

## EXACTNESS OF CUNTZ–PIMSNER $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

KENNETH J. DYKEMA<sup>1</sup> AND DIMITRI SHLYAKHTENKO<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station,  
TX 77843–3368, USA (Ken.Dykema@math.tamu.edu)*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics, University of California, 405 Hilgard Avenue,  
Los Angeles, CA 90095–1555, USA (shlyakht@math.ucla.edu)*

(Received 29 October 1999)

*Abstract* Let  $H$  be a full Hilbert bimodule over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . We show that the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra associated to  $H$  is exact if and only if  $A$  is exact. Using this result, we give alternative proofs for exactness of reduced amalgamated free products of exact  $C^*$ -algebras. In the case in which  $A$  is a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra, we also show that the Brown–Voiculescu topological entropy of Bogljubov automorphisms of the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra associated to an  $A$ ,  $A$  Hilbert bimodule is zero.

*Keywords:* Hilbert bimodules; non-commutative topological entropy; exact  $C^*$ -algebras; Bogljubov automorphisms

AMS 2000 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 46L08  
Secondary 46L09; 46L54

### 1. Introduction and description of results

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to be *exact* if the functor  $B \mapsto B \otimes_{\min} A$  preserves short exact sequences of  $C^*$ -algebras and  $*$ -homomorphisms. Recently there has been great progress in understanding exact  $C^*$ -algebras, much of it due to Kirchberg and to Kirchberg and Wassermann [15–19]. A good general reference for exact  $C^*$ -algebras is Wassermann’s monograph [34].

In [23], Pimsner introduced a construction of  $C^*$ -algebras  $E(H)$  and  $O(H)$ , respectively, called the *extended Cuntz–Pimsner algebra* and the *Cuntz–Pimsner algebra* of a Hilbert  $C^*$ -bimodule  $H$  over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ ; we will review his construction at the beginning of §3. (In this paper we always assume that the Hilbert module  $H$  is full as a right  $B$ -module, i.e. that  $\overline{\text{span}}\{\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \mid \xi, \eta \in H\} = B$ ; for a good general reference on Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, see the monograph of Lance [20].) The  $C^*$ -algebras  $E(H)$  and  $O(H)$  are quite important and appear in several areas of operator theory. They are central in the work of Muhly and Solel [22] on triangular operator algebras analogous to the algebra of analytic Toeplitz operators on the circle, and their ideal structures have been studied in [8, 13] and [21] (see also [24]).

Moreover, the  $C^*$ -algebra  $E(H)$  is related to freeness in the sense of Voiculescu [31] (see also [33]). For example, Speicher [29] has proved that if  $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$ , then  $E(H)$  is

isomorphic to the reduced amalgamated free product of  $C^*$ -algebras  $E(H_1)$  and  $E(H_2)$ , amalgamating over  $B$  with respect to the canonical conditional expectations  $E(H_i) \rightarrow B$ . The algebras  $E(H)$  are also the natural setting for operator-valued analogues of the Gaussian functor. The extended Cuntz–Pimsner algebras have been important in work related to freeness [26, 27] by D.S.

In §3 of this paper we show that if  $B$  is an exact  $C^*$ -algebra and if  $H$  is any Hilbert  $B, B$ -bimodule, then the  $C^*$ -algebras  $E(H)$  and  $O(H)$  are exact. The inspiration for our investigation came from the recent result of K.J.D. [9] that every  $C^*$ -algebra arising as the reduced amalgamated free product of exact  $C^*$ -algebras is exact. Moreover, our proof here of exactness of  $E(H)$  resembles at its core the proof found in [9] of exactness of reduced amalgamated free products; in both cases, exactness of the algebra is proved by reducing the problem to the question of exactness of algebras generated by words of length  $\leq n$ ; this question is then resolved by using a chain of ideals of length  $n + 1$ .

This paper's main result on exactness of  $E(H)$  can be used to give a new proof of the main result of [9]. More specifically, in §5 we show that if  $(A, \phi) = (A_1, \phi_1) *_B (A_2, \phi_2)$  is a reduced amalgamated free product of  $C^*$ -algebras (amalgamating over  $B$  with respect to conditional expectations  $\phi_i : A_i \rightarrow B$ ), then  $A$  is a quotient of a subalgebra of  $E(H)$ , for some Hilbert  $C^*$ -bimodule  $H$  over  $A_1 \oplus A_2$ ; if  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are exact, then from the exactness of  $E(H)$  we may conclude that  $A$  is exact. Before giving this argument, however, we consider in §4 a special case and give an easier argument showing that if  $(A, \phi) = (A_1, \phi_1) * (A_2, \phi_2)$  is a reduced free product (i.e. amalgamating over only the scalars  $\mathbb{C}$ ), then  $A$  can be embedded in  $E(H)$  for some Hilbert  $C^*$ -bimodule over  $A_1 \otimes_{\min} A_2$ ; this in turn implies the special case of the main result of [9] that the class of unital exact  $C^*$ -algebras is closed under taking reduced free products. (See [11] for a simpler version of the argument of [9] in a special case.)

Topological entropy for automorphisms of unital nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras was invented by Voiculescu [32] and was extended by Brown [2] to apply to automorphisms of exact  $C^*$ -algebras. It has many natural properties, and when applied to automorphisms of commutative  $C^*$ -algebras gives the usual topological entropy of a homeomorphism. In §6 we examine the topological entropy of Bogljubov automorphisms of the algebras  $E(H)$ ; we show (Theorem 6.4) that if  $H$  is a Hilbert bimodule over  $B$ , where  $B$  is finite dimensional, then every Bogljubov automorphism of  $E(H)$  has topological entropy zero. The fact that we are only able to give results of this sort when  $B$  is finite dimensional parallels the current state of knowledge about the topological entropy of automorphisms of reduced amalgamated free product  $C^*$ -algebras (see the results and questions in [10]).

We have outlined the contents of the entire paper except for §2 above; there we collect some results about exactness of crossed product  $C^*$ -algebras and the topological entropy of some automorphisms of them.

### 1.1. Standard notation

We will use the convention  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . The words endomorphism, homomorphism, automorphism and representation when applied to  $C^*$ -algebras will mean  $*$ -endomorphism,  $*$ -homomorphism,  $*$ -automorphism and  $*$ -representation.

## 2. Preliminaries on crossed products

In this section, we will describe and prove some results about topological entropy in crossed product  $C^*$ -algebras. These results are applications of Proposition 2.6 of Brown and Choda’s paper [3], which is in turn based on work of Sinclair and Smith [28]. It has come to our attention that Choda [4] has independently proved Proposition 2.2, but for completeness we will provide a proof here.

Let us begin by recalling the construction of reduced crossed products, thereby introducing the notation we will use. Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with a faithful and non-degenerate representation  $\sigma : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space. Let  $G$  be a group taken with discrete topology and let  $G \ni g \mapsto \alpha_g \in \text{Aut}(A)$  be an action of  $G$  on  $A$  via automorphisms. Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\ell^2(G, \mathcal{H}))$  be the representation given by

$$(\pi(a)\xi)(h) = \sigma(\alpha_{h^{-1}}(a))(\xi(h)) \quad (a \in A, \xi \in \ell^2(G, \mathcal{H}), h \in G),$$

and let  $\lambda$  be the unitary representation of  $G$  on  $\ell^2(G, \mathcal{H})$  given by

$$(\lambda_g \xi)(h) = \xi(g^{-1}h) \quad (\xi \in \ell^2(G, \mathcal{H}), g, h \in G).$$

We then have

$$\lambda_{g^{-1}}\pi(a)\lambda_g = \pi(\alpha_g(a)) \quad (a \in A, g \in G),$$

and the *reduced crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra*  $\hat{A} = A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$  is the norm closure of the linear span of  $\{\pi(a)\lambda_g \mid a \in A, g \in G\}$ . It is known that the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\hat{A}$  is independent of the choice of  $\sigma$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\hat{A} = A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$  be a reduced crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra, as described above. Suppose  $\beta \in \text{Aut}(A)$  and  $\beta$  commutes with  $\alpha_g$  for every  $g \in G$ . Then there is a unique automorphism  $\hat{\beta} \in \text{Aut}(\hat{A})$  such that  $\hat{\beta}(\pi(a)\lambda_g) = \pi(\beta(a))\lambda_g$  for every  $a \in A$  and  $g \in G$ .*

**Proof.** Uniqueness is clear. In the notation used above, we may without loss of generality take the representation  $\sigma : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  to be so that the automorphism  $\beta$  is spatially implemented, i.e. so that there is a unitary  $V \in B(\mathcal{H})$  with  $V^*\sigma(a)V = \sigma(\beta(a))$  for every  $a \in A$ . Then, equating  $\ell^2(G, \mathcal{H})$  with  $\ell^2(G) \otimes \mathcal{H}$  we have the unitary  $1 \otimes V$  and  $(1 \otimes V)^*\pi(a)\lambda_g(1 \otimes V) = \pi(\beta(a))\lambda_g$  for every  $a \in A$  and  $g \in G$ . Let  $\hat{\beta} = \text{Ad}_{1 \otimes V}$ .  $\square$

The following proposition concerns the topological entropy,  $\text{ht}$ , of certain automorphisms of crossed product  $C^*$ -algebras. We refer to [2] for notation and definitions used in the proof. Let us note that the  $C^*$ -algebra crossed product  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$  of an exact  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  by an action of an amenable countable group  $G$  is exact by [16, Proposition 7.1].

