

Maximal perfect spaces

Ivan Baggs

Let (X, T) be a topological space (we assume T_1 throughout) where every point is a limit point. The purpose of this note is to present an internal construction of a maximal perfect topology on (X, T) . The existence of a maximal connected Hausdorff space has not been demonstrated. However, this construction of a maximal perfect topology is useful in constructing connected Hausdorff spaces which cannot be embedded in a maximal connected Hausdorff space.

Let (X, T) be a topological space in which every point is a limit point, then (X, T) is said to be perfect. (Throughout this note, all spaces are assumed to be T_1 .) A topological space (X, T) is maximal perfect if (X, T) is perfect and for every topology $T' \supsetneq T$, (X, T') is not perfect. It follows from an application of Zorn's Lemma that, if (X, T) is a perfect topological space, there exists a topology $T' \supset T$ such that (X, T') is maximal perfect. The main aim of this note is to present an internal construction of a maximal perfect topology for any given perfect topological space. The advantage of this construction arises when one wishes to know which sets are open in a maximal perfect topology. This maximal perfect topology is constructed by using N -sets (see Definition 1) and a particular filter, F , of dense subsets of (X, T) . It is also shown that, if $\sigma \supset T \vee F$ and (X, σ) is perfect, then, if $G \in T \vee F$, $G = F \cap M$, where $F \in F$ and M is an N -set.

The problem of the existence of a maximal perfect topology arose

Received 6 July 1972. This research was partly supported by a grant from the National Research Council of Canada. The author is deeply indebted to Professor Lee Mohler for many stimulating discussions.

naturally from the consideration of the existence of a maximal connected Hausdorff space. A connected Hausdorff space (X, T) is maximal connected if for every topology $T' \supsetneq T$, (X, T') is not connected (see [2]). The author used the type of particular construction of a maximal perfect topology, as presented in this note, to construct an example of a countable connected Hausdorff space (X, T) which is not first countable at any point and such T is not contained in any maximal connected topology on X [1].

If (X, T) is a topological space, then we will say a set $K \subset X$ is T -dense in X if X is contained in the closure of K in the T topology.

Also, K is T -open if $K \in T$, and K^c will be used to denote the complement of K .

For the remainder of this note, we will assume that (X, T) is an arbitrary but fixed perfect topological space. Let

$$H = \{F \subset X \mid F^c \text{ is nowhere dense in } X\}.$$

Then, H is a filter of dense subsets of X . It follows from Zorn's Lemma that there exists a maximal filter F , containing H , such that for every $F \in F$, F is a dense subset of X . Throughout, we will use F to denote this maximal filter on X .

DEFINITION 1. A set $G \subset X$ is an N -set if for every $x \in G$ and every open set $U \in T$ and containing x , $U \cap G$ contains a T -open set.

It follows, immediately, from the definition, that if $U \in T$, then U is an N -set. However, there may exist N -sets which are not T -open; for example, if G is the subset of the real line defined as follows:

$$G = \{0\} \cup \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{2n-1} \right) \mid n = 1, 2, \dots \right\}.$$

DEFINITION 2. A collection of N -sets, G , is an N -family if G is closed under finite intersection.

If G_1 and G_2 are two N -families, we will say that $G_1 \leq G_2$ if for every $G \in G_1$, $G \in G_2$. It follows from Zorn's Lemma that given any

N -family G , there exists a maximal N -family M , such that $G \leq M$. In what follows, M will be used to denote a maximal N -family on X .

If A and B are two families of subsets of X , by $A \vee B$ is meant the topology which is generated by the subbase

$$P = \{C \mid C \in A \text{ or } C \in B\}.$$

LEMMA 1. Let $T' = F \vee M$; then $T' \supset T$ and (X, T') is perfect.

Proof. Since $T \subset M$, it follows that $T \subset T'$. Suppose (X, T') is not perfect. This implies that there exists some $x \in X$ such that $\{x\} \in T \vee F$. Therefore, $\{x\} = F \cap G$, where $F \in F$ and $G \in M$. However, since G contains a non-empty T -open set U and $F \cap U$ is T -dense in U , it follows that $F \cap G \neq \{x\}$; a contradiction. So, (X, T') is perfect.

