## HARD AND SOFT ACID-BASE MODEL APPLIED TO BIVALENT CATION SELECTIVITY ON A 2:1 CLAY MINERAL

M. AUBOIROUX,<sup>1,2</sup> F. MELOU,<sup>1</sup> F. BERGAYA,<sup>2</sup> AND J. C. TOURAY<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> UMR CNRS-Université d'Orléans 6530, Ecole Supérieure de l'Energie et des Matériaux, Rue Léonard de Vinci, 45072 Orleans, CEDEX 2, France

<sup>2</sup> CNRS-Université d'Orléans, Centre de Recherche sur la Matière Divisée, 1B Rue de la Férollerie, 45071 Orleans, CEDEX 2, France

Abstract—We applied the hard and soft acid-base (HSAB) model (Xu and Harsh 1990a, 1990b) to bivalent cation exchange on a purified Ca-montmorillonite. As a result, a satisfactory model is proposed to describe the gradual selectivity of exchange with Ca for 4 of the 6 metals studied (Cd, Cu, Pb, Zn). The selectivity is predicted as a function of the differences of electronegativity and softness of the metals. The deviation of Ni and Co data from the predicting model is interpreted in terms of hydration (Ni and Co being the most strongly hydrated ions). The fitting parameters of the model,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , are related to the electronegativity and softness characteristics of the surface, respectively. Their ratio gives information on the nature of bonding. Results suggest that covalent bonding modifies electrostatic interactions, which in turn affect selectivity, with an increasing influence of covalent bondings in the order: Pb < Cd < Zn < Cu.

To balance the lack of representativity of the model for the small molar fraction  $(N_M)$ , we propose to associate to the HSAB model an equation describing the variation of the Vanselow selectivity coefficient as a function of the molar fraction of metal on clay.

Key Words—Bivalent Cations, Covalent Bonding, Electronegativity, HSAB, Montmorillonite, Selectivity Coefficient, Softness.

## INTRODUCTION

The prediction of cation retention by minerals is of great interest in environmental and soil science. In this respect, clay minerals may fix metals through ionic exchange (Gaines and Thomas 1953; Van Bladel et al. 1993; Gorgeon 1994) or surface complexation (Goldberg and Glaubig 1986; Zachara et al. 1988; Stadler and Schindler 1993). This property is at the basis of geochemical barriers against diffuse aquatic pollutions such as observed 1) in suspended matters from wastewaters and polluted rivers (Helios-Rybika and Kyziol 1991; Viraraghavan and Kapoor 1994; Bertin and Bourg 1995), 2) in soils near smelter complexes (John et al. 1975; Nwankwo and Elinder 1979) or 3) in sediments from settling basins, near motorways (Hewitt and Rashed 1992; Lee et al. 1996). Furthermore, this property could be important for designing simple and low-cost cleaning systems for industrial effluents (Brigatti et al. 1995) and wastewaters (Auboiroux et al. 1996).

Metals sorption by clays has been studied by numerous authors (Farrah et al. 1980; Tiller et al. 1984; Hirsch et al. 1989; Halen et al. 1991; Van Bladel et al. 1993; Siantar and Fripiat 1995; Helios Rybicka et al. 1995). The various approaches grade from empirical to theoretical studies with special reference to the thermodynamic treatment of ionic exchange. In this article, an experimental contribution is presented to compare the fixation of 6 metals (Cd, Co, Cu, Ni, Pb and Zn) on a purified Ca-montmorillonite. The increasing selectivity, from Ni to Pb, is interpreted using the HSAB concept (Pearson 1963, 1968a, 1968b). Based on the Lewis definition of acids as electron acceptors and bases as electron donors, this concept states that "a hard acid prefers to coordinate with a hard base, whereas a soft acid prefers to coordinate with a soft base." Sullivan (1977) and Sposito (1984) proposed this concept as an explanation of exchangeable metal selective fixation on clay minerals. Later, Xu and Harsh (1990a, 1990b, 1992) developed a quantitative predicting model based on the assumption that the stability of surface complexes determines the selectivity. This model explains the selectivity in term of electronegativity and softness of the exchanged cations, and the characteristics of the surface exchanger, described by 2 parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . They verified the validity of their model for monovalent cation exchange using several surface exchangers (vermiculite, smectites, hydrous Zr oxides, silica gel and ion-exchange resins).

In this study, experimental results have been rationalized using the HSAB model proposed by Xu and Harsh (1990b) in order to check its possible relevance for explaining bivalent cations selectivity.

#### THE HSAB MODEL FOR CATION SELECTIVITY

When discussing the behavior of a sequence of metals, cation selectivity is an appropriate concept. It is defined as the measurement of the relative affinity of a surface for different cations. Various models of cation selectivity on clays have been proposed to explain observed selectivity sequences: 1) models with an assumption of no covalent bonding between cation and surface (Eisenman 1962; Nir 1986) and 2) the HSAB model, which introduces a softness term accounting for the effects of covalent interactions.

Following the HSAB concept introduced by Pearson (1963, 1968a, 1968b), the softness calculations given by Misono et al. (1967) and the first applications to clay selectivity by Sullivan (1977) and Sposito (1984), Xu and Harsh developed a model whose main conclusions are summarized below. Using the Misono et al. (1967) general equation for the determination of the stability constant (K) of a complex, the following equation is derived by Xu and Harsh (1990a):

$$\log K_{\rm ex} = \alpha (\chi_{\rm M} - \chi_{\rm ref}) + \beta (S_{\rm M} - S_{\rm ref}) \qquad [1]$$

In this expression, (M) and (ref) refer respectively to a cation M and the reference cation (initially present on clay);  $\chi_M$  and  $\chi_{ref}$  are absolute electronegativities values;  $S_M$  and  $S_{ref}$  are absolute softness values; and  $\alpha$ and  $\beta$  are surface-dependent variables that are determined for a given exchanger at constant ionic strength, temperature and pressure. These coefficients represent the influence of surface properties on ion exchange.

