}{2 - (1 - r)^{1/2}} \rightarrow 1 (\neq 0) \text{ as } r \rightarrow 1^-.$$

By Theorem 3.3,  $uC_\varphi$  is not compact on  $H^p$ . However,  $C_\varphi$  is compact on  $H^p$ . This follows from the univalence of  $\varphi$  and the nonexistence of the angular derivative at each point of  $T$ . To justify the latter fact, write

$$(1 - e^{i\theta})^{1/2} = |1 - e^{i\theta}|^{1/2} e^{i(\theta-\pi)/4} \text{ for } \theta \in [0, 2\pi].$$

Let  $\Re(z)$  denote the real part of  $z$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - |\varphi(e^{i\theta})|^2 &= 2 \Re(1 - e^{i\theta})^{1/2} - |1 - e^{i\theta}| \\ &= |1 - e^{i\theta}|^{1/2} \left[ 2 \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{4} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) - |1 - e^{i\theta}|^{1/2} \right] \\ &= |1 - e^{i\theta}|^{1/2} \left[ \sqrt{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{4} + \sqrt{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{4} - \sqrt{2} \left(\sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{1/2} \right] \\ &= \sqrt{2} |1 - e^{i\theta}|^{1/2} \left[ \left(\cos \frac{\theta}{4}\right)^2 - \left(\sin \frac{\theta}{4}\right)^2 + (\sqrt{2} - 1) \left(\sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{1/2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The function in the square bracket of the last equality is continuous on  $[0, 2\pi]$ . As it never vanishes, there exist constants  $m, M > 0$  such that

$$m|1 - e^{i\theta}|^{1/2} \leq 1 - |\varphi(e^{i\theta})|^2 \leq M|1 - e^{i\theta}|^{1/2}.$$

Thus,  $|\varphi(e^{i\theta})| < 1$  for  $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ , so that  $\varphi$  has no angular derivative on  $T \setminus \{1\}$ . Moreover,

$$\frac{1 - \varphi(z)}{1 - z} = \frac{1}{(1 - z)^{1/2}},$$

which is unbounded near  $z = 1$ . The angular derivative of  $\varphi$  at  $z = 1$  does not exist either.

The converse of Theorem 3.3 is not necessarily true, even for composition operators. For example, it is possible to construct a Blaschke product  $B$  with an angular derivative at *no* point of  $T$  [7, page 185], that is,  $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - |B(z)|)/(1 - |z|) = \infty$ . It follows from [4, Theorem 10] that  $C_B$  is not compact on  $H^p$ . In the next section, we prove that the condition in (3.1) does characterise compactness of weighted composition operators under additional assumptions on the symbol functions  $u$  and  $\varphi$ .

### 4. Sufficient conditions for compactness

From Example 3.4 (in the previous section), the compactness of  $C_\varphi$  may not guarantee that of  $uC_\varphi$ . A natural question is that if  $C_\varphi$  is compact, how can we choose  $u$  so that  $uC_\varphi$  is also compact? One such condition is presented below.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Suppose  $u \in H^p$  and  $C_\varphi$  is compact on  $H^p$ . If there is a constant  $c$  with  $0 < c < 1$  such that  $u$  is essentially bounded on the set  $\{e^{i\theta} \in T : |\varphi(e^{i\theta})| > c\}$ , then  $uC_\varphi$  is compact on  $H^p$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $E := \{\theta \in [0, 2\pi] : |\varphi(e^{i\theta})| > c\}$  and  $M > 0$  be a constant with  $|u(e^{i\theta})| \leq M$   $m$ -a.e. on  $E$ . From Lemma 2.1, it suffices to show that  $\|uC_\varphi f_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a bounded sequence in  $H^p$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $D$ .

