# https://doi.org/10.1017/jns.2023.104 Published online by Cambridge University Press

## JNS Journal of nutritional science



### RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Meat, vegetable, and fruit consumption among urban and rural elders aged 60+ years in regional China: a population-level nutritional study

Guilin Zhang<sup>1</sup>†, Jian Kang<sup>2</sup>†, Shibao Jing<sup>3</sup>, Yinhao Chen<sup>4</sup>, Tianrui Deng<sup>5</sup>, Huiqing Xu<sup>5</sup>, Haidi Wu<sup>1</sup>\* and Fei Xu<sup>6</sup>\* D

(Received 16 October 2023 – Accepted 27 October 2023)

Journal of Nutritional Science (2023), vol. 12, e120, page 1 of 8

doi:10.1017/jns.2023.104

### **Abstract**

The aim was to assess epidemiological characteristics of the most recent consumption patterns of meat, vegetable, and fruit among representative urban and rural residents aged 60+ years in regional China. In this cross-sectional survey conducted in mid-2018, participants aged 60+ years were randomly chosen from urban and rural communities in Nanjing municipality of China. Meat, vegetable, and fruit intake were assessed with a validated food frequency questionnaire. Multivariate logistic regression models were applied to compute odds ratio (OR) and 95 % confidence interval (CI) to investigate the association of socio-demographic characteristics with a likelihood of meeting intake recommendation. Among the 20 867 participants, 49·5 % were men and 45·0 % urban elders, and 6·5 % aged 80+ years. The mean values of consumption frequency of red meat, white meat, vegetable, and fruit were 2·99 ± 2·28, 1·37 ± 1·13, 5·24 ± 6·43, and 2·64 ± 2·91 times/week, respectively, among overall participants. Moreover, there were 14·9, 23·7, and 12·1 % of participants meeting intake recommendations of meat, vegetable, and fruit, separately, in this study. After adjustment for potential confounders, age, gender, residence area, and educational attainment each was associated with the likelihood of meeting intake recommendation of meat, vegetable, or fruit. The consumption frequency and proportion of participants meeting intake recommendations of meat, vegetables, and fruit. It has public health implications that participants' socio-demographic characteristics were associated for precision intervention on meat, vegetable, and fruit consumption in healthy eating campaigns among elders in China.

Key words: Elders: Fruit: Intake: Red meat: Vegetable: White meat

### Introduction

Meat, vegetable, and fruit, the major types of daily foods, are essential to human health. It has been well documented that inadequate consumption of meat, vegetable, and fruit is associated with the risk of experiencing non-communicable diseases (NCDs). (1–8) Unbalanced consumption of meat was

associated with type 2 diabetes (T2D), cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), and some cancers, (1-4) while inadequate intake of vegetable and fruit has been examined in relation to T2D and CVDs as well as mental health issues. (5-8) Moreover, unhealthy consumption of meat, vegetable, and fruit has produced a heavy health-related burden globally based on

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Geriatric Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The First Affiliated Hospital with Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Nanjing Liuhe District Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Nanjing, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Wenzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Wenzhou, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Nanjing Medical University School of Public Health, Nanjing, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Nanjing Municipal Center for Disease Control and Prevention Affiliated to Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors: Haidi Wu, email: wuhaidi1974@163.com; Fei Xu, email: frankxufei@163.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.



premature mortality. (9,10) Therefore, healthy eating of meat, vegetable, and fruit is an important public health concern worldwide. And, consequently, periodical investigation on and population-level evaluation of meat, vegetable, and fruit consumption are of particular significance for precision interventions on community-based NCDs through healthy eating promotion from the perspectives of both nutritional epidemiology and public health.

Systems of such a periodical surveillance on meat, vegetable, and fruit consumption have been established among the overall population as a part of the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Systems (BRFSS) in some countries/regions by specific official institutes (e.g., US CDC, China CDC). (11,12) In addition to these information collected dynamically from the general population by official institutes, specific data on meat, vegetable, and fruit consumption were also gathered among different sub-populations by academic researchers from different countries/communities. (13–18) Particularly, some studies reported meat, vegetable, and fruit consumption with a focus on elders, a particularly vulnerable sub-population, using data derived from the overall adult population. (19–23)

With a rapid transition to an ageing society, China has been witnessing a remarkable rise in the prevalence of NCDs among elders aged 60+ years over the past decades. (24-26) Moreover, China is a so big country that its geographic areas and economic growth are with diversity. Residents' lifestyle/ behaviour patterns differ in regions/communities at different economic development stages in China. Therefore, for the purpose of precision prevention of NCDs through eating behaviour intervention among elders, it is of continuous interest to investigate and assess the consumption of meat, vegetable, and fruit, the main modifiable eating behaviours, not only nation-wide but also region-specifically in China. For this purpose, we conducted a study with the aim of investigating the most recent intake level and epidemiological characteristics of meat, vegetable, and fruit among representative urban and rural residents aged 60+ years in regional China.