Xu and Harsh (1990a) showed that the electronegativity term  $(\chi_M - \chi_{ref})$  in Equation [1] represents the effect of electrostatic interactions on selectivity, whereas the softness term  $(S_M - S_{ref})$  represents the influence of covalent interactions. Then,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  will provide an estimation of the influence of exchanger structure on the respective parts played by electronegativity and softness on surface affinity for metals.

The determination of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is based on the experimental exchange isotherms. The amounts of heavy metals fixed and Ca<sup>2+</sup> released by clays are assumed to be the difference between initial and final concentrations in the bulk solution. The metal amount fixed by 1 g of clay is then:

$$q_{\rm M} = \frac{\left([M^{2+}]_{\rm initial} - [M^{2+}]_{\rm final}\right)}{M_{\rm M} \times m_{\rm clay}} \times V \qquad [2]$$

where  $M_{\rm M}$  and  $[M^{2+}]$  are the atomic weight and the molarity of the cation M,  $m_{\rm clay}$  is the weight of clay and V is the volume of solution used for each run.

The molar fraction (N) of cations M and Ca on clay are:

$$N_{\rm M} = \frac{q_{\rm M}}{q_{\rm M} + q_{\rm Ca}}$$
[3]

and:

$$N_{\rm Ca} = 1 - N_M \tag{4}$$

The molar fraction (X) of cations in equilibrium solutions is determined as:

$$X_{\rm M} = \frac{[M^{2+}]}{[M^{2+}] + [{\rm Ca}^{2+}]}$$
[5]

and:

$$X_{\rm Ca} = 1 - X_{\rm M} \tag{6}$$

For each particular exchange, the Vanselow selectivity coefficient  $(K_v)$  is defined as follows:

$$K_{\rm v} = \frac{N_{\rm M}}{N_{\rm Ca}} \frac{X_{\rm Ca}}{X_{\rm M}}$$
<sup>[7]</sup>

Log  $K_v$  values can be fitted to a polynomial function of  $N_{\rm M}$  in the form:

$$\log K_{\rm V} = A_0 + A_1 N_{\rm M} + A_2 N_{\rm M}^2 + A_3 N_{\rm M}^3 + \cdots$$
 [8]

where  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ , ... are constants.

Babcock and Duckart (1980) state that it is possible to relate the equilibrium exchange constant  $K_{ex}$  to the selectivity coefficient  $K_v$ . Considering the exchange of 2 cations, A and B, on an exchanger X, one has:

$$aAX + bB_{(aq)} \Leftrightarrow bBX + aA_{(aq)}$$
 [9]

with:

$$K_{\rm ex} = \frac{f_{\rm BX}^b}{f_{\rm AX}^a} K_{\rm v}^0$$
 [10]

where  $K_v^0$  is the selectivity coefficient determined for the standard state and  $f_{AX}$  and  $f_{BX}$  are activity coefficients on the exchanger for A and B, whereas *a* and *b* are stochiometric coefficients for A and B in the equation describing the exchange reaction. Defining the standard state at  $N_i^0 = 0.5$ , one has  $K_{ex} = K_v^0$  (Babcock and Duckart 1980).

The coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are determined by fitting the log  $K_{\nu}^{0}$  values to the general equation proposed by Xu et Harsh (1990a) (Equation [1]) using a 2-variable linear regression with y intercept set to zero.

# MATERIALS, METHODS AND DATA ANALYSIS

Samples

Adsorption studies are often performed on natural materials such as soils and bentonites. This procedure is good for characterizing interactions between cations and soils, but gives only broad information on retention mechanisms. Carbonates, oxides, hydroxides and organic compounds, which are present in natural materials, are known to fix cations as well as clays significantly (Benjamin and Leckie 1981; Trichet et al. 1987; Bruemmer et al. 1988; Liu et al. 1993; Rose and Bianchi-Mosquera 1993; Kanungo 1994; Lee et al. 1997). Consequently, to eliminate any possible contribution of other minerals during adsorption experiments, we used a purified clay (SPV Wyoming montmorillonite, Comptoir des minéraux, France). A sedimentation/centrifugation procedure was performed during 7 successive exchanges with 1 M NaCl solutions. The montmorillonite was later Ca-saturated by

Table 1. Chemical analyses of the purified Ca-montmorillonite.

SiO <sub>2</sub>	$\operatorname{Al}_2\operatorname{O}_3$	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	MgO	FeO	TiO <sub>2</sub>	MnO	CaO	Na <sub>2</sub> O	K <sub>2</sub> O	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	LOI
(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
52.63	18.42	3.09	2.17	2.78	0.13	0.005	2.31	0.023	0.016	0.05	17.30

LOI = Loss on Ignition.

7 exchanges with 1 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> solutions. The Ca-clay was then washed/dialyzed in deionized water until excess Cl<sup>-</sup> was totally eliminated, (that is, undetected by the AgNO<sub>3</sub> test). The clay was then air-dried and pow-der-crushed. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis showed that the clay was purified (without peaks of the impurities that were present in the natural material, for example, quartz and feldspar).

The chemical analysis of the purified Ca-montmorillonite is given in Table 1. The structural formula was deduced from this analysis:

$$Ca_{0.364}K_{0.003}Na_{0.006}[Si_{7.735}(Al, Fe(III))_{0.265}]$$
  
[(Al, Fe(III))\_{3.268}Mg\_{0.475}Fe(II)\_{0.341}Ti\_{0.014}Mn\_{0.001}P\_{0.006}]

O20(OH)4

The charge density deduced from this formula is 0.37 charge per half unit cell with about 0.26 originating from octahedral substitutions and 0.11 from tetrahedral substitutions. These values are in agreement with the literature (Xu and Harsh 1992).

The theoretical cation exchange capacity (CEC<sub>theor</sub>) is 102.2 meq/100 g. This value is in agreement with the CEC determined using adsorption of an ethylenediamine complex of Cu (Bergaya and Vayer 1997), giving an experimental CEC of 100  $\pm$  5 meq/100 g of calcined material.