Fix any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . As the set  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq c\}$  is compact in  $D$ , there is a natural number  $N_1$  such that

$$|f_n(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|u\|_p^p}$$

whenever  $n > N_1$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi] \setminus E$ . By the compactness of  $C_\varphi$ , we may choose a natural number  $N_2$  such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f_n(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p dm = \|C_\varphi f_n\|_p^p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2M^p} \quad \text{for all } n > N_2.$$

If  $n > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|uC_\varphi f_n\|_p^p &= \int_E |u(e^{i\theta})|^p |f_n(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p dm + \int_{[0, 2\pi] \setminus E} |u(e^{i\theta})|^p |f_n(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p dm \\ &\leq M^p \int_E |f_n(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p dm + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|u\|_p^p} \int_{[0, 2\pi] \setminus E} |u(e^{i\theta})|^p dm \\ &\leq M^p \int_0^{2\pi} |f_n(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p dm + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|u\|_p^p} \int_0^{2\pi} |u(e^{i\theta})|^p dm \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**REMARK 4.2.** (a) Proposition 2.2 is also a direct consequence of Theorem 4.1, for one may take  $c = \|\varphi\|_\infty$  and the set in the statement of this theorem is then of zero measure.

(b) In Theorem 4.1, suppose the assumption that  $C_\varphi$  is compact is dropped. Similar norm estimates used to write the proof of this theorem, together with the Littlewood subordination principle, show that  $uC_\varphi$  maps  $H^p$  into itself and is therefore bounded (by the closed graph theorem).

**EXAMPLE 4.3.** Let  $\varphi(z) = 1 - (1 - z)^{1/2}$  and  $u(z) = [(z - 1)/(z + 1)]^{1/2p}$ . Then,  $u \in H^p$  and  $C_\varphi$  is compact on  $H^p$  (see Example 3.4). With  $|\varphi(-1)| < \frac{1}{2}$ , the continuity of  $\varphi$  on  $T$  ensures that there exists a constant  $\delta$  with  $0 < \delta < \pi$  such that

$$\{\theta \in [0, 2\pi] : |\varphi(e^{i\theta})| > \frac{1}{2}\} \subset [0, 2\pi] \setminus (\pi - \delta, \pi + \delta).$$

Since  $u$  is also bounded on the set  $\{e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \setminus (\pi - \delta, \pi + \delta)\}$ , it follows from Theorem 4.1 that  $uC_\varphi$  is compact on  $H^p$ .

The rest of this section is devoted to proving a ‘converse’ of Theorem 3.3 under extra assumptions on  $u$  and  $\varphi$ . We begin with three lemmas. The first one, which appeared in [8, Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 2.4], relates a boundary integral of an  $H^p$ -function with an area integral of the function and its derivative.

**LEMMA 4.4.** *Let  $f \in H^p$ . Then,*

$$\|f\|_p^p \approx |f(0)|^p + \int_D |f(z)|^{p-2} |f'(z)|^2 \log \frac{1}{|z|} dA(z)$$

and

$$\|f \circ \varphi\|_p^p \approx |f(\varphi(0))|^p + \int_D |f(z)|^{p-2} |f'(z)|^2 N_\varphi(z) dA(z),$$

where

- (a)  $A$  is the normalised Lebesgue area measure on  $D$ , that is,  $dA = r dr d\theta / \pi$ ;
- (b)  $N_\varphi$  is the Nevanlinna counting function defined in (2.1); and
- (c) the symbol  $\approx$  means that the left-hand side is bounded above and below by positive constant multiples of the right-hand side, and these constants are independent of  $f$ .

An immediate consequence of this lemma is that there are constants  $M_1, M_2 > 0$  such that

$$\int_D |f(z)|^{p-2} |f'(z)|^2 \log \frac{1}{|z|} dA(z) \leq M_1 \|f\|_p^p \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\int_D |f(z)|^{p-2} |f'(z)|^2 N_\varphi(z) dA(z) \leq M_2 \|f \circ \varphi\|_p^p \tag{4.2}$$

for all  $f \in H^p$ .

**LEMMA 4.5.**

- (a) (i) If  $0 < |z| < 1$ , then  $1 - |z|^2 \leq 2 \log 1/|z|$ .
- (ii) If  $\frac{1}{2} \leq |z| < 1$ , then  $\log 1/|z| \leq 2(1 - |z|^2)$ .
- (b) For  $0 < r < 1$ , there exists a positive constant  $c$  (depending only on  $r$ ) such that

$$\log \frac{1}{|z|} \leq c \left( \log \frac{r+1}{2} + \log \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \quad \text{if } 0 < |z| \leq r.$$

**PROOF.** We first prove (a). Fix any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $0 < |z| < 1$ . By the generalised mean value theorem, there exists some  $\zeta \in (|z|, 1)$  such that

$$\frac{\log 1/|z|}{1 - |z|^2} = \frac{1/\zeta}{2\zeta} = \frac{1}{2\zeta^2}.$$

As  $1/(2\zeta^2) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , (i) follows. If, in addition,  $|z| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $1/(2\zeta^2) \leq 2$ . This gives (ii).