### **Methods**

### Study design and participants

Data analysed in the present study were from a broad crosssectional survey (Healthy Aging, Healthy Elders 2018, HAHE-2018 study), which was conducted to collect information on common NCDs (including T2D, hypertension, low/ excess body weight, etc.), participant's lifestyle and behaviours (including eating behaviours, physical activity, etc.) among local urban and rural residents aged 60+ years in Nanjing municipality of China during mid-2018. Subjects were eligible for taking part in the HAHE-2018 study, if they (1) were locally registered inhabitants aged 60+ years, (2) did not have physical/psychiatric problems, and (3) did not have literal/cognitive problems. The sample size of HAHE-2018 study was estimated based on consideration of (1) the multistage sampling approach applied in the survey, (2) the lowest prevalence of common NCDs (1.4%, the prevalence of underweight among men aged 60+ years) among elders in

China, <sup>(27)</sup> (3) the response rate (85 %) expected, and (4) the statistical power (90 %) assumed. Thus, about 21 000 participants would warrant a statistical power for identifying the sufficient number of elders with low body weight in HAHE-2018 study. Consequently, such a large number of subjects would be more than that required for investigating the consumption of meat, vegetable, and fruit, as either intake rate of these three types of food was really much higher than the figure of low body weight among elders in China. <sup>(21,22)</sup>

Nanjing, the study municipality, is a typical megacity in eastern China, which had approximately 8.43 million registered inhabitants with about 18.0 % of elders aged 60+ years in 2018. (28) To maximize the representativeness of the general population of elderly people, a multi-stage sampling strategy was used to randomly recruit subjects from each of the twelve administrative districts of Nanjing. When the overall sample size was determined, the number of eligible participants was computed for each district according to the specific district-to-municipality proportion of elders. Next, the number of potentially participating households was calculated for each district based on an assumption that two elders from one household would be recruited in the survey. Then, a two-stage sampling approach was applied to select participants. Firstly, fifteen communities/neighborhoods were randomly selected from each district. Secondly, participating households were randomly recruited from each selected community/neighborhood based on the number of households estimated for the district. Finally, all eligible elders within determined households were invited to take part in the investigation. Thus, 20 883 eligible participants were successfully recruited in the HAHE-2018 study.

All participants were asked to provide their written informed consent prior to the implementation of the HAHE-2018 study. Although data used to describe epidemiological characteristics of meat, vegetable, and fruit consumption were from HAHE-2018 study without the personal identification of participants, the present study was also reviewed and approved by The Ethics Committee of Geriatric Hospital of Nanjing Medical University. The methods performed in the study were in line with recommendations by the Declaration of Helsinki.

### Data collection

Participant's personal socio-demographic characteristics (age, residence area, educational attainment, marital status), history of common NCDs (hypertension, diabetes, abnormal lipid profile), dietary consumption (intake of red and white meat, vegetable, and fruit), physical activity, cigarette smoking and drinking, family history of hypertension and diabetes were self-reported with a widely-used standardized questionnaire via a face-to-face interview administered by investigators. (29) Participants' weight and height were objectively measured with light clothing and no shoes. Body weight was assessed to the nearest 0·1 kg, while height was recorded to the nearest 0·01 m. Each of them was measured twice for each participant and the mean values were used to calculate body mass index (BMI) for each subject in the study.



### Study variables

Outcome variable. Meat (red and white meat, separately), vegetable, and fruit consumption was assessed using a validated Chinese version of the food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). (30) The consumption frequency (times/ week) of these foods was, one by one, recorded for each participant, as consumption frequency more than intake amount was easier to be recalled and self-reported. (11) The question in the FFQ used to collect information on food consumption was 'In last year, how often did you consume the following foods under a typical situation? Please respond to all items of food in frequencies one by one.'. (30) Then, the consumption frequencies were converted into times/ week for analysis. Further, the mean values (standard deviation, SD) of red meat, white meat, vegetable, and fruit consumption frequencies were calculated for each participant in the study. Chinese Nutrition Society in 2016 released a recommendation on consumption frequencies of meat, vegetable, and fruit specifically for Chinese elders. (31) In this dietary recommendations for Chinese elders, red meat and white meat were not differentiated from each other. (31) Thus, consequently, the term 'meat' referred to the combination of red meat and white meat regarding recommendations on meat consumption for participants in this study. Based on this recommendation, participants were classified into two categories: recommendation reached ('Yes') or recommendation not reached ('No') regarding meat (red and white meat combined), vegetable and fruit consumption, separately, in the analysis.

### **Explanatory variables**

Some classical variables were involved in classifying participants for the analysis. Participants' socio-demographic attributes referred to age (60−69, 70−79, or 80+ years old), gender (men or women), residence area (urban or rural), educational attainment (≤9, 10−12, or 13+ schooling years), and marital status (single or married/having a partner). It is not easy to define urban and rural areas in China. To ensure nation-level comparability of the definitions of urban and rural areas, China National Bureau of Statistics issued an official rules for categorizing urban and rural areas for statistical use in China. (32) In this study, urban and rural areas were determined using the official categories by the National Bureau of Statistic.

Subjects were also categorized as 'smokers' or 'non-smokers' and 'drinkers' or 'non-drinkers', separately, for analysis based on the definitions released by China CDC. (33) Participants' body weight status was assessed using BMI. Each participant was classified as excess weight (BMI  $\geq$  24) or non-excess weight (BMI  $\leq$  24) in the analysis according to BMI cutoffs recommended for Chinese adults by Ministry of Health of China. (34) Additionally, underweight was defined as BMI  $\leq$  18 in the study. (34)

Positive family history ('Yes') of hypertension and diabetes referred to that either father or mother has been diagnosed as a hypertensive or diabetic patient, respectively. Otherwise, a negative family history ('No') of hypertension or diabetes was recorded. A participant was classified as a hypertensive or diabetic patient ('Yes') if he/she self-reported ever being diagnosed as a patient by a registered physician. As for the status of the lipid profile, a subject was categorized as having an abnormal lipid profile in the analysis, if he/she has been identified as abnormal with either cholesterol, triglyceride, or high/low-density lipoprotein.

