



# On the restriction of representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ to a Borel subgroup

Vytautas Paskunas

## ABSTRACT

Let  $F$  be a non-Archimedean local field and let  $p$  be the residual characteristic of  $F$ . Let  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$  and let  $P$  be a Borel subgroup of  $G$ . In this paper we study the restriction of irreducible smooth representations of  $G$  on  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -vector spaces to  $P$ . We show that in a certain sense  $P$  controls the representation theory of  $G$ . We then extend our results to smooth  $\mathcal{O}[G]$ -modules of finite length and unitary  $K$ -Banach space representations of  $G$ , where  $\mathcal{O}$  is the ring of integers of a complete discretely valued field  $K$  with residue field  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $F$  be a non-Archimedean local field and let  $p$  be the residual characteristic of  $F$ . Let  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$  and let  $P$  be a Borel subgroup of  $G$ . In this paper we study the restriction of smooth irreducible  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $G$  to  $P$ . We show that in a certain sense  $P$  controls the representation theory of  $G$ . We then extend our results to smooth  $\mathcal{O}[G]$ -modules of finite length and unitary  $K$ -Banach space representations of  $G$ , where  $\mathcal{O}$  is the ring of integers of a complete discretely valued field  $K$ , with residue field  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  and uniformizer  $\varpi_K$ .

The study of smooth irreducible  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $G$  have been initiated by Barthel and Livne in [BL94]. They have shown that smooth irreducible  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $G$  with central character fall into four classes:

- (1) one-dimensional representations  $\chi \circ \det$ ;
- (2) (irreducible) principal series  $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2)$ , with  $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ ;
- (3) special series  $\mathrm{Sp} \otimes \chi \circ \det$ ;
- (4) supersingular.

Here,  $\mathrm{Sp}$  is defined by an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp} \rightarrow 0,$$

and the supersingular representations can be characterised by the fact that they are not subquotients of  $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$  for any smooth character  $\chi : P \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ . Such representations have only been classified in the case when  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$ , by Breuil [Bre03]. If  $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$  no such classification is known so far, although in a joint work with Breuil [BP07] we can show that there are ‘a lot more’ supersingular representations than in the case  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$ .

The main result of this paper can be summed as follows.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  be smooth  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $G$ , such that  $\pi$  is irreducible with a central character, then the following hold:*

Received 9 October 2006, accepted in final form 9 January 2007, published online 8 October 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 22E50.

Keywords: supersingular, mod  $p$  representations.

This journal is © Foundation Compositio Mathematica 2007.

- (i) if  $\pi$  is in the principal series, then  $\pi|_P$  is of length 2; otherwise  $\pi|_P$  is an irreducible representation of  $P$ ;
- (ii) we have

$$\text{Hom}_P(\text{Sp}, \pi') \cong \text{Hom}_G(\text{Ind}_P^G \mathbf{1}, \pi'),$$

and if  $\pi$  is not in the special series, then

$$\text{Hom}_P(\pi, \pi') \cong \text{Hom}_G(\pi, \pi').$$

The first part of this theorem and the second part with  $\pi'$  irreducible are due to Berger [Ber05] in the case  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$ . Berger uses the theory of  $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules and the classification of supersingular representations. Our proof is completely different and purely representation theoretic. In fact, this paper grew out of trying to find a simple representation theoretic reason to explain Berger’s results. Vigneras [Vig06] has studied the restriction of principal series representation of split reductive  $p$ -adic groups to a Borel subgroup. Her results contain the first part of the theorem in the case where  $\pi$  is not supersingular and  $F$  arbitrary.

Using the theorem, we extend the result to smooth  $\mathcal{O}[G]$  modules of finite length.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  be smooth  $\mathcal{O}[G]$  modules, and suppose that  $\pi$  is of finite length and that the irreducible subquotients of  $\pi$  admit a central character. Let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[P]}(\pi, \pi')$  and suppose that  $\phi$  is not  $G$ -equivariant. Let  $\tau$  be the maximal submodule of  $\pi$ , such that  $\phi|_\tau$  is  $G$ -equivariant, and let  $\sigma$  be an irreducible  $G$ -submodule of  $\pi/\tau$ , then*

$$\sigma \cong \text{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ \det,$$

for some smooth character  $\delta : F^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ . Moreover, choose  $v \in \pi$  such that the image  $\bar{v}$  in  $\sigma$  spans  $\sigma^{I_1}$ , then  $\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v) \neq 0$ ,  $\varpi_K(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)) = 0$ , and

$$g(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)) = \delta(\det g)(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)), \quad \forall g \in G,$$

where  $\Pi$  and  $I_1$  are defined in § 2.

This criterion implies the following.

**COROLLARY 1.3.** *Let  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  be unitary  $K$ -Banach space representations of  $G$ . Let  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  be  $G$ -invariant norms defining the topology on  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$ . Set*

$$L_1 = \{v \in \Pi_1 : \|v\|_1 \leq 1\}, \quad L_2 = \{v \in \Pi_2 : \|v\|_2 \leq 1\}.$$

Suppose that  $L_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  is of finite length as an  $\mathcal{O}[G]$  module and that the irreducible subquotients admit a central character. Moreover, suppose that if  $\text{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ \det$  is a subquotient of  $L_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ , then  $\delta \circ \det$  is not a subobject of  $L_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ , then

$$\mathcal{L}_G(\Pi_1, \Pi_2) \cong \mathcal{L}_P(\Pi_1, \Pi_2),$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(\Pi_1, \Pi_2)$  denotes continuous  $K$ -linear maps.

Moreover, Theorem 1.1 implies the following.

