Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. Vol. 42 (1990) [247-251]

# BURNSIDE RINGS OF FINITE REPRESENTATION TYPE

### ALBERTO RAGGI-CÁRDENAS

Let G be a finite group. It is proved that the localised Burnside ring  $\Omega_p(G)$  is of finite representation type if and only if for each p-perfect subgroup H of G,  $\left|\left\{\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}(G) : \underline{\mathcal{O}^p(K)} = \underline{H}\right\}\right| \leq 3$ , where  $\underline{K}$  means the conjugacy class of K.

#### 1. Introduction

Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime number. Let  $\Omega_p(G)$  be the Burnside ring of G localised at p. We are interested in the representation type of the category of  $\Omega_p(G)$ -lattices (which is Krull-Schmidt by [1] 30.18). In this note we characterise in terms of the group G when this category is of finite representation type (in this case we say that  $\Omega_p(G)$  is representation-finite).

To state the theorem we need to recall some notation. Let C(G) be the set of conjugacy classes  $\underline{K}$  of subgroups K of G and given any subgroup H of G, let  $\mathcal{O}^p(H)$  denote the minimal normal subgroup  $N \underline{\triangleleft} H$  such that H/N is a p-group. We say that H is p-perfect if  $\mathcal{O}^p(H) = H$ .

THEOREM. The ring  $\Omega_p(G)$  is representation-finite if and only if for each p-perfect subgroup H of G,

$$\left|\left\{\underline{K}\in\mathcal{C}(G):\underline{\mathcal{O}^p(K)}=\underline{H}\right\}\right|\leqslant 3.$$

We obtain this theorem in Section 2 as an application of the Drozd-Roiter's criterion for finite representation type of commutative orders (see [4] and [1] 33.14). In Section 3 we obtain some corollaries and give some examples.

For basic background on Burnside rings and orders we refer the reader to [1, 2, 3].

### 2. The proof of the theorem

Let  $\Lambda = \Omega_p(G)$  and  $\Lambda'$  be the unique  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -maximal order in  $\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}(G) := \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Omega(G)$ .

Received 23 October 1989

I would like to thank L. Salmerón and E. Vallejo for fruitful discussions on the subject.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/90 \$A2.00+0.00.

0

LEMMA A. The following hold.

- (i)  $\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda') = \operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)\Lambda' = \operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda'}(\Lambda') = p\Lambda'.$
- (ii)  $rad_{\Lambda}(\Lambda) = p\Lambda' \cap \Lambda$ .
- (iii)  $\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda'/\Lambda) = (p\Lambda' + \Lambda)/\Lambda \cong (p\Lambda')/(p\Lambda' \cap \Lambda) = (p\Lambda')/(\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)).$

PROOF: (i) Since  $\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda') = \operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)\Lambda'$  and  $\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda'}(\Lambda') = p\Lambda'$ , it is enough to show that  $\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)\Lambda' = p\Lambda'$ . There is m > 0 such that  $\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)^m \subseteq p\Lambda$ , so  $(\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)\Lambda')^m \subseteq p\Lambda' = \operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda'}(\Lambda')$ ; thus  $\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)\Lambda' \subseteq p\Lambda'$ . The other inclusion is obvious.

(ii) Since  $\Lambda$  is a  $Z_{(p)}$ -order there is m > 0 such that  $p^m \Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda$ . Therefore, for m large enough,  $(p\Lambda' \cap \Lambda)^m \subseteq p\Lambda \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)$ ; thus  $p\Lambda' \cap \Lambda \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)$ . Also  $\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)\Lambda' = p\Lambda'$ .

For the following lemma we need to fix some notation.

Let  $\varphi_H \colon \Omega_p(G) \to \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  be the mark of H, that is, if X is a G-set then  $\varphi_H(X) = |X^H|$ , where  $X^H$  is the set of fixed points of X under H.

Let 
$$\varphi \colon \Omega_p(G) \to \prod_{\underline{H} \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \mathsf{Z}_{(p)}$$
 be given by  $\varphi = (\varphi_H)_{\underline{H} \in \mathcal{C}(G)}$ .

Let  $e_H$  be the idempotent of  $\Omega_{\mathbf{Q}}(G)$  corresponding to H (that is,  $\varphi_K(e_H) = 1$  if  $\underline{K} = \underline{H}$  and 0 otherwise).

Let  $e_H^p = \sum e_K$ , where the sum runs over all  $\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}(G)$  with  $\underline{\mathcal{O}^p(K)} = \underline{H}$ .

Let  $C_p(G)$  be the set of conjugacy classes of *p*-perfect subgroups of G. Yoshida has shown that  $\{e_H^p: \underline{H} \in C_p(G)\}$  is a complete set of primitive idempotents of  $\Omega_p(G)$  (see [6]; 3.1).

Finally for a finitely generated  $\Lambda$ -module M let  $\overline{M} = M/(\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(M))$ , let  $\mu_{\Lambda}(M)$  be the minimal number of generators of M as  $\Lambda$ -module and let  $F_p$  be the field with p elements.