For (b), we fix any  $r$  with  $0 < r < 1$  and define

$$f(x) := \frac{\log 1/x}{\log \frac{1}{2}(r+1) + \log 1/x} \quad \text{for } 0 < x \leq r.$$

The existence of  $c$  follows because  $f$  is positive and continuous on  $(0, r]$ , and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = 1$ . □



**LEMMA 4.6.** *If  $f \in H^p$ , then*

$$\int_D |f(z)|^p dA(z) \leq \|f\|_p^p.$$

**PROOF.** If  $f \in H^p$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \leq \|f\|_p^p \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq r < 1.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D |f(z)|^p dA(z) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p r dr d\theta = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 r \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta dr \\ &\leq 2\|f\|_p^p \int_0^1 r dr = \|f\|_p^p. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**THEOREM 4.7.** *Let  $u \in H^p$ . Assume that  $\varphi$  is univalent on  $D$  and*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} |u(z)|^{p-2} |u'(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

If

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{|u(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

then  $uC_\varphi$  is compact on  $H^p$ .

**PROOF.** Fix any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By (4.3) and (4.4), there exists  $r$  with  $\frac{1}{2} < r < 1$  for which

$$|u(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2) < \varepsilon (1 - |\varphi(z)|^2) \quad \text{and} \quad |u(z)|^{p-2} |u'(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $r < |z| < 1$ . The value of this  $r$  will be fixed for the entire proof. Let  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $H^p$  such that  $\|f_n\|_p \leq 1$  for all  $n$  and  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $D$ . By Lemma 4.4,

$$\|uC_\varphi f_n\|_p^p \leq M \left[ |u(0)|^p |f_n(\varphi(0))|^p + \int_D |u(z)(f_n \circ \varphi)(z)|^{p-2} |(u \cdot f_n \circ \varphi)'(z)|^2 \log \frac{1}{|z|} dA(z) \right] \tag{4.5}$$

for a constant  $M > 0$ . Let  $rD := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r\}$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} |(u \cdot f_n \circ \varphi)'(z)|^2 &= |u(z)(f_n \circ \varphi)'(z) + (f_n \circ \varphi)(z)u'(z)|^2 \\ &\leq 2|u(z)(f_n \circ \varphi)'(z)|^2 + 2|(f_n \circ \varphi)(z)u'(z)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_D |u(z)(f_n \circ \varphi)(z)|^{p-2} |(u \cdot f_n \circ \varphi)'(z)|^2 \log \frac{1}{|z|} dA(z) \\ &\leq 2 \int_D |u(z)|^p |(f_n \circ \varphi)(z)|^{p-2} |(f_n \circ \varphi)'(z)|^2 \log \frac{1}{|z|} dA(z) \\ &\quad + 2 \int_D |u(z)|^{p-2} |u'(z)|^2 |(f_n \circ \varphi)(z)|^p \log \frac{1}{|z|} dA(z) \\ &= 2(P_n + Q_n + R_n + S_n), \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_n &:= \int_{rD} |u(z)|^p |(f_n \circ \varphi)(z)|^{p-2} |(f_n \circ \varphi)'(z)|^2 \log \frac{1}{|z|} dA(z), \\
 Q_n &:= \int_{D \setminus rD} |u(z)|^p |f_n(\varphi(z))|^{p-2} |f_n'(\varphi(z))|^2 |\varphi'(z)|^2 \log \frac{1}{|z|} dA(z), \\
 R_n &:= \int_{rD} |u(z)|^{p-2} |u'(z)|^2 |f_n(\varphi(z))|^p \log \frac{1}{|z|} dA(z), \\
 S_n &:= \int_{D \setminus rD} |u(z)|^{p-2} |u'(z)|^2 |(f_n \circ \varphi)(z)|^p \log \frac{1}{|z|} dA(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