**COROLLARY 1.4.** *Let  $\Pi$  be a unitary  $K$ -Banach space representation of  $G$ , let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a  $G$ -invariant norm defining the topology on  $\Pi$ . Set*

$$L = \{v \in \Pi : \|v\| \leq 1\}.$$

Suppose that  $L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  is a finite length  $\mathcal{O}[G]$  module and that the irreducible subquotients are either supersingular or characters, then every closed  $P$ -invariant subspace of  $\Pi$  is also  $G$ -invariant.

According to Breuil’s  $p$ -adic Langlands philosophy a two-dimensional  $p$ -adic representation of the absolute Galois group of  $F$  should be related to a unitary  $K$ -Banach space representation of  $G$ ; see a forthcoming work of Colmez [Col07] for the case  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$ , where the restriction to a Borel subgroup plays a prominent role. However, if  $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$  it is an open problem to construct such unitary  $K$ -Banach space representations of  $G$ . We hope that our results will help to understand this.

### 2. Notation

Let  $\mathfrak{o}$  be the ring of integers of  $F$ , let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the maximal ideal of  $\mathfrak{o}$  and let  $q$  be the number of elements in the residue field  $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$ . We fix a uniformiser  $\varpi$  and an embedding  $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q$  we denote the Teichmüller lift of  $\lambda$  to  $\mathfrak{o}$  by  $[\lambda]$ . Set

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varpi & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $P$  be subgroup of upper-triangular matrices in  $G$ ,  $T$  the subgroup of diagonal matrices,  $K = GL_2(\mathfrak{o})$  and

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{o}^\times & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o}^\times \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 + \mathfrak{p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{p} \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 + \mathfrak{p} \end{pmatrix}.$$

All of the representations in this paper are on  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -vector spaces, except for §6.

### 3. Key

In this section we show how to control the action of  $s$  on a supersingular representation  $\pi$  in terms of the action of  $P$ . All of the hard work here is done by Barthel and Livne in [BL94], we just record a consequence of their proof of [BL94, Theorem 33].

Let  $\sigma$  be an irreducible representation of  $K$ . Let  $\tilde{\sigma}$  be a representation of  $F^\times K$  such that  $\varpi$  acts trivially on  $\tilde{\sigma}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}|_K = \sigma$ . Set  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma = \text{c-Ind}_{F^\times K}^G \tilde{\sigma}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma = \text{End}_G(\mathcal{F}_\sigma)$ . It is shown in [BL94, Proposition 8] that as an algebra  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma \cong \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p[T]$ , for a certain  $T \in \mathcal{H}_\sigma$ , defined in [BL94, §3]. Fix  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  such that  $\text{Supp } \varphi = F^\times K$  and  $\varphi(1)$  spans  $\sigma^{I_1}$ . Since  $\varphi$  generates  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  as a  $G$ -representation,  $T$  is determined by  $T\varphi$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *We have the following.*

- (i) *If  $\sigma \cong \psi \circ \det$ , for some character  $\psi : \mathfrak{o}^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ , then*

$$T\varphi = \Pi\varphi + \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t\varphi.$$

- (ii) *Otherwise,*

$$T\varphi = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t\varphi.$$

*Proof.* In the notation of [BL94] this is a calculation of  $T([1, e_{\bar{0}}])$ . The claim follows from [BL94, (19)]. □

Let  $\pi$  be a supersingular representation of  $G$ , such that  $\varpi$  acts trivially. Let  $v \in \pi^{I_1}$  and suppose that  $\langle K \cdot v \rangle \cong \sigma$ . The Frobenius reciprocity gives  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}_\sigma, \pi)$ , such that  $\alpha(\varphi) = v$ .

LEMMA 3.2. *There exists an  $n \geq 1$  such that  $\alpha \circ T^n = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Now  $\text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}_\sigma, \pi)$  is naturally a right  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$ -module; let  $M = \langle \alpha \cdot \mathcal{H}_\sigma \rangle$  be an  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$ -submodule of  $\text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}_\sigma, \pi)$  generated by  $\alpha$ . The proof of [BL94, Proposition 32] implies that  $\dim_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} M$  is finite. Let  $\overline{T}$  be the image of  $T$  in  $\text{End}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}(M)$  and let  $m(X)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\overline{T}$ . Let  $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  be such that  $m(\lambda) = 0$ , then we may write  $m(X) = (X - \lambda)h(X)$ . Since  $m(X)$  is minimal the composition

$$h(T)(\mathcal{F}_\sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\sigma \rightarrow \pi$$

is non-zero. According to [BL94, Theorem 19],  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is a free  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$  module, hence  $h(T)$  is an injection and so  $h(T)(\mathcal{F}_\sigma)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . This implies that  $\pi$  is a quotient of  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma/(T - \lambda)$ . Since  $\pi$  is supersingular [BL94, Corollary 36] implies that  $\lambda = 0$ , and hence  $m(X) = X^n$ , for some  $n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $\pi$  be a supersingular representation, such that  $\varpi$  acts trivially. Let  $v \in \pi^{I_1}$  be such that  $\langle K \cdot v \rangle$  is an irreducible representation of  $K$ . Set  $v_0 = v$  and for  $i \geq 0$  set*

$$v_{i+1} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} tv_i.$$

Then  $v_i \in \pi^{I_1}$  for all  $i \geq 1$  and there exists an  $n \geq 1$ , such that  $v_n = 0$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\sigma = \langle K \cdot v \rangle$ . If  $\sigma$  is not a character then Lemma 3.1(ii) implies that  $v_i = (\alpha \circ T^i)(\varphi)$ , for all  $i \geq 0$  in particular  $I_1$  acts trivially on  $v_i$  and the statement follows from Lemma 3.2. If  $\sigma$  is a character, then after twisting we may assume that  $\sigma = \mathbf{1}$ . Since  $I$  acts trivially on  $\Pi v_0$  the space  $\langle K \cdot (\Pi v_0) \rangle$  is a quotient of  $\text{Ind}_I^K \mathbf{1}$ . Now

$$v_1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} s(\Pi v_0).$$

If  $v_1 = 0$ , then we are done. If  $v_1 \neq 0$ , then [Pas04, (3.1.7) and (3.1.8)] imply that  $\langle K \cdot v_1 \rangle \cong \text{St}$ , where  $\text{St}$  is the inflation of the Steinberg representation of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . We may apply the previous part to  $v_1$ .  $\square$

