

# Class number calculation using Siegel functions

T. Fukuda and K. Komatsu

## ABSTRACT

We propose a fast method of calculating the  $p$ -part of the class numbers in certain non-cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions of an imaginary quadratic field using elliptic units constructed by Siegel functions. We carried out practical calculations for  $p = 3$  and determined  $\lambda$ -invariants of such  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -extensions which were not known in our previous paper.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic field and  $p$  an odd prime number which splits into two distinct primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$  in  $K$ . We denote by  $K'_n = K(\mathfrak{p}^{n+1})$  the ray class field of  $K$  modulo  $\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}$  and  $K'_\infty = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty K'_n$ . Then there exists a unique  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension  $K_\infty$  of  $K$  in  $K'_\infty$ . We denote by  $K_n$  the  $n$ th layer of  $K_\infty$  over  $K$ .

In a previous paper [3] we studied the Iwasawa invariant  $\lambda(K_\infty/K)$  for  $p = 3$ , while  $\mu(K_\infty/K)$  is known to be zero by [4, 7]. Our investigation was based on the calculation in  $K_2$ . We were not able to handle  $K_n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) for lack of a fine algorithm. In the present paper we develop a new algorithm based on the structure of the group of elliptic units and calculate the 3-part of the class number  $h(K_n)$  of  $K_n$  ( $1 \leq n \leq 5$ ). We are now able to consider  $\lambda(K_\infty/K)$  by observing directly the growth of the 3-part of  $h(K_n)$ .

We illustrate, for an odd prime number  $p$ , how to calculate the  $p$ -part of  $h(K_n)$ . As usual, for a Galois extension  $L/F$  of algebraic number fields, we denote by  $G(L/F)$  the Galois group of  $L$  over  $F$  and by  $N_{L/F}$  the norm mapping of  $L$  over  $F$ . We begin by explaining how to construct  $K_n$  explicitly. We assume that  $K$  is different from both  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ . As in [3], we are interested in  $K_\infty/K$  in which  $\mathfrak{p}$  is totally ramified. Therefore  $\tilde{K} \cap K_\infty = K$ , where  $\tilde{K}$  means the Hilbert class field of  $K$ . Let  $a_1, a_2$  be rational numbers and  $\tau$  a complex number with positive imaginary part. Then the Siegel function is defined to be

$$g(a_1, a_2)(\tau) = -q_\tau^{(1/2)(a_1^2 - a_1 + 1/6)} e^{2\pi i a_2 (a_1 - 1)/2} (1 - q_z) \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 - q_\tau^n q_z)(1 - q_\tau^n q_z^{-1}),$$

where  $q_\tau = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ ,  $q_z = e^{2\pi i z}$ ,  $z = a_1 \tau + a_2$  and  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . Then  $g(a_1, a_2)(\tau)$  is a modular function of some level and  $K_n$  is generated by special values of  $g(a_1, a_2)$ . We refer [5, Chapter 2] for the various properties of the Siegel function.

Let  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  be elements of  $K$  with imaginary part  $\text{Im}(\omega_1/\omega_2) > 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}^{n+1} = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ . Since  $(p) = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}'$ , there exist integers  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  with

$$\frac{r}{p^{n+1}}\omega_1 + \frac{s}{p^{n+1}}\omega_2 = 1.$$

Then  $g(r/p^{n+1}, s/p^{n+1})(\omega_1/\omega_2)^{12p^{n+1}}$  is in  $K'_n$  by [5, p. 234, Theorem 1.1]. We put

$$f(\tau) = \left( g\left(\frac{r}{p^{n+1}}, \frac{s}{p^{n+1}}\right)(\tau) \right) / \left( g\left(\frac{r(1+p)}{p^{n+1}}, \frac{s(1+p)}{p^{n+1}}\right)(\tau) \right)^4.$$

Received 27 February 2014; revised 23 May 2014.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 11R29, 11R23 (primary).

Contributed to the Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium XI, GyeongJu, Korea, 6–11 August 2014.

We know that  $f(\tau)$  is independent of  $r, s$  by [5, p. 33, Proposition 1.3]. Then there exists a unique  $3p^{n+1}$ th root of unity  $\zeta$  such that  $f(\omega_1/\omega_2)\zeta \in K'_n$  by [3, p. 472]. We put

$$\eta_n = N_{K'_n/K_n} \left( f \left( \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} \right) \zeta \right).$$

Let  $\Gamma$  be the Galois group  $G(K_\infty/K)$  and  $\gamma$  is topological generator of  $\Gamma$ . We put

$$\mathcal{E}_n = \langle \eta_n, \eta_n^\gamma, \dots, \eta_n^{\gamma^{p^n-2}} \rangle.$$

Let  $E_n$  be the unit group of  $K_n$ . Then it is well known that the group index  $(E_n : \mathcal{E}_n)$  is finite [5, p. 323, Theorem 4.1]. We note that  $\eta_n, \eta_n^\gamma, \dots, \eta_n^{\gamma^{p^n-2}}$  form a free basis of  $\mathcal{E}_n$ . Let  $E'_n$  be the subgroup of  $E_n$  such that  $E'_n/\mathcal{E}_n$  is the  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $E_n/\mathcal{E}_n$ . Let  $p^{e_n}$  be the exact power of  $p$  dividing the class number  $h(K_n)$  of  $K_n$ . Then we have

$$p^{e_n} = p^{e_0} (E'_n : \mathcal{E}_n) \tag{1.1}$$

by [5, p. 323, Theorem 4.1]. Our main purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let the notation and assumptions be as above. If  $e_n - e_{n-1} = 1$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ , then we have  $e_{n+1} - e_n \leq 1$ .*

Owing to [2, Theorem 1], we may convert Theorem 1.1 into the following version.

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *If  $e_n - e_{n-1} \leq 1$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ , then we have  $e_m - e_{m-1} \leq 1$  for all integers  $m \geq n$ .*

As an application of Corollary 1.2, we show an efficient algorithm for calculating  $e_n$  in the case  $e_1 - e_0 = 1$  in §3.

### 2. Proof of theorem

Preparatory to proving Theorem 1.1, we summarize as lemmas properties of  $E_n, E'_n$  and  $\mathcal{E}_n$  which were defined in the previous section.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *We have  $\mathcal{E}_n \cap E_{n-1} = \mathcal{E}_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* We write  $s = p^{n-1} - 1$  and  $r = p^n - p^{n-1} - 1$ . Put

$$\eta = \eta_n^{x_0+x_1\gamma+\dots+x_{p^n-2}\gamma^{p^n-2}}$$

with rational integers  $x_i$ . We assume  $\eta \in E_{n-1}$ . Then  $\eta^{\gamma^{p^{n-1}}} = \eta$ , which implies

$$\eta^{\gamma^{p^{n-1}}} = \eta_n^{\sum_{i=0}^{p^n-2} (x_i-x_r)\gamma^{i+p^{n-1}}} = \eta$$

by  $N_{K_n/K}(\eta_n) = 1$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_i - x_r &= x_{i+p^{n-1}} \quad (0 \leq i \leq r-1), \\ x_i - x_r &= x_{i+p^{n-1}-p^n} \quad (r+1 \leq i \leq p^n-2), \\ -x_r &= x_{p^{n-1}-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which means  $x_0 - px_r = x_0$ . This shows  $x_r = 0$  and  $x_{p^{n-1}-1} = 0$ . It is known that  $N_{K_n/K_{n-1}}(\eta_n) = \eta_{n-1}$  by [5, Theorem 1.3, p. 237]. Hence, noting the uniqueness of  $\zeta$ , we have

$$\eta = N_{K_n/K_{n-1}}(\eta_n^{x_0+x_1\gamma+\dots+x_{s-1}\gamma^{s-1}}) = \eta_{n-1}^{x_0+x_1\gamma+\dots+x_{s-1}\gamma^{s-1}}. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 2.2. We have  $p^{e_n - e_{n-1}} = (E'_n : \mathcal{E}_n E'_{n-1})$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{E}_n \cap E'_{n-1} = \mathcal{E}_{n-1}$  by Lemma 2.1, we have  $(\mathcal{E}_n E'_{n-1} : \mathcal{E}_n) = (E'_{n-1} : \mathcal{E}_{n-1})$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} p^{e_n} &= (E'_n : \mathcal{E}_n) = (E'_n : \mathcal{E}_n E'_{n-1})(\mathcal{E}_n E'_{n-1} : \mathcal{E}_n) \\ &= (E'_n : \mathcal{E}_n E'_{n-1})(E'_{n-1} : \mathcal{E}_{n-1}) \\ &= p^{e_{n-1}}(E'_n : \mathcal{E}_n E'_{n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

LEMMA 2.3. If  $E'_n/\mathcal{E}_n$  is non-trivial, then there exists an element  $\eta$  in  $\mathcal{E}_n$  with  $\eta \notin \mathcal{E}_n^p$  and  $\eta^{\gamma-1} \in \mathcal{E}_n^p$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_n^p = \{\varepsilon^p \mid \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_n\}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $E'_n/\mathcal{E}_n$  is a non-trivial  $p$ -group, there exists an element  $u$  in  $E'_n$  such that  $u \notin \mathcal{E}_n$ ,  $u^{\gamma-1} \in \mathcal{E}_n$  and  $u^p \in \mathcal{E}_n$ . We put  $\eta = u^p$ . Then  $\eta \notin \mathcal{E}_n^p$  and  $\eta^{\gamma-1} = (u^{\gamma-1})^p \in \mathcal{E}_n^p$  because  $E_n$  does not contain a non-trivial  $p$ th root of unity.  $\square$

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $E_n$  and  $u, v$  elements of  $E_n$ . From now on, we write  $u \equiv v \pmod{H}$  if  $uv^{-1} \in H$ . We put  $T = \gamma - 1$  as usual.

LEMMA 2.4. There exists an element  $f_n(T)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[T]$  which satisfies

$$\eta_n^{T^{p^n - p^{n-1}}} = \eta_{n-1} \eta_n^{-p(1+Tf_n(T))}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (T+1)^{ip^{n-1}} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (T^{p^{n-1}} + 1)^i \equiv T^{p^n - p^{n-1}} \pmod{p}$ , we see that  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (T+1)^{ip^{n-1}} - T^{p^n - p^{n-1}} \in p\mathbb{Z}[T]$ . Hence

$$f_n(T) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (T+1)^{ip^{n-1}} - T^{p^n - p^{n-1}} - p}{pT}$$

is contained in  $\mathbb{Z}[T]$  and

$$\eta_{n-1} = N_{K_n/K_{n-1}}(\eta_n) = \eta_n^{\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (T+1)^{ip^{n-1}}} = \eta_n^{T^{p^n - p^{n-1}} + p + pTf_n(T)},$$

from which we derive the desired equality.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We assume  $e_n - e_{n-1} = 1$  and  $e_{n+1} - e_n \geq 2$  and derive a contradiction. We write  $r = p^n - p^{n-1} - 1$ . Let  $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{p^{n-1}-1}\}$  be a free basis of  $E'_{n-1}$  and put

$$V_n = \langle \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{p^{n-1}-1}, \eta_n, \eta_n^\gamma, \dots, \eta_n^{\gamma^r} \rangle.$$

Since  $\{\eta_n, \eta_n^\gamma, \dots, \eta_n^{\gamma^{p^n - 2}}\}$  is a free basis of  $\mathcal{E}_n$  and since  $N_{K_n/K_{n-1}}(\eta_n) = \eta_{n-1}$ , we have  $V_n = E'_{n-1} \mathcal{E}_n$ . We note

$$V_n = \langle \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{p^{n-1}-1}, \eta_n, \eta_n^T, \dots, \eta_n^{T^r} \rangle.$$

Since  $e_n - e_{n-1} = 1$ , there exist  $v_n \in V_n$ ,  $\varepsilon \in E'_n - V_n$  and  $x_i, y_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  such that

$$\varepsilon^p = v_n = \xi_1^{x_1} \xi_2^{x_2} \dots \xi_{p^{n-1}-1}^{x_{p^{n-1}-1}} \eta_n^{y_0 + y_1 T + \dots + y_r T^r}$$

and  $v_n^T \equiv 1 \pmod{V_n^p}$  by Lemma 2.3. Since  $\eta_n^{T^r} \equiv \eta_{n-1} \pmod{V_n^p}$  by Lemma 2.4,

we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_n^T &\equiv \xi_1^{x_1 T} \cdots \xi_{p^{n-1}-1}^{x_{p^{n-1}-1} T} \eta_{n-1}^{y_r} \eta_n^{-y_r p(1+Tf_n(T))} \eta_n^{y_0 T + y_1 T^2 + \cdots + y_{r-1} T^r} \\ &\equiv \xi_1^{x_1 T} \cdots \xi_{p^{n-1}-1}^{x_{p^{n-1}-1} T} \eta_{n-1}^{y_r} \eta_n^{y_0 T + y_1 T^2 + \cdots + y_{r-1} T^r} \pmod{V_n^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $y_0 = y_1 = \dots = y_{r-1} = 0$  and  $y_r \neq 0$  by  $e_n - e_{n-1} = 1$ . We may assume  $y_r = 1$ . Hence there exists an element  $\xi \in E'_{n-1}$  with

$$v_n = \xi \eta_n^{(\gamma-1)^r}$$

such that  $v_n^{1/p} \in E'_n$ . This means  $E'_n = \langle V_n \cup \{v_n^{1/p}\} \rangle$  by  $e_n - e_{n-1} = 1$ . Since  $(v_n^{1/p})^T = (\xi^T \eta_{n-1} \eta_n^{-p(1+Tf_n(T))})^{1/p}$ , there exists an element  $\xi' \in E'_{n-1}$  with

$$(v_n^{1/p})^T = \xi' \eta_n^{-(1+Tf_n(T))}. \tag{2.1}$$

We put

$$V_{n+1} = \langle E'_n \cup \{\eta_{n+1}, \eta_{n+1}^T, \dots, \eta_{n+1}^{T^{r'}}\} \rangle,$$

where  $r' = p^{n+1} - p^n - 1$ . Then there exist  $v_{n+1} \in V_{n+1}$ ,  $\xi^* \in E'_{n-1}$ ,  $a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  and  $\varepsilon^* \in E'_{n+1} - V_{n+1}$  such that

$$\varepsilon^{*p} = v_{n+1} = \xi^* \eta_n^{a_0 + a_1 T + \dots + a_{r-1} T^{r-1}} (v_n^{1/p})^{a_r} \eta_{n+1}^{b_0 + b_1 T + \dots + b_{r'} T^{r'}}$$

and  $v_{n+1}^T \equiv 1 \pmod{V_{n+1}^p}$  by Lemma 2.3 and the assumption  $e_{n+1} - e_n \geq 2$ . Since  $\eta_{n+1}^{T^{r'+1}} \equiv \eta_n \pmod{V_{n+1}^p}$  by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{n+1}^T &\equiv (\xi^*)^T \eta_n^{a_0 T + \dots + a_{r-2} T^{r-2}} (v_n \xi^{-1})^{a_{r-1}} \\ &\quad \cdot (\xi^* \eta_n^{-(1+Tf_n(T))})^{a_r} \eta_{n+1}^{b_0 T + \dots + b_{r'-1} T^{r'-1}} \eta_n^{b_{r'}} \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{V_{n+1}^p}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows  $b_0 = b_1 = \dots = b_{r-1} = 0$  and  $a_r = b_{r'} \neq 0$ . Since  $b_{r'}$  is prime to  $p$ , we may assume  $a_r = 1$ . Hence there exists an element  $\xi'' \in V_n$  with

$$v_{n+1} = \xi'' v_n^{1/p} \eta_{n+1}^{T^{r'}}. \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover, we have  $(v_{n+1}^{1/p})^T = \xi''' \eta_{n+1}^{-(1+Tf_{n+1}(T))}$  for some  $\xi''' \in E'_n$  by  $\eta_{n+1}^{T^{r'+1}} = \eta_n \eta_{n+1}^{-p(1+Tf_{n+1}(T))}$ . We put  $V'_{n+1} = \langle V_{n+1} \cup \{v_{n+1}^{1/p}\} \rangle$ . Then there exist  $\varepsilon' \in E'_{n+1}$ ,  $v'_{n+1} \in V_{n+1}$ ,  $\eta^* \in V_n$  and  $y, z_0, \dots, z_{r'} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  with

$$\varepsilon'^p = v'_{n+1} = \eta^* (v_n^{1/p})^y \eta_{n+1}^{z_0 + z_1 T + \dots + z_{r'-1} T^{r'-1}} (v_{n+1}^{1/p})^{z_{r'}}$$

and  $(v'_{n+1})^T \equiv 1 \pmod{V_{n+1}^p}$  by the assumption  $e_{n+1} - e_n \geq 2$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} (v'_{n+1})^T &\equiv (\eta^*)^T (\xi' \eta_n^{-(1+Tf_n(T))})^y \eta_{n+1}^{z_0 T + \dots + z_{r'-1} T^{r'-1}} \\ &\quad \cdot (\xi''^{-1} v_n^{-1/p})^{z_{r'-1}} (\xi''' \eta_{n+1}^{-(1+f_{n+1}(T))})^{z_{r'}} \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{V_{n+1}^p}, \end{aligned}$$

we have  $z_0 = z_1 = \dots = z_{r'} = 0$ . This contradicts the assumptions.

3. Algorithm for constructing  $E'_n$

As we explain in the later section, we often meet the situation  $e_1 - e_0 = 1$ . In this case, we are able to develop an efficient algorithm for constructing  $E'_n$ . By Corollary 1.2 and (2.2),  $E'_n/\mathcal{E}_n$  is a cyclic group with order  $|E'_n/\mathcal{E}_n| \leq p^n$ . We assume  $|E'_n/\mathcal{E}_n| = p^n$  and construct  $E'_n$  as follows.

Based on the cyclicity of  $E'_n/\mathcal{E}_n$ , there exist unique subgroups  $V_{n,k}$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n$ ) which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_n &= V_{n,0} \subset V_{n,1} \subset V_{n,2} \subset \dots \subset V_{n,n} = E'_n, \\ (V_{n,k+1} : V_{n,k}) &= p. \end{aligned}$$

We write  $V_k$  for  $V_{n,k}$ .

Let  $r = p^n - 2$  and  $\varepsilon_i = \eta_n^{\gamma^i}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq r$ ). Then  $V_k$  has the form

$$V_k = \langle \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{r-1}, v_k \rangle$$

with  $v_k \in E'_n$ . Note that  $v_0 = \varepsilon_r$ . We explain how to construct  $v_{k+1}$  from  $v_k$ . By an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3, we may assume  $v_{k+1}^p \in V_k$  and  $v_{k+1}^{p(1-\gamma)} \in V_k^p$ . Namely, we search for integers  $x_{ik}, y_{ik}$  and  $v_{k+1} \in E'_n$  satisfying

$$v_{k+1}^p = \left( \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \varepsilon_i^{x_{ik}} \right) v_k, \tag{3.1}$$

$$v_{k+1}^{p(1-\gamma)} = \left( \left( \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \varepsilon_i^{y_{ik}} \right) v_k^{y_{rk}} \right)^p. \tag{3.2}$$

If  $v_{k+1}$  exists, then the following relations hold:

$$v_k^{-p^k} = \left( \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \varepsilon_i^{p^k x_{ik}} \right) v_{k+1}^{-p^{k+1}}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$v_{k+1}^{1-\gamma} = \left( \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \varepsilon_i^{y_{ik} - y_{rk} x_{ik}} \right) v_{k+1}^{p y_{rk}}. \tag{3.4}$$

The first step is to find  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$  which satisfy

$$\varepsilon_j^{1-\gamma} = \prod_{i=0}^r \varepsilon_i^{a_{ij}} \quad (0 \leq j \leq r).$$

This is straightforward because

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_j^{1-\gamma} &= \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_{j+1}^{-1} \quad (0 \leq j \leq r-1), \\ \varepsilon_r^{1-\gamma} &= \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_{r-1} \varepsilon_r^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $A_0 = (a_{ij})$  is the representation matrix of  $1 - \gamma : V_0 \rightarrow V_0$  with respect to the basis  $\{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{r-1}, v_0\}$ . It is easy to see that the rank of  $A_0$  modulo  $p$  is  $r$  and  $\dim \text{Ker}(A_0 : \mathbb{F}_p^{r+1} \ni x \mapsto A_0 x \in \mathbb{F}_p^{r+1}) = 1$ . Let  $x_{i0} \equiv r - i + 1 \pmod{p}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq r$ ) with  $0 \leq x_{i0} \leq p - 1$  and put  ${}^t x_0 = (x_{00}, x_{10}, \dots, x_{r0}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$ . Then there exists  ${}^t y_0 = (y_{00}, y_{10}, \dots, y_{r0}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$  satisfying  $A_0 x_0 = p y_0$ . By the assumption  $e_1 - e_0 = 1$ , we see that  $|E'_n/\mathcal{E}_n| \geq p$  and there exists  $v_1 \in E'_n$  which satisfies (3.1) and (3.2) for  $k = 0$ . It is straightforward to see that

$$\varepsilon_{r-1}^{1-\gamma} = \left( \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \varepsilon_i^{x_{i0}} \right) \varepsilon_{r-1} v_1^{-p}. \tag{3.5}$$

From (3.5) and (3.4), we immediately construct the representation matrix  $A_1$  of  $1 - \gamma : V_1 \rightarrow V_1$  with respect to the basis  $\{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{r-1}, v_1\}$ . The first  $r - 1$  columns of  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  coincide. The last two columns vary.

When we construct  $v_{k+1}$  from  $v_k$  for  $k \geq 1$ , we need some trials. We note the following property of the representation matrix  $A_k$  of  $1 - \gamma : V_k \rightarrow V_k$  with respect to the basis  $\{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{r-1}, v_k\}$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *For any  $k \geq 1$ , the rank of  $A_k$  modulo  $p$  is greater than  $r - 2$ .*

*Proof.* The determinant of the  $(r - 1) \times (r - 1)$  matrix obtained from the first  $r - 1$  rows and  $r - 1$  columns of  $A_k$  is 1. □

Namely,  $\dim \text{Ker}(A_k : \mathbb{F}_p^{r+1} \ni x \mapsto A_k x \in \mathbb{F}_p^{r+1}) \leq 2$  and we easily find  ${}^t x_k = (x_{0k}, x_{1k}, \dots, x_{rk}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$  with  $0 \leq x_{ik} \leq p - 1$  ( $0 \leq i \leq r$ ),  $x_{rk} = 1$  and  ${}^t y_k = (y_{0k}, y_{1k}, \dots, y_{rk}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$  which satisfy  $A_k x_k = p y_k$ . Starting with  $v_1$ , we try to find  $v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n$ . If  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  exist and  $v_{k+1}$  does not exist, then we have  $(E'_n : \mathcal{E}_n) = p^k$ . Note that  $A_{k+1}$  is constructed using the relations (3.3)–(3.5).

A naive method constructing  $V_{k+1}$  from  $V_k$  needs  $p^r$  trials. A sophisticated idea of Zassenhaus in [6, p. 66] reduces it to  $pr$  trials but usually requires an integral basis of  $K_n$ . Our method does not need an integral basis and finds  $v_{k+1}$  within  $p$  trials.

#### 4. Examples

We carry out practical calculations when  $p = 3$  and try to apply our technique to determine the Iwasawa  $\lambda$ -invariant  $\lambda(K_\infty/K)$ . In the preceding paper [3], we studied  $\lambda(K_\infty/K)$  for several imaginary quadratic fields  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ . We showed  $\lambda(K_\infty/K) = 0$  for most of these  $K$ . Values of  $m$  for which we were not able to assert  $\lambda(K_\infty/K) = 0$  are  $-2183, -4637, -6761, -7907$  and  $-17786$ . For these  $m$ , we calculate the 3-part  $3^{e_n}$  of the ideal class number  $h(K_n)$  of  $K_n$ .

The first step is the calculation of  $e_1$ . This is easily done because the rank of  $\mathcal{E}_1$  is 2, and  $E'_1$  is constructed straightforwardly. We verified  $e_1 - e_0 = 1$  for all above  $m$ . So we are able to calculate  $e_n$  according to the technique in the previous section. We show the results in the following table, from which we see  $\lambda(K_\infty/K) = 0$  for all those  $K$  using Theorem 1 in [2].

$m$	$e_0$	$e_1$	$e_2$	$e_3$	$e_4$	$e_5$
-2183	1	2	3	4	4	4
-4637	1	2	3	4	4	4
-6761	1	2	3	4	5	5
-7907	1	2	3	4	4	4
-17786	2	3	4	5	6	6

#### 5. Miscellaneous techniques in calculations

We explain how we calculate special values of Siegel functions quickly and how we construct the cube root of an integer of  $K_n$ . First we consider the expression of an integer of  $K_n$ .

When  $n = 1$ , a well-known method due to Pohst and Zassenhaus enables us to construct an integral basis of  $K_n$  easily. When  $n = 2$ , we used a special techniques to construct an integral basis of  $K_n$  in [3]. It seems very hard to get an integral basis when  $n \geq 3$ . So we adopt another method. Let  $\alpha$  be an integer of  $K_n$  not contained in  $K_{n-1}$ . Then

$$f_{n,\alpha}(X) = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Emb}(K_n, \mathbb{C})} (X - \alpha^\sigma)$$

is an irreducible polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , where  $\text{Emb}(K_n, \mathbb{C})$  means the set of all embeddings of  $K_n$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $f_{n,\alpha}(X)$  is considered to express  $2 \cdot 3^n$  conjugates of  $\alpha$ . We can specify  $\alpha$  rigorously by using  $f_{n,\alpha}(X)$  and an approximate value of  $\alpha$  with an appropriate precision. Namely, we use a correspondence

$$\alpha \longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} \text{approximate values of } \alpha^\sigma, & \sigma \in \text{Emb}(K_n, \mathbb{C}), \\ f_{n,\alpha}(X). \end{cases}$$

Next we discuss how to get a cube root of  $\alpha$  for an integer  $\alpha$  in  $K_n$ . If one of the cube roots of  $\alpha$  is contained in  $K_n$ , then only one of them, which we write  $\sqrt[3]{\alpha}$ , is contained in  $K_n$  because  $\zeta_3$  is not contained in  $K_n$ . We note the following fact.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let  $\alpha$  be an integer of  $K_n$ . If  $f_{n,\alpha}(X) = g(X)^{3^e}$  with an irreducible monic polynomial  $g(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  and a non-negative integer  $e$ , then  $\alpha \in K_{n-e}$ . More precisely, we have  $K_{n-e} = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  and  $g(X) = f_{n-e,\alpha}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $e = 0$ , then the assertion is trivial. So we assume  $1 \leq e \leq n$ . Let  $G(K_n/K) = \langle \gamma \rangle$ . Then,

$$\text{Emb}(K_n, \mathbb{C}) = \{\gamma^i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 3^n - 1\} \cup \{\gamma^i J \mid 0 \leq i \leq 3^n - 1\},$$

where  $J$  is the complex conjugation. First, we claim that  $\alpha = \alpha^{\gamma^i}$  for some  $0 < i < 3^n$ . Indeed, if  $\alpha \neq \alpha^{\gamma^i}$  for any  $0 < i < 3^n$ , then we have

$$\alpha = \alpha^{\gamma^i J} = \alpha^{\gamma^j J}$$

for some  $0 \leq i < j \leq 3^n - 1$ , which yields  $\alpha = \alpha^{\gamma^{j-i}}$ . This is a contradiction.

Let  $i$  be the least positive integer such that  $\alpha = \alpha^{\gamma^i}$  and put  $i = 3^a b$  with an integer  $b$  prime to 3. Since  $\gamma^{3^n} = 1$ , we have

$$\alpha = \alpha^{\gamma^{3^a}},$$

which leads to  $b = 1$  because of the minimality of  $i = 3^a b$ . Since

$$G(K_n/K_a) = \langle \gamma^{3^a} \rangle,$$

we have  $\alpha \in K_a - K_{a-1}$  and

$$f_{n,\alpha}(X) = g(X)^{3^e} = h(X)^{3^{n-a}}$$

for some monic polynomial  $h(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Since  $g(X)$  is irreducible, we have  $e \geq n - a$  and

$$h(X) = g(X)^{3^{e+a-n}}.$$

If  $e + a > n$ , then the above argument implies  $\alpha \in K_{a-1}$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\alpha \in K_a - K_{a-1}$ . Hence we have  $e + a = n$  and complete the proof. □

LEMMA 5.2. *Assume that  $K_n = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  with an integer  $\alpha$  in  $K_n$ .*

- (1) *If  $f_{n,\alpha}(X^3)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\sqrt[3]{\alpha} \notin K_m$  for all  $m \geq n$ .*
- (2) *If  $f_{n,\alpha}(X^3) = g_1(X)g_2(X)$  with an irreducible polynomial  $g_1(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree  $2 \cdot 3^n$  and an irreducible polynomial  $g_2(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree  $4 \cdot 3^n$ , then  $\sqrt[3]{\alpha} \in K_n$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward, noting that  $\zeta_3 \notin K_n$ . □

According to the above lemmas, we obtain  $\sqrt[3]{\alpha}$  for an integer  $\alpha$  of  $K_m$  as follows. Factoring  $f_{m,\alpha}(X)$ , we find  $n$  with  $0 \leq n \leq m$  and the minimal polynomial  $f_{n,\alpha}(X)$  of  $\alpha$ . If  $f_{n,\alpha}(X^3)$  is irreducible, then  $\sqrt[3]{\alpha} \notin K_m$ . If  $f_{n,\alpha}(X^3)$  has an irreducible factor  $g(X)$  of degree  $2 \cdot 3^n$ , then

$\sqrt[3]{\alpha} \in K_m$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an element of  $\text{Emb}(K_m, \mathbb{C})$ . Then  $\sqrt[3]{\alpha}^\sigma$  is one of  $\rho\zeta^i$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ), where  $\rho$  is a fixed cube root of  $\alpha^\sigma$ . We specify  $\rho\zeta^i$  so that  $g(\rho\zeta^i) = 0$ . In this manner, we get the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt[3]{\alpha}$  and all conjugates of  $\sqrt[3]{\alpha}$  explicitly.

Finally, we make a remark on the calculation of Siegel functions. In [3], we needed approximate values of  $g(a_1, a_2)(\tau)$  with the precision of several thousand digits and calculated the infinite product straightforwardly. In this paper, we calculated the 3-part of the class number of  $K_5$  and needed approximate values with  $10^5$  digits. So we translated an infinite product into an infinite sum.

LEMMA 5.3. *Let  $q_\tau$  and  $q_z$  be complex numbers defined in § 1. Then we have*

$$-(1 - q_z) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q_\tau^n q_z)(1 - q_\tau^n q_z^{-1}) = q_z^{1/2} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (q_z^{n+1/2} - q_z^{-n-1/2}) q_\tau^{n(n+1)/2}}{1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n (q_\tau^{n(3n-1)/2} + q_\tau^{n(3n+1)/2})}$$

*Proof.* See [1, Proposition 6.3.14 and Corollaries 6.3.16 and 6.3.18]. □

REMARK 1. The convergence of the left-hand side depends on  $q_\tau^n$ . On the other hand, the right-hand side converges very quickly because it depends essentially on  $q_\tau^{n^2}$ .

REMARK 2. When  $a_1 = 0$ ,  $q_z = e^{2\pi i a_2}$  is a purely imaginary number and it happens that  $q_z^{n+1/2} = q_z^{-n-1/2}$  for small  $n$ . So we have to stop summing based on the magnitude of  $|q_\tau^{n(n+1)/2}|$ .

REMARK 3. There is another way to use the  $\sigma$ -function to construct ray class fields of imaginary quadratic fields. But the  $\sigma$ -function needs calculations of quasi-periods which are essentially the sum of  $q_\tau^n$ . Though the Siegel function is similar to the  $\sigma$ -function, it does not need quasi-periods and hence has an advantage of fast convergence.

References

1. H. COHEN, *Advanced topics in computational number theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 193 (Springer, Berlin, 2000).
2. T. FUKUDA, ‘Remarks on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions of number fields’, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* 65 (1989) 260–262.
3. T. FUKUDA and K. KOMATSU, ‘Non-cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions of imaginary quadratic fields’, *Exp. Math.* 11 (2002) 469–475.
4. R. GILLARD, ‘Fonctions  $L_p$ -adiques des corps quadratiques imaginaires et de leurs extensions abéliennes’, *J. reine angew. Math.* 358 (1985) 76–91.
5. D. S. KUBERT and S. LANG, *Modular units*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 244 (Springer, 1981).
6. M. E. POHST, ‘Computing invariants of algebraic number fields’, *Group theory, algebra, and number theory* (ed. H. G. Zimmer; de Gruyter, 1996) 53–73.
7. L. SCHNEPS, ‘On the  $\mu$ -invariant of  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions attached to elliptic curves with complex multiplication’, *J. Number Theory* 25 (1987) 20–33.

*T. Fukuda*  
 Department of Mathematics  
 College of Industrial Technology  
 Nihon University, 2-11-1 Shin-ei  
 Narashino, Chiba 275-8576  
 Japan

*K. Komatsu*  
 Department of Mathematical Science  
 School of Science and Engineering  
 Waseda University, 3-4-1 Okubo  
 Shinjuku, Tokyo 169-8555  
 Japan

[fukuda.takashi@nihon-u.ac.jp](mailto:fukuda.takashi@nihon-u.ac.jp)

[kkomatsu@waseda.jp](mailto:kkomatsu@waseda.jp)