As the sets  $\{\varphi(0)\}$  and  $\varphi(rD)$  are compact in  $D$ , we may choose a natural number  $N_1$  such that if  $n > N_1$  and  $z \in rD$ , then

$$|f_n(\varphi(0))|^p < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |f_n(\varphi(z))|^p < \varepsilon.$$

From the inequality in (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u(0)|^p |f_n(\varphi(0))|^p + 2R_n &\leq |u(0)|^p \varepsilon + 2\varepsilon \int_{rD} |u(z)|^{p-2} |u'(z)|^2 \log \frac{1}{|z|} dA(z) \\
 &\leq [|u(0)|^p + 2M_1 \|u\|_p^p] \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

To estimate the value of  $S_n$ , we first use Lemma 4.5(a)(ii):

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_n &\leq 2 \int_{D \setminus rD} |u(z)|^{p-2} |u'(z)|^2 |(f_n \circ \varphi)(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2) dA(z) \\
 &\leq 2\varepsilon \int_{D \setminus rD} |(f_n \circ \varphi)(z)|^p dA(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

The fact that  $C_\varphi$  is bounded on  $H^p$ , together with Lemma 4.6, gives

$$S_n \leq 2\varepsilon \int_D |(f_n \circ \varphi)(z)|^p dA(z) \leq 2\varepsilon \|f_n \circ \varphi\|_p^p \leq 2\|C_\varphi\|^p \|f_n\|_p^p \varepsilon \leq 2\|C_\varphi\|^p \varepsilon. \tag{4.8}$$

Using Lemma 4.5(a)(ii) again,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_n &\leq 2 \int_{D \setminus rD} |u(z)|^p |f_n(\varphi(z))|^{p-2} |f_n'(\varphi(z))|^2 |\varphi'(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dA(z) \\
 &\leq 2\varepsilon \int_{D \setminus rD} |f_n(\varphi(z))|^{p-2} |f_n'(\varphi(z))|^2 (1 - |\varphi(z)|^2) |\varphi'(z)|^2 dA(z) \\
 &\leq 2\varepsilon \int_D |f_n(\varphi(z))|^{p-2} |f_n'(\varphi(z))|^2 (1 - |\varphi(z)|^2) |\varphi'(z)|^2 dA(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Put  $\omega = \varphi(z)$ . As  $\varphi$  is univalent, the Cauchy–Riemann equations and the change-of-variables formula yield  $dA(\omega) = |\varphi'(z)|^2 dA(z)$ . Then

$$Q_n \leq 2\varepsilon \int_D |f_n(\omega)|^{p-2} |f_n'(\omega)|^2 (1 - |\omega|^2) dA(\omega).$$

By Lemma 4.5(a)(i) and the inequality in (4.1),

$$Q_n \leq 4\varepsilon \int_D |f_n(\omega)|^{p-2} |f'_n(\omega)|^2 \log \frac{1}{|\omega|} dA(\omega) \leq 4M_1 \|f_n\|_p^p \varepsilon \leq 4M_1 \varepsilon. \tag{4.9}$$

It remains to estimate the value of  $P_n$ . Put  $g_n = f_n \circ \varphi$ . With the continuity of  $u$  on the compact set  $rD$  and Lemma 4.5(b), there is a constant  $M' > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} P_n &\leq M' \int_{rD} |g_n(z)|^{p-2} |g'_n(z)|^2 \left( \log \frac{r+1}{2} + \log \frac{1}{|z|} \right) dA(z) \\ &= M' \int_{rD} |g_n(z)|^{p-2} |g'_n(z)|^2 N_\sigma(z) dA(z), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{2}(r+1)z$ . From the inequality in (4.2),

$$\int_{rD} |g_n(z)|^{p-2} |g'_n(z)|^2 N_\sigma(z) dA(z) \leq M_2 \|g_n \circ \sigma\|_p^p.$$

Since  $g_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on the compact set  $\sigma(T)$  in  $D$ , there is a natural number  $N_2$  such that if  $n > N_2$ , then

$$\|g_n \circ \sigma\|_p^p = \int_0^{2\pi} |g_n(\sigma(e^{i\theta}))|^p dm < \varepsilon.$$

Thus,

$$P_n < M' M_2 \varepsilon. \tag{4.10}$$

It now follows from (4.5)–(4.10) that

$$\|uC_\varphi f_n\|_p^p < M[\|u(0)\|^p + 4\|C_\varphi\|^p + 2M_1(\|u\|_p^p + 4) + 2M' M_2] \varepsilon$$

whenever  $n > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ . Hence  $\|uC_\varphi f_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ , as desired. □

In particular, we obtain the following result, which is essentially due to MacCluer and Shapiro.