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Let  $\pi$  be a smooth representation of  $G$  and let  $v \in \pi^{I_1}$ . Suppose that*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} tv = 0.$$

Then

$$sv = - \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \begin{pmatrix} -\varpi[\lambda^{-1}] & 1 \\ 0 & \varpi^{-1}[\lambda] \end{pmatrix} v.$$

*Proof.* Since

$$tv = - \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} tv$$

we obtain

$$v = - \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} t^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} tv = - \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varpi^{-1}[\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v.$$

If  $\beta \in \mathbf{F}^\times$ , then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta^{-1} & 1 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \beta^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Since  $v \in \pi^{I_1}$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \varpi[\lambda] & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in I_1 \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times$$

we obtain

$$sv = - \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \begin{pmatrix} -\varpi[\lambda^{-1}] & 1 \\ 0 & \varpi^{-1}[\lambda] \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \varpi[\lambda^{-1}] & 1 \end{pmatrix} v = - \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \begin{pmatrix} -\varpi[\lambda^{-1}] & 1 \\ 0 & \varpi^{-1}[\lambda] \end{pmatrix} v. \quad \square$$

Since  $G = PI_1 \cup PsI_1$ , we use Lemma 3.4 to show that the action of  $P$  on  $\pi$  already ‘contains all the information’ about the action of  $G$  on  $\pi$ .

#### 4. Supersingular representations

In this section we study the restriction of supersingular representations of  $G$  to a Borel subgroup.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let  $\pi$  be a smooth representation of  $G$  and let  $v \in \pi^{I_1}$  be non-zero and such that  $I$  acts on  $v$  via a character  $\chi$ , then there exists  $j \in \{0, \dots, q - 1\}$  (usually non-unique) such that*

$$w := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \lambda^j \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} tv$$

is in  $\pi^{I_1}$  and  $\langle K \cdot w \rangle$  is an irreducible representation of  $K$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\tau = \langle K \cdot (\Pi v) \rangle$ . For  $0 \leq j \leq q - 1$  set

$$w_j = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \lambda^j \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} s(\Pi v) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \lambda^j \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} tv.$$

The set  $\{\Pi v, w_j : 0 \leq j \leq q - 1\}$  spans  $\tau$ .

If  $w_0 = 0$  then Lemma 3.4 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi v &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi \end{pmatrix} sv = - \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \begin{pmatrix} -\varpi[\lambda^{-1}] & 1 \\ 0 & [\lambda] \end{pmatrix} v \\ &= - \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \begin{pmatrix} \varpi & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -[\lambda] & 0 \\ 0 & [\lambda^{-1}] \end{pmatrix} v = - \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \chi \left( \begin{pmatrix} -[\lambda] & 0 \\ 0 & [\lambda^{-1}] \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} tv. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\chi \left( \begin{pmatrix} [\lambda] & 0 \\ 0 & [\lambda^{-1}] \end{pmatrix} \right) = \lambda^r, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times$$

for some  $0 \leq r < q - 1$ , we obtain that  $\tau$  is spanned by the set  $\{w_j : 1 \leq j \leq q - 1\}$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a  $K$ -irreducible subrepresentation of  $\tau$ . The space  $\sigma^{I_1}$  is one dimensional, so  $I$  acts on  $\sigma^{I_1}$  by a character. However, one may verify that the group

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} [\lambda] & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times \right\}$$

acts on the set  $w_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq q - 1$  by distinct characters, hence  $\sigma^{I_1}$  is spanned by  $w_j$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq q - 1$ .

Suppose that  $w_0 \neq 0$ . If  $w_0$  and  $\Pi v$  are linearly independent, then the natural map  $\text{Ind}_I^K \chi^s \rightarrow \tau$  is an injection, because it induces an injection on  $(\text{Ind}_I^K \chi^s)^{I_1}$ . It follows from [Pas04, (3.1.5)] that  $\langle K \cdot w_0 \rangle$  is an irreducible representation of  $K$ . If  $w_0$  and  $\Pi v$  are not linearly independent, then  $\chi = \chi^s$ . It follows from [Pas04, (3.1.8)] that  $\langle K \cdot w_0 \rangle$  is isomorphic to a twist of the Steinberg representation by a character.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let  $\pi$  be a smooth representation of  $G$  and let  $w$  be a non-zero vector in  $\pi$ . Then there exists a non-zero  $v \in \langle P \cdot w \rangle \cap \pi^{I_1}$  such that  $\langle K \cdot v \rangle$  is an irreducible representation of  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\pi$  is smooth there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that  $w$  is fixed by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}^{k+1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $w_1 := t^k w$  is fixed by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Iwahori decomposition gives us

$$I_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o} \\ 0 & 1 + \mathfrak{p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,  $\tau := \langle I_1 \cdot w_1 \rangle = \langle (I_1 \cap P) \cdot w_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle P \cdot w \rangle$ . Since  $I_1$  is a pro- $p$  group, we have  $\tau^{I_1} \neq 0$ , and hence  $\langle P \cdot w \rangle \cap \pi^{I_1} \neq 0$ . Let  $w_2 \in \langle P \cdot w \rangle \cap \pi^{I_1} \neq 0$  be non-zero. Since  $|I/I_1|$  is prime to  $p$ , there exists a smooth character  $\chi : I \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  such that

$$w_3 := \sum_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \chi \left( \begin{pmatrix} [\lambda^{-1}] & 0 \\ 0 & [\mu^{-1}] \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} [\lambda] & 0 \\ 0 & [\mu] \end{pmatrix} w_2$$

is non-zero. As  $I$  acts now on  $w_3$  by a character  $\chi$  we may apply Lemma 4.1 to  $w_3$  to obtain the required vector. □

