

## COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS FOR ARRANGEMENTS

PETER ORLIK AND HIROAKI TERAO<sup>1</sup>

### 1. Introduction

Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $l$  over some field  $\mathbf{K}$ . A hyperplane  $H$  is a vector subspace of codimension one. An arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite collection of hyperplanes in  $V$ . We use [7] as a general reference. Let  $M(\mathcal{A}) = V - \cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$  be the complement of the hyperplanes. Let  $V^*$  be the dual space of  $V$ . Each hyperplane  $H \in \mathcal{A}$  is the kernel of a linear form  $\alpha_H \in V^*$ , defined up to a constant. The product

$$Q(\mathcal{A}) = \prod_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha_H$$

is called a *defining polynomial* of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Brieskorn [3] associated to  $\mathcal{A}$  the finite dimensional skew-commutative algebra  $R(\mathcal{A})$  generated by 1 and the differential forms  $d\alpha_H/\alpha_H$  for  $H \in \mathcal{A}$ . When  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{C}$ , the algebra  $R(\mathcal{A})$  is isomorphic to the cohomology algebra of the open manifold  $M(\mathcal{A})$ . The structure of  $R(\mathcal{A})$  was determined in [6] as the quotient of an exterior algebra by an ideal. In particular this shows that  $R(\mathcal{A})$  depends only on the intersection poset of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $L(\mathcal{A})$ , and not on the individual linear forms  $\alpha_H$ .

A subarrangement  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  is called *independent* if  $\cap_{H \in \mathcal{B}} H$  has codimension  $|\mathcal{B}|$ , the cardinality of  $\mathcal{B}$ . In a special lecture at the Japan Mathematical Society in 1992, Aomoto suggested the study of the graded  $\mathbf{K}$ -vector space

$$AO(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{\mathcal{B}} \mathbf{K}Q(\mathcal{B})^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{B} \text{ independent.}$$

It appears as the top cohomology group of a certain ‘twisted’ de Rham chain complex [1]. When  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$ , he conjectured that the dimension of  $AO(\mathcal{A})$  is equal to the number of connected components (chambers) of  $M(\mathcal{A})$ , which he proved for generic arrangements. In this paper we prove this conjecture in general. We construct a

---

Received March 3, 1993.

<sup>1</sup> This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation

commutative algebra  $W(\mathcal{A})$  which is isomorphic to  $AO(\mathcal{A})$  as a graded vector space. Note that  $AO(\mathcal{A})$  is not closed under multiplication because a product  $Q(\mathcal{B})^{-1}Q(\mathcal{B}')^{-1}$  may contain the same linear form  $\alpha_H$  more than once. In order to allow this, we need the following definition. A *multiarrangement*  $\mathcal{E}$  is a finite set of hyperplanes where each hyperplane may occur more than once. The multiplicity of  $H$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $m(H, \mathcal{E})$  is the number of times  $H$  occurs in  $\mathcal{E}$ . The cardinality of  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $|\mathcal{E}|$ , is the total number of elements of  $\mathcal{E}$ , each hyperplane counted with its multiplicity. Let  $\mathbf{E}_p(\mathcal{A})$  be the set of multisubarrangements  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  of cardinality  $p$ . Let  $\mathbf{E}(\mathcal{A}) = \cup_{p \geq 0} \mathbf{E}_p(\mathcal{A})$ . This union is disjoint. We write  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}(\mathcal{A})$  when  $\mathcal{A}$  is fixed. Let  $\cap \mathcal{E} = \cap_{H \in \mathcal{E}} H$ . We call  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}$  *independent* if  $\text{codim}(\cup \mathcal{E}) = |\mathcal{E}|$ , and *dependent* otherwise. Note that if  $m(H, \mathcal{E}) > 1$  for some  $H \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $\mathcal{E}$  is dependent. Let  $\mathbf{E}^i$  denote the set of independent multisubarrangements. This is a finite set. Let  $\mathbf{E}^d$  denote the set of dependent multisubarrangements. This is an infinite set. There is a disjoint union  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}^i \cup \mathbf{E}^d$ . Let  $S = S(V^*)$  be the symmetric algebra of  $V^*$ . Choose a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_l\}$  in  $V$  and let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$  be the dual basis in  $V^*$  so  $x_i(e_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ . We may identify  $S(V^*)$  with the polynomial algebra  $S = \mathbf{K}[x_1, \dots, x_l]$ . Let  $Q(\mathcal{E}) = \prod_{H \in \mathcal{E}} \alpha_H$  for  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}$ . Note that  $\alpha_H$  appears with multiplicity  $m(H, \mathcal{E})$  in  $Q(\mathcal{E})$ . Let  $S_{(0)}$  be the field of quotients of  $S$ , the field of rational functions on  $V$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. Let  $\mathbf{K}[\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}]$  be the  $\mathbf{K}$ -subalgebra of  $S_{(0)}$  generated by