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $A$  be an exact  $C^*$ -algebra, let  $G$  be an amenable countable group, let  $g \mapsto \alpha_g$  be an action of  $G$  on  $A$  via automorphisms and let  $\hat{A} = A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$  be the (reduced) crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra. Suppose that  $\beta \in \text{Aut}(A)$  and that  $\beta$  commutes with  $\alpha_g$  for every  $g \in G$ . Let  $\hat{\beta} \in \text{Aut}(\hat{A})$  be the automorphism found in Lemma 2.1. Then  $\text{ht}(\hat{\beta}) = \text{ht}(\beta)$ .*

**Proof.** Because  $\hat{\beta} \circ \pi = \pi \circ \beta$ , using Brown’s result [2, Proposition 2.1] that  $ht$  is monotone, we have  $ht(\hat{\beta}) \geq ht(\beta)$ . We will use the notation from the beginning of this section and we will denote by  $\hat{\pi} : \hat{A} \rightarrow B(\ell^2(G, \mathcal{H}))$  the inclusion arising from the construction. In order to show that  $ht(\hat{\beta}) \leq ht(\beta)$ , it will suffice to show that  $ht(\hat{\pi}, \hat{\beta}, \omega, \delta) \leq ht(\beta)$  for every  $\delta > 0$  and for every finite subset  $\omega$  of  $\{\pi(a)\lambda_g \mid a \in A, g \in G\}$ . Let  $\nu$  and  $K$  be finite subsets of  $A$  and, respectively,  $G$ , so that  $\omega \subseteq \{\pi(a)\lambda_g \mid a \in \nu, g \in K\}$ . Let  $\eta > 0$  and let  $F$  be a finite subset of  $G$  so that  $|F \cap gF| \geq (1 - \eta)|F|$  for every  $g \in K$ ; ( $F$  exists by amenability of  $G$ ). Let  $\nu' = \{\alpha_{t-1}(a) \mid a \in \nu, t \in F\}$ , let  $n$  be a positive integer and let  $k = \text{rcp}(\sigma, \nu' \cup \beta(\nu') \cup \dots \cup \beta^{n-1}(\nu'), \eta)$ . Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k(\mathbf{C})$  and  $\psi : M_k(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  be completely positive contractions such that for every  $a \in \nu$  and every  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ ,  $\|\psi \circ \phi(\beta^j(a)) - \sigma(\beta^j(a))\| < \eta$ . Let  $f = |F|^{-1/2}1_F \in \ell^\infty(G)$  be the normalized characteristic function of  $F$ . Let  $\Phi : \hat{A} \rightarrow M_{|F|}(\mathbf{C}) \otimes M_k(\mathbf{C})$  and  $\Psi : M_{|F|}(\mathbf{C}) \otimes M_k(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow B(\ell^2(G, \mathcal{H}))$  be the completely positive contractions defined in [3, Proposition 2.5]. By [3, Proposition 2.6] we have, for every  $\tilde{a} \in A$  and  $g \in G$ ,

$$\Psi \circ \Phi(\pi(\tilde{a})\lambda_g) = \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{t \in F \cap gF} \pi(\alpha_t \circ \psi \circ \phi \circ \alpha_{t-1}(\tilde{a}))\lambda_g.$$

If  $g \in K$  and  $\tilde{a} = \beta^j(a)$  for some  $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$  and  $a \in \nu$ , then for every  $t \in F \cap gF$  we have

$$\|\alpha_t \circ \psi \circ \phi \circ \alpha_{t-1}(\tilde{a}) - \tilde{a}\| = \|\psi \circ \phi \circ \beta^j \circ \alpha_{t-1}(a) - \beta^j \circ \alpha_{t-1}(a)\| < \eta,$$

because  $\alpha_t^{-1}(a) \in \nu'$ . Using that  $(1 - \eta)|F| \leq |F \cap gF| \leq |F|$  we obtain

$$\|\Psi \circ \Phi(\pi(\tilde{a})\lambda_g) - \pi(\tilde{a})\lambda_g\| < \eta(\|a\| + 1).$$

We could have chosen  $\eta$  so small that  $\eta(\|a\| + 1) < \delta$  for every  $a \in \nu$ , which would have given the estimate

$$\text{rcp}(\hat{\pi}, \omega \cup \hat{\beta}(\omega) \cup \dots \cup \hat{\beta}^{n-1}(\omega), \delta) \leq |F| \text{rcp}(\sigma, \nu' \cup \beta(\nu') \cup \dots \cup \beta^{n-1}(\nu'), \eta).$$

Therefore,  $ht(\hat{\pi}, \hat{\beta}, \omega, \delta) \leq ht(\sigma, \beta, \nu', \eta) \leq ht(\beta)$ . □

We now turn to the crossed product  $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{N}$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  by a single endomorphism  $\alpha$ ; this construction was introduced by Cuntz [5], when he described his algebras  $\mathcal{O}_n$  as crossed products of UHF algebras by endomorphisms. Later [6, p. 101] he pointed out that this construction applies more generally; see Stacey [30] for a more detailed discussion, including the non-unital case (we consider only his multiplicity one crossed product). If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and if  $\alpha$  is an injective endomorphism of  $A$ , let  $\bar{A}$  be the inductive limit of the system  $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} A \xrightarrow{\alpha} \dots$ , with corresponding injective homomorphisms  $\mu_n : A \rightarrow \bar{A}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Let  $p$  denote the element  $\mu_0(1)$  of  $\bar{A}$  if  $A$  is unital, and the corresponding element of the multiplier algebra of  $\bar{A}$  if  $A$  is non-unital. There is an automorphism  $\bar{\alpha}$  of  $\bar{A}$  given by  $\bar{\alpha}(\mu_n(a)) = \mu_n(\alpha(a))$ , with inverse  $\mu_n(a) \mapsto \mu_{n+1}(a)$ . Then the crossed product  $\hat{A} = A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{N}$  is defined to be the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $p(\bar{A} \rtimes_{\bar{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z})p$  of the crossed product of  $\bar{A}$  by  $\bar{\alpha}$ . The map  $\mu_0$  followed by the embedding of  $\bar{A}$  into  $\bar{A} \rtimes_{\bar{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}$  gives an embedding  $\pi : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$ , and the compression by  $p$  of the unitary in  $\bar{A} \rtimes_{\bar{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}$

implementing  $\bar{\alpha}$  is an isometry  $S$  belonging to  $\hat{A}$  if  $A$  is unital and to the multiplier algebra of  $\hat{A}$  if  $A$  is non-unital, and satisfying

$$S\pi(a)S^* = \pi(\alpha(a)), \quad (a \in A). \tag{2.1}$$

If  $A$  is unital, then  $\hat{A}$  is the universal unital  $C^*$ -algebra generated by a copy  $\pi(A)$  of  $A$  and an isometry  $S$  satisfying (2.1); if  $A$  is non-unital, then  $\hat{A}$  satisfies a similar universal property and is the closed linear span of the set of all elements of the forms  $\pi(a)S^k$  and  $(S^*)^k\pi(a)$  for  $k \geq 0$  and  $a \in A$  (see [30]).

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be an exact  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\alpha$  be an injective endomorphism of  $A$ . Then the crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{N}$  is exact.*

**Proof.** The  $C^*$ -algebra  $\bar{A}$ , being an inductive limit of exact  $C^*$ -algebras, is exact. Now, [16, Proposition 7.1] implies that  $\bar{A} \rtimes_{\bar{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}$  is exact, hence that  $A \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{N}$  is exact.  $\square$

The following lemma follows easily from the universal property for the crossed product by an endomorphism, but we will exhibit the automorphism  $\hat{\beta}$  directly, for use in the next proposition.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with an injective endomorphism  $\alpha$  and an automorphism  $\beta$  that commutes with  $\alpha$ . Then there is a unique automorphism  $\hat{\beta}$  of  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $\hat{\beta}(\pi(a)S^k) = \pi(\beta(a))S^k$  for every  $a \in A$  and  $k \geq 0$ .*

**Proof.** Uniqueness is clear. Let  $\hat{A} = A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{N}$ ; then  $\hat{A} = p(\bar{A} \rtimes_{\bar{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z})p$  as above. The commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \dots \\ \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \beta & & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \dots \end{array}$$

gives rise to an automorphism  $\bar{\beta}$  of  $\bar{A}$  that commutes with  $\bar{\alpha}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the automorphism of  $\bar{A} \rtimes_{\bar{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}$  arising from  $\bar{\beta}$  via Lemma 2.1. Then  $\gamma(\hat{A}) = \hat{A}$  and the restriction of  $\gamma$  to  $\hat{A}$  is the desired automorphism  $\hat{\beta}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $A$  be an exact  $C^*$ -algebra with an injective endomorphism  $\alpha$  and an automorphism  $\beta$  that commutes with  $\alpha$ ; let  $\hat{A} = A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\hat{\beta} \in \text{Aut}(\hat{A})$  be the automorphism found in Lemma 2.4. Then  $\text{ht}(\hat{\beta}) = \text{ht}(\beta)$ .*

**Proof.** Let us use the notation of the proof of Lemma 2.4. Then we have

$$\text{ht}(\beta) \leq \text{ht}(\hat{\beta}) \leq \text{ht}(\gamma) = \text{ht}(\bar{\beta}),$$

where the inequalities follow from monotonicity of  $ht$  and the equality follows from Proposition 2.2. However, Brown’s result [2, Proposition 2.14] on inductive limit automorphisms gives  $\text{ht}(\bar{\beta}) = \text{ht}(\beta)$ .  $\square$

### 3. Exactness of the Cuntz–Pimsner algebras

In this section we prove that the extended Cuntz–Pimsner algebra  $E(H)$  and the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra  $O(H)$  of a Hilbert  $B, B$ -bimodule  $H$  are exact  $C^*$ -algebras whenever  $B$  is an exact  $C^*$ -algebra. We begin by reviewing Pimsner’s construction [23] of these algebras and some facts about them.

Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $H$  be a Hilbert bimodule over  $B$ . By this we mean that  $H$  is a right Hilbert  $B$ -module with an injective homomorphism  $B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ ; we further assume that  $\{\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_B \mid h_1, h_2 \in H\}$  generates  $B$  as a  $C^*$ -algebra, where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$  is the  $B$ -valued inner product on  $H$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(H) = B \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} H^{(\otimes_B)^n}$  be the full Fock space over  $H$ ; here  $H^{(\otimes_B)^n}$  denotes the  $n$ -fold tensor product  $H \otimes_B H \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B H$ . Note that  $\mathcal{F}(H)$  is a Hilbert  $B, B$ -bimodule. For each vector  $h \in H$ , the operator  $l(h) : \mathcal{F}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(H)$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} l(h)h_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_n &= h \otimes h_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_n, \quad h, h_1, \dots, h_n \in H, \\ l(h)b &= hb, \quad h \in H, \quad b \in B, \end{aligned}$$

is a bounded adjointable operator on  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ . These  $l(h)$  are called creation operators and satisfy the relations

$$l(h)^*l(g) = \langle h, g \rangle_B, \quad h, g \in H, \quad (3.1)$$

$$b_1l(h)b_2 = l(b_1hb_2), \quad h \in H, \quad b_1, b_2 \in B. \quad (3.2)$$

Pimsner defined the *extended Cuntz–Pimsner algebra*  $E(H) \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(H))$  to be

$$E(H) = C^*(l(h) : h \in H)$$

(where his notation is  $\mathcal{T}_H$ ). Since we assumed that  $B$  is generated by the set of inner products  $\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_B$ , the copy of  $B$  acting on the left of  $\mathcal{F}(H)$  is contained in  $E(H)$ . Pimsner showed [23, Theorem 3.4] that  $E(H)$  is in fact the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $B$  and elements  $l(h)$ , satisfying relations (3.1) and (3.2). The orthogonal projection onto  $B \subset \mathcal{F}(H)$  defines a canonical conditional expectation  $\mathcal{E}$  from  $E(H)$  onto  $B$ .

If  $K \subset H$  is a Hilbert subbimodule, then  $C^*(l(h) : h \in K) \cong E(K)$ , so that there is an inclusion  $E(K) \subset E(H)$ ; this inclusion preserves  $\mathcal{E}$ . Note, furthermore, that if  $H'$  is a closed  $\mathcal{C}$ -linear subspace of  $H$  and if  $B'$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $B$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_B &\in B' \quad (h_1, h_2 \in H'), \\ b_1hb_2 &\in H' \quad (b_1, b_2 \in B', \quad h \in H'), \end{aligned}$$

then  $H'$  is a Hilbert bimodule over  $B'$  and  $E(H') \subset E(H)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $H$  be a Hilbert  $B, B$ -bimodule such that  $\{\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle \mid h_1, h_2 \in H\}$  generates  $B$ . Then  $E(H)$  is exact if and only if  $B$  is exact.*

**Proof.** Since  $C^*$ -subalgebras of exact  $C^*$ -algebras are exact and since  $B \subset E(H)$ , if  $E(H)$  is exact, then  $B$  is exact.

Assume now that  $B$  is exact, and let us show that  $E(H)$  is exact. There is a net, ordered by inclusions, of pairs  $(B'_\lambda, H'_\lambda)$ , where each  $B'_\lambda$  is a separable  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $B$  and where each  $H'_\lambda$  is a separable closed linear subspace of  $H$  such that the restriction of the usual operations makes  $H'_\lambda$  a Hilbert bimodule over  $B'_\lambda$  and such that

$$\overline{\bigcup_\lambda B'_\lambda} = B \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\bigcup_\lambda H'_\lambda} = H.$$

From the inclusions  $E(H'_\lambda) \subset E(H)$  mentioned early in this section, we see that  $E(H)$  is the direct limit of the  $E(H'_\lambda)$ . Hence we may and do assume without loss of generality that  $B$  and  $H$  are separable.

Let  $\tilde{H} = H \oplus B$ . Since  $E(H) \subset E(\tilde{H})$ , it will be sufficient to prove that  $E(\tilde{H})$  is exact. Denote by  $\xi \in \tilde{H}$  the vector  $0 \oplus 1_B$ , and let  $L = l(\xi)$ . Then  $L$  satisfies the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} L^*L &= 1, \\ L^*l(h) &= 0, \quad h \in H \\ l(h)^*L &= 0, \quad h \in H. \end{aligned}$$

The  $C^*$ -algebra  $E(\tilde{H})$  is the closed linear span of the set of all elements of the form

$$W = b_0l(h_1)^{g(1)}b_1l(h_2)^{g(2)}b_2 \dots l(h_n)^{g(n)}b_n, \tag{3.3}$$

where  $n \geq 0$ ,  $b_j \in B$ ,  $g(j) \in \{*, \cdot\}$ ,  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in \tilde{H}$  and where  $l(h_j)^{g(j)} = l(h_j)$  if  $g(j) = \cdot$ .

Consider the unitary  $\alpha_t : \tilde{H} \rightarrow \tilde{H}$  given by  $\alpha_t(h) = e^{2\pi it}h$ , ( $h \in \tilde{H}$ ). Denote by  $\beta_t$  the resulting automorphism  $E(\alpha_t)$  of  $E(\tilde{H})$ . Thus  $\beta_t(L) = e^{2\pi it}L$ ,  $\beta_t(l(h)) = e^{2\pi it}l(h)$  ( $h \in H$ ) and  $\beta_t(b) = b$  ( $b \in B$ ). Note that  $t \mapsto \beta_t$  is an action of the group  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  on  $E(\tilde{H})$ . Let  $A$  be the fixed point subalgebra of  $\beta$ , i.e.  $A = \{a \in E(H) \mid \forall t \in \mathbb{T}, \beta_t(a) = a\}$ .

**Claim 3.2.** *A is the closed linear span of the set of operators of the form (3.3) for which  $\#\{i : g(i) = *\} = \#\{i : g(i) = \cdot\}$ .*

**Proof.** If  $W$  is of the form (3.3), then

$$\beta_t(W) = e^{2\pi i(\#\{i:g(i)=\cdot\}-\#\{i:g(i)=*\})t}W.$$

Hence, if  $\#\{i : g(i) = *\} = \#\{i : g(i) = \cdot\}$ , then  $W \in A$ . The map  $\Phi(T) = \int_0^1 \beta_t(T) dt$  is a faithful conditional expectation from  $E(\tilde{H})$  onto  $A$ ; letting  $W$  be as above, if  $\#\{i : g(i) = *\} = \#\{i : g(i) = \cdot\}$ , then  $\Phi(W) = W$ , while otherwise  $\Phi(W) = 0$ . If  $T \in A$ , then  $T$  can be approximated by a linear combination of operators of the form (3.3). Since  $\Phi(T) = T$  by assumption, it then follows that  $T$  can be approximated by a linear span of operators of the form (3.3) for which  $\#\{i : g(i) = *\} = \#\{i : g(i) = \cdot\}$ . This proves Claim 3.2.  $\square$

**Claim 3.3.**  $E(\tilde{H}) = C^*(A, L)$ .

**Proof.** It is sufficient to show that any operator  $W$  of the form (3.3) can be written as  $W = (L^*)^k W'$  or  $W = W' L^k$  for some  $W' \in E(H)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $k = \#\{i : g(i) = \cdot\} - \#\{i : g(i) = *\}$ . If  $k = 0$ , then  $W \in A$  and we are done. If  $k > 0$ , then since  $L^*L = 1$  we have  $W = (W(L^*)^k)L^k$  and  $W' = W(L^*)^k \in A$ . If  $k < 0$ , then  $W = (L^*)^k L^k W$ , and  $W' = L^k W \in A$ . This proves Claim 3.3.  $\square$

**Claim 3.4.**  $\Psi : a \mapsto LaL^*$  defines an injective endomorphism of  $A$ .  $E(\tilde{H})$  is isomorphic to the (universal) crossed product of  $A$  by this endomorphism, namely  $E(\tilde{H}) \cong A \rtimes_{\Psi} \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.**  $\Psi$  is an injective endomorphism because  $L^*L = 1$ . Let  $C = A \rtimes_{\Psi} \mathbb{N}$  and let  $V \in C$  denote the isometry arising from the crossed product construction and implementing  $\Psi$ ; thus we have  $VaV^* = \Psi(a)$ ,  $a \in A$ . As is well known, there exists a continuous family  $(\gamma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  of automorphisms  $\gamma_t$  of  $C$ , such that  $\gamma_t(V) = e^{2\pi it}V$ , and  $\gamma_t(a) = a$  for every  $a \in A$  (where we identify the circle  $\mathbb{T}$  with  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ ). Let  $\Gamma : C \rightarrow A$  be the conditional expectation  $\Gamma(T) = \int_0^1 \gamma_t(T) dt$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is faithful. By universality of  $C$ , there is a surjective map  $\rho : C \rightarrow E(\tilde{H})$ , such that  $\rho(a) = a$  for  $a \in A$ , and  $\rho(V) = L$ . Let  $T \in \ker \rho$ . Then  $T^*T \in \ker \rho$ . Since  $\beta \circ \rho = \rho \circ \gamma$ , we have  $\gamma_t(T^*T) \in \ker \rho$ , so that  $\Gamma(T^*T) \in \ker \rho$ . But  $\rho|_A$  is injective, so  $\Gamma(T^*T) = 0$  and hence  $T^*T = 0$  and  $T = 0$ . It follows that  $C \cong E(\tilde{H})$ . This proves Claim 3.4.  $\square$

**Claim 3.5.** The  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is exact.

**Proof.** Denote by  $A_n$  the subspace of  $A$  that is the closed linear span of the set of words of the form  $W = b_0 l(h_1)^{g(1)} b_1 l(h_2)^{g(2)} b_2 \dots l(h_{2m}) g(2m) b_{2m}$  with  $m \leq n$ , and for which  $\#\{i : g(i) = \cdot\} = \#\{i : g(i) = *\}$ . Note that, in light of equations (3.1) and (3.2), we may assume without loss of generality that in  $W$ ,  $g(1) = g(2) = \dots = g(m) = \cdot$  and  $g(m+1) = g(m+2) = \dots = g(2m) = *$ . Now it is easily seen that  $A_n$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  and that  $B = A_0 \subset \dots \subset A_n \subset A_{n+1} \subset A$  is an increasing sequence of subalgebras with  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n$  dense in  $A$ . Hence it will suffice to prove that each  $A_n$  is exact.