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $\pi$  be supersingular, then  $\pi|_P$  is an irreducible representation of  $P$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $w \in \pi$  be non-zero. According to Proposition 4.2 there exists a non-zero  $v \in \langle P \cdot w \rangle \cap \pi^{I_1}$ , such that  $\sigma := \langle K \cdot v \rangle$  is an irreducible representation of  $K$ . Corollary 3.3 implies that there exists a non-zero  $v' \in \pi^{I_1} \cap \langle P \cdot v \rangle$  such that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t v' = 0.$$

According to Lemma 3.4  $sv' \in \langle P \cdot v' \rangle$ . Since  $G = PI_1 \cup PsI_1$  and  $\pi$  is an irreducible  $G$ -representation we have

$$\pi = \langle G \cdot v' \rangle = \langle P \cdot v' \rangle \subseteq \langle P \cdot w \rangle.$$

Hence,  $\pi = \langle P \cdot w \rangle$  for all  $w \in \pi$  and so  $\pi|_P$  is irreducible. □

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  be smooth representations of  $G$ , such that  $\pi$  is supersingular, then*

$$\text{Hom}_P(\pi, \pi') \cong \text{Hom}_G(\pi, \pi').$$

*Proof.* As  $\text{Hom}_G(\pi, \pi') \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_P(\pi, \pi')$  we only have to prove surjectivity. Let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_P(\pi, \pi')$  be non-zero. We are going to find  $v' \in \pi^{I_1}$  such that  $\phi(v') \in (\pi')^{I_1}$  and

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t v' = 0, \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t \phi(v') = 0.$$

Choose  $v \in \pi^{I_1}$  such that  $\langle K \cdot v \rangle$  is an irreducible representation of  $K$ . Since  $\pi|_P$  is irreducible by Theorem 4.3,  $\phi$  is an injection and hence  $\phi(v) \neq 0$ . Since  $v$  is fixed by  $I_1$  and  $\phi$  is  $P$ -equivariant, we have that  $\phi(v)$  is fixed by  $I_1 \cap P$ . Since  $\pi'$  is smooth there exists an integer  $k \geq 1$  such that  $\phi(v)$  is fixed by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}^k & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Suppose that  $k > 1$ . Lemma 4.1 implies that there exists  $j$ , such that  $0 \leq j \leq q - 1$  and if we set

$$v_1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \lambda^j \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t v,$$

then  $v_1 \in \pi^{I_1}$  and  $\langle K \cdot v_1 \rangle$  is an irreducible representation of  $K$ . Since  $\phi$  is  $P$ -equivariant,  $\phi(v_1)$  is fixed by  $I_1 \cap P$  and

$$\phi(v_1) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \lambda^j \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t \phi(v).$$

If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$  and  $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$ , then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \beta & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha(1 + \alpha\beta)^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \alpha\beta)^{-1} & 0 \\ \beta & 1 + \alpha\beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix identity coupled with

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}^{k-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} t = t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}^k & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

implies that  $\phi(v_1)$  is fixed by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}^{k-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . By repeating the argument we obtain  $w \in \pi^{I_1}$  such that  $\langle K \cdot w \rangle$  is an irreducible representation of  $K$  and  $\phi(w)$  is fixed by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Iwahori decomposition implies that  $\phi(w)$  is fixed by  $I_1$ . Set  $v_0 = w$  and for  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$v_{i+1} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t v_i.$$

Since  $v_i$  are fixed by  $I_1$ ,  $\phi(v_i)$  are fixed by  $I_1 \cap P$ . Moreover,

$$\phi(v_{i+1}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t \phi(v_i).$$

Since  $\phi(v_0)$  is fixed by  $I_1$ , the argument used above implies that  $\phi(v_{i+1})$  are fixed by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and hence fixed by  $I_1$ . Corollary 3.3 implies that  $v_n = 0$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $m$  be the smallest integer such that  $v_m = 0$  and set  $v' = v_{m-1}$ . Then  $v' \in \pi^{I_1}$ ,  $\phi(v') \in (\pi')^{I_1}$  and

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t v' = 0, \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t \phi(v') = 0.$$

Lemma 3.4 applied to  $v'$  and  $\phi(v')$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(sv') &= -\phi\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \begin{pmatrix} -\varpi[\lambda^{-1}] & 1 \\ 0 & \varpi^{-1}[\lambda] \end{pmatrix} v'\right) \\ &= -\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \begin{pmatrix} -\varpi[\lambda^{-1}] & 1 \\ 0 & \varpi^{-1}[\lambda] \end{pmatrix} \phi(v') = s\phi(v'). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $G = PI_1 \cup PsI_1$  this implies that  $\phi(\pi(g)v') = \pi'(g)\phi(v')$ , for all  $g \in G$ . Since  $\pi$  is irreducible  $\pi = \langle G \cdot v' \rangle$  and this implies that  $\phi$  is  $G$ -equivariant.  $\square$

