

## THE $3x + 1$ CONJUGACY MAP

DANIEL J. BERNSTEIN AND JEFFREY C. LAGARIAS

ABSTRACT. The  $3x + 1$  map  $T$  and the shift map  $S$  are defined by  $T(x) = (3x + 1)/2$  for  $x$  odd,  $T(x) = x/2$  for  $x$  even, while  $S(x) = (x - 1)/2$  for  $x$  odd,  $S(x) = x/2$  for  $x$  even. The  $3x + 1$  conjugacy map  $\Phi$  on the 2-adic integers  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  conjugates  $S$  to  $T$ , i.e.,  $\Phi \circ S \circ \Phi^{-1} = T$ . The map  $\Phi \bmod 2^n$  induces a permutation  $\Phi_n$  on  $\mathbf{Z}/2^n\mathbf{Z}$ . We study the cycle structure of  $\Phi_n$ . In particular we show that it has order  $2^{n-4}$  for  $n \geq 6$ . We also count 1-cycles of  $\Phi_n$  for  $n$  up to 1000; the results suggest that  $\Phi$  has exactly two odd fixed points. The results generalize to the  $ax + b$  map, where  $ab$  is odd.

1. **Introduction.** The  $3x + 1$  problem concerns iteration of the  $3x + 1$  function

$$(1.1) \quad T(x) = \begin{cases} (3x + 1)/2 & \text{if } x \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ x/2 & \text{if } x \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

on the integers  $\mathbf{Z}$ . The well-known  $3x + 1$  Conjecture asserts that, for each positive integer  $n$ , some iterate  $T^k(n)$  equals 1, i.e., all orbits on the positive integers eventually reach the cycle  $\{1, 2\}$ .

The  $3x + 1$  function (1.1) is defined on the larger domain  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  of 2-adic integers. It is a measure-preserving map on  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  with respect to the 2-adic measure, and it is strongly mixing, so it is ergodic; see [8]. More is true. Let  $S: \mathbf{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$  be the 2-adic shift map defined by

$$(1.2) \quad S(x) = \begin{cases} (x - 1)/2 & \text{if } x \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ x/2 & \text{if } x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}; \end{cases}$$

i.e.,  $S(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i 2^i) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i+1} 2^i$ , if each  $b_i$  is 0 or 1. Then  $T$  is topologically conjugate to  $S$ : there is a homeomorphism  $\Phi: \mathbf{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$  with

$$(1.3) \quad \Phi \circ S \circ \Phi^{-1} = T.$$

In fact  $T$  is metrically conjugate to  $S$ : one map  $\Phi$  satisfying (1.3) preserves the 2-adic measure. Thus  $T$  is Bernoulli.

The map  $\Phi$  is determined by (1.3) up to multiplication on the right by an automorphism of the shift  $S$ . It is known that the automorphism group of  $S$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ , with nontrivial element  $V(x) = -1 - x$ . (See [6, Theorem 6.9] and the introduction to [3].) We obtain a unique function  $\Phi$  by adding to (1.3) the side condition  $\Phi(0) = 0$ . We call  $\Phi$  the  $3x + 1$  conjugacy map. This function has been constructed several times, apparently first in [8], where  $\Phi^{-1}$  is denoted  $Q_{\infty}$ , and also in [1], [2].

---

Received by the editors January 26, 1995.

AMS subject classification: 11B75.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1996.

An important property of  $\Phi$  is that it is solenoidal. Here we say that a function  $f$  on  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  is *solenoidal* if, for every  $n$ , it induces a function mod  $2^n$ , i.e.,

$$x \equiv y \pmod{2^n} \implies f(x) \equiv f(y) \pmod{2^n}.$$

This solenoidal property, together with  $\Phi(0) = 0$ , implies that

$$(1.4) \quad \Phi(x) \equiv x \pmod{2}.$$

For completeness, we give a self-contained proof that  $\Phi$  is unique. Let  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  be two invertible functions satisfying (1.3) and (1.4). Write  $Q$  and  $Q'$  for their inverses. Then  $S \circ Q = Q \circ T$  and  $S \circ Q' = Q' \circ T$ , and (1.4) gives  $Q \equiv Q' \pmod{2}$ . If  $Q \equiv Q' \pmod{2^k}$  then  $Q \circ T \equiv Q' \circ T \pmod{2^k}$ , so  $S \circ Q \equiv S \circ Q' \pmod{2^k}$ . Now  $S \circ Q$  and  $S \circ Q'$  agree in the bottom  $k$  bits, and  $Q$  and  $Q'$  agree in the bottom bit, so  $Q$  and  $Q'$  agree in the bottom  $k + 1$  bits. Hence  $Q \equiv Q' \pmod{2^{k+1}}$ . By induction  $Q \equiv Q' \pmod{2^k}$  for every  $k$ , so  $Q = Q'$ , so  $\Phi = \Phi'$ .

There is an explicit formula for  $\Phi^{-1}$  ([8]). Let  $T^m$  denote the  $m$ -th iterate of  $T$ . Then

$$(1.5) \quad \Phi^{-1}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (T^i(x) \pmod{2}) 2^i.$$

This implies (1.3) and (1.4), and also shows that  $\Phi^{-1}$  is solenoidal.

There is also an explicit formula for  $\Phi$  ([2]). For  $x \in \mathbf{Z}_2$ , expand  $x$  as

$$x = \sum_l 2^{d_l},$$

in which  $\{d_l\}$  is a finite or infinite sequence with  $0 \leq d_1 < d_2 < \dots$ . Then

$$(1.6) \quad \Phi(x) = - \sum_l 3^{-l} 2^{d_l}.$$

This also implies (1.3) and (1.4), and shows that  $\Phi$  is solenoidal.

Various properties of the  $3x + 1$  map under iteration can be formulated in terms of properties of  $\Phi$ . The  $3x + 1$  Conjecture is reformulated as follows ([2], [8]). Here  $\mathbf{Z}^+$  denotes the positive integers.

$3x + 1$  CONJECTURE.  $\mathbf{Z}^+ \subseteq \Phi(\frac{1}{3}\mathbf{Z})$ .

Furthermore, it is known that  $\Phi(\mathbf{Q} \cap \mathbf{Z}_2) \subseteq \mathbf{Q} \cap \mathbf{Z}_2$ . (This is easily proven from (1.6); see [2].) The following conjecture is proposed in [8].

PERIODICITY CONJECTURE.  $\Phi(\mathbf{Q} \cap \mathbf{Z}_2) = \mathbf{Q} \cap \mathbf{Z}_2$ .

This would imply that the  $3x + 1$  function  $T$  has no divergent trajectories on  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Recall that a trajectory  $\{T^k(n) : k \geq 1\}$  is *divergent* if it contains an infinite number of distinct elements, so that  $|T^k(n)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . In fact, if

$$T_{3,k}(x) = \begin{cases} (3x + k)/2 & \text{if } x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ x/2 & \text{if } x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \end{cases}$$

then the Periodicity Conjecture is equivalent to the assertion that, for all  $k \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$ , the  $3x + k$  function has no divergent trajectories on  $\mathbf{Z}$ . (This follows from [9, Corollary 2.1b].)