Henceforth, T' will be used to denote the topology $F \vee M$. Let us assume there exists a topology T^* on X , such that (X, T^*) is perfect and $T^* \not\supseteq T'$.

LEMMA 2. If $P \in T^*$, $P \subset U$, where $U \in T$, and P is T -dense in U , then $P \in T \vee F$.

Proof. Suppose $P \subset U$ and P is T -dense in $U \in T$ and $P \notin T \vee F$. If, for each $F \in F$, $P \cap F$ is T -dense in U , then $F \cap (P \cup U^c)$ is dense in X for all $F \in F$. So, since F is maximal, this would imply that $P \cup U^c \in F$. However, if $P \cup U^c \in F$, then $P = (P \cup U^c) \cap U$ and $P \in T \vee F$. If, on the other hand, there exists some $F \in F$ such that $F \cap P$ is not T -dense in U , then there exists some T -open set $V \subset U$ such that $V \cap (F \cap P) = \emptyset$. Let $x \in V$ such that $x \in P$ and $x \notin F$. Put $F_1 = F \cup \{x\}$. Then $F_1 \in F$ and is, therefore, open in $T \vee F$. This would imply that $F_1 \cap (P \cap V) = \{x\}$ and since $F_1 \cap P \in T^*$, this implies $\{x\}$ is open in T^* . However, since T^* is perfect, this is impossible. Therefore, for each $F \in F$, $F \cap P$ is T -dense in U , and so $P \in T \vee F$.

COROLLARY. If $P \in T^*$, $P \subset U$, where $U \in T$ and P is T -dense in U , then $P \in T'$.

DEFINITION 3. Let $P \in T^*$. P has property β if for every $x \in P$ there exists a T^* -open set $U_x \subset P$ and containing x such that

$$U_x = \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} K_\alpha \right) \cup L, \text{ where}$$

- (a) for each $\alpha \in A$, $K_\alpha \subset U_\alpha \in T$ and K_α is T -dense in U_α ,
- (b) no subset of L is T -dense in any T -open set, and
- (c) if $x \in L$, then $x \notin K_\alpha$, for any α .

LEMMA 3. Let P be a set with property β such that $P \in T^*$. Let $x \in P$ and $U_x = \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} K_\alpha \right) \cup L$ be as in Definition 3. Then, for every $y \in L \cap U_x$ and for every T' -open set U containing x , $U \cap U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$, for some $\alpha \in A$.

Proof. Suppose there exists some $x \in P$ and some $y \in L \cap U_x$ and a T' -open set U containing y such that $U \cap U_\alpha = \emptyset$, for all $\alpha \in A$. We may assume without loss of generality that $U = F \cap (I \cap M)$, where $F \in F$, $I \in T$ and $M \in M$. Since M is an N -set, $M \cap I$ contains a non-empty T -open set. Let Q be any non-empty T -open set contained in $I \cap M$. Then, $Q \cap U_\alpha = \emptyset$, for all $\alpha \in A$; for, if there exists some $\alpha \in A$, such that $Q \cap U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$, and since $Q \subset (I \cap M)$, this implies that $U \cap U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Also $(I \cap M) \cap K_\alpha = \emptyset$, for all $\alpha \in A$. If there exists an $x \in (I \cap M) \cap K_\alpha$, for some $\alpha \in A$, then, since $K_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ and $I \cap M$ is an N -set, if U' is an T -open set containing x , $U' \cap (I \cap M) \cap U_\alpha$ would contain a T -open set. This would contradict the fact that $U_\alpha \cap Q = \emptyset$, for each open set $Q \subset (I \cap M)$. Therefore, $(I \cap M) \cap K_\alpha = \emptyset$, for all $\alpha \in A$.