Denote by  $\pi_n$  the restriction and compression of the representation of  $A_n$  on  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{H})$  to  $\mathcal{F}_n(\tilde{H}) = B \oplus \bigoplus_{k \leq n} \tilde{H}^{(\otimes_B)k}$ . Since in the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\tilde{H}) &= \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \bigoplus_{j=0}^n \tilde{H}^{\otimes_B(k(n+1)+j)} \\ &= \bigoplus_{j=0}^n \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \tilde{H}^{\otimes_B j} \otimes (\tilde{H}^{\otimes_B(n+1)})^{\otimes_B k} \\ &= \mathcal{F}_n(\tilde{H}) \otimes \mathcal{F}(\tilde{H}^{\otimes_B(n+1)}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

$A_n$  acts on  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{H})$  as  $\pi_n(A_n) \otimes 1$ , it follows that  $\pi_n$  is a faithful representation. Let  $I_n \subset A_n$  be the closed linear span of the set of all words of the form

$$b_0 l(h_1) b_1 l(h_2) b_2 \dots l(h_n) b_n l(h_{n+1})^* b_{n+1} \dots l(h_{2n})^* b_{2n}.$$

Using the relations (3.1) and (3.2), one easily sees that  $I_n$  is a closed two-sided ideal of  $A_n$ . Moreover, observing the action of  $\pi_n(I_n)$  on  $\mathcal{F}_n(\tilde{H})$ , one easily sees that, with



respect to the decomposition  $\mathcal{F}_n(\tilde{H}) = \mathcal{F}_{n-1}(\tilde{H}) \oplus \tilde{H}^{(\otimes_B)n}$ , we have  $\pi_n(I_n) = 0_{\mathcal{F}_{n-1}(\tilde{H})} + \mathcal{K}(\tilde{H}^{(\otimes_B)n})$ , where  $\mathcal{K}(\tilde{H}^{(\otimes_B)n})$  denotes the algebra of compact operators on the Hilbert  $B, B$ -bimodule  $\tilde{H}^{(\otimes_B)n}$ . Now the quotient  $A_n/I_n$  is canonically identified with the closed linear span of all words  $b_0l(h_1)^{g(1)}b_1l(h_2)^{g(2)} \dots l(h_{2m})^{g(2m)}b_{2m}$  in  $A_n$  for which  $m < n$ . Thus  $A_n/I_n$  is isomorphic to  $A_{n-1}$ , and the canonical inclusion  $A_{n-1} \hookrightarrow A_n$  provides a splitting for the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I_n \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow A_n/I_n \rightarrow 0$ .

We now show exactness of  $A_n$  by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 0$ ,  $A_0 \cong B$  is exact by assumption. Having restricted to the separable case, we have that  $\tilde{H}^{(\otimes_B)n}$  is separable and hence  $\mathcal{K}(\tilde{H}^{(\otimes_B)n})$  is an exact  $C^*$ -algebra by the Kasparov stabilization lemma [14]. Using the induction hypothesis that  $A_{n-1}$  is exact, we find that in the split exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I_n \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow A_n/I_n \rightarrow 0$  the algebras  $A_n/I_n \cong A_{n-1}$  and  $I_n \cong \mathcal{K}(\tilde{H}^{(\otimes_B)n})$  are exact. By [7, Proposition 2] (see also [16, Proposition 7.1]),  $A_n$  is exact. This completes the proof of Claim 3.5.  $\square$

Now we can finish the proof of the theorem. By Claims 3.4 and 3.5,  $E(\tilde{H})$  is isomorphic to the universal crossed product  $A \rtimes_{\Psi} \mathbb{N}$  of an exact  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  by an injective endomorphism  $\Psi$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $A \rtimes_{\Psi} \mathbb{N}$  is exact.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $H$  be a Hilbert  $B, B$ -bimodule. Then the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra  $\mathcal{O}(H)$  associated to  $H$  is exact if and only if  $B$  is exact.*

**Proof.** The Cuntz–Pimsner algebra  $\mathcal{O}_H$  is defined as a certain quotient of  $E(H)$  (see [23] for details). If  $B$  is exact, then  $E(H)$  is exact, and it was proved by Kirchberg [16] that quotients of exact  $C^*$ -algebras are exact. Since  $\mathcal{O}(H)$  contains a copy of  $B$  as a  $C^*$ -subalgebra, exactness of  $\mathcal{O}(H)$  implies exactness of  $B$ .  $\square$

Given a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  and a completely positive map  $\eta : B \rightarrow B \otimes M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\hat{\Phi}(B, \eta)$  was constructed in [27]. This algebra is a subalgebra of  $E(H)$ , where  $H$  is the Hilbert  $B, B$ -bimodule associated to  $\eta$  (see [25]). Since  $B \subset \hat{\Phi}(B, \eta)$ , from Theorem 3.1 we immediately have the following.

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\eta : B \rightarrow B \otimes M_{n \times n}$  be a completely positive map. Then the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\hat{\Phi}(B, \eta)$  is exact if and only if  $B$  is exact.*

#### 4. Exactness of reduced free product $C^*$ -algebras

Theorem 3.1 can be used to give a new proof of the recent result [9] that the class of exact unital  $C^*$ -algebras is closed under taking reduced amalgamated free products; this alternative proof will be given in section 5 below. In this section, however, we give an easier argument that makes use of Theorem 3.1 and proves a special case, namely that every reduced free product (with amalgamation over the scalars) of exact  $C^*$ -algebras is exact. (See [11] for an easier version of the argument of [9] in a special case.)

In light of Example 1.4 of [12] (see also Question 1 of [1] and the answers provided), one must be careful about embeddings of reduced free products of  $C^*$ -algebras. Thus we provide a proof of the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $N$  be an integer greater than or equal to 2 or  $\infty$  and for every  $1 \leq k < N + 1$  let  $A_k$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra having a state  $\phi_k$  whose Gelfand–Naimark–Segal (GNS) representation is faithful. Let  $(A, \phi) = *_{k=1}^N (A_k, \phi_k)$  be the reduced free product of  $C^*$ -algebras. Let  $B = \bigotimes_{k=1}^N A_k$  be the minimal tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $\rho = \otimes_{k=1}^N \phi_k$  be the tensor product state. Let  $D_1$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with a state  $\psi_1$  whose GNS representation is faithful and having a unitary  $u \in D_1$  such that  $\psi_1(u^n) = 0$  for every non-zero integer  $n$ . Let  $(D, \psi) = (D_1, \psi_1) * (B, \rho)$  be the reduced free product of  $C^*$ -algebras. Consider the embeddings  $\pi_k : A_k \rightarrow D$  given by  $\pi_k(a) = u^k a u^{-k}$ . Then there is an injective homomorphism  $\pi : A \rightarrow D$  whose restriction to  $A_k$  is  $\pi_k$ , for every  $k$  and such that  $\psi \circ \pi = \phi$ .*

**Proof.** Let us abuse notation by writing  $A_k$  for all of the corresponding unital subalgebras  $A_k \subseteq A$ ,  $A_k \subseteq B$  and  $A_k \subseteq D$  arising from the free product and tensor product constructions, and similarly for  $B \subseteq D$ . The unitary  $u$  generates a copy of  $C(\mathbb{T})$ , the continuous functions on the circle, on which  $\psi_1$  is given by integration with respect to Haar measure. Thus

$$\left( C(\mathbb{T}), \int d\lambda \right) \subseteq (D_1, \psi_1),$$

where  $d\lambda$  is Haar measure. By the main result of [1], without loss of generality we may and do assume that

$$(D_1, \psi_1) = \left( C(\mathbb{T}), \int d\lambda \right).$$

It is easily checked that in  $(D, \psi)$  the family  $(u^k B u^{-k})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is free; letting  $\bar{B}$  be the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $D$  generated by  $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} u^k B u^{-k}$ , conjugation by  $u$  acts as the free shift on  $\bar{B}$ . As  $\bar{B} \cup \{u\}$  generates  $D$  and as  $\bar{B} u^k \subseteq \ker \psi$  for every non-zero integer  $k$ , we see that  $D \cong \bar{B} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$  and the GNS representation of the restriction of  $\psi$  to  $\bar{B}$  is faithful on  $\bar{B}$ . Therefore, we may use the uniqueness of the free product construction to see that

$$(\bar{B}, \psi|_{\bar{B}}) \cong *_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (u^k B u^{-k}, \psi|_{u^k B u^{-k}}).$$