### Data analysis

Percentages (%), mean values, and standard deviation (Mean  $\pm$  sD) were used to describe the food intake frequency distribution within subjects by socio-demographic characteristics, and chi-square and ANOVA tests were applied to examine the difference in meat, vegetable, and fruit consumption frequency and mean values between participants' sub-groups accordingly. With adjustment for age, gender, residence area, educational attainment, marital status, body weight, smoking, drinking, family history hypertension/diabetes, hypertension, diabetes, abnormal lipid profiles, multivariate logistic regression models were introduced to calculate odds ratios (ORs) and 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) for identifying the associations of participants' socio-demographic characteristics with meat, vegetable, and fruit consumption. The significance level was P < 0.05 (two-sided). EpiData 3.1 (The EpiData Association 2008, Odense, Denmark) and SPSS version 20.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) were used to enter and analyse data, separately.

### Results

In total, 20 883 elders aged 60+ years were recruited for HAHE-2018 study. Of them, 20 867 reported complete information on food consumption and thus were analysed for investigating meat, vegetable, and fruit intake in this study. Among these participants included in the analysis, 49·5 % were men and 45·0 % lived in urban areas, while 65·7 and 6·5 % aged 60–69 and 80+ years, respectively. The majority (74·5 %) received less than nine schooling years of education, and only 8·0 % obtained college-level educational attainment. There were 13·1 % of participants who were obese and 2·5 % were underweight. Moreover, among participants, differences in genders by age, residence area, or education were statistically significant, separately (Table 1).

Table 2 presents the consumption level of red meat, white meat, vegetable, and fruit by selected characteristics of participants aged 60+ years in this study. Overall, 0.9 % (n 193) and 3.3 % (n 689) of participants, separately, did not consume red meat and white meat, while only 0.1 % (n 21) and 3.7 % of elders (n 776) reported not eating vegetable and fruits, respectively. The mean values of consumption frequency of red meat, white meat, vegetable, and fruit were  $2.99 \pm 2.28$ ,  $1.37 \pm 1.13$ ,  $5.24 \pm 6.43$  and  $2.64 \pm 2.91$  times/week, respectively, among overall participants. The mean intake frequencies of these foods differed, separately, by age, gender, residence area, and educational attainment among participants.



Table 1. Selected characteristics of participants aged 60+ years in Nanjing, China

|                                 |                   | Participants, n (%) |               |          |                      |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------|----------------------|
|                                 | Overall           | Men                 | Women         | $\chi^2$ | P value <sup>a</sup> |
| Total                           | 20 867            | 10 326 (49.5)       | 10 541 (50-5) |          |                      |
| Age (years)                     |                   | • •                 | •             |          |                      |
| 60–69                           | 13 710 (65-7)     | 6630 (48-4)         | 7080 (51.6)   |          |                      |
| 70–79                           | 5800 (27.8)       | 2997 (51.7)         | 2803 (48-3)   | 20.29    | <0.001               |
| 80+                             | 1357 (6.5)        | 699 (51.5)          | 658 (48-5)    |          |                      |
| Residence area                  |                   |                     |               |          |                      |
| Urban                           | 9381 (45.0)       | 4539 (48-4)         | 4842 (51.6)   | 8.25     | 0.004                |
| Rural                           | 11 486 (55-0)     | 5787 (50-4)         | 5699 (49-6)   |          |                      |
| Educational attainment          | (schooling years) |                     |               |          |                      |
| 9–                              | 15 544 (74.5)     | 7053 (45.4)         | 8491 (54-6)   |          |                      |
| 10-12                           | 3647 (17-5)       | 2139 (58-7)         | 1508 (41.3)   | 449-15   | <0.001               |
| 13+                             | 1676 (8.0)        | 1134 (67-7)         | 542 (32.3)    |          |                      |
| Body weight status <sup>b</sup> |                   |                     |               |          |                      |
| Underweight                     | 523 (2.5)         | 271 (51.8)          | 252 (48-2)    |          |                      |
| Normal                          | 9262 (44-4)       | 4653 (50-2)         | 4609 (49.8)   | 58-18    | <0.001               |
| Overweight                      | 8355 (40-0)       | 4237 (50-7)         | 4118 (49-3)   |          |                      |
| Obese                           | 2727 (13.1)       | 1165 (42.7)         | 1562 (57.3)   |          |                      |

a Chi-square test.

Table 3 displays the proportion of participants who reached the intake recommendation of meat, vegetable, and fruit for residents aged 60+ years in this study. Overall, there were 14·9, 23·7,, and 12·1 % of participants who met consumption recommendations of meat, vegetable, and fruit, respectively, in this study. The difference in the proportions of participants meeting meat, vegetable, or fruit intake recommendation was examined by each of gender, residence area, and education. However, a significant difference in the proportions of participants between age-groups was observed only for meat consumption in the study.

Table 4 shows the association of socio-demographic characteristics with the likelihood of meeting intake recommendation of meat, vegetable, and fruit for residents aged 60+ years in the study. After adjustment for potential confounders, age was negatively associated with the likelihood of meeting consumption recommendation for either of meat, vegetable, and fruit among participants in the study. Women were less likely to meet the consumption recommendation of either meat or vegetable compared to men, while no difference in the likelihood of meeting intake recommendation of fruit between women and men (OR = 0.93; 95 %CI = 0.83, 1.03). Moreover, rural elderly people were at significantly lower odds for meeting consumption recommendations of meat, vegetable, and fruit, separately, relative to their urban counterparts. Further, educational attainment were in a positive relation to the likelihood of reaching consumption recommendation of meat but in a negative link to that of vegetable, while only those with college-level educational attainment tended to meet the intake recommendation of fruit (OR = 1.15; 95 %CI = 1.01, 1.33).