This paper studies the  $3x + 1$  conjugacy map  $\Phi$  for its own sake. The function  $\Phi$  is a solenoidal bijection; it induces permutations  $\Phi_n$  of  $\mathbf{Z}/2^n\mathbf{Z}$ . Our object is to determine properties of the cycle structure of the permutations  $\Phi_n$ . In effect, our results give information about the iterates  $\Phi^k$  of  $\Phi$ . We prove in particular that  $\Phi_n$  contains three “long” cycles of length  $2^{n-4}$ , for all  $n \geq 6$ .

We remark that the results we prove are not related to the  $3x + 1$  Conjecture in any immediate way; indeed for the iterates  $T^k$  the conjugacy (1.3) gives  $\Phi \circ S^k \circ \Phi^{-1} = T^k$ , a relation which does not involve  $\Phi^k$  for any  $k \geq 2$ . We do note that the Periodicity Conjecture is equivalent, for any  $k \geq 1$ , to the assertion that  $\Phi^k(\mathbf{Q} \cap \mathbf{Z}_2) = \mathbf{Q} \cap \mathbf{Z}_2$ . Consequently information about  $\Phi^k$  may conceivably prove useful in resolving the Periodicity Conjecture.

The contents of the paper are as follows. In Section 2 we give a table of the cycle lengths of  $\Phi_n$  for  $n \leq 20$ . This table motivated our results. We also give data on 1-cycles of  $\Phi_n$  for  $n \leq 1000$ . We conjecture that  $\Phi$  has exactly two odd fixed points. In Section 3 we formulate results on the progressive stabilization of the “long” cycles of  $\Phi_n$ . In Section 4 we generalize these results to the conjugacy map for the  $ax + b$  function

$$T_{a,b}(x) = \begin{cases} (ax + b)/2 & \text{if } x \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ x/2 & \text{if } x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \end{cases}$$

where  $ab$  is odd. We prove all these results in Section 5. The proofs are based on Theorem 5.1, which keeps track of the highest-order significant bit in the orbit of  $x \pmod{2^{n+2}}$ . In Section 6 we reconsider “short” cycles of  $\Phi_n$ , and present a heuristic argument that relates their asymptotics to the number of global periodic points. This heuristic is consistent with the data on 1-cycles presented in Section 2.

There are two appendices on solenoidal maps. Appendix A shows the equivalence of “solenoidal bijection,” “solenoidal homeomorphism,” and “2-adic isometry.” Appendix B shows that a wide class of functions  $U$  generalizing the  $3x + 1$  map  $T$  are conjugate to the 2-adic shift  $S$  by a solenoidal conjugacy map  $\Phi_U$ .

Finally, we note that, for odd  $k$ , the map  $Q(x) = kx$  conjugates the  $3x + 1$  function to the  $3x + k$  function; *i.e.*,  $Q \circ T \circ Q^{-1} = T_{3,k}$ . Thus the cycle structure of the permutations mod  $2^n$  of all the conjugacy maps  $\Phi_{3,k}$  are identical. Other properties of the  $3x + 1$  conjugacy map appear in [2], [10], [11]. In particular,  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi^{-1}$  are nowhere differentiable on  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ ; see [10], [2].

We thank Mike Boyle and Doug Lind for supplying references concerning the automorphism group of the one-sided shift, and the referee for helpful comments.

**2. Empirical Data and Two Conjectures.** By (1.4),  $\Phi_n$  takes odd numbers to odd numbers. Let  $\hat{\Phi}_n: (\mathbf{Z}/2^n\mathbf{Z})^* \rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}/2^n\mathbf{Z})^*$  denote its restriction. The properties of  $\Phi_n$  are completely determined by  $\hat{\Phi}_n$ . Indeed,  $\Phi(2^jx) = 2^j\Phi(x)$  by (1.6), so the action of  $\hat{\Phi}_{n-j}$  describes the action of  $\Phi_n$  on odd numbers times  $2^j$ .

$n$	$\hat{\Phi}_n$	order( $\hat{\Phi}_n$ )
2	identity	1
3	{1, 5}	2
4	{1, 5} {9, 13}	2
5	{1, 21} {5, 17} {7, 23} {9, 29, 25, 13}	4
6	{1, 21} {3, 35} {5, 17, 37, 49} {7, 23} {9, 29, 25, 13} {19, 51} {27, 59} {33, 53} {39, 55} {41, 61, 57, 45}	4

Table 2.1. Cycle structure of  $\hat{\Phi}_n, n \leq 6$ . 1-cycles are omitted.

Each  $\hat{\Phi}_n$  consists of cycles of various lengths, all of which are powers of 2. (See Section 3 for a proof.) The exact form of  $\hat{\Phi}_n$  for  $n \leq 6$  appears in Table 2.1.

Table 2.2 below lists the number of cycles of various lengths in  $\hat{\Phi}_n$  for  $n \leq 20$ . Let  $X_{n,j}$  denote the set of cycles of  $\hat{\Phi}_n$  of period  $2^j$ , and let  $|X_{n,j}|$  be the number of such cycles. From Table 2.2 we see, empirically, that

$$(2.1) \quad \text{order}(\hat{\Phi}_n) = 2^{n-4}, \quad n \geq 6.$$

We also see a progressive stabilization of the number of “long” cycles in  $\hat{\Phi}_n$ . In Sections 3–5 we prove both these facts.

How does  $|X_{n,j}|$ , the number of cycles of  $\hat{\Phi}_n$  of size  $2^j$ , behave as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for fixed  $j$ ? We give data for the simplest case  $|X_{n,0}|$  of 1-cycles. Table 2.3 gives all values of  $|X_{n,0}|$  for  $n \leq 100$ , and Table 2.4 gives values of  $|X_{n,0}|$  at intervals of 10 for  $n \leq 1000$ . We computed the values  $|X_{n,0}|$  recursively for increasing  $n$  by tracking each 1-cycle individually.

The tables show that  $|X_{n,0}|$  behaves irregularly, but has a general tendency to increase. In Section 6 we present a heuristic model which suggests that

$$(2.2) \quad |X_{n,0}| \sim F_0 n \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $F_0$  is the number of odd fixed points of  $\Phi$ . Comparison with Table 2.4 suggests the following conjecture.

**FIXED POINT CONJECTURE.** *The  $3x + 1$  conjugacy map  $\Phi$  has exactly two odd fixed points.*

We searched for odd rational fixed points, and immediately found two:  $x = -1$  and  $x = 1/3$ . The conjecture thus asserts that these are the only odd fixed points of  $\Phi$ . We do not know of any approach to determine the existence or non-existence of non-rational odd fixed points.

More generally we propose the following conjecture.