### 5. Non-supersingular representations

Let  $\chi : T \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a smooth character. We consider it as a character of  $P$ , via  $P \rightarrow P/U \cong T$ . We define a smooth representation  $\kappa_\chi$  of  $P$  by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \kappa_\chi \rightarrow \text{Ind}_P^G \chi \rightarrow \chi \rightarrow 0 \tag{2}$$

where the map on the right is given by the evaluation at the identity. The representation  $\kappa_\chi$  is absolutely irreducible by [Vig06, Théorème 5]. If  $\chi = \psi \circ \det$  for some smooth character  $\psi : F^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ , then the sequence splits as a  $P$ -representation and we obtain

$$\text{Sp} \otimes \psi \circ \det|_P \cong \kappa_\chi.$$

LEMMA 5.1. *Let  $\pi$  be a smooth representation of  $G$ . Suppose that  $\text{Hom}_P(\chi, \pi) \neq 0$ , then  $\chi$  extends uniquely to a character of  $G$ , and*

$$\text{Hom}_P(\chi, \pi) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\chi, \pi).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_P(\chi, \pi)$  be non-zero and let  $v$  be a basis vector of the underlying vector space of  $\chi$ . Since  $\pi$  is a smooth representation of  $G$ , there exists  $k \geq 1$  such that  $\phi(v)$  is fixed by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p^k & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $t\phi(v) = \phi(tv) = \chi(t)\phi(v)$ , we obtain that  $\phi(v)$  is fixed by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p^{k-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and by repeating this we obtain that  $\phi(v)$  is fixed by  $sUs$ . Now  $sUs$  and  $P$  generate  $G$ . This implies the claim.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 5.2.** *Let  $\pi'$  be a smooth representation of  $G$ . Suppose that  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  and let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_P(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi, \pi')$  be non-zero, then  $\phi$  is an injection.*

*Proof.* Lemma 5.1 implies that  $\text{Hom}_P(\chi, \text{Ind}_P^G \chi) = 0$ . Hence, the sequence (2) cannot split. So if  $\text{Ker } \phi \neq 0$ , then  $\text{Ker } \phi$  contains  $\kappa_\chi$ . Hence,  $\phi$  induces a homomorphism  $\bar{\phi} \in \text{Hom}_P(\chi, \pi')$ . Lemma 5.1 implies that  $\bar{\phi} = 0$  and hence  $\phi = 0$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 5.3.** *Suppose that  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ , then*

$$\text{Hom}_P(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi, \text{Ind}_P^G \chi) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi, \text{Ind}_P^G \chi).$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{Hom}_P(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi, \text{Ind}_P^G \chi)$  are non-zero, then by Corollary 5.2 the restriction of  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  to  $\kappa_\chi$  induces non-zero homomorphisms in  $\text{Hom}_P(\kappa_\chi, \kappa_\chi)$ . Since  $\kappa_\chi$  is absolutely irreducible this implies that there exists a scalar  $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  such that the restriction of  $\phi_1 - \lambda\phi_2$  to  $\kappa_\chi$  is zero. Now  $\phi_1 - \lambda\phi_2 \in \text{Hom}_P(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi, \text{Ind}_P^G \chi)$  and is not an injection, hence by Corollary 5.2 it must be equal to zero.  $\square$

**THEOREM 5.4.** *Let  $\pi$  be a smooth representation of  $G$ , then the restriction to  $\kappa_\chi$  induces an isomorphism*

$$\iota : \text{Hom}_G(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi, \pi) \cong \text{Hom}_P(\kappa_\chi, \pi).$$

*Proof.* If  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ , then the injectivity of  $\iota$  is given by Corollary 5.2. If  $\chi = \chi^s$ , then the injectivity follows from Lemma 5.1 and [BL94, Theorem 30(1)(b)]. We are going to show that  $\iota$  is surjective.

Let  $\varphi_1 \in \text{Ind}_P^G \chi$  be an  $I_1$  invariant function such that  $\text{Supp } \varphi_1 = PI_1$  and  $\varphi_1(1) = 1$ . Set

$$\varphi_2 = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} s\varphi_1.$$

Then  $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$  is a basis of  $(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi)^{I_1}$  and  $I$  acts on  $\varphi_1$  by a character  $\chi$  and on  $\varphi_2$  by a character  $\chi^s$ . Since  $G = PK$  we have

$$(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi)^{K_1} \cong \text{Ind}_I^K \chi,$$

as a representation of  $K$ , and hence  $\sigma = \langle K \cdot \varphi_2 \rangle$  is an irreducible representation of  $K$ , which is not a character. We let  $F^\times$  act on  $\sigma$  via  $\chi$ . Frobenius reciprocity gives us a map

$$\alpha : \text{c-Ind}_{F^\times K}^G \sigma \rightarrow \text{Ind}_P^G \chi.$$

It follows from [BL94, Theorem 30(3)] that there exists  $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ , determined by  $\chi$ , such that  $\alpha$  induces an isomorphism

$$\text{c-Ind}_{F^\times K}^G \sigma / (T - \lambda) \cong \text{Ind}_P^G \chi,$$

where  $T \in \text{End}_G(\text{c-Ind}_{F^\times K}^G \sigma)$  is as in §3. Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$\varphi_2 = \lambda^{-1} \left( \sum_{\mu \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\mu] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t\varphi_2 \right).$$

Let  $\psi \in \text{Hom}_P(\kappa_\chi, \pi)$  be non-zero. Since  $\text{Supp } \varphi_2 = PsI_1$  we have  $\varphi_2(1) = 0$  and hence  $\varphi_2 \in \kappa_\chi$ . Since  $\kappa_\chi$  is irreducible  $\psi(\varphi_2) \neq 0$  and the  $P$ -equivariance of  $\psi$  gives:

$$\psi(\varphi_2) = \lambda^{-1} \left( \sum_{\mu \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\mu] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t\psi(\varphi_2) \right). \tag{3}$$



This equality coupled with the argument used in the proof of Theorem 4.4 implies that  $\psi(\varphi_2)$  is fixed by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $\psi$  is  $P$ -equivariant,  $\psi(\varphi_2)$  is fixed by  $I_1 \cap P$ . The Iwahori decomposition implies that  $\psi(\varphi_2)$  is fixed by  $I_1$ .