### Reagents

Analytical reagent grade NaCl and CaCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (PROLABO) were used to purify the clay and to put it in Ca form. Analytical reagent grade Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O, Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (PRO-LABO) and Cd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, Pb(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (MERCK), were used to obtain the different aqueous solutions.

#### **Exchange Procedures**

The Ca<sup>2+</sup>/M<sup>2+</sup> exchange isotherms were performed at constant ionic strength ( $I = 3 \times 10^{-2} M$ ), (M<sup>2+</sup> being Cd, Co, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn). Experimental solutions were obtained by mixing solutions of  $10^{-2} M M^{2+}(NO_3)_2$ with  $10^{-2} M Ca(NO_3)_2$ . The M<sup>2+</sup> initial concentrations increased from  $10^{-5}$  to  $10^{-2} M$ .

Each exchange experiment was carried out by adding 500 mg of purified Ca-montmorillonite to 200 mL of solution in a polypropylene centrifuge bottle at room temperature. Suspensions were shaken for 16 h (overnight). At the end of the experiments, the pH of the suspensions was systematically measured. After separation by centrifugation (4500 RPM during 30 min), 20 mL of solution were collected and filtered through 0.22- $\mu$ m Millipore filters. The Cd<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentrations were determined using atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) both in equilibrium and initial solutions (Hitachi Z-8100 apparatus with a polarized Zeeman background correction device and a dual-purpose flamefurnace facility). All of the experiments were duplicated to check the reproducibility of data.

#### Data Analysis

As discussed previously, we proposed to apply the HSAB model given by Xu and Harsh (1990a, 1990b) to check the influence of cation electronegativity and softness on bivalent/bivalent exchange selectivity on clays.

For each metal, the Vanselow selectivity coefficient  $(K_v)$  was calculated (Equation [7]) and the log  $K_v$  values were plotted as a function of the molar fraction on the clay surface  $(N_{\rm M})$ . The different plots were fitted to a polynomial function of  $N_{\rm M}$  (Equation [8]). The equilibrium exchange constant  $K_{\rm ex}$  was determined from this polynomial function by taking the standard state for  $N_{\rm Ca} = N_{\rm M} = 0.5$ . In this particular case,  $K_{\rm ex} = K_v^0$ .

The HSAB model was then calculated by fitting the log  $K_v^0$  values to the general equation proposed by Xu et Harsh (1990a) (Equation [1]).

Although electronegativities are relatively well known with limited variations between different references (Parr and Yang 1989; Nagle 1990), softness values are less certain (Misono et al. 1967; Robles and Bartolotti 1984; Yang and Parr 1985; Parr and Pearson 1983; Parr and Yang 1989). Misono's scale is mostly empirical, whereas Parr's scale is derived from theoretical quantities that have definite physical meaning and are correlated with molecular-orbital theory (Pearson 1986). Xu and Harsh (1990b, 1992) used the softness values given by Parr and Pearson (1983). These values were updated by Parr and Yang (1989), and minor differences appear in the revised scales. Misono's as well as Parr's softness values were tested to fit experimental results.

Coefficients depending on clay surface electronegativity ( $\alpha$ ) and softness ( $\beta$ ), were determined from a 2-variable linear regression of Equation [1] with y intercept set to zero.



Figure 1. Exchange isotherms of a) Pb, Cu and Cd and b) Co, Ni and Zn on the Ca-montmorillonite of Wyoming (I = 0.03 M; T = 25 °C; P = 1 atm).  $X_M$  and  $N_M$  are respectively the molar fraction in solution and in clay.

## RESULTS

Ca<sup>2+</sup>/M<sup>2+</sup> exchange isotherms for the purified Camontmorillonite, performed at I = 0.03 and 25 °C, are presented in Figure 1. On this plot, the diagonal line represents a nonselective exchange.

From the exchange isotherms, the metals can be separated into 3 classes as a function of their adsorption: 1) Ni, the less adsorbed cation, with an isotherm close to the nonselective isotherm, 2) Co and Zn, which present intermediate isotherms, 3) Cu, Pb and Cd, which present higher retention. Accordingly, the selectivity sequence is  $Cu \cong Pb \cong Cd > Co \ge Zn > Ni$ .

It should be noted that adsorbed metal, as determined from the isotherms, may be fixed on different sites, that is: 1) planar charged sites (resulting from tetrahedral or octahedral substitutions) and 2) edge sites (resulting from the rupture of layers). As the experiments explored a limited pH range (4.8 to 5.5), it was impossible to investigate the pH dependence of metal adsorption and the possible contribution of edge sites as performed by Inskeep and Baham (1983), Schindler et al. (1987) or Gorgeon (1994).

## Fitting Exchange Data to the HSAB Model

Vanselow selectivity coefficients were calculated from Equation [7] and fitted to a polynomial regression function (Equation [8]). Table 2 lists the ranges of  $X_{\rm M}$  and  $N_{\rm M}$  within which selectivity coefficients were fitted, the constants  $A_0$  to  $A_4$ , the regression coefficients ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) and the  $K_v^0$  values calculated from each regression with  $N_{\rm M} = 0.5$ .

The log  $K_v^0$  values are fitted to the general equation proposed by Xu et Harsh (Equation [1]). A first test revealed that it was not possible to obtain a linear regression with a correct significance when involving all 6 metals. Ni was identified as the factor inducing the poor fit when using Misono's softness values. Similarly, Ni and Co were found to cause the model to fail when using Parr's data. We decided to exclude the disruptive metals from model calculations; justifications are given in the Discussion section.

Table 2. Molar fraction of cations in solution  $(X_M)$  and in clay  $(N_M)$ ; coefficients in power series  $(A_0, A_1, A_2, \ldots)$ ; regression coefficients  $(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ; number of data used to calculate the regression and Vanselow selectivity coefficient  $(K_v^0)$  calculated for  $N_M = 0.5$ .