Regarding  $A_k \subseteq B$ , we have the embeddings  $\pi_k : A_k \rightarrow u^k A_k u^{-k} \subseteq u^k B u^{-k}$ , and these satisfy  $\psi \circ \pi_k = \phi_k$ . Hence by the main result of [1] there is an injective homomorphism  $\pi : A \rightarrow \bar{B} \subset D$  extending each  $\pi_k$  and satisfying  $\psi \circ \pi = \phi$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $I$  be a set having at least two elements and for every  $\iota \in I$  let  $A_\iota$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\phi_\iota$  be a state on  $A_\iota$  whose GNS representation is faithful. Let  $(A, \phi) = *_{\iota \in I} (A_\iota, \phi_\iota)$  be the reduced free product of  $C^*$ -algebras, let  $B = \bigotimes_{\iota \in I} A_\iota$  be the minimal tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $\rho = \otimes_{\iota \in I} \phi_\iota$  be the tensor product state. Then there is a Hilbert  $B, B$ -bimodule  $H$  and an injective homomorphism  $\pi : A \rightarrow E(H)$  such that letting  $\mathcal{E} : E(H) \rightarrow B$  be the canonical vacuum expectation, we have  $\rho \circ \mathcal{E} \circ \pi = \phi$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $H$  be the Hilbert  $B, B$ -bimodule associated to  $\rho$ . This means that  $H$  is obtained by separation and completion of the algebraic tensor product  $B \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$  with

respect to the norm induced by the  $B$ -valued inner product

$$\langle a \otimes b, a' \otimes b' \rangle = b^* \rho(a^* a') b', \quad a, a', b, b' \in B,$$

or, in other notation,  $H = L^2(B, \rho) \otimes_C B$ . Denote by  $\xi \in H$  the vector  $1 \otimes 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{E} : E(H) \rightarrow B$  be the canonical vacuum expectation given by compression with the projection  $\mathcal{F}(H) \rightarrow B$ . Consider  $C = C^*(l(\xi)) \subset E(H)$ . By [26], the restriction of the conditional expectation  $\mathcal{E} : E(H) \rightarrow B$  to  $C$  is scalar-valued; we denote this restriction by  $\psi$ . In fact, as is easily seen,  $C$  is isomorphic to the algebra of Toeplitz operators generated by the non-unitary isometry  $l(\xi)$  and  $\psi$  is the state whose support is  $1 - l(\xi)l(\xi)^*$ . We have by [26, Theorem 2.3] that  $E(H)$  is a reduced free product,  $(E(H), \rho \circ \mathcal{E}) \cong (C, \psi) * (B, \rho)$ , because  $l(\xi)$  satisfies  $l(\xi)^* b l(\xi) = \rho(b)$  for all  $b \in B$ . The algebra  $C$  contains a unitary  $u$  with the property that  $\psi(u^k) = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ; for example,  $u$  can be obtained by continuous functional calculus from the semicircular element  $l(\xi) + l(\xi)^*$ . Then by Lemma 4.1 there is an injective homomorphism  $\pi : A \rightarrow E(H)$  satisfying  $\rho \circ \mathcal{E} \circ \pi = \phi$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3** (see [9]). *Let  $I$  be a set having at least two elements and for every  $\iota \in I$  let  $A_\iota$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\phi_\iota$  be a state on  $A_\iota$  whose GNS representation is faithful. Let  $(A, \phi) = *_{\iota \in I} (A_\iota, \phi_\iota)$  be the reduced free product of  $C^*$ -algebras. Then  $A$  is exact if and only if every  $A_\iota$  is exact.*

**Proof.** Since each  $A_\iota$  is canonically embedded as a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ , exactness of  $A$  implies exactness of every  $A_\iota$ .

Suppose that every  $A_\iota$  is exact and let  $B = \bigotimes_{\iota \in I} A_\iota$  be the minimal tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras. Then  $B$  is exact, as is easily seen from the definition of exactness and by taking inductive limits if necessary. By Proposition 4.2,  $A$  is isomorphic to a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $E(H)$ , for some Hilbert  $B$ ,  $B$ -bimodule  $H$ . By Theorem 3.1  $E(H)$  is exact, and it thus follows that  $A$  is exact.  $\square$

### 5. Exactness of reduced amalgamated free product $C^*$ -algebras

In this section, we give an alternative proof, using Theorem 3.1, of the result [9] that the class of exact unital  $C^*$ -algebras is closed under taking reduced amalgamated free products.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $B$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras each containing a copy of  $B$  as a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra and having a conditional expectation  $\phi_\iota : A_\iota \rightarrow B$  whose GNS representation is faithful. Let*

$$(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\phi}) = (A_1, \phi_1) *_B (A_2, \phi_2)$$

*be the reduced amalgamated free product of  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ . Then there is a Hilbert  $A$ ,  $A$ -bimodule  $H$  such that  $\tilde{A}$  is isomorphic to a  $C^*$ -quotient of a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $E(H)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $D = B \oplus B = \{(b_1, b_2) \in A \mid b_1, b_2 \in B\}$  and consider the conditional expectation  $\phi = \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2 : A \rightarrow D$  and the completely positive map  $\eta : A \rightarrow A$  given by  $\eta((a_1, a_2)) = (\phi_2(a_2), \phi_1(a_1))$ . Note that  $\eta$  takes values in  $D$  and that  $\eta \circ \phi = \eta$ . We now consider the  $\eta$ -creation operator  $L$ ; this construction was introduced by Speicher [29] and Pimsner [23], and proceeds as follows. We take the Hilbert  $A, A$ -bimodule  $H$  which is obtained by separation and completion of the algebraic tensor product  $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} A$  equipped with the natural left and right actions of  $A$  and with the inner product

$$\langle a_1 \otimes a_2, a'_1 \otimes a'_2 \rangle = a_2^* \eta(a_1^* a'_1) a'_2.$$

We let  $\xi \in H$  be the element corresponding to  $1 \otimes 1 \in A \otimes A$ ; taking the Fock space  $\mathcal{F}(H)$  we let  $L = l(\xi) \in E(H) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(H))$  be the corresponding creation operator. As is well known and is easily seen using equations (3.1) and (3.2),  $L^* a L = \eta(a)$  for every  $a \in A$ .

**Claim 5.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{E} : E(H) \rightarrow A$  be the conditional expectation defined by compression with the orthogonal projection  $\mathcal{F}(H) \rightarrow A$  and let  $\psi = \phi \circ \mathcal{E} : E(H) \rightarrow D$ . Then  $\{L, L^*\}$  and  $A$  are free with respect to  $\psi$ .*

**Proof.** This claim is proved by applying [26, Theorem 2.3]. In fact, from its proof, we see that statements (i), (ii) and (b) of that theorem imply statement (a) of that theorem. Hence, in order to apply [26, Theorem 2.3] to show freeness of  $A$  and  $\{L, L^*\}$ , we need only show

$$(\alpha) \quad \psi(a_1 L a_2 \dots L a_k L a'_1 L^* a'_2 \dots L^* a'_{\ell+1}) = 0 \text{ whenever } k, \ell \geq 0, k + \ell > 0 \text{ and } a_j, a'_j \in A; \text{ and}$$

$$(\beta) \quad L^* a L = \eta(\psi(a)) \text{ for every } a \in A.$$

Indeed,  $(\alpha)$  and  $(\beta)$  together show that  $L$  is distributed with respect to  $\psi$  as an  $(\eta \upharpoonright_D)$ -creation operator, showing part (c) of [26, Theorem 2.3] holds, while  $(\alpha)$  is part (i) and  $(\beta)$  is part (ii) of [26, Theorem 2.3]. But  $(\alpha)$  and  $(\beta)$  follow from the facts that  $L$  is distributed as an  $\eta$ -creation operator and  $\eta \circ \psi(a) = \eta \circ \phi(a) = \eta(a)$  for  $a \in A$ . This finishes the proof of Claim 5.2. □

$$\text{Now let } P = 1 - L^2(L^*)^2 \text{ and } W = P(L + L^*)P.$$

**Claim 5.3.**  *$P$  is a projection,  $W$  is a partial isometry,  $W = W^*$  and  $W^2 = P$ .*

**Proof.** The creation operator  $L$  is easily seen to be an isometry; thus  $P$  is a projection. Clearly  $W = W^*$ . Straightforward computations reveal that

$$W = L + L^* - L(L^*)^2 - L^2 L^*, \tag{5.1}$$

and then that  $W^2 = P$ . So Claim 5.3 is proved. □

**Claim 5.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A} = C^*(\{W\} \cup A) \subseteq E(H)$  and let  $\pi$  be the GNS representation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  arising from the conditional expectation  $\psi$ . Then  $\pi(1 - P) = 0$ ; hence  $\pi(W)$  is unitary.*

**Proof.** We must show that

$$\psi(a_1 W^{q_1} \dots a_k W^{q_k} a_{k+1} (1 - P) a'_{\ell+1} W^{q'_\ell} a'_\ell \dots W^{q'_1} a'_1) = 0 \tag{5.2}$$

for all integers  $k, \ell \geq 0$  and  $q_j, q'_j \geq 1$  and all  $a_j a'_j \in A$ . We will show (5.2) by induction on  $k + \ell$ . For  $k + \ell = 0$ , clearly  $a_1(1 - P)a'_1 = a_1 l^2 (L^*)^2 a'_1$  is in the kernel of  $\mathcal{E}$ , hence also of  $\psi$ . Suppose now  $k + \ell > 0$ . Writing  $a_j = (a_j - \phi(a_j)) + \phi(a_j)$  and similarly for  $a'_j$  and then distributing, we may assume that each  $a_j$  and each  $a'_j$  lies either in  $\ker \phi$  or in  $D$ . For every  $d = (b_1, b_2) \in D$  we have  $Ld = \alpha(d)L$ , where  $\alpha$  is the automorphism of  $D$  given by  $\alpha((b_1, b_2)) = (b_2, b_1)$ . Indeed, we may take  $d = d^*$  and then

$$\begin{aligned} (Ld - \alpha(d)L)^*(Ld - \alpha(d)L) &= dL^*Ld - dL^*\alpha(d)L - L^*\alpha(d)Ld + L^*\alpha(d)\alpha(d)L \\ &= d^2 - d^2 - d^2 + d^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because  $L^*dL = \eta(d) = \alpha(d)$  for  $d \in D$ . From  $Ld = \alpha(d)L$  it follows that