$(n, j)$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
2	2																
3	2	1															
4	4	2															
5	6	3	1														
6	6	7	3														
7	8	10	3	3													
8	14	17	8	0	3												
9	14	21	18	4	0	3											
10	10	35	24	14	2	0	3										
11	12	40	37	18	12	2	0	3									
12	16	48	70	23	16	10	2	0	3								
13	26	53	79	60	24	11	10	2	0	3							
14	22	63	111	98	50	14	11	10	2	0	3						
15	18	81	129	153	84	40	11	11	10	2	0	3					
16	20	96	179	186	137	78	31	11	11	10	2	0	3				
17	18	91	242	236	207	131	61	29	11	11	10	2	0	3			
18	12	104	305	308	312	192	105	56	29	11	11	10	2	0	3		
19	16	86	375	401	432	307	152	99	54	29	11	11	10	2	0	3	
20	26	95	424	573	564	445	281	133	91	54	29	11	11	10	2	0	3

Table 2.2. Number of cycles  $|X_{n,j}|$  of  $\Phi_n$  of order  $2^j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n$ .

**$3x + 1$  CONJUGACY FINITENESS CONJECTURE.** For each  $j \geq 0$ , the  $3x + 1$  conjugacy map  $\Phi$  has finitely many odd periodic points of period  $2^j$ .

We have no idea whether the  $3x + 1$  conjugacy map  $\Phi$  has finitely many odd periodic points in total. There are examples of  $ax + b$  conjugacy maps that have no odd periodic points; see Section 4.

**3. Cycle structure of  $\Phi_n$ : Inert Cycles and Stable Cycles.** There is a simple relation between the cycles of  $\Phi_n$  and those of  $\Phi_{n+1}$ : For  $x \in \mathbf{Z}_2$ , the cycle  $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$  that  $x$  belongs to in  $\Phi_{n+1}$  has length  $|\sigma_{n+1}(x)|$  either equal to or double the length of the cycle  $\sigma_n(x)$  that  $x$  belongs to in  $\Phi_n$ .

This follows from a more general fact. Call a function  $f_{n+1}: \mathbf{Z}/m^{n+1}\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/m^{n+1}\mathbf{Z}$  consistent mod  $m^n$  if it induces a function  $f_n$  from  $\mathbf{Z}/m^n\mathbf{Z}$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/m^n\mathbf{Z}$ , i.e., if

$$(3.1) \quad x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{m^n} \implies f_{n+1}(x_1) \equiv f_{n+1}(x_2) \pmod{m^n}.$$

**LEMMA 3.1.** Let  $f_{n+1}: \mathbf{Z}/m^{n+1}\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/m^{n+1}\mathbf{Z}$  be a function which is consistent mod  $m^n$ . If  $x$  is a purely periodic point of  $f_{n+1}$  then  $x$  is a purely periodic point of  $f_n$  and

$$|\sigma_{n+1}(x)| = k |\sigma_n(x)|$$

for some integer  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq m$ .

$(k, j)$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1		12	32	52	80	116	106	152	124	110
2	2	16	38	54	82	122	112	144	124	108
3	2	26	36	56	96	124	110	120	130	108
4	4	22	38	54	106	124	112	108	128	92
5	6	18	36	54	116	114	106	114	128	96
6	6	20	36	54	90	128	92	132	136	96
7	8	18	50	68	82	118	106	140	124	102
8	14	12	60	68	92	94	116	144	118	108
9	14	16	62	84	102	92	122	144	104	88
10	10	26	50	92	108	100	132	144	98	90

Table 2.3. Number of 1-cycles in  $\hat{\Phi}_{10+jk}$ .

$(k, j)$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	10	96	380	700	844	1278	1078	1330	1944	2030
2	26	90	458	788	840	1176	1130	1142	2180	2162
3	50	116	452	916	1134	1000	1212	1170	2194	2230
4	92	156	544	780	942	914	1270	1240	2226	2128
5	108	240	574	678	874	998	1462	1346	2130	2206
6	100	278	588	908	910	1110	1476	1538	2294	2362
7	132	282	628	818	866	1172	1360	1562	2204	2354
8	144	320	634	784	932	1172	1358	1778	2184	2362
9	98	378	784	870	1060	1072	1190	1974	2114	2242
10	90	404	714	892	1150	1086	1208	1808	2056	2308

Table 2.4. Number of 1-cycles in  $\hat{\Phi}_{100+jk}$ .

PROOF. The image of  $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$  under projection mod  $m^n$  consists of  $k$  copies of a purely periodic orbit  $\sigma_n(x)$ , for some  $k \geq 1$ . The bound  $k \leq m$  follows because any element of  $\mathbf{Z}/m^n\mathbf{Z}$  has only  $m$  distinct preimages in  $\mathbf{Z}/m^{n+1}\mathbf{Z}$ . ■

Lemma 3.1 applies to  $\Phi_{n+1}$ , because  $\Phi$  is solenoidal. Since  $m = 2$  we have

$$|\sigma_{n+1}(x)| = k |\sigma_n(x)| \text{ with } k = 1 \text{ or } 2.$$

We call a cycle  $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$  *split* if  $|\sigma_{n+1}(x)| = |\sigma_n(x)|$ , because  $\sigma_n(x)$  lifts to two cycles mod  $2^{n+1}$ , namely  $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$  and  $\sigma_{n+1}(x) + 2^n$ . If  $|\sigma_{n+1}(x)| = 2 |\sigma_n(x)|$  we call  $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$  *inert*, because  $\sigma_n(x)$  has lifted to a single cycle. If  $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$  is an inert cycle, and  $|\sigma_n(x)| = p$ , then  $|\sigma_{n+1}(x)| = 2p$  and

$$(3.2) \quad \Phi_{n+1}^p(x) \equiv x + 2^n \pmod{2^{n+1}}.$$

By induction on  $n$ , the length of any cycle  $|\sigma_n(x)|$  is a power of 2.

We call a cycle  $\sigma_n(x)$  *stable* if  $\sigma_m(x)$  is an inert cycle for all  $m \geq n$ . If  $\sigma_n(x)$  is a stable cycle, then

$$|\sigma_m(x)| = 2^{m-n+1} |\sigma_{n-1}(x)|, \quad m \geq n.$$

For a stable cycle  $\sigma_n(x)$ , Lemma 3.1 guarantees that the map  $\Phi$  restricted to

$$\{y \in \mathbf{Z}_2 : y \equiv x_i \pmod{2^n} \text{ for some } x_i \in \sigma_n(x)\}$$

has no periodic points.

Our main result concerning  $\Phi$  is as follows.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *For the  $3x + 1$  conjugacy map  $\Phi$ , suppose that  $|\sigma_n(x)| \geq 4$  and that  $\sigma_n(x)$  and  $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$  are both inert cycles. Then  $\sigma_{n+2}(x)$  is also an inert cycle. Consequently  $\sigma_n(x)$  is a stable cycle.*

Theorem 3.1 follows from Corollary 5.1 at the end of Section 5.

The hypothesis  $|\sigma_n(x)| \geq 4$  is necessary in Theorem 3.1. For example,  $\sigma_5(3) = \{3\}$ , so both  $\sigma_6(3) = \{3, 35\}$  and  $\sigma_7(3) = \{3, 99, 67, 35\}$  are inert, but  $\sigma_8(3) = \{3, 227, 195, 163\}$  is split.

**COROLLARY 3.1A.**  $\text{order}(\hat{\Phi}_n) = \text{order}(\Phi_n) = 2^{n-4}$ , for  $n \geq 6$ .

**PROOF.**  $\sigma_6(5) = \{5, 17, 37, 49\}$  is stable. ■

We next consider Table 2.2 in light of Theorem 3.1. Again let  $X_{n,j}$  denote the set of cycles of  $\hat{\Phi}_n$  of period  $2^j$ . Call  $X_{n,j}$  *stabilized* if it consists entirely of stable cycles.

**COROLLARY 3.1B.** *Assume that all  $X_{n,n-j}$  are stabilized for  $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$ , and that  $|X_{n,n-k}| = |X_{n+1,n+1-k}| = |X_{n+2,n+2-k}|$ . Then  $X_{m,m-k}$  is stabilized for  $m \geq n$ , and  $|X_{m,m-k}| = |X_{n,n-k}|$ .*

This criterion gives the stabilized region indicated in Table 2.2. For  $n = 20$  over 90% of all elements in  $(\mathbf{Z}/2^n\mathbf{Z})^*$  are in stable cycles.

**4. The  $ax + b$  Conjugacy Map.** Consider now the  $ax + b$  function

$$(4.1) \quad T_{a,b}(x) = \begin{cases} (ax + b)/2 & \text{if } x \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ x/2 & \text{if } x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \end{cases}$$

where  $ab$  is odd. See [4], [5], [7], and [12] for various properties of  $T_{a,b}$  under iteration on  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

The 2-adic shift map  $S$  is conjugate to the general  $ax + b$  function  $T_{a,b}$  by the  $ax + b$  conjugacy map  $\Phi_{a,b}: \mathbf{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$ ; i.e.,  $\Phi_{a,b} \circ S \circ \Phi_{a,b}^{-1} = T_{a,b}$ . If  $x = \sum_l 2^{d_l}$ , where  $\{d_l\}$  is a finite or infinite sequence with  $0 \leq d_1 < d_2 < \dots$ , then

$$(4.2) \quad \Phi_{a,b}(x) = -b \sum_l a^{-l} 2^{d_l};$$

see [2]. Associated to  $\Phi_{a,b}$  are the permutations  $\Phi_{a,b,n}$  on  $\mathbf{Z}/2^n\mathbf{Z}$  obtained by reducing  $\Phi_{a,b}$  mod  $2^n$ . The following result generalizes Theorem 3.1.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *For the  $ax + b$  conjugacy map  $\Phi_{a,b}$ , suppose that a cycle  $\sigma_n(x)$  of  $\Phi_{a,b,n}$  has  $|\sigma_n(x)| \geq 4$ .*

- (i) *If  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , and  $\sigma_n(x)$  is an inert cycle, then  $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$  is an inert cycle.*
- (ii) *If  $a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and  $\sigma_n(x)$  and  $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$  are both inert cycles, then  $\sigma_{n+2}(x)$  is an inert cycle.*

This theorem follows from Corollary 5.1 in Section 5. The proof actually shows that in case (i) the weaker hypothesis  $|\sigma_n(x)| \geq 2$  suffices, when  $b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

There are examples of  $ax + b$  conjugacy maps  $\Phi_{a,b}$  for which all cycles eventually become stable. Such  $\Phi_{a,b}$  then have no odd periodic points. Using Theorem 4.1 we easily check that the  $25x - 3$  conjugacy map when taken mod 32 has an odd part consisting of two stable cycles of period 8.

**5. The Highest Order Bit.** Throughout this section,  $\Phi = \Phi_{a,b}$  is a general  $ax + b$  conjugacy map, where  $a$  and  $b$  are odd. We analyze the high bit of the iterates of  $\Phi \pmod{2^{n+2}}$ . All earlier results follow from Theorem 5.1 below.

For  $x \in \mathbf{Z}_2$ , expand  $x$  as

$$(5.1) \quad x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{bit}_k(x)2^k,$$

where  $\text{bit}_k(x)$  is either 0 or 1. Define the bit sums

$$(5.2) \quad \text{pop}_k(x) := \sum_{j=0}^k \text{bit}_j(x).$$

The  $ax + b$  conjugacy map is then given by

$$(5.3) \quad \Phi_{a,b}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{-b}{a^{\text{pop}_k(x)}} \text{bit}_k(x)2^k,$$

by (4.2).

**LEMMA 5.1.** *If  $y, z \in \mathbf{Z}_2$  with  $z \equiv y \pmod{2^n}$ , then*

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(z) - \Phi(y) - (z - y) &\equiv 2^{n+1} \left( \frac{ab + 1}{2} + \frac{b(a - 1)}{2} \text{pop}_{n-1}(y) \right) \\ &\quad \cdot (\text{bit}_n(y) + \text{bit}_n(z)) \pmod{2^{n+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

**PROOF.** Expand  $\Phi(z) - \Phi(y) \pmod{2^{n+2}}$  using (5.3). We have  $\text{bit}_k(z) = \text{bit}_k(y)$  and  $\text{pop}_k(z) = \text{pop}_k(y)$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , so the first  $n$  terms in  $\Phi(z) - \Phi(y)$  cancel. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z) - \Phi(y) &\equiv 2^n \left( \left( \frac{-b}{a^{\text{pop}_n(z)}} \right) \text{bit}_n(z) - \left( \frac{-b}{a^{\text{pop}_n(y)}} \right) \text{bit}_n(y) \right) \\ &\quad + 2^{n+1} \left( \left( \frac{-b}{a^{\text{pop}_{n+1}(z)}} \right) \text{bit}_{n+1}(z) - \left( \frac{-b}{a^{\text{pop}_{n+1}(y)}} \right) \text{bit}_{n+1}(y) \right). \end{aligned}$$



Substitute  $a^{-1} \equiv a \pmod{4}$  in the coefficient of  $2^n$ , and  $b \equiv a^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  in the coefficient of  $2^{n+1}$ :

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(z) - \Phi(y) &\equiv 2^n (ba^{\text{pop}_n(y)} \text{bit}_n(y) - ba^{\text{pop}_n(z)} \text{bit}_n(z)) \\ &\quad + 2^{n+1} (\text{bit}_{n+1}(z) - \text{bit}_{n+1}(y)) \pmod{2^{n+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$(5.6) \quad z - y \equiv 2^n (\text{bit}_n(z) - \text{bit}_n(y)) + 2^{n+1} (\text{bit}_{n+1}(z) - \text{bit}_{n+1}(y)) \pmod{2^{n+2}}.$$

Subtract (5.6) from (5.5):

$$\Phi(z) - \Phi(y) - (z - y) \equiv 2^n ((ba^{\text{pop}_n(y)} + 1) \text{bit}_n(y) - (ba^{\text{pop}_n(z)} + 1) \text{bit}_n(z)) \pmod{2^{n+2}}.$$

Substitute  $a^k \equiv 1 + (a - 1)k \pmod{4}$ ,  $\text{pop}_k(x) \text{bit}_k(x) = (1 + \text{pop}_{k-1}(x)) \text{bit}_k(x)$ , and then  $\text{pop}_{n-1}(z) = \text{pop}_{n-1}(y)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z) - \Phi(y) - (z - y) &\equiv 2^n \left( (b(1 + (a - 1)\text{pop}_n(y)) + 1) \text{bit}_n(y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (b(1 + (a - 1)\text{pop}_n(z)) + 1) \text{bit}_n(z) \right) \\ &\equiv 2^n \left( (ab + 1 + b(a - 1)\text{pop}_{n-1}(y)) \text{bit}_n(y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (ab + 1 + b(a - 1)\text{pop}_{n-1}(z)) \text{bit}_n(z) \right) \\ &\equiv 2^n (ab + 1 + b(a - 1)\text{pop}_{n-1}(y)) (\text{bit}_n(y) - \text{bit}_n(z)) \\ &\equiv 2^{n+1} \left( \frac{ab + 1}{2} + \frac{b(a - 1)}{2} \text{pop}_{n-1}(y) \right) (\text{bit}_n(y) - \text{bit}_n(z)) \pmod{2^{n+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to (5.4). ■

Now fix  $x \in \mathbf{Z}_2$ , and fix  $n \geq 0$ . Set  $|\sigma_n(x)| = 2^j$  and assume from now on that

$$(5.7) \quad \sigma_{n+1}(x) \text{ is inert,}$$

so that  $|\sigma_{n+1}(x)| = 2^{j+1}$ . We wish to determine whether or not  $\sigma_{n+2}(x)$  is inert. According to (3.2) this occurs if and only if

$$(5.8) \quad \Phi^{2^{j+1}}(x) \equiv x + 2^{n+1} \pmod{2^{n+2}}.$$

We now introduce the quantities

$$e_k[i] := \text{bit}_k(\Phi^i(x)).$$

In terms of the  $e_k[i]$ , we have

$$(5.9) \quad \sigma_{n+2}(x) \text{ is inert} \iff e_{n+1}[0] \neq e_{n+1}[2^{j+1}],$$

by (5.8). We proceed to evaluate  $e_{n+1}[2^{j+1}] - e_{n+1}[0] \pmod{2}$ . The main theorems of this paper are deduced from the following formula.

THEOREM 5.1. *If  $|\sigma_n(x)| = 2^j$  and  $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$  is an inert cycle, then*

$$(5.10) \quad e_{n+1}[2^{j+1}] - e_{n+1}[0] \equiv 1 + \frac{ab+1}{2}2^j + \frac{b(a-1)}{2}N \pmod{2},$$

where

$$(5.11) \quad N = \sum_{i=0}^{2^j-1} \text{pop}_{n-1}(\Phi^i(x)).$$

PROOF. First we define  $X_i = (\Phi^{i+1+2^j}(x) - \Phi^{i+1}(x)) - (\Phi^{i+2^j}(x) - \Phi^i(x))$ . Since  $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$  is an inert cycle,  $\Phi^{i+2^j}(x) \equiv \Phi^i(x) + 2^n \pmod{2^{n+1}}$ , so, by Lemma 5.1,

$$X_i \equiv 2^{n+1} \left( \frac{ab+1}{2} + \frac{b(a-1)}{2} \text{pop}_{n-1}(\Phi^i(x)) \right) \pmod{2^{n+2}}.$$

Adding up the  $X_i$  gives

$$(5.12) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{2^j-1} X_i \equiv 2^{n+1} \left( \frac{ab+1}{2}2^j + \frac{b(a-1)}{2}N \right) \pmod{2^{n+2}}.$$

Next define  $Y_i = 2^n((e_n[i+1+2^j] - e_n[i+1]) - (e_n[i+2^j] - e_n[i]))$ . The sum of the  $Y_i$  telescopes:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2^j-1} Y_i = 2^n(e_n[2^{j+1}] - e_n[2^j] - e_n[2^j] + e_n[0]).$$

Since  $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$  is an inert cycle,  $e_n[0] = e_n[2^{j+1}] \neq e_n[2^j]$ , so

$$(5.13) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{2^j-1} Y_i = 2^n(2e_n[0] - 2e_n[2^j]) \equiv 2^{n+1} \pmod{2^{n+2}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} X_i - Y_i &\equiv 2^{n+1}(e_{n+1}[i+1+2^j] - e_{n+1}[i+1] - e_{n+1}[i+2^j] + e_{n+1}[i]) \\ &\equiv 2^{n+1}(e_{n+1}[i+1+2^j] + e_{n+1}[i+1] - e_{n+1}[i+2^j] - e_{n+1}[i]). \end{aligned}$$

In this form the sum of  $X_i - Y_i$  also telescopes:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2^j-1} (X_i - Y_i) \equiv 2^{n+1}(e_{n+1}[2^{j+1}] - e_{n+1}[0]) \pmod{2^{n+2}}.$$

Comparing this sum with (5.12) and (5.13), we get

$$2^{n+1}(e_{n+1}[2^{j+1}] - e_{n+1}[0]) \equiv 2^{n+1} \left( \frac{ab+1}{2}2^j + \frac{b(a-1)}{2}N \right) - 2^{n+1} \pmod{2^{n+2}},$$

which implies (5.10). ■

COROLLARY 5.1. (i) If  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then

$$e_{n+1}[2^{j+1}] - e_{n+1}[0] \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{2} & \text{if } b \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ or } j \geq 1 \\ 0 \pmod{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) If  $a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and  $\sigma_n(x)$  is inert, then

$$e_{n+1}[2^{j+1}] - e_{n+1}[0] \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{2} & \text{if } j \geq 2, \\ 0 \pmod{2} & \text{if } j = 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that (i) proves Theorem 4.1(i), and (ii) proves Theorem 4.1(ii), using (5.9). Theorem 3.1 then follows as a special case of Theorem 4.1(ii).

PROOF. (i) Here  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , so the term involving  $N$  in (5.10) drops out.

(ii) Here  $a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and  $j \geq 1$ , so (5.10) simplifies to

$$e_{n+1}[2^{j+1}] - e_{n+1}[0] \equiv 1 + N \pmod{2}.$$

The inertness of  $\sigma_n(x)$  gives

$$\text{bit}_{n-1}(\Phi^{i+2^{j-1}}(x)) = 1 - \text{bit}_{n-1}(\Phi^i(x)),$$

so

$$\text{pop}_{n-1}(\Phi^{i+2^{j-1}}(x)) + \text{pop}_{n-1}(\Phi^i(x)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Thus

$$N = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{j-1}-1} (\text{pop}_{n-1}(\Phi^{i+2^{j-1}}(x)) + \text{pop}_{n-1}(\Phi^i(x))) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{2^{j-1}-1} 1 = 2^{j-1} \pmod{2}.$$

Now (ii) follows. ■

**6. Cycle Structure of  $\hat{\Phi}_n$ : Short Cycles.** We consider the behavior of “short” cycles of the  $3x + 1$  conjugacy map; *i.e.*, the behavior of  $|X_{nj}|$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for fixed  $j$ . We describe a heuristic model which relates the asymptotics of  $|X_{nj}|$  to the number of global odd periodic points of  $\Phi$ .

We first note that the odd periodic points  $\text{Per}^*(\Phi)$  of  $\Phi$  determine the entire set  $\text{Per}(\Phi)$  of periodic points of  $\Phi$ . The relation

$$(6.1) \quad \Phi(2x) = 2\Phi(x)$$

implies that  $x$  has period  $2^j$  if and only if  $2x$  has period  $2^j$ . Thus

$$(6.2) \quad \text{Per}(\Phi) = \{2^k x : k \geq 0 \text{ and } x \in \text{Per}^*(\Phi)\}.$$

Let  $F_j$  be the number of orbits of  $\Phi$  containing an odd periodic point of minimal period  $2^j$ . The  $3x + 1$  Conjugacy Finiteness Conjecture of Section 2 asserts that all  $F_j$  are finite.

We obtain a simple heuristic model for the 1-cycles  $X_{n,1}$  of  $\hat{\Phi}_n$  by classifying them into two types: those arising by reduction mod  $2^n$  from an odd fixed point of  $\Phi$ , and all the rest. Call these “immortal” and “mortal” 1-cycles, respectively. Our heuristic model is to assume that each “mortal” 1-cycle has equal probability of splitting or remaining inert, independently of all other 1-cycles. When a “mortal” 1-cycle splits, both its progeny in  $X_{n+1,1}$  are “mortal.” An “immortal” 1-cycle in  $X_{n,1}$  always splits, and gives rise to two 1-cycles in  $X_{n+1,1}$ , at least one of which is “immortal.” We also assume that only  $F_0$  “immortal” 1-cycles appear in total, *i.e.*, for all large enough  $n$  each “immortal” 1-cycle splits into one “immortal” 1-cycle and one “mortal” 1-cycle.

This model is a branching process model with two types of individuals. The expected number of individuals  $Z_{n,1}$  at step  $n$  is

$$(6.3) \quad E[Z_{n,1}] = F_0 n + c_0,$$

where  $c_0$  is a constant depending on the levels of the initial occurrences of the  $F_0$  “immortal” 1-cycles. The empirical data in Tables 6.3 and 6.4 seem consistent with this model, with  $F_0 = 2$ . We know that  $F_0 \geq 2$  in any case. The two “immortal” 1-cycles that we know of both appear at  $n = 1$ , so that if  $F_0 = 2$ , then  $c_0 = 0$  in (6.3).

To obtain a heuristic model for  $|X_{n,j}|$  when  $j \geq 1$ , we use a refined classification of cycles of  $\hat{\Phi}_n$ . A *step* consists of passing from  $\hat{\Phi}_{n-1}$  to  $\hat{\Phi}_n$ . For  $0 \leq d \leq j \leq n$  let  $X_{n,j,d}$  denote the set of cycles of  $\hat{\Phi}_n$  of size  $2^j$  which have remained inert for exactly  $d$  steps. Let  $Y_{n,j,d}$  denote the subset of  $X_{n,j,d}$  that consists of cycles that split in going to  $\hat{\Phi}_{n+1}$ . Then we have

$$|X_{n+1,j,0}| = 2 \sum_{d=0}^n |Y_{n,j,d}|$$

and

$$|X_{n+1,j+1,d+1}| = |X_{n,j,d}| - |Y_{n,j,d}|.$$

We know the following facts about these quantities:

- (1) If a cycle of length at least 8 has been inert for  $d \geq 2$  steps, it remains inert. Thus  $|Y_{n,j,d}| = 0$  if  $j \geq 3$  and  $d \geq 2$ .
- (2) Any cycle of length 4 which has been inert for  $d = 2$  steps must split; *i.e.*,  $|X_{n,2,2}| = |Y_{n,2,2}|$ .
- (3) Any odd periodic point  $x$  of  $\Phi$  of period  $2^j$  gives rise to a cycle of period  $2^j$  of  $\hat{\Phi}_n$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ . This cycle always splits. Such cycles are in both  $X_{n,j,0}$  and  $Y_{n,j,0}$ .

The quantity we are interested in is

$$|X_{n,j}| = \sum_{d=0}^n |X_{n,j,d}|.$$

The facts above imply that  $|X_{n,j}|$  is entirely determined by knowledge of  $|X_{m,j,0}|$ ,  $|Y_{m,j,0}|$ , and  $|Y_{m,j,1}|$ , for all  $m \leq n$ .

Our heuristic model is then to suppose the following:

- (1) Each cycle in  $X_{n,j,1}$  has (independently) probability  $1/2$  of falling in  $Y_{n,j,1}$ .
- (2) Each “mortal” cycle in  $X_{n,j,0}$  has (independently) probability  $1/2$  of falling in  $Y_{n,j,0}$ , and if so its two progeny in  $X_{n+1,j,0}$  are “mortal.”
- (3) Each “immortal” cycle in  $X_{n,j,0}$  lies in  $Y_{n,j,0}$ , and one of its progeny in  $X_{n+1,j,0}$  is “immortal” and the other is “mortal,” with finitely many exceptions.

This is a multi-type branching process model. If  $Z_{n,j}$  denotes the total number of individuals in such a process, then one may calculate that, for large  $n$ ,

$$(6.4) \quad E[Z_{n,1}] = \frac{1}{4}F_0n^2 + \left(F_1 + \frac{1}{4}F_0\right)n - F_1 + \frac{1}{2}F_0 + c_1,$$

in which  $c_1$  is a constant depending on the initial occurrence of “immortal” cycles. (We assume that  $c_0 = 0$ .) For  $j \geq 2$ , where stable cycles may occur, the formula for  $E[Z_{n,j}]$  becomes quite complicated.

It might be interesting to further compare predictions of this model for  $j \geq 1$  with actual data for  $\Phi$ . We know of one odd periodic cycle of  $\Phi$  of length 2, namely  $\{1, -1/3\}$ ; i.e.,  $\Phi(1) = -1/3$  and  $\Phi(-1/3) = 1$ . Thus  $F_1 \geq 1$ .

**7. Appendix A. Solenoidal Maps.** Call a map  $F: \mathbf{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$  *solenoidal* if, for all  $n$ ,

$$(A.1) \quad x \equiv y \pmod{2^n} \implies F(x) \equiv F(y) \pmod{2^n}.$$

An equivalent condition in terms of the 2-adic metric  $|\cdot|_2$  is that  $F$  is *nonexpanding*; i.e.,

$$(A.2) \quad |F(x) - F(y)|_2 \leq |x - y|_2, \quad \text{all } x, y \in \mathbf{Z}_2.$$

If  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are solenoidal maps, then so is  $F_1 \circ F_2$ .

Call a family of functions  $F_n: \mathbf{Z}/2^n\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2^n\mathbf{Z}$  *compatible* if  $F_n$  agrees with  $F_{n-1}$  under projection  $\pi_n: \mathbf{Z}/2^n\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2^{n-1}\mathbf{Z}$ ; i.e., if  $\pi_n \circ F_n = F_{n-1} \circ \pi_n$ . A compatible family  $\{F_n\}$  has an *inverse limit*  $F: \mathbf{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$  defined by

$$(A.3) \quad F(x) \equiv F_n(x) \pmod{2^n}, \quad \text{for all } n.$$

The term “solenoidal” is justified by the following lemma.

**LEMMA A.1.** *F is solenoidal if and only if F is the inverse limit of a compatible family  $\{F_n\}$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $F$  is solenoidal, then  $F \pmod{2^n}$  induces a function  $F_n: \mathbf{Z}/2^n\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2^n\mathbf{Z}$ , for each  $n$ ; and  $\{F_n\}$  is a compatible family. The reverse implication follows from (A.3). ■

**LEMMA A.2.** *Let U be the inverse limit of a compatible family  $\{U_n\}$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $U$  is a bijection.
- (ii) For each  $n$ ,  $U_n$  is a permutation.
- (iii) For each  $n$ , if  $U(x) \equiv U(y) \pmod{2^n}$  then  $x \equiv y \pmod{2^n}$ .

**PROOF.** (i)  $\implies$  (ii).  $U$  is surjective, so  $U_n$  is surjective.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Write  $V_n = U_n^{-1}$ . Then  $\{V_n\}$  is a compatible family. Let  $V$  be its inverse limit. By construction  $U \circ V$  is the inverse limit of identity functions, so  $U \circ V$  is the identity. Similarly  $V \circ U$  is the identity. Hence  $U$  is a bijection.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). If  $U(x) \equiv U(y) \pmod{2^n}$  then  $U_n(x \bmod 2^n) = U_n(y \bmod 2^n)$  so  $x \bmod 2^n = y \bmod 2^n$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Suppose that  $U_n(a) = U_n(b)$ . Select  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  such that  $a = x \bmod 2^n$ ,  $b = y \bmod 2^n$ . Then  $U_n(x \bmod 2^n) = U_n(y \bmod 2^n)$ , so  $U(x) \equiv U(y) \pmod{2^n}$ , so  $x \equiv y \pmod{2^n}$ , so  $a = b$ . ■

**COROLLARY A.3.** *The following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $U$  is a solenoidal bijection.
- (ii)  $U$  is a solenoidal homeomorphism.
- (iii)  $U$  is a 2-adic isometry.

$U$  is a 2-adic isometry if  $|U(x) - U(y)|_2 = |x - y|_2$ .

**PROOF.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii).  $U$  is solenoidal so  $|U(x) - U(y)|_2 \leq |x - y|_2$ . On the other hand, by Lemma A.1,  $U$  is an inverse limit; and  $U$  is a bijection, so  $|U(x) - U(y)|_2 \geq |x - y|_2$  by Lemma A.2 (i  $\Rightarrow$  iii).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Since  $|U(x) - U(y)|_2 \leq |x - y|_2$ ,  $U$  is solenoidal. By Lemma A.1,  $U$  is an inverse limit; by Lemma A.2 (iii  $\Rightarrow$  i),  $U$  is a bijection. Since  $|U(x) - U(y)|_2 \geq |x - y|_2$ ,  $U^{-1}$  is solenoidal. Finally, solenoidal implies continuous.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Immediate. ■

**8. Appendix B. Functions Solenoidally Conjugate to the Shift.** For any two solenoidal bijections  $V_0, V_1$  define  $U_{V_0, V_1}: \mathbf{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$  by

$$U(x) = \begin{cases} V_0(x/2) & \text{if } x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ V_1((x - 1)/2) & \text{if } x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

For example, take  $V_0(x) = x$  and  $V_1(x) = ax + (a + b)/2$ ; then  $U_{V_0, V_1}$  is the  $ax + b$  function.

In this appendix we show that a map is solenoidally conjugate to the 2-adic shift map  $S$ —i.e., conjugate to  $S$  by a solenoidal bijection—if and only if it is of the form  $U_{V_0, V_1}$ .

**LEMMA B.1.** *Let  $V$  be a solenoidal bijection. If  $z \equiv w \pmod{2^{m-1}}$  then  $V(z) \equiv V(w) + z - w \pmod{2^m}$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $z \equiv w \pmod{2^m}$  then  $V(z) \equiv V(w) \pmod{2^m}$ .

If  $z \equiv w + 2^{m-1} \pmod{2^m}$  then still  $V(z) \equiv V(w) \pmod{2^{m-1}}$ . By Corollary A.3,  $V$  is an isometry, so if  $V(z) \equiv V(w) \pmod{2^m}$  then  $z \equiv w \pmod{2^m}$ , contradiction. Thus  $V(z) \equiv V(w) + 2^{m-1} \pmod{2^m}$ . ■

**LEMMA B.2.** *Set  $U = U_{V_0, V_1}$ . Fix  $m \geq 1$ . If  $y \equiv x + 2^m e \pmod{2^{m+1}}$  then  $U(y) \equiv U(x) + 2^{m-1} e \pmod{2^m}$ .*

**PROOF.** Put  $b = x \bmod 2$ ; then  $U(x) = V_b(S(x))$ . Also  $U(y) = V_b(S(y))$ , since  $y \equiv x \pmod{2}$ . We have  $S(y) \equiv S(x) + 2^{m-1} e \pmod{2^m}$ ; by Lemma B.1,  $V_b(S(y)) \equiv V_b(S(x)) + 2^{m-1} e \pmod{2^m}$ . ■

LEMMA B.3. Set  $U = U_{V_0, V_1}$ . Fix  $m \geq j \geq 1$ . If  $y \equiv x + 2^m e \pmod{2^{m+1}}$  then  $U^j(y) \equiv U^j(x) + 2^{m-j} e \pmod{2^{m-j+1}}$ .

PROOF. Lemma B.2 and induction on  $j$ . ■

LEMMA B.4. Set  $U = U_{V_0, V_1}$ . Fix  $m \geq 1$ . If  $y \equiv x + 2^m e \pmod{2^{m+1}}$  then  $U^m(y) \equiv U^m(x) + e \pmod{2}$ .

PROOF. Lemma B.3 with  $j = m$ . ■

LEMMA B.5. Set  $U = U_{V_0, V_1}$ . Fix  $b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots \in \{0, 1\}$ . Define  $x_0 = 0$  and  $x_{m+1} = x_m + 2^m(b_m - U^m(x_m))$ . Then  $y \equiv x_m \pmod{2^m}$  if and only if  $U^i(y) \equiv b_i \pmod{2}$  for  $0 \leq i < m$ .

PROOF. We induct on  $m$ . For  $m = 0$  there is nothing to prove.

Say  $y \equiv x_{m+1} \pmod{2^{m+1}}$ . Then  $y \equiv x_m + 2^m(b_m - U^m(x_m)) \pmod{2^{m+1}}$ ; by Lemma B.4,  $U^m(y) \equiv U^m(x_m) + b_m - U^m(x_m) = b_m \pmod{2}$ . Also  $y \equiv x_m \pmod{2^m}$ , so by the inductive hypothesis  $U^i(y) \equiv b_i \pmod{2}$  for  $0 \leq i < m$ .

Conversely, say  $U^i(y) \equiv b_i \pmod{2}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m$ . By the inductive hypothesis  $y \equiv x_m \pmod{2^m}$ . Write  $y = x_m + 2^m e$ . Then  $b_m \equiv U^m(y) \equiv U^m(x_m) + e \pmod{2}$  by Lemma B.4. Thus  $y \equiv x_m + 2^m(b_m - U^m(x_m)) = x_{m+1} \pmod{2^{m+1}}$ . ■

THEOREM B.1. Set  $U = U_{V_0, V_1}$ . Define  $Q(x) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty (U^m(x) \pmod{2}) 2^m$ . Then  $Q$  is a solenoidal bijection, and  $U = Q^{-1} \circ S \circ Q$ .

Thus any map of the form  $U_{V_0, V_1}$  is solenoidally conjugate to  $S$ . (See Theorem B.2 below for the converse.)  $Q^{-1}$  generalizes the  $ax + b$  conjugacy map.

PROOF. Injective: Say  $Q(y) = Q(x)$ . Define  $b_m = U^m(x) \pmod{2}$ ; then  $U^m(y) \equiv U^m(x) \equiv b_m \pmod{2}$ . Next define  $x_0 = 0$  and  $x_{m+1} = x_m + 2^m(b_m - U^m(x_m))$ . By Lemma B.5,  $y \equiv x_m \pmod{2^m}$  and  $x \equiv x_m \pmod{2^m}$ . Thus  $y \equiv x \pmod{2^m}$  for every  $m$ ; i.e.,  $y = x$ .

Solenoidal: Say  $y \equiv x \pmod{2^n}$ . Define  $b_m = U^m(x) \pmod{2}$ ,  $x_0 = 0$ , and  $x_{m+1} = x_m + 2^m(b_m - U^m(x_m))$ . Then  $x \equiv x_n \pmod{2^n}$  by Lemma B.5, so  $y \equiv x_n \pmod{2^n}$ ; by Lemma B.5 again,  $U^m(y) \equiv b_m \pmod{2}$  for  $0 \leq m < n$ . Thus  $Q(y) \equiv Q(x) \pmod{2^n}$ .

Surjective: Given  $b = \sum_{i=0}^\infty b_i 2^i$  with  $b_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , define  $x_0 = 0$  and  $x_{m+1} = x_m + 2^m(b_m - U^m(x_m))$ . Since  $x_{m+1} \equiv x_m \pmod{2^m}$  the sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  converges to a 2-adic limit  $y$ , with  $y \equiv x_m \pmod{2^m}$ . By Lemma B.5,  $U^m(y) \equiv b_m \pmod{2}$  for all  $m$ . Thus  $Q(y) = b$ .

Finally, it is immediate from the definition of  $Q$  that  $Q \circ U = S \circ Q$ . ■

THEOREM B.2. Let  $Q$  be a solenoidal bijection. Define  $U = Q^{-1} \circ S \circ Q$ . Then  $U = U_{V_0, V_1}$  for some solenoidal bijections  $V_0, V_1$ .

PROOF. If  $Q(0)$  is even then  $Q^{-1}(x) \equiv x \pmod{2}$  for all  $x$ ; so write

$$Q^{-1}(x) = \begin{cases} 2W_0(x/2) & \text{if } x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 1 + 2W_1((x - 1)/2) & \text{if } x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Then  $W_0, W_1$  are solenoidal bijections, and  $U = U_{V_0, V_1}$  where  $V_i = Q \circ W_i$ .

Similarly, if  $Q(0)$  is odd then  $Q^{-1}(x) \equiv -1 - x \pmod{2}$  for all  $x$ ; so write

$$Q^{-1}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 + 2W_0(x/2) & \text{if } x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 2W_1((x-1)/2) & \text{if } x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Again  $W_0, W_1$  are solenoidal bijections, and  $U = U_{V_0, V_1}$  where  $V_i = Q \circ W_i$ . ■

#### REFERENCES

1. E. Akin, *Why is the  $3x + 1$  problem so hard?* Math. Magazine, to appear.
2. D. J. Bernstein, *A non-iterative 2-adic statement of the  $3x+1$  conjecture*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **121**(1994), 405–408.
3. M. Boyle, J. Franks and B. Kitchens, *Automorphisms of one-sided subshifts of finite type*, Ergod. Th. Dyn. Sys. **10**(1990), 421–449.
4. R. E. Crandall, *On the ' $3x + 1$ ' problem*, Math. Comp. **32**(1978), 1281–1292.
5. Z. Franco and C. Pomerance, *On a conjecture of Crandall concerning the  $QX + 1$  problem*, Math. Comp. **49**(1995), to appear.
6. G. Hedlund, *Endomorphisms and automorphisms of the shift dynamical system*, Math. Systems Theory **3**(1969), 320–375.
7. E. Heppner, *Eine Bemerkung zum Hasse-Syracuse Algorithmus*, Arch. Math. **31**(1978), 317–320.
8. J. C. Lagarias, *The  $3x + 1$  problem and its generalizations*, Amer. Math. Monthly **92**(1985), 3–23.
9. ———, *The set of rational cycles for the  $3x + 1$  problem*, Acta Arithmetica **56**(1990), 33–53.
10. H. Müller, *Das ' $3n + 1$ ' Problem*, Mitteilungen der Math. Ges. Hamburg **12**(1991), 231–251.
11. ———, *Über eine Klasse 2-adischer Funktionen im Zusammenhang mit dem " $3x + 1$ "-Problem*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **64**(1994), 293–302.
12. R. P. Steiner, *On the " $QX + 1$  problem,"  $Q$  odd, I, II*, Fibonacci Quart. **19**(1981), 285–288, 293–296.

Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA  
USA 94720

AT&T Bell Laboratories  
Murray Hill, NJ  
USA 07974  
email: jcl@research.att.com

current address:

Department of Mathematics,  
Statistics, and Computer Science  
The University of Illinois at Chicago  
Chicago, IL  
USA 60607–7045  
email: djb@math.uic.edu