So  $I_1$  fixes  $\Pi\psi(\varphi_2)$  and  $I$  acts on  $\Pi\psi(\varphi_2)$  via the character  $\chi$ . Hence,  $\langle K \cdot \Pi\psi(\varphi_2) \rangle$  is a quotient of  $\text{Ind}_I^K \chi$ . Now

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\mu] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} s(\Pi\psi(\varphi_2)) = \psi \left( \sum_{\mu \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\mu] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t\varphi_2 \right) = \lambda\psi(\varphi_2) \neq 0. \tag{4}$$

If  $\chi|_{T \cap K} \neq \chi^s|_{T \cap K}$ , then this implies that  $\langle K \cdot \Pi\psi(\varphi_2) \rangle \cong \text{Ind}_I^K \chi$ . Equation (4) and [Pas04, (3.1.5)] imply that  $\langle K \cdot \psi(\varphi_2) \rangle \cong \sigma$ . If  $\chi|_{T \cap K} = \psi \circ \det$  for some  $\psi : \mathfrak{o}^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ , then the above equality implies that if  $\Pi\psi(\varphi_2)$  and  $\psi(\varphi_2)$  are linearly independent, then

$$\langle K \cdot \Pi\psi(\varphi_2) \rangle \cong \text{Ind}_I^K \chi,$$

otherwise

$$\langle K \cdot \Pi\psi(\varphi_2) \rangle \cong \text{St} \otimes \psi \circ \det,$$

where  $\text{St}$  is the lift to  $K$  of Steinberg representation of  $GL_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . In both cases we obtain that  $\langle K \cdot \psi(\varphi_2) \rangle \cong \text{St} \otimes \psi \circ \det \cong \sigma$ . Hence,  $\langle G \cdot \psi(\varphi_2) \rangle$  is a quotient of  $\text{c-Ind}_{F \times K}^G \sigma$ . Equation (3) and Lemma 3.1 imply that  $\langle G \cdot \psi(\varphi_2) \rangle$  is a quotient of

$$\text{c-Ind}_{F \times K}^G \sigma / (T - \lambda) \cong \text{Ind}_P^G \chi.$$

Hence,  $\iota$  is also surjective. □

**COROLLARY 5.5.** *Suppose that  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  and let  $\pi$  be a smooth representation of  $G$ , then*

$$\text{Hom}_G(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi, \pi) \cong \text{Hom}_P(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi, \pi).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\psi \in \text{Hom}_P(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi, \pi)$  be non-zero. It follows from Corollary 5.2 that the composition

$$\text{Ind}_P^G \chi \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow \pi / \langle G \cdot \psi(\kappa_\chi) \rangle$$

is zero. Hence, the image of  $\psi$  is contained in  $\langle G \cdot \psi(\kappa_\chi) \rangle$ . It follows from Theorem 5.4 applied to  $\pi = \langle G \cdot \psi(\kappa_\chi) \rangle$  and the irreducibility of  $\text{Ind}_P^G \chi$  that  $\text{Ind}_P^G \chi$  is isomorphic to  $\langle G \cdot \psi(\kappa_\chi) \rangle$  as a  $G$ -representation. The  $G$ -equivariance of  $\psi$  follows from Corollary 5.3. □

**COROLLARY 5.6.** *Let  $\pi$  be a smooth representation of  $G$ , then*

$$\text{Hom}_P(\text{Sp}, \pi) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\text{Ind}_P^G \mathbf{1}, \pi).$$

Note that  $\text{Hom}_G(\text{Sp}, \text{Ind}_P^G \mathbf{1}) = 0$ , but  $\text{Hom}_G(\text{Ind}_P^G \mathbf{1}, \text{Ind}_P^G \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$ , so the above result cannot be improved.

### 6. Applications

Let  $K$  be a complete discrete valuation field,  $\mathcal{O}$  the ring of integers and  $\varpi_K$  a uniformizer, and we assume that  $\mathcal{O}/\varpi_K \mathcal{O} \cong \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ . We extend the results of previous sections to smooth  $\mathcal{O}[G]$  modules of finite length and, after passing to the limit, to unitary  $K$ -Banach space representations of  $G$ .

**THEOREM 6.1.** *Let  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  be smooth  $\mathcal{O}[G]$  modules and suppose that  $\pi$  is of finite length and let the irreducible subquotients of  $\pi$  admit a central character. Let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[P]}(\pi, \pi')$  and suppose that  $\phi$  is not  $G$ -equivariant. Let  $\tau$  be the maximal submodule of  $\pi$ , such that  $\phi|_\tau$  is  $G$ -equivariant, and let  $\sigma$  be an irreducible  $G$ -submodule of  $\pi/\tau$ , then*

$$\sigma \cong \text{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ \det,$$

for some smooth character  $\delta : F^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ . Moreover, choose  $v \in \pi$  such that the image  $\bar{v}$  in  $\sigma$  spans  $\sigma^{I_1}$ ; then  $\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v) \neq 0$ ,  $\varpi_K(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)) = 0$ , and

$$g(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)) = \delta(\det g)(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)), \quad \forall g \in G.$$