$Ca \rightarrow M$	X <sub>M</sub> range	N <sub>M</sub> range	$A_0$	$A_1$	<b>A</b> <sub>2</sub>	$A_3$	$A_4$	Ř²	Num- ber of data	K⁰†
$Ca \rightarrow Cd$	$0.01 \rightarrow 0.8$	$0.06 \rightarrow 0.9$	0.904	-2.177	2.485	-1.024		0.998	10	2.037
$Ca \rightarrow Co$	$0.01 \rightarrow 0.7$	$0.06 \rightarrow 0.8$	0.870	-4.016	9.330	-8.632	2.667	0.996	8	1.914
$Ca \rightarrow Cu$	$0.006 \rightarrow 0.7$	$0.2 \rightarrow 0.8$	3.357	-10.214	9.916	-2.762		0.990	12	2.421
$Ca \rightarrow Pb$	$0.02 \rightarrow 0.8$	$0.1 \rightarrow 0.9$	1.145	-2.750	3.712	-1.668		0.990	9	3.090
$Ca \rightarrow Zn$	$0.01 \rightarrow 0.7$	$0.07 \rightarrow 0.7$	0.969	-2.372	1.911	-0.398		0.995	10	1.656
$Ca \rightarrow Ni$	$0.008 \rightarrow 0.8$	$0.006 \rightarrow 0.9$	-0.039	-0.094	1.546	-1.036	_	0.957	13	1.4825

 $\dagger K_v^0$  was obtained by setting  $N_M = 0.5$  in the polynomial regression.

 $\mathbb{R}^2$  $|\alpha/\beta|$ Cations α ß Parr's softness values All metals -0.0253.14 0.24 0.008 0.005 Omit Ni -0.0204.140.51 Omit Co -0.0303.16 0.39 0.009 Omit Ni and Co 0.99 4.39 0.006 -0.0250.34 0.73 0.081 Misono's softness values All metals 0.027 0.33 0.83 0.066 Omit Ni 0.021

Table 3. Application of Equation [1] to exchange data from isotherms, for whole metals or by omitting Ni and/or Co.

Misono's scale and Parr's scale lead to large differences between calculated  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  values (Table 3); however, the conclusions (discussed later) concerning the relative contribution of covalent and electrostatic interactions deduced from the  $|\alpha/\beta|$  ratios are similar. Consequently, we shall discuss only the results obtained using Parr's data, to be in agreement with Xu and Harsh calculations. The  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  values, the  $|\alpha/\beta|$ ratios and regression coefficients (R<sup>2</sup>) are listed in Table 3.

Models deduced from the regression are significant when Ni and Co are neglected. Log  $K_{ex}$  on the purified Ca-Montmorillonite, at  $I = 0.03 \ M$ ,  $T = 25 \ ^{\circ}C$  and P = 1 atm is given by the relation:

$$\log K_{\rm ex} = -0.025(\chi_{\rm M} - \chi_{\rm Ca}) + 4.39(S_{\rm M} - S_{\rm Ca}) \quad [11]$$

The influence of analytical errors on the determination of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , was estimated by a procedure involving 16 tests, as described below.

Considering analytical errors on  $q_M$  values, explained by the dilutions (1/10 or 1/100) required to analyze initial and final solutions in the range of  $N_M$  considered, the validity of the HSAB model was checked by following a procedure implicating the (log  $K_v^0$ )<sub>max</sub> and (log  $K_v^0$ )<sub>min</sub> values determined graphically from experimental data. The following procedure has been used:

1) For each metal, visual determination, on  $[\log K_v = f(N_M)]$  plots, of the highest and lowest possible log  $K_v^0$  values for  $N_M = 0.5$ .

2) Application of the general relation (Equation [1]) to all the possible groupings of log  $K_{vmin}^0$  and log  $K_{vmin}^0$  values. The number of possible groupings is:  $2 + C_1^4 + C_2^4 + C_3^4 = 16$  (with  $C_p^n = n!/p!(n - p)!$ ).

3) Linear regression for the 16 combinations, and determination of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

4) Recalculation using Equation [1] of the respective log  $K_v^0$  values (log  $K_{vrecalculated}^0$ ).

5) Determination of the relative errors with respect to the "recalculated log  $K_v^{0,"}$  values given by the model.

This operation allows average errors on the log  $K_v^0$ and the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  values to be calculated. In our opinion, these errors represent an accurate estimation of the influence of analytical errors on the model calculation, because their determination is based on the limit values of log  $K_v^0$ . Table 4 illustrates the influence of analytical errors on log  $K_v^0$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $|\alpha/\beta|$  values. Considering the limit conditions involved to appreciate the influence of analytical errors, one may consider that the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  values given by the model are significant. Actually, the log  $K_v^0$  values deduced for polynomial regressions are clearly more precise than the log  $K_v^0$  max and log  $K_v^0$  min values determined graphically.

#### DISCUSSION

Figure 2 plots for each metal the Log  $K_v^0 - [\alpha(\chi_M - \chi_{C_a})]$  as a function of the difference of softness  $(S_M - S_{C_a})$  for the experimental data and the model. Ni and Co, as expected from calculations, deviate from the model prediction. Xu and Harsh (1990b) made a sim-

Table 4. Logarithms of the Vanselow selectivity coefficient calculated from Equation [8]; recalculated logarithms of the Vanselow selectivity coefficient from Equation [11] and average errors on the  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and log  $K_v^0$  values determined by the 16 tests procedure.

	Log .	<b>Κ</b> <sup>0</sup>	$(\text{Log } K_v^0)_{\text{recalculated}}$		Errort ± σ (%)		
Cd	0.309		0.305		$6.01 \pm 3.92$		
Cu	0.384		0.378	$11.24 \pm 6.68$			
Pb	0.490		0.488	$9.11 \pm 6.07$			
Zn	0.219		0.241	$7.04 \pm 4.06$			
α	Error <sup><math>\ddagger</math></sup> $\pm \sigma$ (%)	β	Error <sup>†</sup> $\pm \sigma$ (%)	[α/β]	$\frac{\text{Error} + \sigma}{(\%)}$		
-0.0245	53.02 ± 32.64	4.3948	$23.92 \pm 15.63$	0.0056	61.41 ± 26.82		

† Average errors in the 16 tests procedure with respect to the  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and log  $K_{\nu}^{0}$  values recalculated by the model.



Figure 2. Illustration of the fit of the HSAB model with experimental data. The points represent data obtained from isotherms, the dashed line represents the HSAB model (Equation [11]). Ni and Co, which present a large deviation from the model, have been excluded from the calculation.

ilar observation for  $Ag^+$  and  $Tl^+$  selectivity on Wyoming montmorillonite, Arizona montmorillonite and Utah bentonite. These authors suggested that a negative deviation of log  $K^0_v$  may be caused by a higher affinity of cations for water while a positive one will appear if the radius of the cation is smaller than expected (Xu and Harsh 1990b). The total deviation results from the sum of these 2 phenomena.

The negative deviation of Ni and Co may result from a stronger hydration of these cations. The hydration of ions is influenced by their ionic potential (I<sub>p</sub>), (Sposito 1984; Langmuir 1997), an increasing ionic potential favoring the formation of outer-sphere complexes (hydrated cation), and increasing the importance of electrostatic interactions. Of the 6 studied metals, Ni and Co have the highest ionic potential (I<sub>p</sub> = 2.90 and 3.08 respectively, radius data from CRC 1997) and tend to be more hydrated than the others. Their negative deviation from the "bivalent trend" could hence be explained by their higher hydration.

The influence of hydration on electronegativity and softness values would have to be investigated to proceed in the application of such models (Klopman 1968; Guse 1981; Makov 1995; Rashin and Honig 1985). Pearson (1997) showed that an acid-base reaction for the formation of a complex in water involves 4 acid-base interactions: 1) the interaction between the acid and water (which is considered both an acid or a base), 2) the interaction between the base and water, 3) the interaction between the acid and the base and 4) the interaction of water with itself, which is represented by a constant factor. The stability constant of the complex depends on the sum of these 4 interactions.

Although the subject of cation-water interactions in clay minerals has always attracted many researchers, the modeling of clay-water interactions is more recent and less data are available (Delville 1991; Skipper, Soper and McConnell 1991; Skipper, Refson and Mc-Connell 1991). A recent review has been published on clay-water interface by Güven (1992).

 $|\alpha/\beta|$  Value as Indicator of the Nature of Cation-Surface Interactions

As said previously, Xu and Harsh (1990b) use  $|\alpha/\beta|$  ratio as an indicator of the relative influence of electrostatic and covalent interactions on metal selectivity.

For each exchange, it is possible to calculate the theoretical  $|\alpha/\beta|$  value for which the contribution on log  $K_v^0$  of the electronegativity term and the softness term, are equal, that is:  $|\alpha/\beta|_{0.5}$ . Table 5 summarizes the  $\alpha_{0.5}$ ,  $\beta_{0.5}$ ,  $|\alpha/\beta|_{0.5}$  values and the respective percentage of electronegativity and softness terms on log  $K_v^0$  values, determined for each exchange.

Table 5. Theoretical  $\alpha_{0.5}$ ,  $\beta_{0.5}$  and  $|\alpha/\beta|_{0.5}$  values, for which the contribution on log  $K_v^0$  of the electonegativity and softness terms are equal and respective contribution of electronegativity and softness terms on log  $K_v^0$  values.

	α <sub>0.5</sub>	β <sub>0.5</sub>	[α/β] <sub>0.5</sub>	Electro- static interactions	Covalent interactions
Cd	-0.036	3.32	0.011	33.7	66.3
Cu	-0.066	2.70	0.025	18.5	81.5
Pb	-0.031	3.64	0.009	39.7	60.3
Zn	-0.047	2.97	0.016	25.9	74.1

Table 6. The  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $|\alpha/\beta|$  and regression coefficient ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) values derived from Equation [1] for different molar fractions on clay ( $N_{\rm M}$ ).

N <sub>M</sub>	α	β	$\mathbb{R}^2$	[α/β]
0.05	0.068	37.12	0.81	0.002
80.0	0.144	38.90	0.86	0.004
0.1	0.203	39.76	0.91	0.005
0.2	0.166	28.75	0.96	0.006
0.3	0.095	18.58	0.98	0.006
0.4	0.010	9.12	0.98	0.001
0.6	-0.038	2.02	0.91	-0.019

For all the metals, the  $[\alpha/\beta]_{0.5}$  values are higher than the  $[\alpha/\beta]$  value deduced from the model. This indicates that softness is the dominant factor determining log  $K_v^0$  and hence that covalent interactions prevail on electrostatic interactions for determining the selectivity sequence. This result is confirmed for each metal by the respective contribution on log  $K_v^0$  of electrostatic and covalent interactions.

Eventually, it is possible to establish, using Parr's as well as Misono's softness values, a cation sequence following the electrostatic and covalent contributions to selectivity. This sequence is:

Increasing covalent interactions						
Pb <	Cd	< Z	n <	→ Cu		
( Increasi	ng elect	rostatio	intera	tions		

To be adsorbed in the clay interlayer, a bivalent cation must compensate 2 negative charges. Accordingly, the cation will be farther from each charge than is a monovalent cation, compensating only 1. However our values are similar to those obtained with monovalent cations by Xu and Harsh (1990b) on different montmorillonites. As alkali cations are considered harder than bivalent transition elements or heavy metals (Sullivan 1977; Sposito 1984), one may assume that the greater average separation of bivalent cations from the surface negative charges is compensated by their higher softness.

## Representativity of the HSAB Model

The general equation (Equation [1]) established by Xu and Harsh (1990a) allows a selectivity model to be determined. It seems important to discuss its physical meaning. The fundamental contribution of this model is to take both electrostatic and covalent interactions into account. However, the model is based on 2 assumptions which, in our opinion, have to be discussed.

1) The  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  coefficients characterize the surface without distinction of different sites. This assumption may be valid in the case of oxides or ion resin surfaces, on which all the charge originates on the surface oxygens, but is debatable when clay surfaces are involved. Crystal-chemical arguments support this view through heterogeneity of charge distribution at clay surface, in relation to the irregular character of tetrahedral and octahedral substitutions (Fripiat et al. 1965; Schultess and Huang 1990; Gorgeon 1994). Moreover, different authors have suggested an heterogeneity in site type with differences of bonding energy between planar and edge sites (Peigneur et al. 1975; Inskeep and Baham 1983). The most energetically favorable sites would be occupied first (Inskeep and Baham 1983). More recently, quantum chemistry studies on phyllosilicates by Bleam (1990a, 1990b, 1993), presenting simulations of different clay surfaces, show local perturbations of the electrostatic potential, generated by tetrahedral or octahedral substitutions. The elevated energetic variability supports the hypothesis of heterogeneous planar sites.

2) Xu and Harsh choose  $N_{\rm M} = 0.5$  as a standard state, basing the model on the selectivity coefficient determined for this particular value. This implies that an entire exchange process can be represented by only 1  $K_v$  value. Such an assumption is too restrictive, because extreme values are neglected by the model. The selectivity coefficient decreases as a function of cation concentration over the range of  $N_{\rm M}$  (Wada and Kakuto 1980; Godfrin et al. 1989; Maza-Rodriguez et al. 1992; Staunton and Roubaud 1997). In the trace concentrations region ( $N_{\rm M} < 0.1$ ),  $K_v$  can reach very high values, which are not reflected by the model.

To complement the model empirically, in addition to calculation at  $N_{\rm M} = 0.5$ , we computed  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  coefficients for other  $N_{\rm M}$  values ( $N_{\rm M} = 0.05$ , 0.08, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 and 0.6). A good fit is obtained for Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn for each regression. The different values of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\alpha/\beta$  obtained are listed in Table 6 and plotted as a function of  $N_{\rm M}$  in Figure 3. Such a plot illustrates the dependence of selectivity on molar fraction. One may notice that the ratio  $\alpha/\beta$  reaches a maximum values in the range  $0.1 < N_{\rm M} < 0.3$ , suggesting that the contribution of electrostatic interactions. So conversely, the contribution of covalent bonding appears maximum when  $N_{\rm M} < 0.1$  and  $N_{\rm M} > 0.3$ .

Polynomial regressions in the form were calculated to obtain  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ :



Figure 3. Plot of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $|\alpha/\beta|$  as a function of the molar fraction in clay  $(N_M)$ . The large variability of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  illustrates the dependence of the Vanselow selectivity coefficient on  $N_M$ .

$$\alpha = (a_0 + a_1 N_M + a_2 N_M^2 + a_3 N_M^3 + \ldots) \quad [12]$$

and:

$$\beta = (b_0 + b_1 N_M + b_2 N_M^2 + b_3 N_M^3 + \ldots) \quad [13]$$

where  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$  and  $b_0$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ ,  $b_3$  are constants. One may notice that these relations are empirical as they involve the selectivity coefficient  $(K_v)$  instead of the equilibrium contant  $(K_v^0)$ .

As a result, the variation of the logarithm of Vanselow selectivity coefficient for Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn can be described by the equation:

$$\log K_{v} = (-0.14 + 5.73N_{\rm M} - 30.43N_{\rm M}^{2} + 57.19N_{\rm M}^{3}$$
$$- 36.52N_{\rm M}^{4})(\chi_{\rm M} - \chi_{\rm Ca})$$
$$+ (30.99 + 209.1N_{\rm M} - 1649.7N_{\rm M}^{2} + 3347N_{\rm M}^{3}$$
$$- 2190N_{\rm M}^{4})(S_{\rm M} - S_{\rm Ca})$$
[14]

This relation, associated with the HSAB model equation, could be helpful to represent, with a higher accuracy, the selectivity at a clay surface in the trace region.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The HSAB model given by Xu and Harsh (1990a, 1990b) has been applied to bivalent cation exchange on a purified Ca-montmorillonite. For given ionic strength (I = 0.03 M), temperature (T = 25 °C) and pressure (P = 1 atm), the selectivity of bivalent exchanges has been predicted as a function of their electronegativity and softness, for 4 of the 6 studied metals (Cd, Cu, Pb, Zn) when using Parr's softness values (Equation [11]). Using Misono's softness values, it is possible to integrate Co in the model, Ni being always deviating. Nevertheless, we focused on the model established using Parr's softness values because of its higher physical significance.

The failure of Ni and Co to accommodate the HSAB model has been interpreted as a consequence of a higher hydration (Xu and Harsh 1990b). A better knowledge of the electronegativity and softness of metals with hydroxy-complexes would permit hydrolyzed cations to be integrated into the model.

The relative contribution of electrostatic and covalent interactions is determined by the fitting parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of the HSAB model. These contributions have been studied with respect to the hypothetical  $|\alpha/\beta|_{0.5}$ ratio corresponding to an equivalent contribution of electrostatic and covalent bonding, and to the respective contribution of electronegativity and softness terms on log  $K_v^0$  values. Data show a higher contribution of the latter with respect to the former.

Theoretical calculations are performed with  $N_{\rm M} = 0.5$ . To increase the representativity of the model for the small  $N_{\rm M}$  values, we propose to associate to the HSAB model an empirical equation describing the variation of the selectivity coefficient as a function of the molar fraction on clay.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank J. M. Favier, for his advice on computer calculations.

## REFERENCES

Auboiroux M, Baillif P, Touray JC, Bergaya F. 1996. Fixation of  $Zn^{2+}$  and  $Pb^{2+}$  by a Ca-montmorillonite in brines and

dilute solutions: Preliminary results. Appl Clay Sci 11:117-126.

- Babcock KL, Duckart EC. 1980. The standard state for exchangeable cations. Soil Sci 130:64-67.
- Benjamin MM, Leckie JO. 1981. Multiple-site adsorption of Cd, Cu, Zn and Pb on amourphous iron oxyhydroxyde. J Colloid Interface Sci 79:209–221.
- Bergaya F, Vayer M. 1997. CEC of clays: Measurement by adsorption of a copper ethylenediamine complex. Appl Clay Sci 12:275–280.
- Bertin C, Bourg ACM. 1995. Trends in the heavy metal content (Cd, Pb, Zn) of river sediments in the drainage basin of smelting activities. Wat Res 29:1729–1736.
- Bleam WF. 1990a. Electrostatic potential at the basal (001) surface of talc and pyrophyllite as related to tetrahedral sheet distortions. Clays Clay Miner 38:522–526.
- Bleam WF 1990b. The nature of cation-substitution sites in phyllosilicates. Clays Clay Miner 38:527–536.
- Bleam WF. 1993. Atomic theorie of phyllosilicates: Quantum chemistry, statistical mechanics, electrostatic theory, and crystal chemistry. Rev Geophys 31:51–73.
- Brigatti MF, Corradini F, Franchini GC, Mazzoni S, Medici L, Poppi L. 1995. Interaction between montmorillonite and pollutants from industrial waste-water: Exchange of  $Zn^{2+}$  and Pb<sup>2+</sup> from aqueous solutions. Appl Clay Sci 9:383–395.
- Brüemmer GW, Gerth J, Tiller KG. 1988. Reaction kinetics of the adsorption and desorption of nickel, zinc and cadmium by goethite. I. Adsorption and difusion of metals. J Soil Sci 39:37–52.
- CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 78th edition. 1997. Lide DR, editor. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Pr. p 12-14-12-16.
- Delville A. 1991. Modeling the clay-water interface. Langmuir 7:547–555.
- Eisenman G. 1962. Cation selective glass electrodes and their mode of operation. Biophys J. 2:259-323.
- Farrah H, Hatton D, Pickering WF. 1980. The affinity of metal ions for clay surfaces. Chem Geol 28:55–68.
- Fripiat JJ, Cloos P, Poncelet A. 1965. Comparaison entre les propriétés d'échange de la montmorillonite et d'une résine vis-à-vis des cations alcalins et alcalino-terreux:, I.-Réversibilité des processus. Bull Soc Chim France:208–215.
- Gaines GL, Thomas HC. 1953. Adsorption studies on clay mineral: II. A formulation of thermodynamics of exchange adsorption. J Chem Phys 21:714–718.
- Godfrin JM, Cloos P, Van Bladel R. 1989. Sélectivité des echanges ioniques Ca-Cu et Ca-Zn dans quelques sols belges. Pedol XXXIX-1:89-110.
- Goldberg S, Glaubig RA. 1986. Boron adsorption and silicon release by the clay minerals kaolinite, montmorillonite, and illite. Soil Sci Soc Am J 50:1442–1448.
- Gorgeon L. 1994. Contribution à la modélisation physicochimique de la rétention de radioéléments a vie longue par des matériaux argileux [3eme cycle]. Paris 6.
- Guse MP. 1981. An atoms in molecules approach to density functional theory. J Chem Phys 75:828-833.
- Güven N. 1992. Molecular aspects of clay-water interactions. In: Güven N, Pollastro RM, editors. Clay-water interface and its rheological implications. Boulder, CO: Clay Miner Soc. p 1–79.
- Halen H, Van Bladel R, Cloos P. 1991. Relations pH-adsorption du cuivre, du zinc et du cadmium pour quelques sols et minéraux argileux. Pedol XL-1:47–68.
- Helios Rybicka E, Calmano W, Breeger A. 1995. Heavy metal sorption/desorption on competing clay minerals; An experimental study. Appl Clay Sci 9:369–381.
- Helios Rybicka E, Kyziol J. 1991. Clays and clay minerals as the natural barries for heavy metals in pollution mech-

anisms—Illustrated by Polish rivers and soils. Mitt Ôsterr Geol Ges 83:163–176.