### **Discussion**

In this community-based nutritional study, we aimed to investigate the epidemiological characteristics of meat, vegetable,

and fruit consumption, and further to examine the associations of socio-demographic attributes with the likelihood of meeting intake recommendation among urban and rural elders aged 60+ years in regional China. It was identified that either the intake frequencies or the proportions of participants who met intake recommendations of meat, vegetable, and fruit were not high, and all selected socio-demographic characteristics were significantly associated with the likelihood of meeting intake recommendation of meat, vegetable, and fruit among participants in the study.

It is really meaningful to make a comparison of findings in our study with those documented in a recent nation-wide survey using data derived from China Health and Nutrition Survey 2015 (CHNS-2015 survey). (21,22) The consumption rate of meat (red plus white), vegetable, and fruit was 99·4, 99·9, and 96·3 %, respectively, among overall participants in our study. Each of them was higher than that (81·9, 99·5, and 39·7 %, separately, for meat, vegetable, and fruit) reported in CHNS-2015 studies. (21,22) Interestingly, compared to the proportion of participants meeting intake recommendation of meat (19·7 %), vegetable (34·3 %), and fruit (5·8 %) in CHNS-2015, the corresponding figure was, separately, lower for meat (14·9 %) and vegetable (23·7 %), but much higher for fruit (12·1 %) in the present study.

These inconsistent findings in our study and the CHNS-2015 survey might be explained by at least three main reasons. The first might be due to the different numbers of participants included in these investigations. In our study, the sample size was as large as 20 867, and participants were well representative of the entire elderly population in Nanjing municipality. However, CHNS-2015 survey was conducted within overall adults, not elders only, from fifteen provinces of China. (21,22) Considering that just approximately 5000 elderly subjects derived from the overall adult participants in CHNS-2015, the sample population might not be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Body weight status was categorised based on recommendations for Chinese adults using body mass index.

Y

Table 2. Consumption level of red meat, white meat, vegetable, and fruit by selected characteristics of participants aged 60+ years in this study

|                |  | Red meat                      | neat    |                      | White meat                    | meat   |                      | Vege                          | Vegetable |                      | <u></u>                       | Fruit   |                      |
|----------------|--|-------------------------------|---------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------|----------------------|
|                | Number of participants Times/week (SD <sup>a</sup> ) | Times/week (SD <sup>a</sup> ) | F       | P value <sup>b</sup> | Times/week (SD <sup>a</sup> ) | F      | P value <sup>b</sup> | Times/week (SD <sup>a</sup> ) | F         | P value <sup>b</sup> | Times/week (SD <sup>a</sup> ) | F       | P value <sup>b</sup> |
| Overall        | 20 867   | 2.99 ± 2.28                   |         |                      | 1.37 ± 1.13                   |        |                      | 5·24 ± 6·43                   |           |                      | 2.64 ± 2.91                   |         |                      |
| Age (years)    | 0,7  | 0                             |         |                      |                               |        |                      | 17                            |           |                      | 0000                          |         |                      |
| 90-03          | 5800   | 3.10±2.31<br>2.81±2.23        | 40.05   | 0.07                 | 1.20 ± 1.10                   | 25.30  | 0.00                 | 5.30 + 6.54                   | 0.00      | 0.101                | 2.03 ± 2.90<br>2.04 ± 2.45    | 6.50    | 0.00                 |
| 2 +08<br>+08   | 1357   | 2.73 ± 2.18                   | 3       | 200                  | $1.27 \pm 1.08$               | 3      |                      | 5.53 ± 6.51                   | 1         | 5                    | 2.45 ± 2.90                   | 8       | 9                    |
| Gender         |  |                               |         |                      |                               |        |                      |                               |           |                      |                               |         |                      |
| Men            | 10 326   | 3.08 ± 2.29                   | 28.45   | <0.001               | 1.41 ± 1.16                   | 27.66  | <0.001               | $5.14 \pm 6.38$               | 4.30      | 0.038                | $2.55 \pm 2.83$               | 22.83   | <0.001               |
| Women          | 10 541   | $2.91 \pm 2.27$               |         |                      | 1.32 ± 1.10                   |        |                      | $5.32 \pm 6.48$               |           |                      | $2.74 \pm 2.98$               |         |                      |
| Residence area | rea  |                               |         |                      |                               |        |                      |                               |           |                      |                               |         |                      |
| Urban          | 9381   | $3.59 \pm 2.56$               | 1255-61 | <0.001               | $1.20 \pm 1.36$               | 131.68 | <0.001               | $5.73 \pm 6.02$               | 69.66     | <0.001               | $3.37 \pm 3.47$               | 1123.91 | <0.001               |
| Rural          | 11 486   | $2.50 \pm 1.89$               |         |                      | $1.28 \pm 1.06$               |        |                      | $4.83 \pm 6.72$               |           |                      | $2.05 \pm 2.19$               |         |                      |
| ducational     | Educational attainment (schooling years)             | ars)                          |         |                      |                               |        |                      |                               |           |                      |                               |         |                      |
| 6              | 15 544   | $2.80 \pm 2.19$               |         |                      | 1.31 ± 1.10                   |        |                      | $5.46 \pm 6.65$               |           |                      | $2.50 \pm 2.76$               |         |                      |
| 10–12          | 3647   | $3.54 \pm 2.44$               | 226.87  | <0.001               | $1.54 \pm 1.22$               | 69.40  | <0.001               | $4.37 \pm 5.59$               | 43.60     | <0.001               | $2.97 \pm 3.15$               | 84.60   | <0.001               |
| 13+            | 1676   | $3.61 \pm 2.49$               |         |                      | 1.49 ± 1.16                   |        |                      | $5.04 \pm 5.95$               |           |                      | $3.29 \pm 3.62$               |         |                      |

BD, standard deviation.
ANOVA test.

representative sufficiently of the national elderly population. The second explanation might be that different methods were applied to assess food intake in our study and CHNS-15 survey. Consumption frequency under a typical situation was used to measure food intake level in our study, while three consecutive 24 h recalls were employed to gather the intake amount of food for participants in CHNS-2015 survey. (21,22) The third was that different approaches were used to assess an individual to meet food intake recommendation in the two studies. (31,35) In our study, consumption frequency was applied to determine a participant reaching the recommended food intake level based on dietary guidelines specifically for elderly Chinese residents released by Chinese Nutrition Society in 2016, (31) while the CHNS-2015 survey used consumption amount to judge a subject meeting food intake recommendations according to dietary guidelines for overall Chinese residents issued by Chinese Nutrition Society in the same year. (35)

Interestingly, the findings on associations of meat, vegetable, and fruit consumption with potential influencing factors in our study stratified by either of age, gender, and residence area (urban v. rural) were surprisingly in line with those documented in CHNS-2015 survey, although consumption frequency and intake amount of food were used, respectively, to assess food intake level in these two studies. (21,22) Those older elders were less likely to meet recommended intake standard for meat, vegetable, and fruit, separately, compared to their younger counterparts. Women were at lower odds for reaching recommended standard of meat or vegetable consumption relative to men, while there was no difference in fruit intake between women and men. Urban elders tended to consume each of meat, vegetable, and fruit at recommended standard than rural elders. These consistent findings for sub-groups of participants from different studies with different food consumption measurement approaches imply that similar dietary patterns regarding meat, vegetable, and fruit consumption may hold for elders across China.

This study had several strengths. Firstly, the sample size was as large as about 20 000, and participants were randomly selected from both urban and rural areas. The study sample subjects were representative of overall approximately 1.5 million elderly residents in the megacity. Secondly, validated instruments were applied to assess food intake for participants. Thirdly, food intake recommendations specifically for Chinese elders, not overall adults, were used to assess participants' meat, vegetable, and fruit intake levels. Finally, the scenarios for overall and stratified participants were well analysed and presented. Interesting findings were observed and could be used to inform precision healthy eating promotion campaigns with consideration of elders' socio-demographic characteristics.

Although this is the first study on meat, vegetable, and fruit intake among representative elders from an entire typical megacity in China, several limitations shall be mentioned. First, information on food consumption was self-reported by participants, which might cause potential recall bias, especially for those older elders. Second, consumption frequency



Table 3. Proportion of participants meeting intake recommendation of meat, vegetable, and fruit for residents aged 60+ years in this study

|                        |                        | Pr                       | oportion o | f participa                 | nts who reache | ed intake re | commend                        | ations for Chin | ese elders |                                |
|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------------------|
|                        |                        | Meat <sup>a</sup> (red i | meat + whi | ite meat)                   | Vegetable      |              |                                | Fruit           |            |                                |
|                        | Number of participants | n (%)                    | χ²         | <i>P</i> value <sup>b</sup> | n (%)          | χ²           | <i>P</i><br>value <sup>b</sup> | n (%)           | χ²         | <i>P</i><br>value <sup>b</sup> |
| Overall                | 20 867                 | 3099 (14-9)              |            |                             | 4950 (23.7)    |              |                                | 2527 (12-1)     |            |                                |
| Age (years)            |                        |                          |            |                             |                |              |                                |                 |            |                                |
| 60–69                  | 13710                  | 2209 (16·1)              | 52.29      | <0.001                      | 3202 (23.4)    | 3.53         | 0.171                          | 1708 (12-5)     | 4.67       | 0.097                          |
| 70–79                  | 5800                   | 738 (12.7)               |            |                             | 1406 (24-2)    |              |                                | 660 (11.4)      |            |                                |
| 80+                    | 1357                   | 152 (11.2)               |            |                             | 342 (25.2)     |              |                                | 159 (11.7)      |            |                                |
| Gender                 |                        |                          |            |                             |                |              |                                |                 |            |                                |
| Men                    | 10 326                 | 1669 (16-2)              | 27.82      | <0.001                      | 2382 (23.1)    | 4.83         | 0.028                          | 1129 (10.9)     | 26.58      | <0.001                         |
| Women                  | 10 541                 | 1430 (13.6)              |            |                             | 2568 (24.4)    |              |                                | 1398 (13.3)     |            |                                |
| Residence area         |                        |                          |            |                             |                |              |                                |                 |            |                                |
| Urban                  | 9381                   | 1948 (20.8)              | 471.40     | <0.001                      | 2339 (24.9)    | 13.83        | <0.001                         | 2159 (23.0)     | 1904.03    | <0.001                         |
| Rural                  | 11 486                 | 1151 (10.0)              |            |                             | 2611 (22.7)    |              |                                | 368 (3.2)       |            |                                |
| Educational attainment | (schooling years)      |                          |            |                             |                |              |                                |                 |            |                                |
| 9-                     | 15 544                 | 2002 (12.9)              | 188-24     | <0.001                      | 3964 (25.5)    | 116-27       | <0.001                         | 1562 (10-0)     | 270.89     | <0.001                         |
| 10–12                  | 3647                   | 740 (20-3)               |            |                             | 631 (17-3)     |              |                                | 603 (16-5)      |            |                                |
| 13+                    | 1676                   | 357 (21.3)               |            |                             | 355 (21.2)     |              |                                | 362 (21.6)      |            |                                |
| Marital status         |                        |                          |            |                             |                |              |                                |                 |            |                                |
| Single                 | 4455                   | 568 (12.7)               | 19.78      | <0.001                      | 1877 (42-1)    | 1061-07      | <0.001                         | 562 (12-6)      | 1.36       | 0.244                          |
| Married/having a       | 16 412                 | 2531 (15.4)              |            |                             | 3073 (18-7)    |              |                                | 1965 (12-0)     |            |                                |
| partner                |                        |                          |            |                             |                |              |                                |                 |            |                                |
| Smoking                |                        |                          |            |                             |                |              |                                |                 |            |                                |
| No                     | 16 048                 | 2263 (14.1)              | 30.89      | <0.001                      | 3988 (24.9)    | 48.93        | <0.001                         | 2032 (12.7)     | 19.89      | <0.001                         |
| Yes                    | 4819                   | 836 (17-3)               |            |                             | 962 (20.0)     |              |                                | 495 (10-3)      |            |                                |
| Drinking               |                        |                          |            |                             |                |              |                                |                 |            |                                |
| No                     | 16 155                 | 2298 (14-2)              | 22-21      | <0.001                      | 3931 (24-3)    | 14.78        | <0.001                         | 2119 (13-1)     | 68-11      | <0.001                         |
| Yes                    | 4712                   | 801 (17.0)               |            |                             | 1019 (21-6)    |              |                                | 408 (8.7)       |            |                                |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Meat refers to either red meat or white meat.

<sup>b</sup> Chi-square test.

was used to measure subjects' food intake level. This could not allow us to assess the intake amount of meat, vegetable, and fruit for participants. Third, the patterns of meat, vegetable, and fruit intake were analysed for Chinese elders using data from a single cross-sectional survey, which could not allow us to further investigate trends/shifts in the dietary intake over time. In the future, data from longitudinal or several repeated cross-sectional studies are welcome to assess trends/shifts in the dietary intake for elderly residents in China.

From the public health perspective, it is in need to initiate precision healthy eating campaigns for improving the health conditions of elders in a rapid-ageing society. For an individual, eating behaviours may change under different situations or with time going on, while population-level dietary patterns may also change with economic growth in a society. Therefore, precision dietary intervention programs for elders shall be developed only based on periodical assessment of specific food consumption patterns. In future, dynamic population-level studies are encouraged to investigate intake patterns of foods like meat, vegetable, and fruits among elderly people.

In conclusion, the intake frequency and the proportion of participants meeting intake recommendation of meat, vegetable, or fruit were not high among elders in regional China. Socio-demographic characteristics were associated with intake recommendations of meat, vegetable, and fruit. It has public health implications that participants' socio-demographic characteristics and behaviours shall be considered for precision

intervention on meat, vegetable, and fruit consumption in population-level healthy eating campaigns among elders in China.

### **Acknowledgments**

Our special thanks go to all workers from local communities for their kind assistance in field data collection.

HW and FX conceived and designed the present study. SJ, HW, and FX are responsible for data acquisition. YC analysed the data presented in this manuscript. HW obtained financial support for the present work. GZ, JK, SJ, YC, TD, HX, HW, and FX wrote and critically reviewed the manuscript. Each author approved the final version for submission and was also responsible for all aspects of the work presented in this manuscript.

This present work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (52078254; Recipient: Fei Xu), and a grant from the foundation of Jiangsu Commission of Health (BJ20025; Recipient: Haidi Wu).

The funder had no role in this work and the decision of submission.

None declared.

The data involved in this work are available upon reasonable request to corresponding author FX or HW.

Written informed consents were obtained from all participants before the survey. The Ethics Committee of Geriatric Hospital of Nanjing Medical University reviewed and approved



Table 4. The association of socio-demographic characteristics with a likelihood of meeting intake recommendation of meat, vegetable, and fruit for residents aged 60+ years in this study

Adjusted OR (95 %CI) for participants to meet consumption recommendations for Chinese elders<sup>a</sup> Meatb Vegetable Fruit Number of participants n (%) OR (95 %CI) n (%) OR (95 %CI) n (%) OR (95 %CI) Overall 20.867 3099 (14.9) 4950 (23.7) 2527 (12.1) Age (years) 60-69 13710 2209 (16-1) 3202 (23.4) 1708 (12.5) 70-79 0.77 (0.70, 0.84) 0.91 (0.84, 0.98) 5800 738 (12.7) 1406 (24.2) 660 (11.4) 0.86 (0.77, 0.95) 342 (25.2) 80+ 1357 152 (11.2) 0.65 (0.54, 0.78) 0.70 (0.61, 0.81) 159 (11.7) 0.76 (0.63, 0.92) Gender 10326 Men 1669 (16.2) 2382 (23.1) 1129 (10.9) 10541 1430 (13.6) 0.87 (0.79, 0.96) 2568 (24.4) 0.78 (0.72, 0.84) 1398 (13.3) 0.93 (0.83, 1.03) Women Residence area Urban 9381 1948 (20.8) 2339 (24.9) 2159 (23.0) Rural 11486 1151 (10.0) 0.44 (0.41, 0.48) 2611 (22.7) 0.58 (0.54, 0.63) 368 (3.2) 0.10 (0.09, 0.12) Educational attainment (schooling years) 2002 (12.9) 3964 (25.5) 1562 (10.0) 15 544 1.24 (1.12, 1.37) 0.56 (0.51, 0.62) 0.93 (0.83, 1.04) 10-12 3647 740 (20.3) 631 (17-3) 603 (16.5) 13+ 1676 357 (21.3) 1.25 (1.09, 1.43) 355 (21.2) 0.71 (0.62, 0.81) 362 (21.6) 1.15 (1.01, 1.33) Marital status Single 4455 568 (12.7) 1877 (42.1) 562 (12.6) Married/having a partner 16412 2531 (15.4) 0.92 (0.83, 1.02) 3073 (18.7) 0.28 (0.26, 0.31) 1965 (12.0) 0.51 (0.45, 0.57) Body weight status<sup>c</sup> Underweight 523 73 (14.0) 142 (27.2) 34 (6.5) 0.92 (0.75, 1.13) 0.97 (0.75, 1.26) 1030 (11.1) 1.74 (1.20, 2.52) Normal 9262 1347 (14.5) 2282 (24.6) Overweight 8355 1278 (15.3) 0.99 (0.76, 1.28) 1883 (22.5) 0.81 (0.65, 1.00) 1066 (12.8) 1.85 (1.28, 2.68) Obese 2727 401 (14.7) 0.98 (0.74, 1.29) 643 (23.6) 0.84 (0.67, 1.05) 397 (14-6) 2.03 (1.39, 2.98) Smoking 16048 2263 (14.1) 3988 (24.9) No 2032 (12.7) 836 (17.3) 1.12 (1.01, 1.24) 0.77 (0.70, 0.84) 0.90 (0.79, 1.01) 4819 962 (20.0) 495 (10.3) Yes Drinking No 16 155 2298 (14-2) 3931 (24-3) 2119 (13.1) 4712 801 (17.0) 1.09 (0.98, 1.22) 1019 (21.6) 0.87 (0.79, 0.96) 408 (8.7) 0.66 (0.57, 0.75) Yes

this study. The methods performed in the study were in line with recommendations by the Declaration of Helsinki.

### References

- Schwingshackl L, Hoffmann G, Lampousi AM, et al. Food groups and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. Eur J Epidemiol. 2017;32 (5):363–375. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-017-0246-y.
- Papier K, Fensom GK, Knuppel A, et al. Meat consumption and risk of 25 common conditions: outcome-wide analyses in 475,000 men and women in the UK biobank study. BMC Med. 2021;19 (1):53. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-021-01922-9.
- Farvid MS, Sidahmed E, Spence ND, Mante Angua K, Rosner BA & Barnett JB. Consumption of red meat and processed meat and cancer incidence: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2021;36(9):937–951. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-021-00741-9.
- Han MA, Zeraatkar D, Guyatt GH, et al. Reduction of red and processed meat intake and cancer mortality and incidence: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. Ann Intern Med. 2019;171(10):711–720. https://doi.org/10.7326/M19-0699.
- Halvorsen RE, Elvestad M, Molin M & Aune D. Fruit and vegetable consumption and the risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies.
   BMJ Nutr Prev Health. 2021;4(2):519–531. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjnph-2020-000218.

- Madsen H, Sen A & Aune D. Fruit and vegetable consumption and the risk of hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. 2023) Eur J Nutr. 62(5):1941–1955. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00394-023-03145-5.
- Saghafian F, Malmir H, Saneei P, Milajerdi A, Larijani B & Esmaillzadeh A. Fruit and vegetable consumption and risk of depression: accumulative evidence from an updated systematic review and meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. Br J Nutr. 2018;119 (10):1087–1101. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114518000697.
- Głąbska D, Guzek D, Groele B & Gutkowska K. Fruit and vegetable intake and mental health in adults: a systematic review. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(1):115, https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12010115.
- Bellavia A, Stilling F & Wolk A. High red meat intake and all-cause cardiovascular and cancer mortality: Is the risk modified by fruit and vegetable intake? Am J Clin Nutr. 2016;104(4):1137–1143. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.116.135335.
- BD 2017 Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980–2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. *Lanet.* 2018;392(10159):1736– 1788. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32203-7.
- The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, CDC. Accessed June 5, 2023. https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/questionnaires/index.htm.
- Linhong W. Non-communicable Disease Prevention and Control. Beijing: People's Medical Publishing House; 2018.
- Polsky JY & Garriguet D. Change in vegetable and fruit consumption in Canada between 2004 and 2015. Health Rep. 2020;31 (4):3–12. https://doi.org/10.25318/82-003-x202000400001-eng.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Adjusted OR was estimated with consideration of the family history of hypertension, family history of diabetes, hypertension, diabetes, and abnormal lipid profiles in multivariate logistics regression models.

b Meat refers to either red meat or white meat.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Body weight status was categorized based on recommendations for Chinese adults using body mass index.