$$L^*d = \alpha(d)L^*, \quad Pd = dP \quad \text{and} \quad Wd = \alpha(d)W.$$

Note also that  $W(1 - P) = 0$ . If  $k \geq 1$  and  $a_{k+1} \in D$ , then

$$W^{q_k} a_{k+1} (1 - P) = W^{q_k} (1 - P) a_{k+1} = 0;$$

similarly, if  $\ell \geq 1$  and  $a'_{\ell+1} \in D$ , then  $(1 - P)a'_{\ell+1}W^{q'_\ell} = 0$ . If  $a_j \in D$  for some  $1 < j \leq k$ , then  $W^{q_{j-1}}a_jW^{q_j} = W^{q_{j-1}+q_j}\alpha^{q_j}(a_j)$  and we may use the induction hypothesis to conclude that (5.2) holds; similarly, if  $a'_j \in D$  for some  $1 < j \leq \ell$ , then (5.2) holds. Hence we may assume that  $a_j \in \ker \phi$  for every  $1 < j \leq k + 1$  and  $a'_j \in \ker \phi$  for every  $1 < j \leq \ell + 1$ . Writing  $W^{q_j} = (W^{q_j} - \psi(W^{q_j})) + \psi(W^{q_j})$  and similarly for  $W^{q'_j}$ , distributing and letting

$$y_j = W^{q_j} - \psi(W^{q_j}) \quad \text{and} \quad y'_j = W^{q'_j} - \psi(W^{q'_j}),$$

we find that  $a_1 W^{q_1} \dots a_k W^{q_k} a_{k+1} (1 - P) a'_{\ell+1} W^{q'_\ell} a'_\ell \dots W^{q'_1} a'_1$  is equal to a sum of  $2^{k+\ell}$  terms which are obtained by replacing each  $W^{q_j}$  variously with  $y_j$  and with  $\psi(W^{q_j})$ , and each  $W^{q'_j}$  variously with  $y'_j$  and with  $\psi(W^{q'_j})$ . If  $z$  is one of these terms where at least one  $W^{q_j}$  or  $W^{q'_j}$  has been replaced by its expectation under  $\psi$ , then we can see that  $\psi(z) = 0$  by using the induction hypothesis; indeed, we write  $y_j = W^{q_j} - \psi(W^{q_j})$  for each  $y_j$  appearing in  $z$  and similarly for each  $y'_j$  and then we distribute; this expresses  $z$  as a sum of terms to each of which the induction hypothesis applies to show it has expectation zero under  $\psi$ . We are left to show only that

$$\Psi(a_1 y_1 \dots a_k y_k a_{k+1} (1 - P) a'_{\ell+1} y'_\ell a'_\ell \dots y'_1 a'_1) = 0.$$

But this holds by the freeness proved in Claim 5.2. Thus the proof of Claim 5.4 is finished. □

**Claim 5.5.** *The restriction of  $\pi$  to  $A$  is faithful.*

**Proof.** This follows from the fact that  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  have faithful GNS representations. □

The inner product arising from the GNS construction for  $\psi$  gives a map  $\tilde{\psi} : \pi(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow D$ , which upon identifying  $D$  with  $\pi(D)$  becomes a conditional expectation  $\tilde{\psi} : \pi(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \pi(D)$ ; moreover, we have  $\tilde{\psi} \circ \pi = \pi \circ \psi|_{\mathfrak{A}}$ .

**Claim 5.6.** *Let  $q = \pi((1, 0)) \in \pi(D)$  and let  $V = \pi(W)$ . Then*

(a)  *$V$  is a unitary satisfying  $V = V^*$  and  $VqV = 1 - q$ .*

*Consider the subalgebras  $M_1 = q\pi(A)q$ ,  $M_2 = qV\pi(A)Vq = V(1 - q)\pi(A)(1 - q)V$  and  $N = q\pi(D)q$ . Then*

(b)  *$N \subseteq M_\iota$  ( $\iota = 1, 2$ );*

(c)  *$M_\iota$  is isomorphic to  $A_\iota$  via an isomorphism that sends  $N$  to  $B$  and conjugates  $\tilde{\psi}|_{M_\iota}$  to  $\phi_\iota$  ( $\iota = 1, 2$ ); and*

(d)  *$M_1$  and  $M_2$  are free with respect to  $\tilde{\psi}$ .*

**Proof.** (a) follows from Claim 5.3, Claim 5.4 and the fact that  $W(b_1, b_2) = (b_2, b_1)W$  for all  $(b_1, b_2) \in D$ ; note that (b) holds for the same reason.

For (c), the isomorphisms are

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 \ni \pi((a_1, 0)) &\mapsto a_1 \in A_1, \\ M_2 \ni V\pi((0, a_2))V &\mapsto a_2 \in A_2, \end{aligned}$$

which we denote  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , respectively. That  $\sigma_1$  sends  $N$  to  $B$  and conjugates  $\tilde{\psi}|_{M_\iota}$  to  $\phi_1$  is straightforward to see. We have  $N \subseteq M_2$  because  $\pi((b, 0)) = V\pi((0, b))V$  for every  $b \in B$ , and this also shows that  $N$  is mapped by  $\sigma_2$  onto  $B$ . We must show that

$$\sigma_2 \circ \tilde{\psi}(V\pi((0, a_2))V) = \phi_2(a_2) \tag{5.3}$$

for every  $a_2 \in A_2$ . But

$$\tilde{\psi}(V\pi((0, a_2))V) = \tilde{\psi} \circ \pi(W(0, a_2)W) = \pi \circ \psi(W(0, a_2)W).$$

Write  $a_2 = (a_2 - \phi_2(a_2)) + \phi_2(a_2)$ . It is easily seen using (5.1) that  $\psi(W) = 0$ . This and the freeness result proved in Claim 5.2 show that  $\psi(W(0, a_2 - \phi_2(a_2))W) = 0$ , while since  $\phi_2(a_2) \in B$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \circ \psi(W(0, \phi_2(a_2))W) &= \pi \circ \psi((\phi_2(a_2), 0)) \\ &= \pi((\phi_2(a_2), 0)) = V\pi((0, \phi_2(a_2)))V \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} \phi_2(a_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (5.3) holds and (c) is proved.

To prove (d) it will suffice to show that  $\tilde{\psi}(x) = 0$  whenever  $x = x_1x_2 \dots x_n$ , where  $n \geq 1$ ,  $x_j \in M_{\iota_j} \cap \ker \tilde{\psi}$  and  $\iota_1 \neq \iota_2, \iota_2 \neq \iota_3, \dots, \iota_{n-1} \neq \iota_n$ . Writing

$$x_j = \begin{cases} \pi((a_j, 0)) \text{ for } a_j \in A_1 \cap \ker \phi_1, & \text{if } \iota_j = 1, \\ \pi(W(0, a_j)W) \text{ for } a_j \in A_2 \cap \ker \phi_2, & \text{if } \iota_j = 2, \end{cases}$$

we find that  $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \tilde{\psi} \circ \pi(y) = \pi \circ \tilde{\psi}(y)$ , where  $y = y_1y_2 \dots y_n$  and

$$y_j = \begin{cases} (a_j, 0), & \text{if } \iota_j = 1, \\ (0, a_j), & \text{if } \iota_j = 2. \end{cases}$$

Rewriting  $y$  as a product of  $W$ s alternating with  $(0, a_j)$ s and  $(a_j, 0)$ s, using that each  $(a_j, 0)$  and  $(0, a_j)$  lies in  $\ker \psi$ , that  $\psi(W) = 0$  and the freeness proved in Claim 5.2, we find that  $\psi(y) = 0$ . This finishes the proof of Claim 5.6.  $\square$

We now finish the proof of Proposition 5.1. By properties of the free product construction,  $\tilde{A}$  is isomorphic to the image of  $C^*(M_1 \cup M_2)$  under the GNS representation of  $\tilde{\psi} \upharpoonright_{C^*(M_1 \cup M_2)}$ , while  $C^*(M_1 \cup M_2)$  is itself a subalgebra of a quotient of a subalgebra of  $E(H)$ . Finally, the property of being a quotient of a subalgebra of a given  $C^*$ -algebra is preserved under taking quotients and subalgebras.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.7 (see [9]).** *Let  $B$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras each containing a copy of  $B$  as a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra and having a conditional expectation  $\phi_\iota : A_\iota \rightarrow B$  whose GNS representation is faithful. Let*

$$(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\phi}) = (A_1, \phi_1) *_B (A_2, \phi_2)$$

*be the reduced amalgamated free product of  $C^*$ -algebras. Then  $\tilde{A}$  is an exact  $C^*$ -algebra if and only if  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are exact.*

**Proof.** Since  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $\tilde{A}$ , exactness of  $\tilde{A}$  implies that of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ .

For the converse, suppose  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are exact and let  $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ . Then  $A$  is an exact  $C^*$ -algebra. By Theorem 3.1,  $E(H)$  is an exact  $C^*$ -algebra whenever  $H$  is a Hilbert  $A, A$ -bimodule. Using Proposition 5.1, the well-known fact that  $C^*$ -subalgebras of exact  $C^*$ -algebras are exact and Kirchberg’s result [16] that exactness passes to quotients, we have that  $\tilde{A}$  is exact.  $\square$

### 6. Bogljubov automorphisms

In this section we consider the analogues of Bogljubov automorphisms on the extended Cuntz–Pimsner algebras  $E(H)$  when  $H$  is a  $B, B$ -bimodule and we prove that if  $B$  is finite dimensional, then the topological entropy of every Bogljubov automorphism is zero. We begin, however, by showing that if  $B$  is a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra and if  $H$  is a Hilbert  $B$ -module, then every countably generated Hilbert  $B$ -submodule of  $H$  is complemented in  $H$ . We are convinced this is well known, but we do not know of a reference.