$$\{Q(\mathcal{E})^{-1} \mid \mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}\}.$$

Let  $J(\mathcal{A})$  be the ideal of  $\mathbf{K}[\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}]$  generated by  $\{Q(\mathcal{E})^{-1} \mid \mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}^d\}$ .

Let  $W(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{K}[\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}] / J(\mathcal{A})$ .

Consider the usual grading of  $S_{(0)}$ . Since  $J(\mathcal{A})$  is a homogeneous ideal,  $W(\mathcal{A})$  is a graded commutative algebra. There is a natural map of graded vector spaces  $j : AO(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow W(\mathcal{A})$  defined by  $Q(\mathcal{B})^{-1} \mapsto [Q(\mathcal{B})^{-1}]$ . It is clear that  $AO(\mathcal{A})$  is finite dimensional because the set  $\mathbf{E}^i$  is finite. Since the map  $j$  is surjective, the algebra  $W(\mathcal{A})$  is also a finite dimensional  $\mathbf{K}$ -vector space. Its total dimension, Poincaré polynomial, or algebra structure are not obvious at this point. In the rest of this paper we determine these.

In Section 2 we define a polynomial algebra  $\mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]$  based on  $\mathcal{A}$  and a quotient algebra  $U(\mathcal{A})$ . We also study some properties of  $U(\mathcal{A})$ . Section 3 contains the proof that  $U(\mathcal{A})$  and  $W(\mathcal{A})$  are isomorphic graded algebras. In Section 4 we compute the Poincaré polynomial of  $W(\mathcal{A})$  and prove that  $j$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

It is not clear from our results whether  $W(\mathcal{A})$  depends only on  $L(\mathcal{A})$  or not. Another interesting question is if  $W(\mathcal{A})$  is the model for any topological invariant of  $M(\mathcal{A})$ .

## 2. The algebra $U(\mathcal{A})$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement. Let  $L = L(\mathcal{A})$  be the set of all intersections of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We agree that  $L$  includes  $V$  as the intersection of the empty collection of hyperplanes. We should remember that if  $X \in L$ , then  $X \subseteq V$ . Partially order  $L$  by reverse inclusion. Then  $L$  is a geometric lattice with rank function  $r(X) = \text{codim}(X)$  [7, Lemma 2.3].

Let  $\mathbf{E}_X = \{\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E} \mid \mathcal{E} = X\}$ . Then we have the disjoint union

