

Posters were also produced to disseminate information. In the subsequent NTS, there was an improvement in SABP's performance in 12/18 indicators in the Doctors in training survey, with one green flag denoting performance in the top quartile of trusts nationally.

**Conclusion.** The NTS can be analysed to create a plan of action with elements that trainers and trainees feel can improve their experience. Our model demonstrates the potential for using NTS data to plan quality improvement in training.

### Enhanced faculty development: using the GMC survey to run a trainer development workshop

Martin Schmidt<sup>1\*</sup> and Timothy Leung<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Surrey and Borders Partnership NHS Foundation Trust and <sup>2</sup>East London NHS Foundation Trust

\*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2021.435

**Aims.** To investigate whether the General Medical Council (GMC) National Training Surveys (NTS) can be analysed to create a trainer development workshop that improves postgraduate training.

**Background.** As part of its role in quality assurance of medical training, the GMC conducts an annual survey of trainers and trainees. The Trainer survey, part of the NTS, consists of 47 questions which are grouped into 11 indicators of quality. At Surrey and Borders Partnership NHS Foundation Trust, we were keen to use the comprehensive data in the NTS to improve training. We analysed each question to create a workshop to engage trainers in discussion about improving the experiences of trainers and trainees.

**Method.** Our analysis of the NTS used data from the online reporting tool to calculate the scores that were obtained for each question in the 2018 NTS. A question was discussed at the workshop if it performed poorly relative to other questions in the indicator; to provide useful information; or to clarify ambiguity. Indicators where interesting comparisons can be drawn between the views of trainers and trainees were also discussed. The 90-minute workshop was led by the Leadership and Education Fellow and Director of Medical Education. Attendees were subsequently sent an online survey.

**Result.** The workshop consisted of an introduction to the NTS; group discussion on which indicators were felt to be important, good- or poor-performing; discussion of specific questions; and a review of feedback from trainees.

12 questions and 3 indicators (Handover, Supportive environment, Rota design) were discussed. 11 questions were chosen for poor performance, which sought to contextualise the results within the experience of attendees. 8 questions were chosen to provide information, such as resources and current initiatives. 3 were chosen to clarify ambiguity. Many questions met several criteria.

17 attendees responded to the online survey. 64.7% agreed or strongly agreed that the NTS asks questions that are important for them. 76.5% agreed or strongly agreed that the NTS can be used to improve the trainer experience.

In the subsequent NTS, there was an improvement in 9/11 indicators in the Trainer Survey, with four green flags denoting performance in the top quartile of trusts nationally.

**Conclusion.** The NTS can be used to structure a workshop that trainers feel can improve their experience. Our strategy demonstrates the value of analysing the NTS dataset intelligently to engage trainers in improving training.

### Is it possible to use research to learn psychiatry from scratch: a reflective self-study of a pre-clinical year medical student

Jashan Selvakumar<sup>1\*</sup>, Jiann Lin Loo<sup>2</sup>, May Honey Ohn<sup>3</sup> and Gabby Kelly<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>St Georges University of London; <sup>2</sup>Betsi Cadwaladr University Health Board; <sup>3</sup>University Hospital Lewisham and <sup>4</sup>Cardiff University  
\*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2021.436

**Aims.** Despite the abundance of opportunities available for medical students to explore the field of psychiatry, active immersion through experiential learning has proven to be difficult for pre-clinical year students as a result of a busy time table and the need to wait for psychiatry postings during the clinical years. Hence, the question of "how to implement experiential learning of psychiatry in pre-clinical years" arises. This study is aimed to elucidate the attempts that have been made to use research as a proximate approach to learn psychiatry experientially, focusing specifically on the challenges faced and lessons learned by a pre-clinical medical student.

**Method.** This self-study outlined the informal three-months learning-by-doing journey of a year-one medical student, supervised by a psychiatrist registrar. Employing research as a proximate approach of experiential learning for psychiatry was explored based on reflection from discussion during supervision meetings and messages exchange. The agreed learning method was an active involvement in research projects on psychiatry topics, with the learning outcome of producing publications.

**Result.** The challenges faced included: 1) the difficulty associated with striking a balance between an ambitious project with high impact versus a feasible smaller project to keep both parties motivated through the means of short-term accomplishment; 2) the ongoing requirement for learning process adjustment to build the foundational knowledge essential for progress. Through active and deliberate effort, every step in the process was found to be an opportunity for active learning. Literature review, for example, was used to build the understanding of psychiatry topics and practise critical appraisal skills, while allowing for the recognition of knowledge gaps, which ultimately encouraged future research idea synthesis. The process of writing and submitting a manuscript was used to learn publication-relevant skills including: journal impact calculation, referencing, indexing and abstracting services, and publication ethics. Certain future proof skills were also developed, including literacy in information and communication technology which improved efficiency of research, problem solving and decision making. This was done using pros and cons whenever difficulties were faced.

**Conclusion.** Although research is not a comprehensive substitute for clinical posting in the process of learning psychiatry, the lessons learned from psychiatry research can potentially serve as an initial exploration tool for preclinical-year medical students interested in the field. The stimulating process has found to be effective in stimulating further interest in psychiatry but maintaining it will be the next challenge.

### Improving the confidence and competence of junior doctors in conducting seclusion reviews

Mostafa Shalaby\* and Mehtab Rahman

Central & North West London NHS Foundation Trust

\*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2021.437