LEMMA B. We have the equality

$$\mu_{\Lambda}(\Lambda'/\Lambda) = \sup \left|\left\{\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}(G) : \underline{\mathcal{O}}^p(K) = \underline{H}\right\}\right| - 1,$$

where the supremum is taken over all p-perfect subgroups H of G.

PROOF: For any finitely generated  $\Lambda$ -module M we have  $\mu_{\Lambda}(M) = \mu_{\overline{\Lambda}}(\overline{M})$ . Thus  $\mu_{\Lambda}(\Lambda'/\Lambda) = \mu_{\overline{\Lambda}}(\overline{\Lambda'/\Lambda}) = \mu_{\overline{\Lambda}}(\Lambda'/(p\Lambda' + \Lambda))$ , by Lemma A. On the other hand  $\overline{\Lambda} = \Lambda/(\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)) = \Lambda/(p\Lambda' \cap \Lambda) \cong (\Lambda + p\Lambda')/(p\Lambda') \subseteq (\Lambda')(p\Lambda')$ , again by Lemma A. Then  $\mu_{\Lambda}(\Lambda'/\Lambda) = \mu_{\overline{\Lambda}}(\overline{\Lambda'}/\overline{\Lambda})$ . Let

$$P_{H,p} = \operatorname{Ker} \left( \Omega_p(G) \to \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \to \mathbb{F}_p \right).$$

By [6] 2.2, the set  $\{P_{H,p} \mid \underline{H} \in \mathcal{C}_p(G)\}$  consists of the distinct maximal ideals of  $\Omega_p(G)$ . Then by the Chinese remainder theorem  $\varphi$  induces isomorphisms

$$\overline{\varphi} \colon \overline{\Lambda}' \to \prod_{\underline{H} \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \mathsf{F}_p e_H =: A$$

$$\overline{\varphi} \colon \overline{\Lambda} \to \prod_{\underline{H} \in \mathcal{C}_p(G)} \mathsf{F}_p e_H^p =: B.$$

and

Then  $\mu_{\Lambda}(\Lambda'/\Lambda) = \mu_{B}(A/B)$ . If for  $\underline{H} \in C_{p}(G)$  we let  $B_{H} = \mathbb{F}_{p}e_{H}^{p}$  and  $A_{H} = \oplus \mathbb{F}_{p}e_{K}$ , where the sum runs over all  $\underline{K} \in C(G)$  with  $\underline{\mathcal{O}^{p}(K)} = \underline{H}$ , then we have  $\mu_{\Lambda}(\Lambda'/\Lambda) = \sup \mu_{B_{H}}(A_{H}/B_{H})$ , where the supremum is taken over all  $\underline{H} \in C_{p}(G)$ . On the other hand  $\mu_{B_{H}}(A_{H}/B_{H}) = \left|\left\{\underline{K} \in C(H) : \underline{\mathcal{O}^{p}(K)} = \underline{H}\right\}\right| - 1$ , so the result follows.

In the next lemma we use the fact that the minimum integer n such that  $ne_H \in \Omega(G)$  is  $[N_G(H):H][H:H']_0$ , where  $[H:H']_0$  is the product of all the distinct prime factors of [H:H']. (See [5], remark after 3.3).

LEMMA C. If  $\mu_{\Lambda}(\Lambda'/\Lambda) \leq 2$  then  $\mu_{\Lambda}(\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda'/\Lambda)) \leq 1$ .

PROOF: From Lemma A,  $\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda'/\Lambda) = (p\Lambda')/(\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda))$  and from Lemma B, if  $\mu_{\Lambda}(\Lambda'/\Lambda) \leq 2$  then  $p^3 \nmid |G|$ . Therefore  $p^2\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda$  and, in fact,  $p^2\Lambda' \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)$ . If  $p\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda$ , we are done. Thus we assume that  $p^2$  divides |G|. Hence  $(p\Lambda')/(\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda))$  is a  $\overline{\Lambda}$ -module. Therefore  $\mu_{\overline{\Lambda}}(\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda'/\Lambda)) = \sup \mu_{B_H}(((p\Lambda')/\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda))e_H^p)$ , with  $B_H$  as in the proof of Lemma B and the supremum taken over all  $\underline{H} \in \mathcal{C}_p(G)$ . Given  $\underline{H} \in \mathcal{C}_p(G)$ , there are two cases:

If  $\left|\left\{\underline{K}\in\mathcal{C}(G):\underline{\mathcal{O}^p(K)}=\underline{H}\right\}\right|\leqslant 2$ , then  $p\Lambda'e_H^p\subseteq\mathrm{rad}_\Lambda(\Lambda)$ , so  $\mu_{B_H}((p\Lambda')/(\mathrm{rad}_\Lambda(\Lambda))e_H^p)=0$ .