**COROLLARY 4.8** [7, page 39]. *If  $\varphi$  is univalent on  $D$  and*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} = 0,$$

*then  $C_\varphi$  is compact on  $H^p$ .*

**EXAMPLE 4.9.** Let  $\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z+1)$  and  $u(z) = (z-1)^{2/p}$ . For  $|z| < 1$ ,

$$\frac{|u(z)|^p}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} = \frac{4(1 - 2\Re(z) + |z|^2)}{3 - 2\Re(z) - |z|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \frac{1 - 2\Re(z) + |z|^2}{3 - 2\Re(z) - |z|^2} < 1$$

and so

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{|u(z)|^p(1 - |z|^2)}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} = 0.$$

A direct computation gives  $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} |u(z)|^{p-2} |u'(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) = 0$ . By Theorem 4.7,  $uC_\varphi$  is compact on  $H^p$ . This example also shows that even if  $C_\varphi$  is noncompact (for  $\varphi$  has an angular derivative at  $z = 1$ ), the weighted operator  $uC_\varphi$  can be compact on  $H^p$  with an appropriate choice of  $u$ .

The condition ‘ $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} |u(z)|^{p-2} |u'(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) = 0$ ’ is not necessary for  $uC_\varphi$  to be compact on  $H^p$ . For example, let  $\varphi(z) = z/2$  and  $u(z) = 1/(1 - z)^{1/2p}$ . The operator  $C_\varphi$  is compact on  $H^p$ , since  $\varphi$  is univalent and has no angular derivative at each point of  $T$  (in fact,  $|\varphi(e^{i\theta})| = \frac{1}{2} < 1$  for  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ ). As  $u \in H^p$ , an application of Theorem 4.1 (by taking  $c = \frac{1}{2}$ ) yields the compactness of  $uC_\varphi$  on  $H^p$ . However,

$$[u(r)]^{p-2} [u'(r)]^2 (1 - r^2) = \frac{1 + r}{4p^2(1 - r)^{3/2}} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1^-.$$

## References

- [1] M. D. Contreras and A. G. Hernández-Díaz, ‘Weighted composition operators on Hardy spaces’, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **263** (2001), 224–233.
- [2] Ž. Čučković and R. Zhao, ‘Weighted composition operators between different weighted Bergman spaces and different Hardy spaces’, *Illinois J. Math.* **51** (2007), 479–498.
- [3] G. Gunatillake, ‘Compact weighted composition operators on the Hardy space’, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (2008), 2895–2899.
- [4] V. Matache, ‘Weighted composition operators on  $H^2$  and applications’, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **2** (2008), 169–197.
- [5] S. Ohno and H. Takagi, ‘Some properties of weighted composition operators on algebras of analytic functions’, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **2** (2001), 369–380.
- [6] J. H. Shapiro, ‘The essential norm of a composition operator’, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **125** (1987), 375–404.
- [7] J. H. Shapiro, *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory* (Springer, New York, 1993).
- [8] W. Smith, ‘Composition operators between Bergman and Hardy spaces’, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **348** (1996), 2331–2348.

CHING-ON LO, Division of Science and Technology,  
 Hong Kong Community College, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University,  
 Hong Kong  
 e-mail: [cccolo@hkcc-polyu.edu.hk](mailto:cccolo@hkcc-polyu.edu.hk)

ANTHONY WAI-KEUNG LOH, Division of Science and Technology,  
 Hong Kong Community College, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University,  
 Hong Kong  
 e-mail: [cccloh@hkcc-polyu.edu.hk](mailto:cccloh@hkcc-polyu.edu.hk)