*Proof.* We denote by  $\text{Ind}_1^G \pi'$  the space of smooth functions from  $G$  to the underlying  $\mathcal{O}$  module of  $\pi'$ , equipped with the  $G$  action via right translations. Let  $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \text{Ind}_1^G \pi'$  be a  $P$ -equivariant map, given by

$$[\alpha(w)](g) = g\phi(w) - \phi(gw), \quad \forall w \in \pi, \forall g \in G.$$

Then  $\tau = \text{Ker } \alpha$ . Hence,  $\alpha$  induces a  $P$ -equivariant map

$$\bar{\alpha} : \sigma \rightarrow \text{Ind}_1^G \pi'.$$

Suppose that  $\bar{\alpha}$  is  $G$ -equivariant, then

$$[g^{-1}\alpha(gv)](1) = [g^{-1}\bar{\alpha}(g\bar{v})](1) = [\bar{\alpha}(\bar{v})](1) = [\alpha(v)](1) = 0.$$

Hence,  $g\phi(v) = \phi(gv)$ , for all  $g \in G$ . So the maximality of  $\tau$  implies that  $\bar{\alpha}$  is not  $G$ -equivariant. Hence, Theorem 4.4, Lemma 5.1, Corollaries 5.5 and 5.6 imply that

$$\sigma \cong \text{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ \det$$

for some smooth character  $\delta : F^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ , and

$$\langle G \cdot \alpha(v) \rangle \cong \text{Ind}_P^G \mathbf{1} \otimes \delta \circ \det.$$

After twisting we may assume that  $\delta$  is the trivial character. It follows from [BL94, Theorem 30(1)(b)] that

$$\text{Hom}_G(\text{Ind}_P^G \mathbf{1}, \text{Ind}_P^G \mathbf{1}) \cong \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p.$$

Corollary 5.6 applied to  $\pi = \text{Ind}_P^G \mathbf{1}$  implies that  $\bar{\alpha}(\bar{v})$  is a scalar multiple of the function denoted by  $\varphi_2$  in the proof of Theorem 5.4. By construction  $\alpha(v) = \bar{\alpha}(\bar{v})$ . Hence,  $\alpha(v)$  is fixed by  $I_1$  and  $\Pi\alpha(v) + \alpha(v)$  spans the trivial subrepresentation of  $G$ . In particular,

$$[\Pi\alpha(v)](1) + [\alpha(v)](1) = [h\Pi\alpha(v)](1) + [h\alpha(v)](1), \quad \forall h \in P.$$

Since  $\phi$  is  $P$ -equivariant, we obtain

$$\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v) = h(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)), \quad \forall h \in P.$$

Suppose that  $\Pi\phi(v) = \phi(\Pi v)$ . Since  $\alpha(v)$  is  $I_1$ -invariant we obtain

$$h\Pi u\phi(v) - \phi(h\Pi uv) = [u\alpha(v)](h\Pi) = [\alpha(v)](h\Pi) = h(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)) = 0,$$

for all  $h \in P$  and  $u \in I_1$ . Also

$$hu\phi(v) - \phi(huv) = [u\alpha(v)](h) = [\alpha(v)](h) = 0, \quad \forall u \in I_1, \forall h \in P.$$

Since  $G = PI_1 \cup P\Pi I_1$ , we obtain that  $g\phi(v) = \phi(gv)$ , for all  $g \in G$ , but this contradicts the maximality of  $\tau$ . So  $\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v) \neq 0$ . Since  $\sigma$  is irreducible  $\varpi_K \bar{v} = 0$ , and hence

$$[\varpi_K \alpha(v)](\Pi) = \varpi_K(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)) = 0,$$

so  $\mathcal{O}(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)) = \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v))$ . Lemma 5.1 implies that  $G$  acts trivially on  $\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)$ . □

**COROLLARY 6.2.** *Let  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  be as above and suppose that if  $\text{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ \det$  is a subquotient of  $\pi$ , then  $\delta \circ \det$  is not a subobject of  $\pi'$ . Then*

$$\text{Hom}_G(\pi, \pi') \cong \text{Hom}_P(\pi, \pi').$$

DEFINITION 6.3. A unitary  $K$ -Banach space representation  $\Pi$  of  $G$  is a  $K$ -Banach space  $\Pi$  equipped with a  $K$ -linear action of  $G$ , such that the map  $G \times \Pi \rightarrow \Pi$ ,  $(g, v) \mapsto gv$  is continuous and such that the topology on  $\Pi$  is given by a  $G$ -invariant norm.

COROLLARY 6.4. Let  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  be unitary  $K$ -Banach space representations of  $G$ . Let  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  be  $G$ -invariant norms defining the topology on  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$ . Set

$$L_1 = \{v \in \Pi_1 : \|v\|_1 \leq 1\}, \quad L_2 = \{v \in \Pi_2 : \|v\|_2 \leq 1\}.$$

Suppose that  $L_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  is of finite length as an  $\mathcal{O}[G]$  module and the irreducible subquotients admit a central character. Moreover, suppose that if  $\text{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ \det$  is a subquotient of  $L_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ , then  $\delta \circ \det$  is not a subobject of  $L_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ , then

$$\mathcal{L}_G(\Pi_1, \Pi_2) \cong \mathcal{L}_P(\Pi_1, \Pi_2),$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(\Pi_1, \Pi_2)$  denotes continuous  $K$ -linear maps.