**Proposition 6.1 (Gram–Schmidt procedure).** *Let  $B$  be a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $H$  be a right Hilbert  $B$ -module. Let  $X$  be a finite or countably infinite subset of  $H$ . Then there is a finite or countably infinite subset  $V$  of  $H$  such that*

- (i) *the submodule of  $H$  generated by  $V$  equals the submodule of  $H$  generated by  $X$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $v, w \in V$  and  $v \neq w$ , then  $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$ ; and*
- (iii) *if  $v \in V$ , then  $\langle v, v \rangle$  is a minimal projection in  $B$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be minimal projections in  $B$  such that  $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n = 1$ . We may without loss of generality assume that for every  $x \in X$ ,  $x = xe_\ell$  for some  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  be an enumeration of  $X$  and let  $\ell_j$  be such that  $x_j = x_j e_{\ell_j}$ . Note that  $\langle x_1, x_1 \rangle = \|x_1\|^2 e_{\ell_1}$  and let

$$v_1 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x_1 = 0, \\ \|x_1\|^{-1} x_1, & \text{if } x_1 \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then either  $v_1 = 0$  or  $\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle = e_{\ell_1}$ . We now recursively define elements  $v_2, v_3, \dots$ , so that for all  $j$  we have  $v_j e_{\ell_j} = v_j$ ,  $\langle v_j, v_j \rangle \in \{0, e_{\ell_j}\}$ , and, if  $i < j$ , then  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$ . For the recursive step, if  $n \geq 2$ , if  $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$  have been defined and if  $X$  has at least  $n$  elements, then let  $w_n = x_n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} v_j \langle v_j, x_n \rangle$ . Then for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  we have

$$\langle v_i, w_n \rangle = \langle v_i, x_n \rangle - \langle v_i, v_i \langle v_i, x_n \rangle \rangle = \langle v_i, x_n \rangle - \langle v_i, v_i \rangle \langle v_i, x_n \rangle = 0.$$

Note that  $w_n = w_n e_{\ell_n}$ ; let

$$v_n = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } w_n = 0, \\ \|w_n\|^{-1} w_n, & \text{if } w_n \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Letting  $V = \{v_j \mid v_j \neq 0\}$  does the job. □

Although we will only apply the following proposition when the submodule  $K$  is assumed to be finitely generated, for the sake of completeness we would like to give the more general result.

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $B$  be a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra, let  $H$  be a right Hilbert  $B$ -module and let  $K$  be a closed  $B$ -submodule of  $H$  that is finitely or countably generated (meaning that  $K$  has a dense submodule that is finitely or countably generated). Then  $K$  is a complemented submodule of  $H$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be a finite or countable set such that the submodule of  $H$  generated by  $X$  is dense in  $K$ . Let  $V$  be the set obtained from  $X$  using the Gram–Schmidt procedure of Proposition 6.1. We shall define  $P : H \rightarrow H$  by

$$Ph = \sum_{v \in V} v \langle v, h \rangle,$$



where, when  $V$  is infinite, we shall show that the sum converges in  $H$ . Suppose first that  $V$  is finite. Then easy calculations show that  $P \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ ,  $P^2 = P = P^*$  and consequently  $\|P\| \leq 1$ .

Now suppose that  $V$  is infinite and enumerate it by  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ . For every positive integer  $n$  and  $h \in H$  let  $P_n h = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \langle v_j, h \rangle$ . Then by the result for the case of  $V$  finite we have

$$\langle h, h \rangle \geq \langle P_n h, P_n h \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle h, v_j \rangle \langle v_j, h \rangle.$$

For every state  $\phi$  on  $B$ , the sequence  $(\phi(\langle P_n h, P_n h \rangle))_{n=1}^\infty$  is a bounded and increasing sequence of positive numbers, hence converges. Therefore the sequence  $(\langle P_n h, P_n h \rangle)_{n=1}^\infty$  converges in  $B$ . Then for  $m < n$  we have that

$$\langle P_n h - P_m h, P_n h - P_m h \rangle = \sum_{j=m+1}^n \langle h, v_j \rangle \langle v_j, h \rangle \leq \sum_{j=m+1}^\infty \langle h, v_j \rangle \langle v_j, h \rangle,$$

and the right-hand side tends to zero as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore the sequence  $(P_n h)_{n=1}^\infty$  is Cauchy in  $H$ , hence converges in  $H$ . We may thus define  $Ph = \sum_{j=1}^\infty v_j \langle v_j, h \rangle$ . From the corresponding facts for the finite-dimensional case we obtain that  $P \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ ,  $P^2 = P = P^*$  and  $\|P\| \leq 1$ .

It remains to show that  $PH = K$ . If  $V$  is finite, then this is clear, so suppose  $V$  is infinite. Given  $h \in H$  we have  $Ph = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n h$  and  $P_n h \in K$ , so  $Ph \in K$ . Given  $k \in K$  then for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there are  $n \geq 1$  and  $k_\epsilon \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  such that  $\|k - k_\epsilon\| < \epsilon$ . But  $Pk_\epsilon = k_\epsilon$  and, hence,  $\|Pk - k\| \leq 2\epsilon + \|Pk_\epsilon - k_\epsilon\| = 2\epsilon$ . Therefore  $Pk = k$ .  $\square$

**Definition 6.3.** Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $H$  be a Hilbert  $B, B$ -bimodule such that  $\{\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle \mid h_1, h_2 \in H\}$  generates  $B$ ; suppose that  $U : H \rightarrow H$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -linear map such that for some automorphism  $\beta$  of  $B$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U(h_1), U(h_2) \rangle &= \beta(\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle), \quad h_1, h_2 \in H, \\ U(b_1 h b_2) &= \beta(b_1) U(h) \beta(b_2), \quad h \in H, \quad b_1, b_2 \in B. \end{aligned}$$

(Note that  $\beta$  is uniquely determined by  $U$  and the first of the above equations.) Then there is an automorphism  $E(U)$  of  $E(H)$ , given by  $E(U)(l(h)) = l(Uh)$  ( $h \in H$ ) and  $E(U)(b) = \beta(b)$  ( $b \in B$ ). We call  $E(U)$  the *Bogljubov automorphism* of  $E(H)$  associated to  $U$ .

**Theorem 6.4.** Let  $B$  be a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $H$  be a Hilbert  $B, B$ -bimodule such that  $\{\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle \mid h_1, h_2 \in H\}$  generates  $B$ . Then the topological entropy of every Bogljubov automorphism  $E(U)$  of  $E(H)$  is zero.

**Proof.** The Bogljubov automorphism  $E(U)$  arises from a  $\mathcal{C}$ -linear map  $U : H \rightarrow H$  and an affiliated automorphism  $\beta \in \text{Aut}(B)$  as in Definition 6.3. Let  $\tilde{H} = H \oplus B$  and  $\xi = 0 \oplus 1 \in \tilde{H}$ . Let  $\tilde{U} : \tilde{H} \rightarrow \tilde{H}$  be defined by  $\tilde{U}(h \oplus b) = U(h) \oplus \beta(b)$  ( $h \in H, b \in B$ ); note that  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\beta$  together satisfy the conditions in Definition 6.3, so that we have the Bogljubov automorphism  $E(\tilde{U})$  of  $E(\tilde{H})$ . Now  $E(H)$  is canonically embedded in  $E(\tilde{H})$

and the restriction of  $E(\tilde{U})$  to  $E(H)$  is  $E(U)$ ; by the monotonicity of  $ht$ , which was proved in [2, Proposition 2.1], it will therefore suffice to show that  $ht(E(\tilde{U})) = 0$ .

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1,  $E(\tilde{H})$  is isomorphic to the crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra  $A \rtimes_{\Psi} \mathbb{N}$ , where  $A = \overline{\text{span}} \Omega$  with

$$\Omega = B \cup \{l(h_1) \dots l(h_m)l(h_{m+1})^* \dots l(h_{2m})^* \mid m \geq 1, h_j \in \tilde{H}\},$$

and where  $\Psi$  is the endomorphism of  $A$  given by  $\Psi(x) = LxL^*$  with  $L = l(\xi)$ . Since  $\tilde{U}(\xi) = \xi$ , we have  $E(\tilde{U})L = L$  and, hence, the restriction of  $E(\tilde{U})$  to  $A$  is an automorphism of  $A$  that commutes with the endomorphism  $\Psi$ . It thus follows from Proposition 2.5 that  $ht(E(\tilde{U})) = ht(E(\tilde{U})|_A)$ . Let  $\gamma$  denote the automorphism  $E(\tilde{U})|_A$  of  $A$ .

Let  $\tau : B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$  be a faithful unital representation of  $B$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{V}$  and let  $\pi$  denote the representation of  $A$  which is the inclusion  $A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(\tilde{H}))$  followed by the representation  $x \mapsto x \otimes 1$  of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(\tilde{H}))$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{H}) \otimes_{\tau} \mathcal{V}$ . We must show  $ht(\gamma) = 0$  and to do so it will suffice to show that  $ht(\pi, \gamma, \omega, \delta) = 0$  for every  $\delta > 0$  and every finite subset  $\omega$  of  $\Omega$ . Given a finite subset  $\omega \subseteq \Omega$  there are  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a  $B, B$ -subbimodule  $K$  of  $\tilde{H}$  that is finite dimensional as a  $\mathcal{C}$ -vector space and such that  $\omega \subseteq \Omega(n, K)$ , where

$$\Omega(n, K) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B \cup \{l(h_1) \dots l(h_m)l(h_{m+1})^* \dots l(h_{2m})^* \mid 1 \leq m \leq n, h_j \in K\}.$$

Recall the definition of  $\mathcal{F}_n(\tilde{H})$  from the proof of Claim 3.5 and let  $P_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(\tilde{H}))$  denote the projection onto  $\mathcal{F}_n(\tilde{H})$ . Consider the completely positive contractions

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(\tilde{H})) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_n(\tilde{H})), & \Phi_n(x) &= P_n x P_n, \\ \Psi_n : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_n(\tilde{H})) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(\tilde{H})), & \Psi_n(y) &= W_n^*(y \otimes 1)W_n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $W_n : \mathcal{F}(\tilde{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n(\tilde{H}) \otimes_B \mathcal{F}(\tilde{H}^{\otimes_B(n+1)})$  is the unitary operator canonically defined by the decomposition in equation (3.4) in the proof of Claim 3.5. Note that  $\Psi_n \circ \Phi_n(x) = x$  for every  $x \in \Omega(n, \tilde{H})$ .