If  $\left|\left\{\underline{K}\in\mathcal{C}(G):\underline{\mathcal{O}^p(K)}=\underline{H}\right\}\right|=3$  then  $e_H^p=e_{H_0}+e_{H_1}+e_{H_2}$  with  $H\leq H_i$  and  $[H_i:H]=p^i$ . Clearly  $pe_{H_2}$  and  $pe_H^p$  lie in  $\mathrm{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)$  by the remark, so  $pe_{H_0}+pe_{H_1}\in\mathrm{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)$ . Therefore  $(p\Lambda')/(\mathrm{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda))e_H^p=\overline{\Lambda}pe_{H_0}$ . We conclude then that  $\mu_{\overline{\Lambda}}(\mathrm{rad}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda'/\Lambda))\leqslant 1$ .

Now, using Lemmas A and B our Theorem clearly follows from the Drozd-Roiter's criterion.

#### 3. Some consequences and examples

COROLLARY A. If  $\Omega_p(G)$  is representation-finite then  $p^3 \nmid |G|$ .

For p-groups the only p-perfect subgroup is the trivial one, so we obtain the following corollary.

0

COROLLARY B. Let G be a p-group. Then  $\Omega_p(G)$  is representation-finite if and only if G is cyclic of order dividing  $p^2$ .

If G is not a p-group we can only say:

COROLLARY C. If a p-Sylow subgroup of G is cyclic of order dividing  $p^2$ , then  $\Omega_p(G)$  is representation-finite.

PROOF: Let  $\underline{H} \in \mathcal{C}_p(G)$ . If  $\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}(G)$  with  $\mathcal{O}^p(K) = \underline{H}$  then we may assume  $H \leqslant K \leqslant N_G(H)$ . Let  $H \leqslant H_p \leqslant N_G(H)$  be such that  $H_p/H$  is a p-Sylow subgroup of  $(N_G(H))/H$ . If  $p^2 \nmid [H_p : H]$  then clearly  $\left|\left\{\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}(G) : \underline{O^p(K)} = \underline{H}\right\}\right| \leqslant 2$ . If  $p^2 \mid [H : H_p]$ , then  $H_p/H$  is isomorphic to a p-Sylow subgroup of G, hence it is cyclic and therefore

$$\left|\left\{\underline{K}\in\mathcal{C}(G):\underline{\mathcal{O}^p(K)}=\underline{H}\right\}\right|=3.$$

The converse of Corollary C is false, as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE A. Let  $G = A_4$ , the alternating group of degree 4, and p = 2. Then  $\Omega_p(G)$  is representation-finite and a 2-Sylow subgroup of G is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/(2\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}/(2\mathbb{Z})$ .

Having in mind our Theorem and Example A one might naively suspect that if  $p^3 \nmid |G|$  and all the subgroups of the same order of a p-Sylow subgroup of G are conjugate (that is  $\left|\left\{\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}(G) : \underline{\mathcal{O}^p(K)} = 1\right\}\right| \leqslant 3$ ), then  $\Omega_p(G)$  is representation-finite. However, the following example shows that this is not true.

EXAMPLE B. Let  $P_1 = \langle x \rangle$ ,  $P_2 = \langle y \rangle$  be cyclic groups of order 2,  $Q_1 = \langle w \rangle$ ,  $Q_2 = \langle z \rangle$  cyclic groups of order 5 and  $T = \langle a \rangle$  a cyclic group of order 3. Let T act on  $P_1 \times P_2 \times Q_1 \times Q_2$  by  $axa^{-1} = y$ ,  $aya^{-1} = xy$ ,  $awa^{-1} = w^3z$  and  $aza^{-1} = w^2z$ . (This is justified since  $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is an element of  $GL(2, \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})$  of order 3.) Then if p = 3 and G is the semidirect product  $(P_1 \times P_2 \times Q_1 \times Q_2) \times T$ , we have the desired example. (Indeed  $\left|\left\{\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}(G) : \underline{\mathcal{O}^2(K)} = \underline{Q_1}\right\}\right| \geqslant 4$ ).

## REFERENCES

- [1] C.W. Curtis and I. Reiner, Methods of representation theory I (J. Wiley, New York, 1981).
- [2] C.W. Curtis and I. Reiner, Methods of representation theory II (J. Wiley, New York, 1987).
- [3] T. tom Dieck, 'Transformation groups and representation theory', in Lecture Notes in Mathematics 766 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979).
- [4] Y. Drozd and A. Roiter, 'Commutative rings with a finite number of indecomposable integral representations', Math. USSR Izv I (1967), 757-772.

- [5] C. Kratzer and J. Thévenaz, 'Fonction de Möbius d'un groupe fini et anneau de Burnside', Comment. Math. Helv. 59 (1984), 425-438.
- [6] T. Yoshida, 'Idempotents of Burnside rings and dress induction theorem', J. Algebra 80 (1983), 90-105.

Instituto de Matemáticas, UNAM Circuito Exterior, Ciudad Universitaria México, 04510, D.F. Mexico