$y \in U \cap U_x$ and T^* is perfect, so there exists some $p \in U \cap U_x$ and $p \neq y$. Since $U \cap U_\alpha = \emptyset$, for all α and $K_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$, $p \notin K_\alpha$, for any $\alpha \in A$. Therefore, $p \in L$. Let V be any T -open set containing p . Put $H = V \cap (I \cap M)$. Since $p \in U$ and $U = F \cap (I \cap M)$, it follows that

$p \in H$. Also, since $(I \cap M) \cap K_\alpha = \emptyset$, for all $\alpha \in A$, $L \supset H \cap P$. From the definition of L , it follows that $H \cap P$ is nowhere dense in (X, T) . So, $(H \cap P)^c \in F$ and it is clear from the definition of F that $(H \cup P)^c \cup \{p\}$ is also an element of F . But, this says that $|(H \cap P)^c \cup \{p\}| \cap (H \cap P) = \{p\}$. This implies that $\{p\} \in T^*$, which contradicts the assumption that T^* is perfect. Therefore, for each $y \in U_x$ and for each T' -open set U containing y , there exists some $\alpha \in A$, such that $U \cap U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$.

LEMMA 4. *If $P \in T^*$ and P has property β , then $P \in T'$.*

Proof. Let $x \in P$ and let $U_x \subset P$ be a T^* -open set such that $U_x = \left\{ \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} K_\alpha \right\} \cup L$, where the K_α 's and L satisfy conditions (a) through (c) of Definition 3. We will show that for every $y \in U_x$, there exists a T' open set U , containing y , such that $U \subset U_x$.

For each $y \in L$, put $U(y) = \left\{ \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha \right\} \cup \{y\}$. It follows from Lemma 3, that for each $y \in L$, $U(y)$ is an N -set since for every $p \in U(y)$ and every T -open set U containing p , $U \cap U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for some α .

We will show that $U(y) \in M$. Suppose not. Then, since M is a maximal N -family, there must exist some $M \in M$, such that $M \cap U(y)$ is not an N -set. This implies there exists some T -open set V containing y such that $V \cap (M \cap U(y))$ does not contain a T -open set. It follows from the definition of an N -set, and the fact that $\{U(y) - \{y\}\}$ is T -open that $\{U(y) - \{y\}\} \cap M \cap V = \emptyset$. Therefore, $V \cap (M \cap U_x) \subset L$ since $U_x = \left\{ \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha \right\} \cup L$. Put $H = V \cap (M \cap U_x)$, then $H \in T^*$. Since L is nowhere dense in (X, T) , H must also be nowhere dense in (X, T) .

Therefore, $H^c \in F$, since F contains each set whose complement is nowhere dense in (X, T) . This implies that $(H^c \cap \{y\}) \cap H = \{y\} \in T^*$, which contradicts the assumption that T^* is perfect. Therefore, $U(y) \cap M$ must be an element of M , for all $M \in M$, and, so, $U(y) \in M$.

This implies that $U(y) \in T'$.

Since $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} K_\alpha$ is T -dense in $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$, it follows from Lemma 2 that $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} K_\alpha = F \cap \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha \right)$, for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Therefore, there exists an $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ (namely, $F_1 = F \cup \{y\}$), such that $F_1 \cap U(y) = \{y\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} K_\alpha \right)$. Since both F_1 and $U(y)$ are open in T' , it follows that $U = \{y\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} K_\alpha \right)$ is open in T' and clearly, $U \subset U_x$. Therefore, for every $y \in U_x$, there exists a T' -open set U containing y and contained in U_x . This implies that U_x and, consequently P , is an element of T' .

THEOREM 1. (X, T') is maximal perfect.

Proof. Let T^* be any perfect topology on X such that $T^* \supset T'$. Let $x \in X$ and let U_x be any T^* -open set containing x . For each $y \in U_x$, let K_α be a subset of U_x , containing y , such that K_α is T -dense in some $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ and $K_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$, if such a K_α exists. Otherwise, let $L = \{y \in U_x \mid \text{no such } K_\alpha \text{ exists}\}$. Clearly $U_x = \left\{ \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} K_\alpha \right\} \cup L$, and, therefore, by Lemma 4, $U_x \in T'$ and T' is maximal perfect.