For every integer  $p \geq 1$  let  $K_p = K + \tilde{U}(K) + \tilde{U}^2(K) + \dots + \tilde{U}^{p-1}(K)$ ; then

$$\omega \cup \gamma(\omega) \cup \dots \cup \gamma^{p-1}(\omega) \subseteq \Omega(n, K_p).$$

Moreover  $K_p$  is a  $B, B$ -subbimodule of  $\tilde{H}$  whose  $\mathcal{C}$ -linear dimension satisfies  $\dim_{\mathcal{C}}(K_p) \leq p \dim_{\mathcal{C}}(K)$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F}_n(K_p) = B \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^n K_p^{(\otimes_B)k} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n(\tilde{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\tilde{H}).$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{F}_n(K_p)$  is a finite-dimensional  $B, B$ -subbimodule of  $\mathcal{F}_n(\tilde{H})$ . By Proposition 6.2, there is  $Q_{n,p} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_n(\tilde{H}))$ , which is the projection onto  $\mathcal{F}_n(K_p)$ ; note that  $Q_{n,p}$  commutes with the left action of  $B$  on  $\mathcal{F}_n(\tilde{H})$ . Consider the completely positive contractions

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{n,p} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_n(\tilde{H})) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_n(K_p)), & \Theta_{n,p}(x) &= Q_{n,p} x Q_{n,p}, \\ \Upsilon_{n,p} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_n(K_p)) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_n(\tilde{H})), & \Upsilon_{n,p}(y) &= Q_{n,p} y Q_{n,p} + V_{B,n}^* y V_{B,n} (1 - Q_{n,p}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_{B,n} \in \mathcal{L}(B, \mathcal{F}_n(\tilde{H}))$  maps  $B$  to the submodule  $B \oplus 0$  of  $\mathcal{F}_n(\tilde{H})$  via  $b \mapsto b \oplus 0$ . Since  $l(h)^*(1 - Q_{n,p}) = 0$  whenever  $h \in K_p$ , we see that  $\Psi_n \circ \Upsilon_{n,p} \circ \Theta_{n,p} \circ \Phi_n(x) = x$  for every  $x \in \Omega(n, K_p)$ . As  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_n(K_p))$  is a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra, we have that

$$\text{rcp}(\pi, \omega \cup \gamma(\omega) \cup \dots \cup \gamma^{p-1}(\omega), \delta) \leq \text{rank } \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_n(K_p)).$$

Because the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_n(K_p))$  can be faithfully represented on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{F}_n(K_p) \otimes_B \mathcal{V}$ , making a crude estimate we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank } \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_n(K_p)) &\leq \dim(\mathcal{F}_n(K_p) \otimes_B \mathcal{V}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{V}) \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \dim_{\mathcal{C}}(K_p)^k \right) \\ &\leq n \dim(\mathcal{V}) \dim_{\mathcal{C}}(K_p)^n \leq np^n \dim(\mathcal{V}) \dim_{\mathcal{C}}(K)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Because this upper bound grows subexponentially as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that

$$\text{ht}(\pi, \gamma, \omega, \delta) = 0.$$

□

**Acknowledgements.** D.S. is supported by an NSF postdoctoral fellowship. The authors thank the Erwin Schrödinger Institute (Vienna) and J. B. Cooper for organizing the ESI’s programme in Functional Analysis in spring 1999, where our collaboration on the subject of this paper began. Part of this research was done while K.J.D. was employed at Odense University and was partly supported by a grant from the Danish National Research Foundation. This work was completed while D.S. was participating in the programme on Free Probability and Operator Spaces at the Institute Henri Poincaré (Paris). Finally, we thank É. Blanchard for pointing out a mistake in equation (3.4) of our original version.

**References**

1. E. F. BLANCHARD AND K. J. DYKEMA, Embeddings of reduced free products of operator algebras, *Pacific J. Math.*, in press.
2. N. P. BROWN, Topological entropy in exact  $C^*$ -algebras, *Math. Annln* **314** (1999), 347–367.
3. N. P. BROWN AND M. CHODA, Approximation entropies in crossed products with an application to free shifts, *Pacific J. Math.*, in press.
4. M. CHODA, personal communication.
5. J. CUNTZ, Simple  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometries, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **57** (1977), 173–185.
6. J. CUNTZ, The internal structure of simple  $C^*$ -algebras, in *Operator algebras and applications* (ed. R. V. Kadison), *Proc. Symposia Pure Math.*, vol. 38, part I, pp. 85–115 (American Mathematical Society, 1982).
7. P. DE LA HARPE, A. G. ROBERTSON AND A. VALETTE, On exactness of group  $C^*$ -algebras, *Q. J. Math. Oxford* **45** (1994), 499–513.
8. S. DOPLICHER, C. PINZARI AND R. ZUCCANTE, The  $C^*$ -algebra of a Hilbert bimodule, *Boll. Unione Math. Ital. Sez. B* (8) **1** (1998), 263–281.
9. K. J. DYKEMA, Exactness of reduced amalgamated free products of  $C^*$ -algebras, preprint (1999).

10. K. J. DYKEMA, Topological entropy of some automorphisms of reduced amalgamated free product  $C^*$ -algebras, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, in press.
11. K. J. DYKEMA, *Free products of exact groups* (ed. J. Cuntz and S. Echterhoff), pp. 59–65 (Springer, 2000).
12. K. J. DYKEMA AND M. RØRDAM, Projections in free product  $C^*$ -algebras, *Geom. Funct. Analysis* **8** (1998), 1–16.
13. T. KAJIWARA, C. PINZARI AND Y. WATATANI, Ideal structure and simplicity of the  $C^*$ -algebras generated by Hilbert bimodules, *J. Funct. Analysis* **159** (1998), 295–322.
14. G. G. KASPAROV, Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules: theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu, *J. Operator Theory* **4** (1980), 133–150.
15. E. KIRCHBERG, On non-semisplit extensions, tensor products and exactness of group  $C^*$ -algebras, *Invent. Math.* **112** (1993), 449–489.
16. E. KIRCHBERG, Commutants of unitaries in UHF algebras and functorial properties of exactness, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **452** (1994), 39–77.
17. E. KIRCHBERG, On subalgebras of the CAR-algebra, *J. Funct. Analysis* **129** (1995), 35–63.
18. E. KIRCHBERG AND S. WASSERMANN, Exact groups and continuous bundles of  $C^*$ -algebras, *Math. Annln* **315** (1999), 169–203.
19. E. KIRCHBERG AND S. WASSERMANN, Permanence properties of  $C^*$ -exact groups, *Doc. Math.* **4** (1999), 513–558.
20. E. C. LANCE, Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, in *A toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, vol. 210 (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
21. P. MUHLY AND B. SOLEL, On the simplicity of some Cuntz–Pimsner algebras, *Math. Scand.* **83** (1998), 53–73.
22. P. MUHLY AND B. SOLEL, Tensor algebras over  $C^*$ -correspondences: representations, dilations and  $C^*$ -envelopes, *J. Funct. Analysis* **158** (1998), 389–457.
23. M. PIMSNER, A class of  $C^*$ -algebras generalizing both Cuntz–Krieger algebras and crossed products by  $\mathbb{Z}$ , *Fields Inst. Commun.* **12** (1997), 189–212.
24. C. PINZARI, The ideal structure of Cuntz–Krieger–Pimsner algebras and Cuntz–Krieger algebras over infinite matrices, in *Operator Algebras and Quantum Field Theory, Rome 1996* (ed. S. Doplicher, R. Longo, J. E. Roberts and L. Zsidó), pp. 136–150 (International Press, 1997).
25. M. RIEFFEL, Induced representations of  $C^*$ -algebras, *Adv. Math.* **13** (1974), 176–257.
26. D. SHLYAKHTENKO, Some applications of freeness with amalgamation, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **500** (1998), 191–212.
27. D. SHLYAKHTENKO,  $A$ -valued semicircular systems, *J. Funct. Analysis* **166** (1999), 1–47.
28. A. M. SINCLAIR AND R. R. SMITH, The completely bounded approximation property for discrete crossed products, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **46** (1997), 1311–1322.
29. R. SPEICHER, Combinatorial theory of the free product with amalgamation and operator-valued free probability theory, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **132** (1998) no. 627.
30. P. J. STACEY, Crossed products of  $C^*$ -algebras by  $*$ -endomorphisms, *J. Aust. Math. Soc. A* **54** (1993), 204–212.
31. D. VOICULESCU, Symmetries of some reduced free product  $C^*$ -algebras, in *Operator Algebras and Their Connections with Topology and Ergodic Theory* (ed. H. Araki, C. C. Moore, Ş. Strătilă and D. Voiculescu), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1132, pp. 556–588 (Springer, 1985).
32. D. VOICULESCU, Dynamical approximation and topological entropies in operator algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **170** (1995), 249–281.
33. D. V. VOICULESCU, K. J. DYKEMA AND A. NICA, *Free random variables*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 1 (American Mathematical Society, 1992).
34. S. WASSERMANN, *Exact  $C^*$ -algebras and related topics*, Seoul National University Lecture Notes Series, vol. 19 (1994).