$$\mathbf{E} = \cup_{X \in L} \mathbf{E}_X.$$

We use notation such as  $\mathbf{E}_{p,X} = \mathbf{E}_p \cap \mathbf{E}_X$ ,  $\mathbf{E}'_{p,X} = \mathbf{E}' \cap \mathbf{E}_{p,X}$ , etc.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $\mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]$  be the polynomial ring in the indeterminates  $u_H$ ,  $H \in \mathcal{A}$ . Write  $u_{\mathcal{E}} = \prod_{H \in \mathcal{E}} u_H$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_p &= \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}_p} \mathbf{K}u_{\mathcal{E}}, & \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_X &= \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}_X} \mathbf{K}u_{\mathcal{E}}, \\ \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i &= \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}^i} \mathbf{K}u_{\mathcal{E}}, & \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^d &= \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}^d} \mathbf{K}u_{\mathcal{E}}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following direct sum decompositions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}] &= \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_p, \\ \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}] &= \bigoplus_{X \in L} \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_X, \\ \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}] &= \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i \oplus \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^d. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\pi_p$ ,  $\pi_X$ ,  $\pi^i$ , and  $\pi^d$  be the respective projections. These maps commute pairwise. We use notation such as  $\mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_{p,X} = \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_p \cap \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_X$ ,  $\mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i_{p,X} = \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i \cap \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_{p,X}$ , etc.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let  $I(\mathcal{A})$  be the ideal of  $\mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]$  generated by

(i) the elements of  $\mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^d$ ,

(ii) when  $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{E}} c_H \alpha_H = 0$  with  $c_H \in \mathbf{K}$ , the element  $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{E}} c_H u_{\mathcal{E} - \{H\}}$ .

Let  $U(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}] / I(\mathcal{A})$ .

Grade  $\mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]$  by  $\deg u_H = -1$ . Since  $I(\mathcal{A})$  is a homogeneous ideal,  $U(\mathcal{A})$  is a graded commutative  $\mathbf{K}$ -algebra. The isomorphism  $U(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i / I(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i$  shows that  $U(\mathcal{A})$  is a finite dimensional graded commutative  $\mathbf{K}$ -algebra.

A circuit  $C = \{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$  is a minimally dependent subset of hyperplanes:  $C$  is dependent, but  $C - \{H_i\}$  is independent for all  $i$ .

PROPOSITION 2.3. *The ideal  $I(\mathcal{A})$  is generated by the following finite set:*

- (a)  $u_H^2$  for  $H \in \mathcal{A}$ ,
- (b) for each circuit  $C = \{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i \alpha_{H_i} = 0$ , the element  $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i u_{C-H_i}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I'$  denote the ideal generated by elements of type (a) and (b) of the proposition. It is clear that  $I' \subseteq I$ . To prove the converse, we argue separately for elements of type (i) and (ii) of the definition.

(i) Suppose  $f \in \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^d$ . Since  $\mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^d$  is an ideal, it suffices to assume that  $f = u_C$ , where  $C = \{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$  is a circuit. Suppose  $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i \alpha_{H_i} = 0$ . It follows from (b) that  $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i u_{C-H_i} \in I'$  and  $u_{H_1} \sum_{i=1}^k c_i u_{C-H_i} \in I'$ . We use the distributive law and (a) to conclude that  $c_1 u_C \in I'$ . Since  $C$  is a circuit,  $c_1 \neq 0$ . Thus  $f \in I'$ .

(ii) We show that for each relation  $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{E}} c_H \alpha_H = 0$  with  $c_H \in \mathbf{K}$ , the corresponding element  $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{E}} c_H u_{\mathcal{E}-(H)}$  is in  $I'$ . Suppose not. Choose a counterexample with minimal  $|\mathcal{E}|$ . Note that minimality implies that for every  $H \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $m(H, \mathcal{E}) = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{E} = \{H_1, \dots, H_m\}$  with distinct  $H_j$ . Let  $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i \alpha_{H_i} = 0$  be the corresponding relation. Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is dependent, it contains a circuit. We may assume that  $C = \{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ ,  $k \leq m$ , is a circuit. Thus  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \alpha_{H_i} = 0$  and  $a_i \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Define  $a_i = 0$  for  $k + 1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i \alpha_{H_i} - \frac{c_1}{a_1} \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \alpha_{H_i} = \sum_{i=2}^m \left( c_i - \frac{c_1}{a_1} a_i \right) \alpha_{H_i} = 0.$$