*Proof.* Corollary 6.2 implies that for all  $k \geq 1$  we have

$$\text{Hom}_G(L_1/\varpi_K^k L_1, L_2/\varpi_K^k L_2) \cong \text{Hom}_P(L_1/\varpi_K^k L_1, L_2/\varpi_K^k L_2).$$

Since  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(L_1/\varpi_K^k L_1, L_2/\varpi_K^k L_2) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(L_1, L_2/\varpi_K^k L_2)$  by passing to the limit we obtain

$$\text{Hom}_G(L_1, L_2) \cong \text{Hom}_P(L_1, L_2).$$

It follows from [Sch01, Proposition 3.1] that

$$\mathcal{L}(\Pi_1, \Pi_2) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(L_1, L_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K.$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}_G(\Pi_1, \Pi_2) \cong \text{Hom}_G(L_1, L_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K \cong \text{Hom}_P(L_1, L_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K \cong \mathcal{L}_P(\Pi_1, \Pi_2). \quad \square$$

PROPOSITION 6.5. Let  $\pi$  be a smooth  $\mathcal{O}[G]$  module of finite length and suppose that the irreducible subquotients of  $\pi$  are either supersingular or characters, then every  $P$ -invariant  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule of  $\pi$  is also  $G$ -invariant.

*Proof.* Let  $\pi'$  be  $\mathcal{O}[P]$  submodule of  $\pi$ . If  $\sigma$  is an irreducible subquotient of  $\pi$ , then by Theorem 4.3  $\sigma|_P$  is also irreducible, hence  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  are  $\mathcal{O}[P]$  submodules of finite length.

Let  $\tau$  be an irreducible  $\mathcal{O}[P]$ -submodule of  $\pi'$ . Since  $\pi$  is a finite length  $\mathcal{O}[G]$  module, the submodule  $\langle G \cdot \tau \rangle$  is of finite length. Let  $\sigma$  be a  $G$ -irreducible quotient of  $\langle G \cdot \tau \rangle$ . Since  $\tau$  generates  $\langle G \cdot \tau \rangle$  as a  $G$ -representation, the  $P$ -equivariant composition

$$\tau \rightarrow \langle G \cdot \tau \rangle \rightarrow \sigma$$

is non-zero, and since  $\tau$  is irreducible, it is an injection. Now  $\sigma|_P$  is irreducible, so the above composition is an isomorphism. Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 5.1 imply that  $\tau$  is  $G$ -invariant and isomorphic to  $\sigma$ . By induction on the length of  $\pi'$  as an  $\mathcal{O}[P]$ -module,  $\pi'/\tau$  is a  $G$ -invariant  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule of  $\pi/\tau$ . Since  $\pi'$  is the set of elements of  $\pi$  whose image in  $\pi/\tau$  lies in  $\pi'/\tau$ ,  $\pi'$  is  $G$ -invariant.  $\square$

COROLLARY 6.6. Let  $\Pi$  be a unitary  $K$ -Banach space representation of  $G$ , let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a  $G$ -invariant norm defining the topology on  $\Pi$ . Set

$$L = \{v \in \Pi : \|v\| \leq 1\}.$$

Suppose that  $L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  is a finite length  $\mathcal{O}[G]$  module and the irreducible subquotients are either supersingular or characters, then every closed  $P$ -invariant subspace of  $\Pi$  is also  $G$ -invariant.

*Proof.* Let  $\Pi_1$  be a closed  $P$ -invariant subspace of  $\Pi$ . Set  $M = \Pi_1 \cap L$ , then  $M$  is an open  $P$ -invariant lattice in  $\Pi_1$ . Proposition 6.5 implies that for all  $k \geq 1$ ,  $M/\varpi_K^k M$  is a  $G$ -invariant  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule of  $L/\varpi_K^k L$ . By passing to the limit we obtain that  $M$  is a  $G$ -invariant  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule of  $L$ . Since  $\Pi_1 = M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K$  we obtain the claim.  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper was written while I was working with Christophe Breuil on a related project; I would like to thank him for his comments and for pointing out some errors in an earlier draft. I would like to thank Eike Lau for a stimulating discussion, which led to a simplification of the proofs in § 6. I would also like to thank Florian Herzig and Marie-France Vignéras whose comments improved the original manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- BL94 L. Barthel and R. Livné, *Irreducible modular representations of  $GL_2$  of a local field*, Duke Math. J. **75** (1994), 261–292.
- Ber05 L. Berger, *Représentations modulaires de  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  et représentations galoisiennes de dimension 2*, Preprint (2005), available at [www.umpa.ens-lyon.fr/~lberger](http://www.umpa.ens-lyon.fr/~lberger).
- Bre03 C. Breuil, *Sur quelques représentations modulaires et  $p$ -adiques de  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  I*, Compositio Math. **138** (2003), 165–188.
- BP07 C. Breuil and V. Paskunas, *Towards a modulo  $p$  Langlands correspondence for  $GL_2$* , Preprint (2007), available at [www.ihes.fr/~breuil/publications.html](http://www.ihes.fr/~breuil/publications.html).
- Col07 P. Colmez, *Représentations de  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  et  $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules*, Preprint (2007), available at [www.institut.math.jussieu.fr/~colmez](http://www.institut.math.jussieu.fr/~colmez).
- Pas04 V. Paskunas, *Coefficient systems and supersingular representations of  $GL_2(F)$* , Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.), vol. 99 (2004).
- Sch01 P. Schneider, *Nonarchimedean functional analysis* (Springer, Berlin, 2001).
- Vig06 M.-F. Vignéras, *Série principale modulo  $p$  de groupes réductifs  $p$ -adiques*, Preprint (2006), available at [www.institut.math.jussieu.fr/~vigneras](http://www.institut.math.jussieu.fr/~vigneras).

Vytautas Paskunas [paskunas@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de](mailto:paskunas@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de)

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, Postfach 100131, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany