

SEMI-SIMPLE LOCALLY COMPACT MONOTHETIC SEMI-ALGEBRAS

by M. A. KAASHOEK and T. T. WEST

(Received 22nd January 1968)

1. Introduction

Bonsall and Tomiuk have shown, in (3), the connection between the local compactness of a monothetic semi-algebra and the spectral properties of a generating element. This theme was developed, in (4), to give a complete characterisation of prime, strict locally compact monothetic semi-algebras in terms of the spectrum of a generator (Theorem A). Here we extend this result to the case of a semi-simple locally compact monothetic semi-algebra (Theorem B).

In Section 2 we collect the relevant terminology and state the main result. The proofs are given in Section 3. Theorem 1 in Section 3 was suggested to us by F. F. Bonsall. Its use has considerably simplified our original proof.

2. Terminology and main result

Let B be a complex Banach algebra with identity e . \mathbf{R} will be the set of real numbers, \mathbf{R}^+ the set of non-negative real numbers and \mathbf{R}^{++} the set of strictly positive real numbers. A non-empty subset A of B is called a *semi-algebra* if $x + y$, xy and αx are in A whenever x and y are in A and α is in \mathbf{R}^+ . A semi-algebra A is *strict* if $A \cap (-A) = (0)$; it is *locally compact* if A contains non-zero elements and if, in addition,

$$A \cap \{x: \|x\| \leq 1\}$$

is a compact subset of B .

The semi-algebra A is said to be *monothetic* if A has a single generator, that is, if there exists an element $t \in A$ such that A is the closure in B of the set

$$P(t) = \{\alpha_1 t + \dots + \alpha_k t^k: \alpha_i \geq 0 (i = 1, \dots, k); k = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

In this case we write $A = A(t)$. Obviously, $A(t)$ is commutative.

The commutative semi-algebra A is *semi-simple* if $a^2 \neq 0$ for each non-zero $a \in A$, and it is *prime* if there are no divisors of zero in A , in other words, if $a, b \in A$ and $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ then $ab \neq 0$.

The resolvent set of any element $t \in B$ is denoted by $\rho(t)$. Its complement $\sigma(t)$ in the complex plane \mathbf{C} is the spectrum of t . The spectral radius of t is denoted by $r(t)$, and the resolvent operator $(ze - t)^{-1}$ by $R(z; t)$. A point $\lambda \in \sigma(t)$ is called a *simple pole* of t if it is a pole of the function

$$z \rightarrow R(z; t)$$

of order one.

The following theorem is essentially Theorem 8 in (4).

Theorem A. *Let t be a non-zero element of B . $A(t)$ is a prime, strict, locally compact semi-algebra if and only if*

$$0 < r(t) \in \sigma(t)$$

and

$$\sigma(t) \cap \{\lambda : |\lambda| = r(t)\}$$

is a finite set of simple poles of t .

We now formulate the main result of this paper.

Theorem B. *Let t be a non-zero element of B . $A(t)$ is a semi-simple, locally compact semi-algebra if and only if $\sigma(t)$ decomposes uniquely into two disjoint closed subsets σ_1 and σ_2 such that*

(i) σ_1 is a finite (possibly empty) set of simple poles of t and

$$\sigma_1 \cap \mathbb{R}^{++} = \emptyset;$$

(ii) either $\sigma_2 = \emptyset$ or there exists an $\alpha > 0$ in σ_2 such that

$$\sigma_2 = \sigma(t) \cap \{\lambda : |\lambda| \leq \alpha\}$$

and

$$\sigma_2 \cap \{\lambda : |\lambda| = \alpha\}$$

is a finite set of simple poles of t .

Remarks. (a) If we write t_2 for the “ part ” of t associated with the spectral set σ_2 then, by Theorem A, $A(t_2)$ is prime, strict and locally compact.

(b) If $\sigma_1 = \emptyset$, $A(t)$ is strict. This is a simple consequence of Theorem A.

If $A(t)$ is locally compact, strict and semi-simple then by (2), Lemma 8, $r(t) > 0$, and hence a slight modification of Theorem 7 in (4) shows that $A(t)$ is prime. Thus the converse of (b) also follows from Theorem A.

3. Proofs

Theorem 1. *Let t be a non-zero element of B . Then $A(t)$ is locally compact and semi-simple if and only if there exists an idempotent p in $A(t)$ such that*

(i) $A(t) = A(tp) \oplus A(t - tp);$

(ii) either $A(tp) = (0)$ or $A(tp)$ is locally compact, semi-simple and

$$A(tp) = -A(tp);$$

(iii) either $A(t - tp) = (0)$ or $A(t - tp)$ is locally compact, prime and strict.

Proof of necessity. Suppose that $A(t)$ is locally compact and semi-simple. Put $C = A(t) \cap (-A(t))$; then C is a finite dimensional semi-simple algebra over \mathbb{R} , and so C contains a unit element p ((1) p. 37, Theorem 1). Observing that tp and $t - tp$ are in $A(t)$, and writing $t = tp + (t - tp)$, we have

$$A(t) = A(tp) + A(t - tp).$$

Suppose that $x \in A(tp) \cap A(t-tp)$. Then there exist y and z in $A(t)$ such that $x = yp = z - zp$. Hence $x = 0$. Therefore

$$A(tp) \cap A(t-tp) = (0) \tag{1}$$

and (i) follows.

If $x \in C$, then $x = xp$ and hence $x \in A(tp)$. Conversely, take $x \in A(tp)$. Then $x = yp$ for some $y \in A(t)$. Thus $-x = y(-p) \in A(t)$. But then $x \in C$. Hence $C = A(tp)$. This proves (ii).

Suppose that x and $-x$ are in $A(t-tp)$. Then $x \in C = A(tp)$. By (1), $x = 0$. Therefore $A(t-tp)$ is strict. It is also locally compact and semi-simple as a subsemi-algebra of $A(t)$. A slight modification of Theorem 7 in (4) shows that it is therefore prime. This proves (iii).

Proof of sufficiency. Let there exist an idempotent p such that conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) hold. Local compactness of $A(tp)$ and $A(t-tp)$ and condition (i) clearly imply that $A(t)$ is locally compact.

Let a in $A(t)$ be such that $a^2 = 0$. Then $(ap)^2 = a^2p = 0$ and $ap \in A(tp)$; hence, by (ii), $ap = 0$. Similarly $(a-ap)^2 = a^2 - a^2p = 0$ and $a-ap \in A(t-tp)$; hence, by (iii), $a-ap = 0$. Thus $a = ap + (a-ap) = 0$. Hence A is semi-simple.

Lemma 2. *Let t be a non-zero element in B . Then $A(t)$ is locally compact, semi-simple and $A(t) = -A(t)$ if and only if $\sigma(t)$ is a finite set of simple poles of t and $\sigma(t) \cap \mathbb{R}^{++}$ is empty.*

Proof of necessity. The hypotheses imply that $A(t)$ is a real finite dimensional semi-simple algebra. So we can apply the Corollary in (1) p. 40 to show that $A(t)$ is algebraically isomorphic to a direct sum of fields, all of which are finite commutative extensions of \mathbb{R} . But the finite commutative extension fields over \mathbb{R} are either copies of \mathbb{R} or of \mathbb{C} . Thus $A(t)$ is algebraically isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m$ (with coordinate-wise multiplication) for some positive integers n and m . We can extend the inverse of this isomorphism to an algebraic homomorphism ϕ from \mathbb{C}^{n+m} onto the complex Banach algebra $B(t)$ generated by t . Let N be the kernel of ϕ , then $B(t)$ is algebraically isomorphic to the quotient algebra \mathbb{C}^{n+m}/N . Since $N \neq \mathbb{C}^{n+m}$, \mathbb{C}^{n+m}/N is algebraically isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^r for some positive integer r . So there exists an algebraic isomorphism ψ from $B(t)$ onto \mathbb{C}^r . Clearly this implies that the spectrum of t as an element of $B(t)$ is a finite set of simple poles of t . Since $t = tp$, where p is the unit of $B(t)$, the spectrum of t as an element of B is a finite set of simple poles of t .

Let $\psi(t) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$. Note that

$$\sigma(t) \setminus \{0\} \subset \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}.$$

Suppose that $\sigma(t) \cap \mathbb{R}^{++} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\lambda_i > 0$ for some i . This implies that, for any a in $A(t)$, the i th coordinate of $\psi(a)$ is non-negative. In particular, since $-t \in A(t)$, $-\lambda_i \geq 0$ contradicting $\lambda_i > 0$. This shows that $\sigma(t) \cap \mathbb{R}^{++} = \emptyset$.

Proof of sufficiency. Suppose that

$$\sigma(t) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$$

is a finite set of simple poles of t such that $(t\sigma) \cap \mathbb{R}^{++} = \emptyset$.

E.M.S.—O

For each i , let p_i be the spectral idempotent corresponding to λ_i . Then

$$t = \lambda_1 p_1 + \dots + \lambda_k p_k.$$

Hence each a in $A(t)$ is of the form

$$a = \alpha_1 p_1 + \dots + \alpha_k p_k,$$

where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$). Therefore $A(t)$ is algebraically isomorphic to a subsemi-algebra of C^k with coordinatewise multiplication. Therefore $A(t)$ is locally compact and semi-simple.

Now we can apply Theorem 1 to show the existence of an idempotent p in $A(t)$ such that

- (i) $A(tp) = -A(tp)$,
- (ii) $A(t-tp) = (0)$ or $A(t-tp)$ is a prime strict locally compact semi-algebra.

Let σ_1 be the spectral set associated with p . Standard spectral theory shows that

$$\sigma(t-tp) = \begin{cases} \{\sigma(t) \setminus \sigma_1\} \cup \{0\} & \text{if } p \neq 0, \\ \sigma(t) & \text{if } p = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence $\sigma(t-tp) \cap R^{++} = \emptyset$. If $t-tp \neq 0$, then by (2), Lemma 8, $r(t-tp) > 0$. Applying Theorem A we get $r(t-tp) \in \sigma(t-tp)$. This contradicts the fact that $\sigma(t-tp) \cap R^{++} = \emptyset$. Hence $t-tp = 0$, and thus $A(t) = -A(t)$.

Theorem B. Proof of necessity. Suppose that $A(t)$ is locally compact and semi-simple. Choose p as in Theorem 1. Since p is a spectral projection, standard spectral theory shows that if $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma(tp)$ or $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma(t-tp)$ then $\lambda \in \sigma(t)$, and that, if λ is a non-zero simple pole of tp or of $t-tp$, then it is a simple pole of t . Also

$$\{\sigma(t) \cap \sigma(tp)\} \cup \{\sigma(t) \cap \sigma(t-tp)\} = \sigma(t).$$

Now suppose that $t-tp \neq 0$. Then, by Theorem 1, $A(t-tp)$ is locally compact prime and strict. Hence, by Theorem A,

$$0 < r(t-tp) \in \sigma(t-tp)$$

and

$$\sigma(t-tp) \cap \{\lambda : |\lambda| = r(t-tp)\}$$

is a finite set of simple poles of $t-tp$.

Theorem 1 and Lemma 2 show that $\sigma(tp)$ is a finite set of simple poles of t and

$$\sigma(tp) \cap R^{++} = \emptyset.$$

Put $\alpha = r(t-tp)$,

$$\sigma_1 = \sigma(t) \cap \{\lambda : |\lambda| > \alpha\},$$

and

$$\sigma_2 = \sigma(t) \cap \{\lambda : |\lambda| \leq \alpha\}.$$

Then $\alpha > 0$ and, by the spectral theory summarized above, σ_1 and σ_2 satisfy the conditions of Theorem B.

If $t - tp = 0$, take $\sigma_1 = \sigma(t)$ and $\sigma_2 = \emptyset$; again the conditions of Theorem B are satisfied. Clearly, in both cases the decomposition is unique.

Proof of sufficiency. Suppose that $\sigma(t)$ is decomposed into disjoint subsets σ_1 and σ_2 satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem B. If $\sigma_1 = \emptyset$ then $\sigma_2 = \sigma(t)$. Applying Theorem A we see that $A(t)$ is locally compact and prime, hence also semi-simple.

If $\sigma_1 \neq \emptyset$, let p be the spectral projection associated with the spectral set σ_1 . Then $tp \neq 0$ and $\sigma(tp)$ is a finite set of simple poles of tp such that

$$\sigma(tp) \cap \mathbb{R}^{++} = \emptyset.$$

So, applying Lemma 2, we see that $A(tp)$ is locally compact and semi-simple. Now, either $t - tp = 0$, or

$$\sigma(t - tp) \cap \{\lambda : |\lambda| = r(t - tp)\}$$

is a finite set of poles of $t - tp$ which contains the point $\alpha = r(t - tp) > 0$. Hence, either $A(t - tp) = (0)$, or, by Theorem A, $A(t - tp)$ is locally compact, strict and prime. Thus $A(t - tp)$ is also semi-simple. The argument used in the proof of sufficiency of Theorem 1 shows that $A(t)$ is locally compact and semi-simple.

REFERENCES

(1) A. A. ALBERT, *Structure of Algebras* (Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publication vol. XXIV, New York, 1939).
 (2) F. F. BONSALL, Locally compact semi-algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **13** (1963), 51-70.
 (3) F. F. BONSALL and B. J. TOMIUK, The semi-algebra generated by a compact linear operator, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) **14** (1965), 177-196.
 (4) M. A. KAASHOEK and T. T. WEST, Locally compact monothetic semi-algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **18** (1968), 428-438.

FREE UNIVERSITY, AMSTERDAM
 TRINITY COLLEGE, DUBLIN