The index set of the last relation is  $\mathcal{E} - \{H_1\}$ . It follows from the minimality assumption, that the corresponding element

$$\sum_{i=2}^m \left( c_i - \frac{c_1}{a_1} a_i \right) u_{\mathcal{E}-(H_1, H_i)} \in I'.$$

Multiply by  $u_{H_1}$  and rewrite to get

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i u_{\mathcal{E}-(H_i)} - \frac{c_1}{a_1} u_{\mathcal{E}-C} \sum_{i=1}^k a_i u_{C-(H_i)} \in I'.$$

Since the second sum is in  $I'$  by (b), so is the first sum. This contradiction completes the argument. □

### 3. The isomorphism

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $\Phi_0 : \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}] \rightarrow S_{(0)}$  be the  $\mathbf{K}$ -algebra homomorphism induced by  $u_H \mapsto \alpha_H^{-1}$ . Since  $\text{im}(\Phi_0) = \mathbf{K}[\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}]$ , we have a surjective graded algebra homomorphism

$$\Phi : \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}] \rightarrow \mathbf{K}[\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}].$$

Let  $K = \ker(\Phi)$ .

- LEMMA 3.2. (1)  $\pi_p(K) \subseteq K$ ,  
 (2)  $\pi_X(K) \subseteq K$ ,  
 (3)  $\pi^i(K) \subseteq K$ ,  
 (4)  $\pi^d(K) \subseteq K$ .

*Proof.* (1) If a  $\mathbf{K}$ -linear combination of  $Q(\mathcal{E})^{-1}$  is zero, then each homogeneous component of it is zero.

(2) Fix  $f \in K$ . By (1), we may assume that  $f \in \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_p$ . Write

$$(i) \quad f = \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}_p} c_{\mathcal{E}} u_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Let  $f_Z = \pi_Z(f)$  for  $Z \in L$ . If  $f_Z \in K$  for all  $Z$ , we are done. Suppose there exist some  $Z \in L$  with  $f_Z \notin K$ . Among these  $Z$  we choose one with minimal rank and call it  $X$ . Thus we may assume  $f_Y \in K$  for all  $Y$  with  $r(Y) < r(X)$ . We may write  $\Phi(f) = 0$  as

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}_p \\ \cap \mathcal{E} = X}} c_{\mathcal{E}} Q(\mathcal{E})^{-1} = - \sum_{\substack{Y \neq X \\ f_Y \notin K}} \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}_p \\ \cap \mathcal{E} = Y}} c_{\mathcal{E}} Q(\mathcal{E})^{-1}.$$

Multiply both sides of (ii) by  $Q(\mathcal{A})^p$ . All the resulting terms are in  $S$ . We count zeros on both sides separately. We may choose coordinates so that  $X = \{x_1 = \dots = x_r = 0\}$ . Let  $M$  be the ideal of  $S$  generated by  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ .

Let  $c_{\mathcal{E}} Q(\mathcal{E})^{-1}$  be a term from the right side of (ii). Let  $Y = \cap \mathcal{E} \neq X$ . Note that  $Y \not\leq X$  because if  $Y < X$ , then  $r(Y) < r(X)$  so  $f_Y \in K$  by the minimality assumption. Thus  $\mathcal{E} \cap (\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_X) \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that  $Q(\mathcal{A})^p Q(\mathcal{E})^{-1} \in M^{p|\mathcal{A}_X| - p + 1}$ . Now consider the left side of (ii). Since  $Q(\mathcal{A}) / Q(\mathcal{A}_X) \notin M$  and  $M^{p|\mathcal{A}_X| - p + 1}$  is an  $M$ -primary ideal, we have

$$Q(\mathcal{A}_X)^p \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}_p \\ \cap \mathcal{E} = X}} c_{\mathcal{E}} Q(\mathcal{E})^{-1} \in M^{p|\mathcal{A}_X| - p + 1}.$$

The degree of this nonzero polynomial is equal to  $p | \mathcal{A}_X | - p$ . This contradiction completes the argument.