- Booth JN, Li J, Zhang L, Chen L, Muntner P & Egan B. Trends in prehypertension and hypertension risk factors in US adults: 1999– 2012. Hypertension. 2017;70(2):275–284, https://doi.org/10.1161/ HYPERTENSIONAHA.116.09004.
- Prättälä R, Paalanen L, Grinberga D, Helasoja V, Kasmel A & Petkeviciene J. Gender differences in the consumption of meat, fruit and vegetables are similar in Finland and the baltic countries. Eur J Public Health. 2007;17(5):520–525. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckl265.
- Satheannoppakao W, Aekplakorn W & Pradipasen M. Fruit and vegetable consumption and its recommended intake associated with socio-demographic factors: Thailand national health examination survey III. *Public Health Nutr.* 2009;**12**(11):2192–2198. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980009005837.
- Li L, Ouyang Y, Wang H, et al. Disparities in fresh fruit and vegetable intake by sociodemographic and behavioural factors among adults in China. Public Health Nutr. 2022;25(3):649–656. https:// doi.org/10.1017/S1368980020003274.
- Liu C, Wang L, Gao X, et al. Intake of vegetables and fruit among adults in China in 2018. Chin J Prev Contr Chron Dis. 2022;30(8):561– 566. https://doi.org/10.16386/j.cjpccd.issn.1004-6194.2022.08. 001 (In Chinese).
- Peltzer K & Phaswana-Mafuya N. Fruit and vegetable intake and associated factors in older adults in South Africa. Glob Health Action. 2012;5:1–8, https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v5i0.18668.
- Guo Q, Yu D, Zhao L, Fang H, Ju L & Li S. Status of fresh vegetables and fruit consumption among Chinese aged 60 years old and above in 2015. J Hygiene Res. 2021;50(3):401–408. https://doi.org/10.19813/j.cnki.weishengvaniju.2021.03.009 (In Chinese).
- Wang L, Zhang B, Wang H, Zhang J, Du W & Wang Z. Intake of meat among the Chinese aged 60 and above in 15 provinces(autonomous region and municipality) in 2015. Food Nutr China. 2018;24(10):13–19. https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1006-9577.2018.10.003 (In Chinese).
- Ouyang Y, Zhang B, Wang Z, et al. Intake of vegetables and fruits among the Chinese aged 60 and above in 15 provinces(autonomous region and municipality) in 2015. Journal of hygiene research. 2019;48(1):23427. https:// doi.org/10.19813/j.cnki.weishengyanjiu.2019.01.003 (In Chinese).
- Liu C, Wang L, Gao X, et al. Study on the vegetables and fruit intake and influencing factors among Chinese elderly in 2018. Chin J Prev Contr Chron Dis. 2023;31(4):262–266. https://doi.org/ 10.16386/j.cjpccd.issn.1004-6194.2023.04.005 (In Chinese).

- Li R, Li W, Lun Z, et al. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Mainland China: a meta-analysis of published studies. BMC Public Health. 2016;16:296. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-2870-y.
- Liu B, Tian Q & Guo J. Analysis of chronic diseases and comorbidities in middle-aged and elderly population in China. *Med Soc.* 2022;35(8):58–61. https://doi.org/10.13723/j.yxysh.2022.08.011 (In Chinese).
- Cao X, Xu C, Hou Y, et al. The epidemic trend and prediction of chronic diseases with high incidence in China from 1990 to 2025.
   Chin J Prev Contr Chron Dis. 2020;28(1):14–19. https://doi.org/10.16386/j.cjpccd.issn.1004-6194.2020.01.004 (In Chinese).
- Wang J, Zhao L, Yu D, et al. Status of nutrition and associated factors among the Chinese aged 60 and above in 2010–2012 in China. J Hygiene Res. 2019;48(2):200–207. https://doi.org/10.19813/j.cnki. weishengyanjiu.2019.02.029 (In Chinese).
- Nanjing Municipal Bureau of Statistics. Accessed July 26, 2023. http://tjj.nanjing.gov.cn/njstjj/201904/t20190402\_1495134.html
- Yao Z, Wang Z, Xiong Y & Xu F. Epidemiological characteristics of hypertension combined with diabetes among the elderly people in Nanjing, China. *Pract Geriatr.* 2022;36(8):796–800. https://doi. org/10.3969/j.issn.1003-9198.2022.08.011 (In Chinese).
- Liu D, He L, Zhang X, et al. Establishment and application of food frequency questionnaire method among Chinese. J Hygiene Res. 2018;47(5):744–748. https://doi.org/10.19813/j.cnki.weishengyanjiu. 2018.05.012 (In Chinese).
- Chinese Nutrition Society. Chinese Dietary Guidelines for the Elderly.
   Beijing: People's Medical Publishing House; 2016. (In Chinese).
- The National Bureau of Statistics, The People's Republic of China.
   Rules for Categorizing Urban and Rural Areas for Statistical Use. Accessed
   October 12, 2023. http://www.stats.gov.cn/sj/tjbz/gjtjbz/202302/t20230213\_1902742.html
- Wang L, Zhang M, Li Y, et al. Scheme of the Chinese chronic noncommunicable disease and risk factor surveillance. Chin J Prev Med. 2018;52:191–194. https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0253-9624. 2018.02.015 (In Chinese).
- 34. Division of Disease Control, Ministry of Health of the people's Republic of China. The Guideline for Prevention and Control of Overweight and Obesity in Chinese Adults. Beijing: People's Medical Publishing House; 2006 (In Chinese).
- Chinese Nutrition Society. Dietary Guidelines for Chinese Residents.
   Beijing: People's Medical Publishing House; 2016 (In Chinese).