In the preceding, we constructed a maximal perfect topology T^* on a perfect topological space (X, T) by adding to T a particular maximal filter \mathcal{F} of dense subsets of (X, T) and a maximal N -family M . We will now show that if σ is any perfect topology on X , larger than $T \vee \mathcal{F}$, then σ can be generated by T , \mathcal{F} and a family of N -sets. On the other hand, if γ is a perfect topology, larger than $T \vee M$, we will give an example to show that γ may not be generated by T , M and a family of dense subsets of (X, T) .

Let (X, T) be a perfect topological space and let \mathcal{F} be a maximal family of dense subset of (X, T) , such that, if H is a nowhere dense subset of (X, T) , then $H^c \in \mathcal{F}$.

THEOREM 2. *Let σ be any perfect topology on X such that $\sigma \subset T \vee F$. If $G \in \sigma$ and $G \notin T \vee F$, then $G = M \cap F$, where M is an N -set and $F \in F$.*

Before presenting the proof of Theorem 2, we present a lemma which will be helpful in completing the proof of this theorem. The symbols used in Lemma 5 have the same meaning as in Theorem 2.

LEMMA 5. *If V is any T -open subset of X and $G \cap V \neq \emptyset$, then $G \cap V$ is T -dense in some T -open subset of V .*

Proof. Suppose $G \cap V$ is not T -dense in any T -open subset of V . Then, $G \cap V$ is nowhere dense in (X, T) . This implies that

$(G \cap V)^c \in F$. Let $y \in G \cap V$. Then $(G \cap V)^c \cup \{y\} \in F$ and since $\{y\} = [(G \cap V)^c \cup \{y\}] \cap (G \cap V)$, this implies that $\{y\} \in \sigma$, and contradicts the fact that (X, σ) is perfect. Therefore, $G \cap V$ is T -dense in some T -open subset of V .

Proof of Theorem 2. Put $G = \left\{ \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} K_{\alpha} \right\} \cup L$, where for each $\alpha \in A$, $K_{\alpha} \subset U_{\alpha}$, U_{α} is T -open and K_{α} is T -dense in U_{α} ; and no subset of L is T -dense in any T -open set. Put $M = \left\{ \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha} \right\} \cup L$. We will show that M is an N -set. Let $x \in M$. If $x \in U_{\alpha}$ for some α , then clearly, for every T -open set U containing x , $U \cap U_{\alpha}$ is a T -open set. If $x \in L$ and U is a T -open set containing x , then it follows from Lemma 5, that $U \cap U_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$, for some α , so, again, $U \cap U_{\alpha}$ is a T -open set and is contained in M . Therefore, M is an N -set.

Finally, we will show that for some $F \in F$, $F \cap M = G$. It follows from Lemma 2 that there exists some $F_1 \in F$, such that

$F_1 \cap \left\{ \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \right\} = G \cap \left\{ \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \right\}$. Put $F = F_1 \cup \{x \mid x \in L\}$. Then $F \cap M = G$

and the theorem is established.

We now give an example of a maximal N -family and a perfect topology γ on X , such that γ is larger than $T \vee M$ but γ cannot be generated by T, M and a family of dense subsets of (X, T) .

EXAMPLE. Let X be the closed unit interval and let T be the usual topology on X . Let K be the Cantor subset of X and let $C_n = (a_n, b_n)$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be the open intervals which are removed to form the Cantor set. Put $R_n = (0, a_n]$, and $G_n = [b_n, 1)$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then for each n , R_n and G_n is an N -set. Let M be a maximal N -family which contains R_n and G_n , for all n . Put $\gamma = (TvM) \vee K$. Then, γ is perfect and K is a γ -open set. It is easily seen that $K \neq M \cap F$, where F is T -dense in X and $M \in M$.

References

- [1] Ivan Baggs, "A connected Hausdorff space which is not contained in a maximal connected space", (to appear).
- [2] J. Pelham Thomas, "Maximal connected topologies", *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 8 (1968), 700-705.

St Francis Xavier University,
Antigonish,
Nova Scotia,
Canada.