(3) Suppose  $f \in K$ . It follows from (1) and (2) that we may assume  $f \in \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_{p,X}$ . If  $p > r(X)$ , then  $f \in \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^d$ . Thus  $\pi^i f = 0 \in K$ . If  $p = r(X)$ , then  $f \in \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i$ . Thus  $\pi^i f = f \in K$ .

(4) follows from (3) because  $\pi^i + \pi^d = 1$  □

**THEOREM 3.3.** *The map  $\Phi : \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}] \rightarrow \mathbf{K}[\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}]$  induces an isomorphism of graded algebras  $\phi : U(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow W(\mathcal{A})$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\ker(\phi) = K + \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^d$ , it is enough to show that  $I = K + \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^d$ . It is clear that  $I \subseteq K + \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^d$  because the generators of  $I$  of the second kind belong to  $K$ . Since  $\mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^d \subseteq I$ , it suffices to show for the converse that if  $f \in K \cap \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i$ , then  $f \in I$ . It follows from Lemma 3.2 (1) and (2) that we may assume  $f \in K \cap \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_{p,X}^i$ . We argue by induction on  $p$ . If  $p = 0$ , then  $f = 0 \in I$ . If  $p > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_X \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $H_0 \in \mathcal{A}_X$ . Write  $f = \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}_{p,X}^i} c_{\mathcal{E}} u_{\mathcal{E}}$ . If  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}_{p,X}^i$ , then  $\{\mathcal{E}, H_0\}$  is a dependent set. Thus we have

$$c_0 \alpha_{H_0} + \sum_{H \in \mathcal{E}} c_H \alpha_H = 0.$$

Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is independent,  $c_0 \neq 0$  and we may assume that  $c_0 = 1$ . By definition we have

$$u_{\mathcal{E}} + u_{H_0} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{E}} c_H u_{\mathcal{E} - (H)} \in K.$$

It follows that  $f - u_{H_0} g \in K$  for  $g \in \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_{p-1}^i$ , so  $u_{H_0} g \in K$ . Since  $K = \ker(\Phi)$  is a prime ideal,  $f \in K$  and  $u_{H_0} \notin K$ , we conclude that  $g \in K$ . Let  $g_Y = \pi_Y(g)$  and write  $g = \sum g_Y$  where  $g_Y \in \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_{p-1,Y}^i$ . It follows from Lemma 3.2 (2) that  $g_Y \in K$  for all  $Y$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $g_Y \in I$ . Thus  $g \in I$  and  $f \in I$ . □

#### 4. Structure theorems

Let  $U(\mathcal{A})_X = \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_X / \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_X \cap I$  and  $W(\mathcal{A})_X = \mathbf{K}[\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}]_X / \mathbf{K}[\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}]_X \cap J$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *The algebra  $U(\mathcal{A})$  is the direct sum  $U(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{X \in L} U(\mathcal{A})_X$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.2 that  $\pi_X(I) = \pi_X(K + \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^d) \subseteq K + \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_X^d = I$ . It implies that  $\pi_X(y) \in I \cap \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_X$  for any  $y \in I$ .

Thus we have  $I = \bigoplus_{X \in L} (I \cap \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]_X)$ . The result follows. □

**THEOREM 4.2.** *The map  $j : AO(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow W(\mathcal{A})$  is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces.*

*Proof.* Observe that  $j$  is a graded,  $\mathbf{K}$ -linear, surjective map. It remains to show that  $j$  is injective. Note that  $AO(\mathcal{A}) = \Phi(\mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i)$ . Thus we have a commuting diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} AO(\mathcal{A}) & = & \Phi(\mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i) & \simeq & \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i / K \cap \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i \\ j \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \tau \\ W(\mathcal{A}) & \simeq & U(\mathcal{A}) & = & \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}] / I \end{array}$$

where  $\tau$  is induced by the diagram. We show that  $\tau$  is injective. It suffices to show that  $I \cap \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i = K \cap \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i$ . Theorem 3.3 implies that  $I \cap \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i \supseteq K \cap \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i$ . For the converse, write an element of  $I = K + \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^d$  as  $a + b$ , where  $a \in K$  and  $b \in \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^d$ . Then an arbitrary element of  $I \cap \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i$  is  $\pi^i(a + b) = \pi^i(a) \in K \cap \mathbf{K}[u_{\mathcal{A}}]^i$  by Lemma 3.2 (2). □

If  $M = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} M_p$  is a finite dimensional graded vector space, we let  $\text{Poin}(M, t) = \sum_{p \geq 0} (\dim M_p) t^p$  be its Poincaré polynomial. Recall [7, 2.42] the (one variable) Möbius function  $\mu : L(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  defined by  $\mu(V) = 1$  and for  $X > V$  by  $\sum_{V \leq Y < X} \mu(Y) = 0$ .

**THEOREM 4.3.**

$$\text{Poin}(W(\mathcal{A}), t) = \text{Poin}(U(\mathcal{A}), t) = \text{Poin}(AO(\mathcal{A}), t) = \sum_{X \in L(\mathcal{A})} \mu(X) (-t)^{\tau(X)}.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\dim W(\mathcal{A})_X = |\mu(X)|$ . It follows from Theorem 4.2 that  $j$  induces an isomorphism  $j_X : AO(\mathcal{A})_X \rightarrow W(\mathcal{A})_X$  for all  $X \in L$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $\dim AO(\mathcal{A})_X = |\mu(X)|$ . Since  $AO(\mathcal{A})_X = AO(\mathcal{A}_X)_X$ , we may assume that  $X = \cap \mathcal{A}$  is the maximal element of  $L(\mathcal{A})$ . Choose coordinates so that  $X = \{x_1 = \dots = x_m = 0\}$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  is independent and  $\cap \mathcal{B} = X$ . Then  $\mathcal{B} = \{H_1, \dots, H_m\}$  and  $d\alpha_{H_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha_{H_m}$  is a constant multiple of  $dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_m$ . Recall the graded  $\mathbf{K}$ -algebra  $R(\mathcal{A})$  generated by 1 and  $d\alpha_H / \alpha_H$ . It follows that multiplication by  $dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_m$  induces an isomorphism  $AO(\mathcal{A})_X \simeq R(\mathcal{A})^m$ . It was shown in [6] (see also [7, 3.129]) that  $\dim R(\mathcal{A})^m = |\mu(X)|$ . □

COROLLARY 4.4. *We have*

$$\dim W(\mathcal{A}) = \dim U(\mathcal{A}) = \dim AO(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{X \in L(\mathcal{A})} |\mu(X)|.$$

When  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$ , this number equals the number of chambers of  $M(\mathcal{A})$ .

*Proof.* The first part is by Theorem 4.3 and the fact that  $(-1)^{r(X)}\mu(X) = |\mu(X)|$ , see [7, 2.47]. When  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$ , connected components are called chambers. The second part follows from Zaslavsky's theorem [8].  $\square$

## 5. NBC bases

In this section we construct explicit  $\mathbf{K}$ -bases for  $AO(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $U(\mathcal{A})$ , and  $W(\mathcal{A})$ . These bases are in one-to-one correspondence with the set of NBCs (non-broken circuits).

Fix a total order on  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\mathcal{A} = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n\}$ . Recall that a subset  $C$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a circuit if it is a minimally dependent set. A subset  $C$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a *broken circuit* or a *BC* if there exists a hyperplane  $K \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $K < \min C$  so that the set  $C \cup \{K\}$  is a circuit. A subset  $T$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a *non-broken circuit* or an *NBC* if  $T$  contains no broken circuit.

LEMMA 5.1. *If an independent subset  $C$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  contains a BC, then  $Q(C)^{-1}$  is a linear combination of  $\{Q(T)^{-1} \mid \cap T = \cap C, T \text{ is an NBC}\}$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $C = \{H_{i_1}, \dots, H_{i_m}\}$  itself is a BC. Suppose that  $T = \{H_{i_0}\} \cup C$  is a circuit and that  $i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m$ . Let  $T_j = T \setminus \{H_{i_j}\}$ . This shows that  $Q(C)^{-1}$  is a linear combination of  $\{Q(T_j)^{-1} \mid j = 1, \dots, m\}$ . Note that  $\cap C = \cap T = \cap T_j$  and we get the desired result.  $\square$

For any subset  $C = \{H_{i_1}, \dots, H_{i_m}\}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , define

$$\text{height}(C) = i_1 + \dots + i_m.$$

THEOREM 5.2. *Let  $X \in L$ . The set*

$$NBC(\mathcal{A})_X = \{Q(C)^{-1} \mid C \text{ in an NBC and } \cap C = X\}$$

*is a  $\mathbf{K}$ -basis for  $AO(\mathcal{A})_X$ . Therefore the set  $\{Q(C)^{-1} \mid C \text{ is an NBC}\}$  is a  $\mathbf{K}$ -basis for  $AO(\mathcal{A})$ .*



*Proof.* It follows from [2],[4],[5] that the cardinality of the set

$$\{C \mid C \text{ is an NBC and } \cap C = X\}$$

is  $|\mu(X)|$ . We showed in the proof of Theorem 4.3 that  $\dim AO(\mathcal{A})_X = |\mu(X)|$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $NBC(\mathcal{A})_X$  spans  $AO(\mathcal{A})_X$ . If not, there exists  $C_0$  such that:

- (1)  $C_0$  is independent,
- (2)  $\cap C_0 = X$ ,
- (3)  $Q(C_0)^{-1}$  is not spanned by elements of  $NBC(\mathcal{A})_X$ , and
- (4) the height of  $C_0$  is minimum among all subsets satisfying (1)-(3). Since  $C_0$  is not an *NBC*,  $Q(C_0)^{-1}$  is a linear combination of

$$\{Q(T)^{-1} \mid T \text{ is an NBC and } \cap T = X\}$$

by Lemma 5.1. By condition (4) and (3), this is a contradiction.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 5.3.** (i) *The residue classes of the set  $\{u_C \mid C \text{ is an NBC}\}$  give a  $\mathbf{K}$ -basis for  $U(\mathcal{A})$  as a  $\mathbf{K}$ -vector space.*

(ii) *The residue classes of the set  $\{Q(C)^{-1} \mid C \text{ is an NBC}\}$  give a  $\mathbf{K}$ -basis for  $W(\mathcal{A})$  as a  $\mathbf{K}$ -vector space.*  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] Aomoto, K., Hypergeometric functions, the past, today, and .....(from complex analytic view point), (in Japanese), *Sūgaku*, **45** (1993), 208–220.
- [ 2 ] Björner, A., On the homotopy of geometric lattices, *Algebra Universalis*, **14** (1982), 107–128.
- [ 3 ] Brieskorn, E., Sur les groupes de tresses, In: Séminaire Bourbaki 1971/72. Lecture Notes in Math., **317**, Springer Verlag, 1973, pp. 21–44.
- [ 4 ] Gelfand, I.M., Zelevinsky, A.V., Algebraic and combinatorial aspects of the general theory of hypergeometric functions, *Funct. Anal. and Appl.*, **20** (1986), 183–197.
- [ 5 ] Jambu, M., Terao, H., Arrangements of hyperplanes and broken circuits, In: Singularities. Contemporary Math., **90**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1989. pp. 147–162.
- [ 6 ] Orlik, P., Solomon, L., Combinatorics and topology of complements of hyperplanes, *Invent. math.* **56** (1980) 167–189.
- [ 7 ] Orlik, P., Terao, H., Arrangements of hyperplanes, *Grundlehren der math. Wiss.*, **300**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1992.
- [ 8 ] Zaslavsky, T., Facing up to arrangements: Face-count formulas for partitions of space by hyperplanes, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.*, **154**, 1975.

*Mathematics Department  
University of Wisconsin  
Madison, WI 53706  
U.S.A.*