- Hewitt CN, Rashed MB. 1992. Removal rates of selected pollutants in the runoff waters from a major rural highway. Wat Res 26:311–319.
- Hirsch D, Nir S, Banin A. 1989. Prediction of cadmium complexation in solution and adsorption to montmorillonite. Soil Sci Soc Am J 53:716–721.
- Inskeep WP, Baham J. 1983. Adsorption of Cd(II) and Cu(II) by Na-montmorillonite at low surface coverage. Soil Sci Soc Am J 47:660–665.
- John MK, Van Laerhaven CJ, Cross CH. 1975. Cadmium, lead and zinc accumulation in soil near a smelter complex. Environ Lett 10:25–35.
- Kanungo SB. 1994. Adsortion of cations on hydrous oxides of iron. J Colloid Interface Sci 162:93–102.
- Klopman G. 1968. Chemical reactivity and the concept of charge-and-frontier controlled reaction. J Am Chem Soc 90:223–234.
- Langmuir D. 1997. Aqueous environmental geochemistry. New York: Prentice-Hall. 600 p.
- Lee PK, Baillif P, Touray JC, Lepiller M, Gallet M. 1996. Un système de décantation-filtration des eaux pluviales dans le domaine autoroutier (A71). Rev Gén Rout et Aérod 741: 24–30.
- Lee PK, Touray JC, Baillif P, Ildefonse JP. 1997. Heavy metal contamination of settling particles in a retention pond along the A-71 motorway in Sologne, France. Sci Tot Environ 201:1–15.
- Liu J, Howard SM, Han KN. 1993. Adsorption behavior of cadmium and zinc ions on oxide/water interfaces. Langmuir 9:3635-3639.
- Makov G. 1995. Chemical hardness in density functional theory. J Phys Chem 99:9337–9339.
- Maza-Rodriguez J, Oliver-Pastor P, Bruque S, Jimenez-Lopez A. 1992. Exchange selectivity of lanthanide ions in montmorillonite. Clay Miner 27:81–89.
- Misono M, Ochiai E, Saito Y, Yoneda Y. 1967. A new dual parameter scale for the strength of Lewis acids and bases with the evaluation of their softness. J Inorg Nucl Chem 29:2685–2691.
- Nagle JK. 1990. Atomic polarizability and electronegativity. J Am Chem Soc 112:4741-4747.
- Nir S. 1986. Specific and nonspecific cation adsorption to clays: Solution concentrations and surface potentials. Soil Sci Soc Am J 50:52–57.
- Nwankwo JN, Elinder CG. 1979. Cadmium, lead and zinc concentrations in soils and in food grown near a zinc and lead smelter in Zambia. Bull Environ Contamin Tox 22: 625-631.
- Parr RG, Pearson RG. 1983. Absolute hardness: Companion parameter to absolute electronegativity. J Am Chem Soc 105:7512–7516.
- Parr RG, Yang W. 1989. Density-functional theory of atoms and molecules. New York: Oxford Univ Pr. 333 p.
- Pearson RG. 1963. Hard and soft acids and bases. J Am Chem Soc 85:3533–3539.
- Pearson RG. 1968a. Hard and soft acids and bases, HSAB, Part I. J Chem Educ 45:581-587.
- Pearson RG. 1968b. Hard and soft acids and bases, HSAB, Part II. J Chem Educ 45:643-648.
- Pearson RG. 1986. Absolute electronegativity and hardness correlated with molecular orbital theory. Proc Natl Acad Sci (USA) 83:8440–8441.
- Pearson RG. 1997. Chemical hardness. weinheim: Wiley-VCH. 198 p.

- Peigneur P, Maes A, Cremers A. 1975. Heterogeneity of charge density in montmorillonite as inferred from cobalt adsorption. Clays Clay Miner 23:71–75.
- Rashin AA, Honig B. 1985. Reevaluation of the Born model of the ion hydration. J Phys Chem 89:5588-5593.
- Robles J, Bartolotti LJ. 1984. Electronegativities, electron affinities, ionization potentials, and hardness of the elements within spin polarized density functional theory. J Am Chem Soc 106:3723–3727.
- Rose AW, Bianchi-Mosquera GC. 1993. Adsorption of Cu, Pb, Zn, Co, Ni, and Ag on goethite and hematite: A control on metal mobilization from red beds into stratiform copper deposits. Econ Geol 88:1226–1236.
- Schindler PW, Liechti P, Westall JC. 1987. Adsorption of copper, cadmium and lead from aqueous solution to the kaolinite/water interface. Neth J Agr Sci 35:219-230.
- Schultess CP, Huang CP. 1990. Adsorption of heavy metal by silicon and aluminium oxydes surfaces on clay minerals. Soil Sci Soc Am J 54:679-688.
- Siantar DP, Fripiat JJ. 1995. Lead retention and complexation in a magnesium smectite. J Colloid Interface Sci 169:400– 407.
- Skipper NT, Refson K, McConnell JDC. 1991. Computer simulation of interlayer water in 2:1 clays. J Chem Phys 94: 7434-7445.
- Skipper NT, Soper AK, McConnell JDC. 1991. The structure of interlayer water in vermiculite. J Chem Phys 94:5751– 5760.
- Sposito G. 1984. The surface chemistry of soils. New York: Clarendon Pr.
- Stadler M, Schindler PW. 1993. The effect of dissolved ligands on the sorption of Cu(II) by Ca-montmorillonite. Clays Clay Miner 42:148–160.
- Staunton S, Roubaud M. 1997. Adsorption of 137Cs on montmorillonite and illite: Effect of charge compensating cation, ionic strength, concentration of Cs, K and fulvic acid. Clays Clay Miner 45:251–260.
- Sullivan PJ. 1997. The principle of Hard and Soft Acids and Bases as applied to exchangeable cation selectivity in soils. Soil Sci 124:117-121.
- Tiller KG, Gerth J, Brümmer G. 1984. The sorption of Cd, Zn and Ni by soil clay fractions: Procedure for partition of bound forms and their interpretation. Geoderm 34:1–16.
- Trichet J, Disnar JR, Bonnamy S, Gauthier B, Nakashima S, Oberlin A, Perruchot A, Rouzaud JN. 1987. Le comportement mutuel de la matière organique et des métaux: Implications géochimiques et métallogéniques. Mém Soc Géol Fr 151:143-162.
- Van Bladel R, Halen H, Cloos P. 1993. Calcium-zinc and calcium-cadmium exchange in suspensions of various types of clays. Clay Miner 28:33–38.
- Viraraghavan T, Kapoor A. 1994. Adsorption of mercury from wastewater by bentonite. Appl Clay Sci 9:31-49.
- Wada K, Kakuto Y. 1980. Selective adsorption of zinc on halloysite. Clays Clay Miner 28:321-327.
- Xu S, Harsh JB. 1990a. Monovalent cation selectivity quantitatively modeled according to Hard/Soft Acid/Base theory. Soil Sci Soc Am J 54:357–363.
- Xu S, Harsh JB. 1990b. Hard and Soft Acid-Base model verified for monovalent cation selectivity. Soil Sci Soc Am J 54:1596–1601.
- Xu S, Harsh JB. 1992. Alkali cation selectivity and surface charge of 2:1 clay minerals. Clays Clay Miner 40:567–574.
- Yang W, Parr RG. 1985. Hardness, softness, and the fukui function in the electronic theory of metals and catalysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 82:6723–6726.
- Zachara JM, Kittrick JA, Harsh JB. 1988. The mechanism of  $Zn^{2+}$  adsorption on calcite. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 52: 2281–2291.

(Received 29 October 1996; accepted 9 February 1998; Ms. 2825)